# Geographically-aware scaling for real-time persistent websocket applications.

Master's Project in Software Engineering

Lukasz Harezlak

lukasz.harezlak@gmail.com

Summer 2015, 30 pages

Supervisor: Tijs van der Storm

Host organisation: Instamrkt, https://instamrkt.com

### Contents

Abstract			3
1			4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5
2	2 References TO MOVE TO THESIS.BIB		6
3	3.1 Scalability of Software Systems 3.1.1 Existing Approaches 3.2 Cloud Scalability 3.3 Data-layer Scalability 3.4 Websocket Scalability 3.5 Geographical Distribution 3.6 Measuring Scalability 3.7 Benchmarking 3.7.1 Benchmarking Crimes		7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
4	4 The System Under Test		9
	4.1 General Purpose of The System 4.1.1 Sample Use Case 4.1.2 Users of the System 4.2 Basic Architecture 4.3 Technology Stack 4.4 Cloud architecture setup 4.5 Unique Aspects of The System		9 9 9 9 9 9
5	5 Experiment Outline 5.1 Goal of the experiment 5.2 Load Testing Framework 5.3 Baseline architecture on a local network 5.4 Cloud architecture setup 5.4.1 Baseline architecture deployed in the clou 5.4.2 Improved architecture deployed in the clou 5.5 Experiment deliverables	id	11 11 11 11 12 12
6	6 Scalability Measurements	-	13

	6.1 Selected metrics	13
	6.1.1 EC2 Available metrics	14
	6.2 Selected model	14
	6.3 Baseline, Local network	14
	6.3.1 Load Testing	14
	6.4 Baseline, Deployed in the cloud	14
	6.5 Improved, Deployed in the cloud	14
7	Experiment results	15
8	Evaluation	16
9	Conclusion	17
10	Further work	18
11	Everything below that needs to be removed (except for bib)	19
<b>12</b>	Front Matter	20
	12.1 Title	20
	12.2 Author	20
	12.3 Date	20
	12.4 Host	20
	12.5 Cover picture	21
	12.6 Abstract	21
13	Core Chapters	22
	13.1 Classic structure	22
	13.2 Reporting on replications	23
	13.3 LATEX details	24
	13.3.1 Environments	24
	13.4 Listings	24
14	Literature	27
	14.1 Books	27
	14.2 Journal papers	27
	14.3 Conference papers	28
	14.4 Theses	28
	14.5 Technical reports	28
	14.6 Wikipedia	29
	14.7 Anything else	29
Bi	bliography	30

### Abstract

This section summarises the content of the thesis for potential readers who do not have time to read it whole, or for those undecided whether to read it at all. Sum up the following aspects:

- $\bullet\,$  relevance and motivation for the research
- research question(s) and a brief description of the research method
- $\bullet\,$  results, contributions and conclusions

Kent Beck [JBB<sup>+</sup>93] proposes to have four sentences in a good abstract:

- 1. The first states the problem.
- 2. The second states why the problem is a problem.
- 3. The third is the startling sentence.
- 4. The fourth states the implication of the startling sentence.

### Introduction

Software scalability. Cloud scalability. Why is it important Importance of measuring in the clouds and testing optimal architectures.

#### 1.1 Initial Study

What we found out researching.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

what's the best architecture that answers that best: how to deliver data to geographically distributed users with minimal, consistent and manageable latency how to react scales up and down in response to demand changes (WEBSOCKETS - DISCONNECT SESSIONS? WHEN? This provides some additional challenges when it comes to websockets, since in order to stop an instance one needs to make sure it does not serve any sessions. [MMPROJ9]) according to the models based on the set of metrics described in detail later ?? Also, there is an inherent limitation for scaling a websocket application - a number of TCP ports (and file descriptors available to a ws/wss connections) on a server instance. Hardware limitations are different in an http-based application.

#### 1.2.1 Research Questions

- 1. Does architecture with geographically aware scaling case can produce better results than the baseline architecture?
- 2. What is the preferred architecture decomposition for a system with given characteristics stateless, deployed in the cloud, dynamically scaled up and down, with persistent connections, clients distributed globally and uncacheable data generated in real time?

#### 1.2.2 Solution Outline

How our solution works in short.

#### 1.2.3 Research Method

Software engineering is a relatively difficult field to investigate in terms of lack of clarity on how to do it. Most of the problems are of design, rather than pure scientific, nature. West Churchman coined a specific term for these kind of modern problems - wicked (since they are resistant to resolutions) [MMPROJ1]. Eastbrook et al claim it is often difficult to identify the true underlying nature of the research problem and thus the best method to research it [MMPROJ2]. In their work, they name and compare five most classes of research methods to select from: controlled experiments, case studies, survey research, ethnographies, action research. They help to select the method by first

establishing the type of research question being asked - existence question (Does X exist?), description and classification question (What is X like?), and descriptive-comparative questions(How does X offer from Y?). The questions of this research are of the last type. The authors suggest pinpointing upfront what will be accepted as a valid answer to the research question [MMPROJ2]. The detailed description of each of the methods helps me to settle for the controlled experiment. Its well-suited for testing a hypothesis where manipulating independent variables has an effect of dependent ones, which is exactly the case of me research. The manipulated variable is architecture decomposition, and the measured ones are determined by scalability measurement models described below.

#### Research Difficulty

The experiments will be performed in a shared cloud environment, which is inherently unpredictable and changing. Designing and executing a test yielding statistically relevant results where all the necessary variables are controlled (within reasonable boundaries) will be a challenge. The focus of the project is on scaling a websocket application. This is a relatively new technology, thus finding proper scientific coverage is not trivial. Some of the necessary development and data collection might be in relatively low-level technology. The geo-location with reasonable accuracy of the clients might prove difficult too. Overall, its a complex project requiring knowledge of both hardware (protocol), software (architecture) and consisting of multiple disciplines. even linking order in the compiler can change the performance!!! [one of CRIMES]

#### 1.2.4 Hypothesis

The correct geographical decomposition of application stack can lead to vastly improved performance in comparison with baseline architecture (TODO:S????).

#### 1.3 Contributions

Case study on AWS. Architecture decomposition analysis. Deliverable piece of code - metrics framework and auto-scaling scripts.

#### 1.4 Related Work

The big paper on measuring scalability in the clouds. The big paper on deploying different scalability structures. Small mentions of the others.

#### 1.5 Outline

Here we outline the structure of the thesis. A short paragraph on what happens in each chapter.

## References TO MOVE TO THESIS.BIB

[Master's Project Plan]

[MMPROJ1] Churchman, C. West, "Wicked Problems", Management Science 14 (4) (December 1967). [MMPROJ2] S.Easterbrook, J.Singer, M.A. Storey, D. Damian, Selecting Empirical Methods for Software Engineering Research, Java Report 3 (7) (1998) 5156. [MMPROJ9] N. Grozev, R. Buyya, Multi-Cloud Provisioning and Load Distribution for Three-Tier Applications, ACM Transactions on Autonomous and Adaptive Systems (TAAS), Vol.9 Iss.3, Article No. 13 (October 2014) [MMPROJ10] T. Leighton, Improving performance of the internet, Communications of the ACM - Inspiring Women in Computing, Vol. 52, Iss. 2, p. 44-51 (February 2009)

### Background

#### 3.1 Scalability of Software Systems

Scalability seems to be a notion that everyone intuitively grasps, but has difficulties when it comes to clear explanations. In my literature study I came across a few similar definitions, which might help with that, of which three can be found below:

[WS04] Scalability is a measure of an application systems ability to, without modification, cost-effectively provide increased throughput, reduced response time and/or support more users when hardware resources are added.

[WG06] Scalability is an ability of a system to handle increased workload (without adding resources).

[WG06] Scalability is an ability of a system to handle increased workload by repeatedly applying a cost-effective strategy for extending a systems capacity.

Scalability is generally desired in the software systems, yet it comes at a cost associated with the system's design. Design of scalable systems is more complex (since there are additional problems that need to be dealt with).

As the globalization and internetization progresses, more and more systems are expected to be capable of serving millions of globally distributed users. A single server instance often cannot live up to that task and thus application needs to be divided and distributed in multiple smallerchunks. This division happens on different application layers, and different parts of the system now have to communicate and synchronize with each other.

The user traffic, and with it the need for system services and resources, rarely stays constant. From this, a need to be able to scale up and down dynamically in response to traffic arises.

Huge parts of the internet are shifting towards real-time. This trend is giving rise to new technologies for exchanging messages between clients and servers in the client-server architecture. Traditionally, client would send a request to a server and receive a response. This is hugely inefficient when there is a need for continuous bidirectional exchange of messages. As an improvement, new mechanisms for server-client communication were introduced: first long polling, to enhance the process and reduce overhead. Websockets are a huge next step on this path but introduce new challenges. One of them is scalability of applications which make use of this technology.

Before the rise of the websocket protocol, different techniques were used to improve communication between server to client. Among them, the following can be listed (some of them serving different purposes): ajax - a request / response model; was an improvement since the page didnt have to be refreshed anymore to get new data, short polling - using a timer to regulate sending requests to server, similar to refreshing the page, useful when data doesnt change too often, long polling - now considered to be a workaround of preventing creating connections for each request, keeps a connection artificially alive for some time, clients have to reconnect periodically, webRTC - a peer to peer connection server-side events - only allow for a server-initiated communication.

There exist multiple scalability vectors - applications can be scaled in a multitude of different ways. Different decompositions of application stack can be applied. Database layer itself can be scaled up (or out) in numerous ways. They and their benefits are described below.

#### 3.1.1 Existing Approaches

A few traditional approaches of tackling an issue like that exist already. Among them we can enumerate: Scaling up (one massive instance), end-user experience is at the mercy of the unreliable Internet and its middle-mile bottlenecks Traffic levels fluctuate tremendously, so the need to provision for peak traffic levels means that expensive infrastructure will sit underutilized most of the time model does not provide the flexibility to handle unexpected surges [All 28] This will be selected as the baseline architecture - first with isolating the network effects (tested on an internal network), and then in the real-life cloud scenario. This way the real impact on the performance of the architecture of network variables can be established. Scaling out randomly - firing up new system nodes in new data centres, Averaging out geographical locations and firing up instances 'in the middle', Content Delivery Networks - these only handle static assets. Websockets do not support CDNs, because the WebSocket protocol is stateful. Big Data Center CDNs potential improvements are limited because the CDNs servers are still far away from most users and still deliver content from the wrong side of the middle-mile bottlenecks Highly Distributed CDNs putting servers within end-user ISPs Algorithmically predicting message frequency and opening / closing persistent connections according to this. Peer to peer networks. [MMPROJ10]

#### 3.2 Cloud Scalability

General.

- 3.3 Data-layer Scalability
- 3.4 Websocket Scalability
- 3.5 Geographical Distribution
- 3.6 Measuring Scalability

What we have from literature study. ONLY THE GENERAL STUFF - WE HAVE A FULL CHAPTER 6 ON THIS.

#### 3.7 Benchmarking

#### 3.7.1 Benchmarking Crimes

### The System Under Test

real time prediction parmet parimutuel pools directed contracts sort of a stock market for real-time prediction on anything, e.g. live sport

#### 4.1 General Purpose of The System

first application - live sports low manageable latencies important

#### 4.1.1 Sample Use Case

A good example e.g. can be predicting the outcomes of certain drives in the football match as they happen. A sample case: Manchester United - Liverpool live game, live data coming from UK servers, introduced into the system through a UK node, most users (who also generate data that needs to be distributed) in China (10k), India(10k), Australia(3k). Where should websocket servers which distribute messages spun up for minimal latencies for all clients? Is it faster to spin up db replicas on that nodes too?

#### 4.1.2 Users of the System

in stadium on couch dekstop tablet mobile Users of the platform are mostly on mobile networks (that often drop), so reconnecting them quick to the right (providing lowest latencies) instance is important. lumpy demand - it comes in 2-hour-long spikes and then can go quiet for days

#### 4.2 Basic Architecture

#### 4.3 Technology Stack

Python + redis + javascript + mysql (Ampersand) cloud stack described here:

#### 4.4 Cloud architecture setup

#### 4.5 Unique Aspects of The System

critical data flows over websockets Users receiving data shared locally should receive it at the same time as data shared globally (with as low a latency as possible). This creates a need for globally consistent and manageable latency between end user and the system. Connected users and sources of data are geographically changing. Users geographical center of mass is changing for each peak of demand. Extremely lumpy demand (peaks lasting around 2 hours). This creates a need for being

able to quickly scale up and scale down. New data is generated every few seconds by the users so caching the content and distributing geographically is difficult.

### **Experiment Outline**

- 1. Baseline architecture on a local network.
- 2. Baseline architecture deployed in the cloud.
- 3. Improved architecture in the cloud.

All of them measured with the same set of metrics with a prepared framework for gathering them. Details below.

#### 5.1 Goal of the experiment

The goal of the project is to design a scalability framework for a real-time persistent websocket distributed application (the system). The core researched topic will be whether a systems awareness of clients geographical distribution can improve the system performance according to selected metrics, in comparison with traditional approaches.

The goal of the project is to see if the proposed architecture decomposition can perform better (quantitatively, according to the selected metric model) than the baseline architecture in serving geographically dispersed clients. Approaches used to scale simple http applications cannot always be translated to websocket applications since the communication protocol differs. Websockets put a different kind of strain on the server machines since these need to keep the connection opened on a port for a prolonged period of time rather than simply open, server and close (as is the case with http). Along the way, an answer needs to be found what level of decoupling provides best performance on each layer of a stateless persistent system. One of the properties of a system of that kind is that key value stores come under heavy load since this is where the state resides. A good solution for distributing (sharding / replicating) these also needs to be found. Same goes for persistent storage. TODO: REFERENCE CURRENT APPROACHES HERE.

#### 5.2 Load Testing Framework

tsung and all tools

#### 5.3 Baseline architecture on a local network

Architecture diagrams. Technical details - local server capabilities. Load testing.

#### 5.4 Cloud architecture setup

route 53 load balancers with AutoScaleGroups. vs what? instances behind each groups. automatically scalable, connected to external redis and mysql instances.

- 5.4.1 Baseline architecture deployed in the cloud
- 5.4.2 Improved architecture deployed in the cloud
- 5.5 Experiment deliverables

### Scalability Measurements

The previous description 3.6 concerned general stuff. Here we describe the metrics we settled for.

Most of what is necessary for the purpose of this research has been covered by Pushkala Pattabiraman et al [MMPROJ3]. They realized that cloud computing and its measurement provides a new set of challenges when it comes to measuring performance testing, as opposed to measuring performance of traditional software systems. They list some key points for measuring cloud applications, among them we can find: validating and ensuring the elasticity of scalability and evaluating utility service billings and pricing models. The latter is also important in my case since cost is one of the driving factors in assessing the scalability of my system. A question they raise regarding this is: How to use a transparent approach to monitor and evaluate the correctness of the utility bill based on a posted price model during system performance evaluation and scalability measurement?. The authors divide the performance indicators into three groups: computing resource (CPU, disk, memory, networks) - they can be helpful in establishing baseline architecture in my case, workload indicators (connected users, throughput and latency), performance indicators - processing speed, system reliability and scalability based on the given QoS standards. For each they propose formal models with pluggable values and graphic representations (BELOW). On top of that, the research contains a case study performed in the Amazon EC2 environment [MMPROJ3]. Cloud limitations need to be taken into account. One needs to be aware of hidden costs (e.g. autoscaling service is free on EC2, but it requires cloudwatch, which is not). The authors also advise to pay attention to inconsistencies in performance and scalability data [MMPROJ3].

many others: There is much more work related to the general scalability of distributed systems. Srinivas and Janakiram in their work [MMPROJ5] mention a metric evaluating scalability as a product of throughput and response time (or any value function) divided by the cost factor. They propose another model considering scalability as a function of synchronization, consistency, availability, workload and faultload. It aims on identifying bottlenecks and hence improving the scalability. The authors also emphasize the fact of interconnectedness of synchronization, consistency and availability. Jogalekar and Woodside [6] propose a strategy-based scalability metric based on cost effectiveness (a function of system's throughput and its quality of service). It separates evaluation of throughput or quantity of work from QoS (which, according to the authors, can be any suitable expression).[MMPROJ6]

#### 6.1 Selected metrics

latency (messaging + handhsaking) sustainable concurrent websocket connectoins infrastructure cost (per unit of time, supporting the same number of users) architecture reaction speed to changes in demand (scaling up and scaling down) dropped connections cpu usage memory usage network in network out iops reads + writes CRAM METRICS TODO: review

#### 6.1.1 EC2 Available metrics

#### 6.2 Selected model

[MMPROJ3] With CRUMs, SPMs etc.

#### 6.3 Baseline, Local network

Lab notes from 11 of May go here:  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Basic metrics

- cpu, memory, disk usage (pidstat / CloudWatch)
- network i/o (wireshark, list others analyzed)
- latency, throughput, concurrent connections, messages dropped?

#### 6.3.1 Load Testing

all the analyzed tools, list also in lab notes from may 11

#### **Users Distribution**

The authors suggest choosing randomly when generating load as to which operation to perform, on what data size etc. They suggest using different random distributions: uniform, zipfian, latest, multinomial[MMPROJ7]. Another distribution is suggested by Grozev and Buyya [MMPROJ9] - Poisson distribution with a constant mean.

#### 6.4 Baseline, Deployed in the cloud

#### 6.5 Improved, Deployed in the cloud

### Experiment results

### Evaluation

### Conclusion

Further work

Everything below that needs to be removed (except for bib)

#### Front Matter

The first thing is to connect the class by saying:

\documentclass{uvamscse}

#### 12.1 Title

Specify the title of the thesis with \title and \subtitle commands:

```
\title{MetaThesis}
\subtitle{A Thesis Template Leading by Example}
```

Any thesis can survive without a \subtitle, but the \title is mandatory.

#### 12.2 Author

Introduce yourself with \author and \authemail:

```
\author{Vadim Zaytsev}
\authemail{vadim@grammarware.net}
```

Again, \authemail is not mandatory. If you need anything fancier, just put it inside \author.

```
\author{Vadim Zaytsev\footnote{Yes, that one.}}
```

The footnote would be printed on the bottom of the title page, and will be referred to by a symbol, not by a number as any footnotes within the main document body.

#### 12.3 Date

By default, the date inserted in your PDF is the day of the build, e.g., "March 25, 2014". If you want it to be formatted differently or be more vague or outright fake, use \date:

```
\date{Spring 2014}
```

The argument is just a string, the format is unrestricted:

```
\date{Tomorrow. Honestly.}
```

#### 12.4 Host

If your hosting organisation is not the UvA, specify it with \host. The logo on the bottom of the title page will still be the UvA one, because this is the organisation guaranteeing your degree.

```
\host{Grammarware, Inc., \url{http://grammarware.github.io}}
```

NB: footnotes will not work, unless you know how to \protect them.







Figure 12.1: A hypothetical thesis title page without a cover picture (on the left), with an overly large one (in the centre) and with a tiny pic (on the right).

#### 12.5 Cover picture

If the first page of your thesis looks too blunt, add a picture to it:

\coverpic{figures/terminal.png}

You can even specify the picture's width as an optional argument:

\coverpic[100pt]{figures/terminal.png}

How these three options look, you can see from Figure 12.1.

#### 12.6 Abstract

A thesis is fine without an abstract, if you do not feel like writing it and your supervisor does not feel like enforcing it. If you do want an abstract, make it with the \abstract command:

```
\abstract{This is not a thesis.}
```

The abstract is just like any other section of your thesis, so you can use any LATEX tricks there. If you think that the name "abstract" is too abstract for your abstract, you can still use \abstract without being too abstract:

\abstract[Confession]{I am a cenosillicaphobiac.}

Kent Beck [JBB+93] proposes to have four sentences in a good abstract:

- 1. The first states the problem.
- 2. The second states why the problem is a problem.
- 3. The third is the startling sentence.
- 4. The fourth states the implication of the startling sentence.

In practice, each of these "sentences" can be longer than an actual sentence, but it is in general a good rule of thumb to condense the summary of your thesis into these four tiny messages. Do not write too much, make it tweetable.

### Core Chapters

The structure of your thesis is up to you and your supervisor. Whatever you do, do not consider the guidelines below as dogmas.

#### 13.1 Classic structure

Problem statement and motivation. You describe in detail what problem the research is addressing, and what is the motivation to address this problem. There is a concise and objective statement of the research questions, hypotheses and goals. It is made clear why these questions and goals are important and relevant to the world outside the university (assuming it exists). You can already split the main research question into subquestions in this chapter. This section also describes an analysis of the problem: where does it occur and how, how often, and what are the consequences? An important part is also to scope the research: what aspects are included and what aspects are deliberately left out, and why?

Research method. Here you describe the methods used to answer the research questions. A good structure of this section often follows the subquestions by providing a method for each. The research method needs a thorough motivation grounded in theory in order to be acceptable. As a part of the method, you can introduce a number of hypotheses — these will be tested by the research, using the methods described here. An important part of this section is validation. How will you evaluate and validate the outcomes of the research?

Background and context. This chapter contains all the information needed to put the thesis into context. It is common to use a revised version of your literature survey for this purpose. It is important to explicitly refer from your text to sources you have used, they will be listed in your bibliography. For example, you can write "A small number of programming languages account for most language use [MR13]", where the following entry would be included in your bibliography:

[MR13] Leo A. Meyerovich and Ariel S. Rabkin. Empirical Analysis of Programming Language Adoption. In *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Object Oriented Programming Systems Languages and Applications*, OOPSLA, pages 1–18. ACM, 2013. doi:10.1145/2509136.2509515.

Have a look at § 14 to learn more about citation.

**Research.** This chapter reports on the execution of the research method as described in an earlier chapter. If the research has been divided into phases, they are introduced, reported on and concluded individually. If needed, this chapter could be split up to balance out the sizes of all chapters.

**Results.** This chapter presents and clarifies the results obtained during the research. The focus should be on the factual results, not the interpretation or discussion. Tables and graphics should be used to increase the clarity of the results where applicable.

Analysis and conclusions. This chapter contains the analysis and interpretation of the results. The research questions are answered as best as possible with the results that were obtained. The analysis also discussed parts of the questions that were left unanswered.

An important topic is the validity of the results. What methods of validation were used? Could the results be generalised to other cases? What threats to validity can be identified? There is room here to discuss the results of related scientific literature here as well. How do the results obtained here relate to other work, and what consequences are there? Did your approach work better or worse? Did you learn anything new compared to the already existing body of knowledge? Finally, what could you say in hindsight on the research approach by followed? What could have done better? What lessons have been learned? What could other researchers use from your experience? A separate section should be devoted to "future work", i.e., possible extension points of your work that you have identified. Even other researchers should be able to use those as a starting point.

#### 13.2 Reporting on replications

Here are the guidelines to report on replicated studies [Car10]:

Information about the original study

Research question(s) that were the basis for the design

Participants, their number and any other relevant characteristics

Design as a graphical or textual description of the experimental design

Artefacts, the description of them and/or links to the artefacts used

Context variables as any important details that affected the design of the study or interpretation of the results

Summary of the results in a brief overview of the major findings

#### Information about the replication

Motivation for conducting the replication as a description of why the replication was conducted: to validate the results, to broaden the results by changing the participant pool or the artifacts.

Level of interaction with original experimenters. The level of interaction between the original experimenters and the replicators should be reported. This interaction could range from none (i.e. simply read the paper) to them being the same people. There is quite a lot of discussion of the level of interaction allowed for the replication to be "successful", but this level should be reported even without addressing the controversy.

Changes to the original experiment. Any changes made to the design, participants, artifacts, procedures, data collected and/or analysis techniques should be discussed along with the motivation for the change.

#### Comparison of results to original

Consistent results, when replication results supported results from the original study, and Differences in results, when results from the replication did not coincide with the results from the original study. Authors should also discuss how changes made to the experimental design (see above) may have caused these differences.

#### Drawing conclusions across studies

NB: this section contains portions of text repeated directly from Carver [Car10] and only slightly massaged. Do not do this for your thesis, write your own thoughts down.

#### 13.3 LATEX details

#### 13.3.1 Environments

A LATEX environment is something with opening and closing tags, which look like \begin{name} and \end{name}. Some useful environments to know:

itemize	bullet lists
enumerate	numbered lists
description	definition lists
center	centered line elements
flushright	right aligned lines
flushleft	left aligned lines
tabular	table
longtable	multi-page table (needs the longtable package)
sideways	rotates some text
quote	block quote
verbatim	unformatted text
minipage	compound box with elements inside
boxedminipage	compound box with elements inside and a border around it
<pre>boxedminipage table</pre>	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside)
table	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside)
table figure	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure
table figure sourcecode	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure floating listing
table figure sourcecode equation	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure floating listing mathematical equation
table figure sourcecode equation lstlisting	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure floating listing mathematical equation pretty-printed syntax highligted listing
table figure sourcecode equation lstlisting multline	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure floating listing mathematical equation pretty-printed syntax highlighted listing mathematical equation spanning over multiple lines
table figure sourcecode equation lstlisting multline eqnarray	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure floating listing mathematical equation pretty-printed syntax highlighted listing mathematical equation spanning over multiple lines system of mathematical equations
table figure sourcecode equation lstlisting multline eqnarray gather	floating table (needs to have tabular nested inside) floating figure floating listing mathematical equation pretty-printed syntax highlighted listing mathematical equation spanning over multiple lines system of mathematical equations bundled mathematical equations

#### 13.4 Listings

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{define}\left(\text{Ps1,G1,G2}\right) \\ \Leftarrow \\ \text{usedNs}\left(\text{G1,Uses}\right), \\ \text{ps2n}\left(\text{Ps1,N}\right), \\ \text{require}\left(\\ \text{member}(\text{N,Uses}), \\ \text{'Nonterminal ~$q$ must not be fresh.'}, \\ \text{[N]),} \\ \text{new}\left(\text{Ps1,N,G1,G2}\right), \\ \text{!.} \end{array}
```

Listing 13.1: Code in Prolog

```
\mathbf{module}\ \mathrm{Syntax}
imports Numbers
imports basic/Whitespace
exports
  \mathbf{sorts}
     Program Function Expr Ops Name Newline
  context-free syntax
     Function+
                                                    \rightarrow Program
     Name Name+ "=" Expr Newline+ → Function
     Expr Ops Expr
                                                    \rightarrow Expr
                                                                   {left,prefer,cons(binary)}
     Name Expr+
                                                    \rightarrow Expr
                                                                   {avoid,cons(apply)}
     "if" Expr "then" Expr "else" Expr \rightarrow Expr
                                                                   \{cons(ifThenElse)\}
     "(" Expr ")"
                                                    \rightarrow \text{Expr}
                                                                   {bracket}
     Name
                                                   \to \operatorname{Expr}
                                                                   \{cons(argument)\}
                                                   \rightarrow Expr
                                                                   \{cons(literal)\}
     Int
                                                                   {cons(minus)}
                                                   \to \operatorname{Ops}
     "+"
                                                    \rightarrow \operatorname{Ops}
                                                                   \{\mathbf{cons}(\mathrm{plus})\}
     "=="
                                                    \rightarrow \mathrm{Ops}
                                                                   \{\mathbf{cons}(\mathbf{equal})\}
```

Listing 13.2: Code in SDF

```
import types.*;
   {\bf import} \ {\rm org.antlr.runtime.*};
   public class TestEvaluator
       public static void main(String[] args) throws Exception {
5
6
           // Parse file to program
           ANTLRFileStream input = new ANTLRFileStream(args[0]);
8
           FLLexer lexer = new FLLexer(input);
9
           CommonTokenStream\ tokens = new\ CommonTokenStream(lexer);
10
           FLParser parser = new FLParser(tokens);
11
12
           Program program = parser.program();
13
           // Parse sample expression
14
           input = new ANTLRFileStream(args[1]);
15
           lexer = new FLLexer(input);
16
           tokens = new CommonTokenStream(lexer);
17
           parser = new FLParser(tokens);
18
           Expr expr = parser.expr();
19
20
           // Evaluate program
21
           Evaluator eval = \mathbf{new} Evaluator(program);
22
           int expected = Integer.parseInt(args [2]);
23
```

Listing 13.3: Code in Java

```
#!/usr/local/bin/python
    # wiki: BGF
2
3 import os
4 import sys
    import slpsns
    {\bf import} \ {\tt elementtree}. {\tt ElementTree} \ {\tt as} \ {\tt ET}
    # root::nonterminal* production*
8
9
    {\bf class} \ {\tt Grammar:}
     \operatorname{def} __init__(self):
10
        self.roots = []
self.prods = []
11
12
      def parse(self,fname):
13
        self.roots = []
self.prods = []
14
15
        self.xml = ET.parse(fname)
16
        for e in self.xml.findall('root'):
17
        self.roots.append(e.text)
for e in self.xml.findall(slpsns.bgf_('production')):
18
19
20
          prod = Production()
21
          prod.parse(e)
22
           self.prods.append(prod)
```

Listing 13.4: Code in Python

### Literature

BIBTeX is a JSON-like format for bibliographic entries. Encode each source once as a BIBTeX entry, give it a name and refer to it from any place in your thesis. The bibliography at the end of the thesis will be compiled automatically from those entries that are referenced at least once, it will also be automatically sorted and fancyfied (URLs, DOIs, etc).

DOI is a digital object identifier, it is uniquely and immutably assigned to any paper published in a well-established journal or conference proceedings and can be used to refer to it. When used in a browser, it resolves to a publisher's website where paper can be obtained. Including DOIs in citations is considered good practice and lets the readers of your thesis get to the text of the paper in one click. Books do not have DOIs, only ISBNs; some workshop proceedings and most unofficial publications do not have DOIs. If you want to get a DOI assigned to your work such as a piece of code, upload it to FigShare.

Keys in key-value pairs within each BIBTEX entry are never quoted, values usually are, but can also be included within curly brackets or left as is, which works fine for numbers (e.g., years). If you want to preserve the value from any adjustments (e.g., no recapitalisation in titles), use curlies and quotes. Separate authors and editors by "and", which will automatically be mapped to commas or left as "and"s as necessary.

#### 14.1 Books

[GJ08] is just as good as the Dragon Book, but newer and has an awesome extended bibliography available for free.

```
@book{GruneJacobs,
  author = "D. Grune and C. J. H. Jacobs",
  title = "{Parsing Techniques: A Practical Guide}",
  series = "Monographs in Computer Science",
  edition = 2,
  publisher = "Springer",
  url = "http://www.cs.vu.nl/~dick/PT2Ed.html",
  year = 2008,
}
```

#### 14.2 Journal papers

Not all TOSEM papers are hard to read [KLV05].

```
@article{GrammarwareAgenda,
  author = "Paul Klint and Ralf L{\"a}mmel and Chris Verhoef",
  title = "{Toward an Engineering Discipline for Grammarware}",
  journal = "ACM Transactions on Software Engineering Methodology (TOSEM)",
  volume = 14,
  number = 3,
  year = 2005,
  pages = "331--380",
}
```

#### 14.3 Conference papers

There is no limit to how many grammars can be used in one paper, but the current record stands at 569 [Zay13].

#### 14.4 Theses

The seventh PhD student of Paul Klint was Jan Rekers [Rek92].

```
@phdthesis{Rekers92,
   author = "J. Rekers",
   title = "{Parser Generation for Interactive Environments}",
   school = "University of Amsterdam",
   year = 1992,
   url = "http://homepages.cwi.nl/~paulk/dissertations/Rekers.pdf",
}
```

There is also mastersthesis type with exactly the same structure for referring to Master's theses.

#### 14.5 Technical reports

The original seminal work introducing two-level grammars was never published in any book or conference, but there is a technical report explaining it [vW65]. SMC, or *Stichting Matematisch Centrum*, was the old name of CWI fifty years ago.

```
@techreport{Wijngaarden65,
    author = "Adriaan van Wijngaarden",
    title = "{Orthogonal Design and Description of a Formal Language}",
    month = oct,
    year = 1965,
    institution = "SMC",
    type = "{MR 76}",
    url = "http://www.fh-jena.de/~kleine/history/languages/VanWijngaarden-MR76.pdf",
}
```

#### 14.6 Wikipedia

You do not refer to Wikipedia from academic writing, it works the other way around.

#### 14.7 Anything else

You can refer to pretty much anything (websites, blog posts, software) through misc type of entry [Par08]:

### Bibliography

- [Car10] Jeffrey C. Carver. Towards Reporting Guidelines for Experimental Replications: A Proposal. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Replication in Empirical Software Engineering Research*, RESER, May 2010.
- [GJ08] Dick Grune and C. J. H. Jacobs. *Parsing Techniques: A Practical Guide*. Monographs in Computer Science. Springer, 2 edition, 2008. URL: http://www.cs.vu.nl/~dick/PT2Ed.html.
- [JBB<sup>+</sup>93] Ralph E. Johnson, Kent Beck, Grady Booch, William R. Cook, Richard P. Gabriel, and Rebecca Wirfs-Brock. How to Get a Paper Accepted at OOPSLA. In Timlynn Babitsky and Jim Salmons, editors, *Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Conference on Object-Oriented Programming Systems, Languages and Applications*, OOPSLA, pages 429–436. ACM, 1993.
- [KLV05] Paul Klint, Ralf Lämmel, and Chris Verhoef. Toward an Engineering Discipline for Grammarware. ACM Transactions on Software Engineering Methodology (TOSEM), 14(3):331–380, 2005.
- [MR13] Leo A. Meyerovich and Ariel S. Rabkin. Empirical Analysis of Programming Language Adoption. In *Proceedings of the 2013 ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Object Oriented Programming Systems Languages and Applications*, OOPSLA, pages 1–18. ACM, 2013. doi:10.1145/2509136.2509515.
- [Par08] Terence Parr. ANTLR—ANother Tool for Language Recognition. Toolkit website, 2008. URL: http://antlr.org.
- [Rek92] J. Rekers. Parser Generation for Interactive Environments. PhD thesis, University of Amsterdam, 1992. URL: http://homepages.cwi.nl/~paulk/dissertations/Rekers.pdf.
- [vW65] Adriaan van Wijngaarden. Orthogonal Design and Description of a Formal Language. MR 76, SMC, October 1965. URL: http://www.fh-jena.de/~kleine/history/languages/VanWijngaarden-MR76.pdf.
- [WG06] Charles Weinstock and John Goodenough. On system scalability. Technical Report CMU/SEI-2006-TN-012, Software Engineering Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, 2006. URL: http://resources.sei.cmu.edu/library/asset-view.cfm? AssetID=7887.
- [WS04] Lloyd G. Williams and Connie U. Smith. Web Application Scalability: A Model-Based Approach. Software Engineering Research and Performance Engineering Services, 2004. URL: http://www.perfeng.com/papers/scale04.pdf.
- [Zay13] Vadim Zaytsev. Micropatterns in Grammars. In Martin Erwig, Richard F. Paige, and Eric Van Wyk, editors, *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Software Language Engineering (SLE 2013)*, volume 8225 of *LNCS*, pages 117–136, Switzerland, October 2013. Springer International Publishing. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-02654-1\_7.