ML models for computed band gap of HOIPs Huan Tran, Georgia Institute of Technology This notebook is a part of [V. N. Tuoc, Nga. T. T. Nguyen, V. Sharma, and T. D. Huan, *Probabilistic deep learning approach for targeted* hybrid organic-inorganic perovskites, Physical Review Materials 5, 125402 (2021); https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.125402], and is also an example of matsML toolkit. Results obtained here can be found in this work. The original (raw) dataset containing the computed band gap of 1,346 atomic structures predicted for 192 chemical compositions of hybrid organic-inorganic perovskites (HOIPs) is available at [C. Kim, T.D. Huan, S. Krishnan, and R. Ramprasad, Scientific Data 4, 170057 ('17); https://www.nature.com/articles/sdata201757]. Here, three fingerprinted versions of this dataset (S1, S2, and S3) will be fetched from http://www.matsml.org/ and learned to develop 5 ML models (M1, M2, M3, M4, and M5), which are based on Gaussian Process Regression, fully connected Neural Net, and Probability Neural Net. Computations performed using matsML toolkit, available at https://github.com/huantd/matsml.git. Among 5 models developed, M5 demonstrates a reasonable way to handle the aleatoric uncertainty in deep learning of materials data. More details on this topic can be found in "Probabilistic deep learning approach for targeted hybrid organic-inorganic perovskites", the reference mentioned above. 1. Download data Three (fingerprinted) datasets (S1, S2, and S3) used for the work will be obtained. In fact, S2 has 2 versions, one with selector and one not. In [1]: from matsml.data import Datasets data=Datasets(S1='fp\_hoips\_S1\_1dest', S2a='fp\_hoips\_S2a\_2dest', S2b='fp\_hoips\_S2b\_1dest', S3='fp\_hoips\_S3\_4tfp') data.load\_dataset() matsML, v1.0.1 \* \* \* \* \* Load requested dataset(s) Data saved in fp\_hoips\_S1\_1dest.csv.gz Data saved in fp\_hoips\_S2a\_2dest.csv.gz Data saved in fp\_hoips\_S2b\_1dest.csv.gz Data saved in fp\_hoips\_S3\_4tfp.csv.gz 2. Obtained datasets parameters In [2]: # data parameters for learning n\_trains=0.9 # 90% for training, 10% for test sampling='random' # method for train/test spliting x\_scaling='minmax' # method for x scaling y\_scaling='minmax' # method for y scaling # Dict of data parameters data1\_params={'data\_file':'fp\_hoips\_S1\_1dest.csv.gz','id\_col':['ID'],'y\_cols':['Ymean'],'comment\_cols':[], 'y\_scaling':y\_scaling,'x\_scaling':x\_scaling,'sampling':sampling,'n\_trains':n\_trains} data2a\_params={'data\_file':'fp\_hoips\_S2a\_2dest.csv.gz','id\_col':['ID'],'y\_cols':['Ymean','Ystd'], 'comment\_cols':[],'y\_scaling':y\_scaling,'x\_scaling':x\_scaling,'sampling':sampling,'n\_trains':n\_trains} data2b\_params={'data\_file':'fp\_hoips\_S2b\_1dest.csv.gz','id\_col':['ID'],'y\_cols':['prop\_value'], 'comment\_cols':['Ymean','Ystd','hid'],'y\_scaling':y\_scaling,'x\_scaling':x\_scaling, 'sampling':sampling, 'n\_trains':n\_trains} data3\_params={'data\_file':'fp\_hoips\_S3\_4tfp.csv.gz','id\_col':['ID'],'y\_cols':['Egap'],'comment\_cols':[], 'x\_scaling':x\_scaling,'y\_scaling':'none', 'sampling':sampling,'n\_trains':1.0} ML Models 3a. Model M1: GPR on S1 In [3]: from matsml.models import GPR # Model parameters # Number of folds for cross validation nfold\_cv=5 # Name of the model file to be created model\_file='M1.pkl' verbosity=0 rmse\_cv**=False** n\_restarts\_optimizer=100 model\_params={'nfold\_cv':nfold\_cv,'n\_restarts\_optimizer':n\_restarts\_optimizer,'model\_file':model\_file, 'verbosity':verbosity,'rmse\_cv':rmse\_cv} model=GPR(data\_params=data1\_params, model\_params=model\_params) model.train() model.plot(pdf\_output=False) Checking parameters all passed True Learning fingerprinted/featured data algorithm gaussian process regression w/ scikit-learn nfold\_cv optimizer fmin\_l\_bfgs\_b n\_restarts\_optimizer 100 rmse\_cv False Read data data file fp\_hoips\_S1\_1dest.csv.gz data size training size 172 (89.6 %) test size 20 (10.4 %) 32 x dimensionality y dimensionality ['Ymean'] y label(s) Scaling x minmax xscaler saved in xscaler.pkl Scaling y minmax Prepare train/test sets Training model w/ cross validation cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 0 0.018843 0.032977 0.032977 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 1 0.015080 0.032405 0.032405 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 2 0.018437 0.033997 0.032405 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 3 0.016235 0.036488 0.032405 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 4 0.018453 0.035163 0.032405 GPR model trained, now make predictions & invert scaling unscaling y: minmax rmse training Ymean 0.080819 unscaling y: minmax 0.194238 rmse test Ymean Predictions made & saved in "training.csv" & "test.csv" Plot results in "training.csv" & "test.csv" training, (rmse & R2) = (0.081 & 0.994)test, (rmse & R2) = (0.194 & 0.973)showing Ymean 6 Predicted value 3 training, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.081 & 0.994) test, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.194 & 0.973) Reference value 3b. Model M2: FCNN on S1 In [4]: from matsml.models import FCNN # Model parameters # list of nodes in hidden layers layers=[5] epochs=2000 # Epochs # Number of folds for cross validation nfold\_cv=5 use\_bias**=True** # Use bias term or not model\_file='M2.pkl' # Name of the model file to be created verbosity=0 # Verbosity, 0 or 1 batch\_size=32 # Default = 32 loss='mse' activ\_funct='selu' # Options: "tanh", "relu", and more # Options: "Nadam", "Adam", and more optimizer='nadam' # Dict of model parameters model\_params={'layers':layers,'activ\_funct':activ\_funct,'epochs':epochs,'nfold\_cv':nfold\_cv, 'optimizer':optimizer, 'use\_bias':use\_bias, 'model\_file':model\_file, 'loss':loss, 'batch\_size':batch\_size, 'verbosity':verbosity, 'rmse\_cv':False} model=FCNN(data\_params=data1\_params, model\_params=model\_params) model.train() model.plot(pdf\_output=False) Checking parameters all passed True Learning fingerprinted/featured data algorithm fully connected NeuralNet w/ TensorFlow layers [5] activ\_funct selu 2000 epochs nadam optimizer nfold\_cv Read data data file fp\_hoips\_S1\_1dest.csv.gz data size 192 training size 172 (89.6 %) test size 20 (10.4 %) x dimensionality 32 y dimensionality 1 y label(s) ['Ymean'] Scaling x minmax xscaler saved in xscaler.pkl Scaling y minmax random Prepare train/test sets Building model **FCNN** Training model w/ cross validation cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 0 0.023783 0.040800 0.040800 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 1 0.018819 0.041435 0.040800 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 2 0.019201 0.035042 0.035042 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 3 0.015289 0.028691 0.028691 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 4 0.018194 0.033092 0.028691 Optimal ncv: 3 ; optimal NET saved FCNN trained, now make predictions & invert scaling unscaling y: minmax Ymean 0.080511 rmse training unscaling y: minmax rmse test Ymean 0.176686 Predictions made & saved in "training.csv" & "test.csv" Plot results in "training.csv" & "test.csv" training, (rmse & R2) = (0.081 & 0.994)test, (rmse & R2) = (0.177 & 0.966)showing Ymean 6 Predicted value 3 training, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.081 & 0.994) test, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.177 & 0.966) Reference value 3c. Model M3: FCNN on S2a In [5]: # Model parameters layers=[5,5]epochs=10000 nfold\_cv=5 use\_bias**=True** model\_file='M3.pkl' loss='mse' verbosity=0 batch\_size=32 activ\_funct='elu' optimizer = 'nadam' model\_params={'layers':layers,'activ\_funct':activ\_funct,'epochs':epochs,'nfold\_cv':nfold\_cv, 'optimizer':optimizer,'use\_bias':use\_bias,'model\_file':model\_file,'loss':loss, 'batch\_size':batch\_size, 'verbosity':verbosity, 'rmse\_cv':False} model=FCNN(data\_params=data2a\_params, model\_params=model\_params) model.train() model.plot(pdf\_output=False) Checking parameters all passed True Learning fingerprinted/featured data algorithm fully connected NeuralNet w/ TensorFlow layers [5, 5] activ\_funct elu epochs 10000 optimizer nadam nfold\_cv Read data data file fp\_hoips\_S2a\_2dest.csv.gz data size 192 training size 172 (89.6 %) 20 (10.4 %) test size x dimensionality 31 y dimensionality y label(s) ['Ymean', 'Ystd'] Scaling x minmax xscaler.pkl xscaler saved in Scaling y minmax Prepare train/test sets random Building model **FCNN** Training model w/ cross validation cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 0 0.051967 0.084052 0.084052 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 1 0.039550 0.102295 0.084052 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 2 0.041105 0.099927 0.084052 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 3 0.038044 0.106750 0.084052 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 4 0.032617 0.101281 0.084052 Optimal ncv: 0 ; optimal NET saved FCNN trained, now make predictions & invert scaling unscaling y: minmax rmse training Ymean rmse training Ystd 0.09284 unscaling y: minmax rmse test Ymean 0.201748 rmse test Ystd Predictions made & saved in "training.csv" & "test.csv" Plot results in "training.csv" & "test.csv" training, (rmse & R2) = (0.125 & 0.986)test, (rmse & R2) = (0.202 & 0.941)showing Ymean Predicted value 3 training, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.125 & 0.986) test, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.202 & 0.941) з Reference value training, (rmse & R2) = (0.093 & 0.743)test, (rmse & R2) = (0.130 & 0.197)showing Ystd 1.2 1.0 Predicted value 0.4 0.2 training, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.093 & 0.743) 0.0 test, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.130 & 0.197) 0.0 0.2 0.6 1.0 1.2 Reference value 3d. Model M4: FCNN on S2b In [6]: # Model parameters layers=[4,4]epochs=5000 nfold\_cv=5 use\_bias**=True** model\_file='M4.pkl' loss='mse' verbosity=0 batch\_size=32 activ\_funct='tanh' optimizer='nadam' model params={'layers':layers,'activ funct':activ funct,'epochs':epochs,'nfold\_cv':nfold\_cv, 'optimizer':optimizer,'use\_bias':use\_bias,'model\_file':model\_file,'loss':loss, 'batch\_size':batch\_size, 'verbosity':verbosity, 'rmse\_cv':False} model=FCNN(data\_params=data2b\_params, model\_params=model\_params) model.train() model.plot(pdf\_output=False) Checking parameters all passed True Learning fingerprinted/featured data fully connected NeuralNet w/ TensorFlow algorithm layers [4, 4]activ\_funct tanh epochs 5000 optimizer nadam nfold\_cv Read data data file fp\_hoips\_S2b\_1dest.csv.gz data size training size 345 (89.8 %) 39 (10.2 %) test size x dimensionality 53 y dimensionality ['prop\_value'] y label(s) Scaling x minmax xscaler saved in xscaler.pkl minmax Scaling y Prepare train/test sets random Building model Training model w/ cross validation cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 0 0.052922 0.122685 0.122685 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 1 0.054935 0.081783 0.081783 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 2 0.048231 0.113291 0.081783 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 3 0.052942 0.106806 0.081783 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 4 0.050738 0.082275 0.081783 Optimal ncv: 1 ; optimal NET saved FCNN trained, now make predictions & invert scaling unscaling y: minmax selector1 prop\_value 0.140083 rmse training rmse training selector2 prop\_value 0.094681 unscaling y: minmax selector1 prop\_value 0.215046 rmse test selector2 prop\_value rmse test Predictions made & saved in "training.csv" & "test.csv" Plot results in "training.csv" & "test.csv" training, (rmse & R2) = (0.120 & 0.996)test, (rmse & R2) = (0.163 & 0.992)showing prop\_value 6 5 Predicted value 2 training, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.120 & 0.996) 0 test, (rmse & R<sup>2</sup>) = (0.163 & 0.992) Reference value 3e. Model M5: Probabilistic Neural Net on S3 In [7]: from matsml.models import PrFCNN layers=[5] epochs=200 nfold\_cv=5 use\_bias**=True** model\_file='M5.pkl' loss='mse' verbosity=0 batch\_size=32 activ\_funct='selu' optimizer='nadam' model\_params={'layers':layers,'activ\_funct':activ\_funct,'epochs':epochs,'nfold\_cv':nfold\_cv, 'optimizer':optimizer, 'use\_bias':use\_bias, 'model\_file':model\_file, 'loss':loss, 'batch\_size':batch\_size, 'verbosity':verbosity, 'rmse\_cv':False} model=PrfCNN(data\_params=data3\_params, model\_params=model\_params) model.train() model.plot(pdf\_output=False) Checking parameters WARNING: "negloglik" must & will be used for loss Learning fingerprinted/featured data algorithm Probabilistic NeuralNet w/ TensorFlow-Probability layers [5] activ\_funct selu epochs 200 optimizer nadam loss negloglik nfold\_cv Read data data file fp\_hoips\_S3\_4tfp.csv.gz data size training size 1346 (100.0 %) test size 0 (0.0 %) x dimensionality 221 y dimensionality y label(s) ['Egap'] Scaling x minmax xscaler saved in xscaler.pkl Scaling y none Prepare train/test sets random Building model PrFCNN Training PrFCNN w/ cross validation cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 0 0.603385 0.629704 0.629704 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 1 0.585915 0.648628 0.629704 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 2 0.593753 0.638784 0.629704 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 3 0.593635 0.614070 0.614070 cv,rmse\_train,rmse\_test,rmse\_opt: 4 0.608788 0.612460 0.612460 Optimal ncv: 4 PrFCNN trained, now make predictions & invert scaling unscaling y: none rmse training Egap 0.426508 Predictions made & saved in "training.csv" Plot results in "training.csv" & "test.csv" showing Egap 6 Predicted value training, (rmse &  $R^2$ ) = (0.427 & 0.843) Reference value In [8]: import io, requests import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import pandas as pd import numpy as np %matplotlib inline # read the trained data pred=pd.read\_csv('training.csv') # Trained data provide ID of 1346 cases, but we need the name of the organic cations A, # cation B, and anions X also. They can be obtained here sum\_url='http://www.matsml.org/data/hoips2017\_comp.csv' mapping=pd.read\_csv(io.StringIO(requests.get(sum\_url).content.decode('utf-8'))) # We will plot 16 compositions ASnI3 made of 16 cations A and Sn for B and I for X. organics=['Acetamidinium','Ammonium','Azetidinium','Butylammonium','Dimethylammonium','Ethylammonium', 'Formamidinium','Guanidinium','Hydrazinium','Hydroxylammonium','Imidazolium','Isopropylammonium', 'Methylammonium','Propylammonium','Tetramethylammonium','Trimethylammonium'] cations = ['Sn'] anions = ['I']comps = [(organic,cation,anion) for organic in organics for cation in cations\ for anion in anions] # 2 DataFrame will be extracted from pred for ploting bandgap\_strs=pd.DataFrame(columns=['cid','id','organic\_cat','bandgap']) bandgap\_comp=pd.DataFrame(columns=['cid','mean\_comput','std\_comput','mean\_pred','std\_pred']) # For each of 16 compositions, extract needed data and store in "bandgap\_strs" and "bandgap\_comp" for cid in range(len(comps)): comp = comps[cid] sel\_rows = mapping[(mapping['organic']==comp[0]) & \ (mapping['cation']==comp[1]) &(mapping['anion']==comp[2])] sel\_ids = list(sel\_rows['ID']) sel\_pred = pred[pred['ID'].isin(sel\_ids)] sel\_pred.reset\_index(drop=True, inplace = True) bandgap\_comp.loc[len(bandgap\_comp)]=[cid,np.mean(sel\_pred['Egap']), \ np.std(sel\_pred['Egap']),sel\_pred.at[0,'md\_Egap'], sel\_pred.at[0,'md\_Egap\_err']] for idx,eg in zip(list(sel\_pred['ID']), list(sel\_pred['Egap'])): bandgap\_strs.loc[len(bandgap\_strs)]=[cid,idx,comp[0],eg] # Make figure fig,ax = plt.subplots(figsize = (8,6),frameon=True) plt.subplots\_adjust(left=0.12, bottom=0.21, right=0.98, top=0.98, wspace=0, hspace=0) plt.rcParams["font.size"] = 16 plt.box(True) plt.tick\_params(axis='x', which='both', bottom=True, top=True, labelbottom=True) plt.tick\_params(axis='y',which='both',right=True,left=True,direction='in',labelleft=True,length=5)  $ax.set_ylim([0.0,4.0])$ plt.tick\_params(axis='x',which='both',direction='in',labelsize=12, top=True) plt.tick\_params(axis='y', which='both', direction='in', labelsize=12, right=True) ax.set\_xticks(np.arange(0, 16, 1)) ax.set\_xticklabels(organics, rotation=35, ha='right') plt.ylabel(r"\$E\_{\rm g}\$ (eV)",color="black",fontsize=18) ax.scatter(bandgap\_strs['cid'], bandgap\_strs['bandgap'],color='royalblue', alpha = 0.75, zorder=-3, label='computed data') ax.errorbar(bandgap\_comp['cid'], bandgap\_comp['mean\_comput'], yerr=bandgap\_comp['std\_comput'], capsize=3, color = 'darkgoldenrod', alpha = 0.5, zorder=-3, markersize = 5, fmt='s',  $label=r'computed <math>E_{\rm g}^{\rm g} \$ ax.plot(bandgap\_comp['cid'], bandgap\_comp['mean\_pred'],color='tab:red',linewidth = 2, label = r'predicted \$E\_{\rm g}^{\rm mean}\$') ax.fill\_between(bandgap\_comp['cid'], bandgap\_comp['mean\_pred'] - 2\* bandgap\_comp['std\_pred'], bandgap\_comp['mean\_pred'] + 2\* bandgap\_comp['std\_pred'], color = '#2ca02c', alpha = 0.15, label = r'predicted  $E_{\rm g}^{\rm g}^{\rm m} = 2E_{\rm g}^{\rm g}^{\rm g}^{\rm std}$ handles, labels = ax.get\_legend\_handles\_labels() labels, handles = zip(\*sorted(zip(labels, handles), key=lambda t: t[0])) ax.legend(handles, labels, loc="lower right", fontsize = 13) <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x7fa98456b580> Out[8]: 3.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 1.5 computed  $E_g^{mean} \pm E_g^{std}$ 1.0 computed data predicted  $E_g^{\text{mean}} \pm 2E_g^{\text{std}}$ 0.5 predicted  $E_{g}^{mean}$ Tupylatinium annonium Dimethylammonium Hydroxylammonium Tringerhylammonium 0.0 Ethylammonium Formamidinium Sopropylammonium Methylammonium Fig. 1. Electronic band gap  $E_{
m g}$  (circles) computed for the predicted atomic structures of ASnI $_3$ , 16 HOIP formulas corresponding to 16 organic cations A. For each formula, the mean and standard deviation of  $E_{
m g}$ , i.e.,  $E_{
m g}^{
m mean}$  and  $E_{
m g}^{
m std}$ , are given by dark golden squares and associated errorbars. Predicted  $E_{
m g}^{
m mean}$  is given in red while the shaded area indicates the 95-percent confidence interval ( $E_{
m g}^{
m mean}\pm 2E_{
m g}^{
m std}$ ) of the predictions using the probabilistic model developed in this work In [ ]: