

The Limits of Data Interpretation*

Hari Lee Robledo

2024-03-11

Introduction

In the ever-evolving landscape of data-driven research and analysis, the question of how much we should let the data speak for themselves remains a topic of significant debate and contemplation. Drawing insights from the works of Jordan (2019), D'Ignazio and Klein (2020, chap. 6), Au (2020), and other relevant contributors, this paper delves into the delicate balance between allowing data autonomy and the necessity for interpretative guidance. By exploring the implications of unbridled data autonomy and the benefits of informed interpretation, we aim to navigate the complex terrain of modern data analysis.

to what extent do you think we should let the data speak for themselves?

Body

- summarize artificial intelligence redaind and asnwer
- summarize feminism reading and answer
- summarize Data Cleaning IS Analysis, Not Grunt Work and answer
- answer the question straigh tup
- biases and how to mitigate them (reproducibility and transparency)

Conclusion

importance (pregnancy story)

(Jordan 2019) (D'Ignazio and Klein 2020) (Au 2020)

*Code and data from this analysis are available at: <https://github.com/hari-lr/The-Limits-of-Data-Interpretation>. Thank you to _____ for your valuable insights and constructive feedback during the review process.

References

- Au, Randy. 2020. “Data Cleaning IS Analysis, Not Grunt Work,” September. <https://counting.substack.com/p/data-cleaning-is-analysis-not-grunt>.
- D’Ignazio, Catherine, and Lauren Klein. 2020. *Data Feminism*. Massachusetts: The MIT Press. <https://data-feminism.mitpress.mit.edu>.
- Jordan, Michael. 2019. “Artificial Intelligence—The Revolution Hasn’t Happened Yet.” *Harvard Data Science Review* 1 (1). <https://doi.org/10.1162/99608f92.f06c6e61>.