Congratulations! You passed!

Grade received 86.66% Latest Submission Grade 86.67% To pass 80% or higher

Go to next item

1/1 point

1. Problem Statement

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have **to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia** and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labeled:

- y = 0: There is no bird on the image
- y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Your goal is to build an algorithm able to classify new images taken by security cameras from Peace topia.

There are a lot of decisions to make:

- What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success

The City Council tells you the following that they want an algorithm that

- Has high accuracy.
- 2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
- 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

You are delighted because this list of criteria will speed development and provide guidance on how to evaluate two different algorithms. True/False?

False

○ True:

∠⁷ Expand

Corre

 $Yes. \ More than one metric expands the choices and tradeoffs you have to decide for each with unknown effects on the other two. \\$

2. The city revises its criteria to:

- "We need an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately as possible."
- "We want the trained model to take no more than 10 sec to classify a new image."
- "We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

 $\label{thm:continuous} Given models with different accuracies, runtimes, and memory sizes, how would you choose one?$

- Accuracy is an optimizing metric, therefore the most accurate model is the best choice.
- Find the subset of models that meet the runtime and memory criteria. Then, choose the highest accuracy.
- Create one metric by combining the three metrics and choose the best performing model.

1/1 point

| ∠ | ⁷ Expand | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------|
| ⊘ c | orrect | J | | | |
| Υ | es. Once you m | eet the runtime | and memory | resholds, accuracy should be maximized. | |
| DI | | | | | |
| | , | | | ould you say is true? | 1 / 1 p |
| | | ng time and men on all three for y | | tisfying metrics because you have to do acceptable. | |
| | Accuracy, runnir well on all three | | nory size are all | timizing metrics because you want to do | |
| | | | | d memory size are satisfying metrics. | |
| 0 | Accuracy is a sa | tisfying metric; r | unning time and | nemory size are an optimizing metric. | |
| | |) | | | |
| ~ | ⁷ Expand | J | | | |
| ⊘ c | orrect | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Struct | uring your dat | a | | | 1 / 1 p |
| | implementing the best choic | | you need to s | t your data into train/dev/test sets. Which of these do you | |
| _ | THE DESCENSION | | | | |
| 0 | Train 6,000,000 | Dev 1,000,000 | Test 3,000,000 | | |
| | 6,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 3,000,000 | | |
| \circ | Train | Dev | Test | | |
| | 6,000,000 | 3,000,000 | 1,000,000 | | |
| • | Train | Dev | Test | | |
| | 9,500,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Train 3,333,334 | Dev 3,333,334 | Test 3,333,334 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| _ | [⊅] Expand | | | | |
| | | J | | | |
| _ | es. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| After se | atting up your t | rain/dov/tost so | ts the City Cou | cil comes across another 1,000,000 images, called the | 1/1p |
| "citizer | ns' data". Appar | ently the citizer | ns of Peacetopi | are so scared of birds that they volunteered to take pictures litional 1,000,000 images. These images are different from | 1/10 |
| | | | - | illy given you, but you think it could help your algorithm. | |
| | | | | set will make the distribution of the training set different | |
| | | of the dev and nent true or fals | | | |
| | _ | | | set, because if the training distribution is different from the | |
| | | | | perform well on the test set." | |
| | True | | | | |
| dev an | | | | | |
| dev and | False | | | | |
| dev an | False | | | | |
| dev and | False | | | | |

the dev set may still help the model improve performance on the dev set. What matters is that the dev and test set have the same distribution.

| 6. | One member of the City Council knows a little about machine learning and thinks you should add the 1,000,000 citizens' data images proportionately to the train/dev/test sets. You object because: | 0 / 1 point |
|----|--|-------------|
| | The 1,000,000 citizens' data images do not have a consistent x>y mapping as the rest of | |
| | the data. | |
| | The training set will not be as accurate because of the different distributions. If we add the images to the test set then it won't reflect the distribution of data expected in | |
| | production. The additional data would significantly slow down training time. | |
| | The additional data would significantly slow down training time. | |
| | 7. | |
| | ∠ ⁿ Expand | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 7. | Human performance for identifying birds is < 1%, training set error is 5.2% and dev set error is 7.3%. Which of the account of the contraction o | 1/1 point |
| | options below is the best next step? | |
| | Train a bigger network to drive down the >4.0% training error. | |
| | Validate the human data set with a sample of your data to ensure the images are of sufficient quality. | |
| | Try an ensemble model to reduce bias and variance. | |
| | Get more data or apply regularization to reduce variance. | |
| | | |
| | ∠ ^a Expand | |
| | ○ Correct | |
| | Yes. Avoidable bias is >4.2% which is larger than the 2.1% variance. | |
| | | |
| 8. | You want to define what human-level performance is to the city council. Which of the following is the best answer? | 1/1 point |
| | The average of regular citizens of Peacetopia (1.2%). | |
| | The average of all the numbers above (0.66%). | |
| | The performance of their best ornithologist (0.3%). | |
| | | |
| | The average performance of all their ornithologists (0.5%). | |
| | | |
| | ∠ ⁿ Expand | |
| | Correct Yes. The best human performance is closest to Bayes' error. | |
| | | |
| 9. | Which of the below shows the optimal order of accuracy from worst to best? | 1/1 point |
| | | 2,2,4 |
| | Human-level performance -> the learning algorithm's performance -> Bayes error. | |
| | The learning algorithm's performance -> Bayes error -> human-level performance. | |
| | Human-level performance -> Bayes error -> the learning algorithm's performance. | |
| | The learning algorithm's performance -> human-level performance -> Bayes error. | |
| | | |
| | ∠ ⁿ Expand | |
| | ⊙ Correct | |
| | Yes. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. | |
| | | |

10. You find that a team of ornithologists debating and discussing an image gets an even better 0.1% performance, so you define that as "human-level performance." After working further on your algorithm, you end up with the following:

1/1 point

| П | uman-level performance | 0.1% | |
|------------------------------|--|---|-----------|
| Tr | raining set error | 2.0% | |
| | ev set error | 2.1% | |
| Base | ed on the evidence you have, which two of the following four options seem the mos options.) | | |
| | Get a bigger training set to reduce variance. | | |
| V | Try decreasing regularization. | | |
| | ✓ Correct | | |
| V | Train a bigger model to try to do better on the training set. | | |
| | ✓ Correct | | |
| | Try increasing regularization. | | |
| | ∠ ⁿ Expand | | |
| | Correct Great, you got all the right answers. | | |
| | | | |
| | e now also run your model on the test set and find that it is a 7.0% error compared what should you do? (Choose all that apply) | to a 2.1% error for the dev | 1/1 point |
| | Try decreasing regularization for better generalization with the dev set. | | |
| | Get a bigger test set to increase its accuracy. Try increasing regularization to reduce overfitting to the dev set. | | |
| , | ✓ Correct | | |
| <u> </u> | Yes. The dev set performance versus the test set indicates it is overfitting. Increase the size of the dev set. | | |
| | ✓ Correct | | |
| | Yes. The dev set performance versus the test set indicates it is overfitting. | | |
| | ∠ [↑] Expand | | , |
| | Correct Great, you got all the right answers. | | |
| | | | |
| | working on this project for a year, you finally achieve: Human-level performance, 0. 6, Dev set error, 0.05%. Which of the following are true? (Check all that apply.) | 10%, Training set error, | 1/1 point |
| | With only 0.05% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to close the remaining gap to 0% | | |
| | This is a statistical anomaly (or must be the result of statistical noise) since it should not possible to surpass human-level performance. | be | |
| | You are close to Bayes error and possible overfitting. | | |
| ` | Correct Yes. By definition, Bayes error cannot be exceeded except for overfitting. | | |
| | All or almost all of the avoidable bias has been accounted for. | | |
| | Correct Yes. Exceeding human performance makes the identification of avoidable bias very challenging. | | |
| | | | , |
| | ∠ ⁷ Expand | | |
| | Correct Great, you got all the right answers. | | |
| | | | |
| delive Still, v even t | ns out Peacetopia has hired one of your competitors to build a system as well. You a er systems with about the same running time and memory size. However, your syst when Peacetopia tries out both systems, they conclude they like your competitor's though you have higher overall accuracy, you have more false negatives (failing to a he air). What should you do? | em has higher accuracy! system better because, | 1/1 point |

| | Apply regularization to minimize the false negative rate. | |
|---|--|-----------|
| | Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive all further development. | |
| | Ask your team to take into account both accuracy and false negative rate during development. | |
| | Brainstorm with your team to refine the optimizing metric to include false negatives as they further develop the model. | |
| | | |
| 4 | ∠ ⁿ Expand | , |
| | ⊙ Correct | |
| | Yes. The target has shifted so an updated metric is required. | |
| 1 | Over the last few months, a new species of bird has been slowly migrating into the area, so the performance of | 1/1 point |
| | your system slowly degrades because your data is being tested on a new type of data. There are only 1,000 images of the new species. The city expects a better system from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you | 1/1 point |
| | do first? | |
| | Augment your data to increase the images of the new bird. | |
| | Add pooling layers to downsample features to accommodate the new species. | |
| | Put the new species' images in training data to learn their features. | |
| | Split them between dev and test and re-tune. | |
| | | |
| | ∠ ⁷ Expand | |
| | | |
| 4 | ∀ Correct Yes A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. |) |
| 4 | ✓ Correct Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. | , |
| 1 | | 0/1 point |
| 1 | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) Vou could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable | 0/1 point |
| 1 | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) | 0/1 point |
| 1 | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) Vou could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. | 0/1 point |
| | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) You could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the | 0/1 point |
| 1 | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) You could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the data. Accuracy should exceed the City Council's requirements but the project may take as long as | 0/1 point |
| | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) You could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the data. Accuracy should exceed the City Council's requirements but the project may take as long as the bird detector because of the two week training/iteration time. | 0/1 point |
| | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) You could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the data. Accuracy should exceed the City Council's requirements but the project may take as long as the bird detector because of the two week training/iteration time. Given a significant budget for cloud GPUs, you could mitigate the training time. Correct Yes. More resources will allow you to iterate faster. With the experience gained from the Bird detector you are confident to build a good Cat | 0/1 point |
| | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) Vou could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the data. Accuracy should exceed the City Council's requirements but the project may take as long as the bird detector because of the two week training/iteration time. Given a significant budget for cloud GPUs, you could mitigate the training time. Correct Yes. More resources will allow you to iterate faster. | 0/1 point |
| | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) You could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the data. Accuracy should exceed the City Council's requirements but the project may take as long as the bird detector because of the two week training/iteration time. Given a significant budget for cloud GPUs, you could mitigate the training time. Correct Yes. More resources will allow you to iterate faster. With the experience gained from the Bird detector you are confident to build a good Cat | 0/1 point |
| | Yes. A sufficient number of images is necessary to account for the new species. 5. The City Council thinks that having more cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. You have a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images. Training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) Vou could consider a tradeoff where you use a subset of the cat data to find reasonable performance with reasonable iteration pacing. Correct Yes. This is similar to satisficing metrics where "good enough" determines the size of the data. Accuracy should exceed the City Council's requirements but the project may take as long as the bird detector because of the two week training/iteration time. Given a significant budget for cloud GPUs, you could mitigate the training time. Vorrect Yes. More resources will allow you to iterate faster. With the experience gained from the Bird detector you are confident to build a good Cat detector on the first try. | 0/1 point |