

# Programming Languages & Compilers - Assignment 2

Hari Amoor, NetID: hra25

March 3, 2020

## Problem 1: Define the RD data-flow problem for the CFG given and provide the MFP solution.

The data-flow problem for the CFG given is defined with  $I = \{a, b, c, x\}$ , where  $I$  is the Info domain. We approximate the family of functions  $F$  that are used to propagate variables defined in  $I$  with the MFP solution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IN}_{S0} &= \emptyset \\ \text{IN}_{C6} &= \{a = 1, b = 2, x \text{ as user input}\} \\ \text{IN}_{S1} &= \{a = 1, b = 2, x \text{ as user input}\} \\ \text{IN}_{S2} &= \{a = 1, b = 2, x \text{ as user input}\} \\ \text{IN}_{C7} &= \{a = 1, a = 2, b = 2, b = 10, c = 5, x \text{ as user input}\} \\ \text{IN}_{S3} &= \{a = 2, b = 10, x \text{ as user input}\} \\ \text{IN}_{S4} &= \{a = 1, b = 2, c = 5, x \text{ as user input}\} \\ \text{IN}_{S5} &= \{a = 1, a = 2, b = 2, b = 11, c = 5, x \text{ as user input}\} \end{aligned}$$

We use the *join* operator, which corresponds to the union of sets, to define the underlying algebraic structure, i.e. the lattice.

## Problem 2: Design a data-flow framework MUST-DEF as specified. Give the final MFP solution for the MUST-DEF framework.

We define the data-flow framework for MUST-DEF as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{INFO}_{\text{IN}}(v) &= \bigwedge_{p \in \text{PRED}(v)} (\text{INFO}_{\text{OUT}}(p)) \\ \text{INFO}_{\text{OUT}}(v) &= (\text{INFO}_{\text{IN}}(v) \setminus \text{KILL}(v)) \cup \text{GEN}(v) \end{aligned}$$

We specify  $\wedge = \cap$ , the operator for intersection between sets. We provide the MFP solution of the MUST-DEF data-flow problem for *foo* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IN}_{S0} &= \emptyset \\ \text{IN}_{C6} &= \{a, b, x\} \\ \text{IN}_{S2} &= \{a, b, x\} \\ \text{IN}_{S2} &= \{a, b, x\} \\ \text{IN}_{C7} &= \{a, b, x\} \\ \text{IN}_{S3} &= \{a, b, x\} \\ \text{IN}_{S4} &= \{a, b, c, x\} \\ \text{IN}_{S5} &= \{a, b, x\} \end{aligned}$$

We observe that, in the case that  $x \geq 100$ ,  $c$  is not well-defined. Thus, the given procedure is unsafe, as  $S5$  uses  $c$  without necessarily defining it.

### Problem 3: Consider the following.

- (a) **Describe how you would compute MAY-USE and MAY-DEF and provide MAY-USE( $foo$ ) and MAY-DEF( $foo$ ).**

We provide definitions for MAY-USE and MAY-DEF as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{INFO}_{\text{MAY-USE}}(v) &= \bigwedge_{p \in \text{PRED}(v)} \text{READ}(v) \\ \text{INFO}_{\text{MAY-DEF}}(v) &= \bigwedge_{p \in \text{PRED}(v)} \text{WRITE}(v)\end{aligned}$$

Here, READ and WRITE are operators to describe the variables read/written in a block  $v$  respectively, and  $\wedge = \cup$ .

Furthermore,  $\text{MAY-USE}(foo) = \text{MAY-DEF}(foo) = \{a, b, c, x\}$ . In particular, all of the variables in the procedure *may be* read or written.

- (b) **How would the answer change if you instead used MUST-USE and MUST-DEF?**

As stated previously, in the case that  $x \geq 100$ , the variable  $c$  is read from but never written to or otherwise initialized. Therefore,  $\text{MUST-USE}(foo) = \{a, b, c, x\}$  and  $\text{MUST-DEF}(foo) = \{a, b, x\}$ .