

Math 351 - Homework Assignment #1

Hari Amoor, NetID: hra25

September 16, 2019

Problem 0.7: Supply a relation that satisfies each constraint.

- (a) **Reflexive and symmetric, but not transitive.**

The relation between two people x, y given iff x is blood-related to y is reflexive and symmetric, but not transitive.

- (b) **Reflexive and transitive, but not symmetric.**

$R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : a \geq b\}$ is reflexive and transitive, but not symmetric.

- (c) **Symmetric and transitive, but not reflexive.**

$R = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ in $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$. This is symmetric and transitive, but not reflexive since $(2, 2) \notin R$.

Problem 0.9: Formally describe the given graph.

The given graph is the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$.

Problem 0.10: Find the error in the given proof.

The error in the given proof is that the writer defines $a = b = 1$. Thus, when he transforms the equality $(a + b)(a - b) = b(a + b)$ to $a + b = b$, he divides by $a - b = 0$. Thus, the proof is incorrect.

Problem 0.12: Find the error in the given proof.

Let $P(h)$ be the predicate that all horses in a set of h horses are the same color. As per the base case, $P(1)$ is true. However, $P(2)$ is not implied by the base case $P(1)$.

Take two horses x, y of different color. By the inductive hypothesis, all the horses in $\{x\}$ and those in $\{y\}$ are the same color. However, the horses in $\{x, y\}$ are not the same color by definition. Thus, the claim of the author that $P(k)$ implies $P(k + 1)$ is untrue.

Problem 0.13, Claim: It is not true that every graph of two or more vertices contain two vertices of equal vertices when self-loops are allowed.

Proof. The graph G with two vertices x, y where x has a self-loop and y has no edge is a counterexample. \square

Problem 0.14, Claim: Ramsey's Theorem.

Proof. Let $R(s, t)$ be the least integer k s.t. every graph on k or more vertices contains an s -clique or t -size independent set. It suffices to show that $R(t, t) \leq 2^{2^t}$. In fact, $R(s, t)$ is well-defined as the Ramsey Numbers, which have the well-known upper-bound $k' = \binom{r+s-2}{r-1}$. In particular, $R(t, t) \leq k' \leq k$, so the claim holds. \square

Extra Problem, Claim: The relation S defined by $(1, 1) \in S$ and $(a + 1, b + 2a + 1) \in S$ for all $(a, b) \in S$ is equivalent to the function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ induced by $n \mapsto n^2$.

Proof. Let $P(n)$ be the predicate that $(n, n^2) \in S$ for a particular n . We prove the claim with mathematical induction.

Base Case: It is given that $(1, 1) = (1, 1^2) \in S$. Thus, $P(1)$ holds.

Inductive Step: Suppose that $P(k)$ holds for all k s.t. $1 \leq k \leq m$, where $m \geq 1$. It follows from the inductive hypothesis that $m + 1 \mapsto m^2 + 2m + 1 = (m + 1)^2$. Thus, $P(m + 1)$ holds.

Hence, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, the claim holds. \square