**ADS 509 Assignment 5.1: Topic Modeling** This notebook holds Assignment 5.1 for Module 5 in ADS 509, Applied Text Mining. Work through this notebook, writing code and answering questions where required. In this assignment you will work with a categorical corpus that accompanies nltk. You will build the three types of topic models described in Chapter 8 of Blueprints for Text Analytics using Python: NMF, LSA, and LDA. You will compare these models to the true categories. **General Assignment Instructions** These instructions are included in every assignment, to remind you of the coding standards for the class. Feel free to delete this cell after reading it. One sign of mature code is conforming to a style guide. We recommend the Google Python Style Guide. If you use a different style guide, please include a cell with a link. Your code should be relatively easy-to-read, sensibly commented, and clean. Writing code is a messy process, so please be sure to edit your final submission. Remove any cells that are not needed or parts of cells that contain unnecessary code. Remove inessential import statements and make sure that all such statements are moved into the designated cell. Make use of non-code cells for written commentary. These cells should be grammatical and clearly written. In some of these cells you will have questions to answer. The questions will be marked by a "Q:" and will have a corresponding "A:" spot for you. Make sure to answer every question marked with a Q: for full credit. #github In [1]: from nltk.corpus import brown import numpy as np import pandas as pd from tqdm.auto import tqdm import pyLDAvis import pyLDAvis.lda model import pyLDAvis.gensim models import spacy from sklearn.feature extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer, CountVectorizer from sklearn.decomposition import NMF, TruncatedSVD, LatentDirichletAllocation from spacy.lang.en.stop words import STOP WORDS as stopwords from collections import Counter, defaultdict nlp = spacy.load('en core web sm') import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore") C:\Users\gauth\AppData\Roaming\Python\Python39\site-packages\pandas\core\arrays\masked.py:62: UserWarning: Pand as requires version '1.3.4' or newer of 'bottleneck' (version '1.3.2' currently installed). from pandas.core import ( In [2]: !{sys.executable} -m pip install spacy print(sys.executable) import nltk nltk.download('brown') Requirement already satisfied: spacy in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (3.6.1) Requirement already satisfied: spacy-legacy<3.1.0,>=3.0.11 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (3.0.12)Requirement already satisfied: spacy-loggers<2.0.0,>=1.0.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (1.0.5)Requirement already satisfied: murmurhash < 1.1.0, >= 0.28.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from s pacy) (1.0.10) Requirement already satisfied: cymem<2.1.0,>=2.0.2 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (2.0.8)Requirement already satisfied: preshed<3.1.0,>=3.0.2 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spac y) (3.0.9)Requirement already satisfied: thinc<8.2.0,>=8.1.8 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (8.1.12)Requirement already satisfied: wasabi<1.2.0,>=0.9.1 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (1.1.2)Requirement already satisfied: srsly<3.0.0,>=2.4.3 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (2.4.7)Requirement already satisfied: catalogue<2.1.0,>=2.0.6 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spa cy) (2.0.9)Requirement already satisfied: typer<0.10.0,>=0.3.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (0.9.0)Requirement already satisfied: pathy>=0.10.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (0.10. Requirement already satisfied: smart-open<7.0.0,>=5.2.1 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from sp acy) (6.4.0) Requirement already satisfied: tqdm<5.0.0,>=4.38.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (4.62.3)Requirement already satisfied: numpy>=1.15.0 in c:\users\gauth\appdata\roaming\python\python39\site-packages (f rom spacy) (1.26.0) Requirement already satisfied: requests <3.0.0, >=2.13.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from space of the space o cy) (2.27.1) Requirement already satisfied: pydantic!=1.8,!=1.8.1,<3.0.0,>=1.7.4 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packa ges (from spacy) (2.3.0) Requirement already satisfied: jinja2 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (3.0.3) Requirement already satisfied: setuptools in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (58.0.4) Requirement already satisfied: packaging>=20.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spacy) (21. Requirement already satisfied: langcodes<4.0.0,>=3.2.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from spa cy) (3.3.0)Requirement already satisfied: pyparsing!=3.0.5,>=2.0.2 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from pa  $ckaging \ge 20.0 - spacy)$  (3.0.7) Requirement already satisfied: annotated-types>=0.4.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from pyda ntic!=1.8,!=1.8.1,<3.0.0,>=1.7.4->spacy) (0.5.0) Requirement already satisfied: pydantic-core==2.6.3 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from pydant ic!=1.8,!=1.8.1,<3.0.0,>=1.7.4->spacy) (2.6.3) Requirement already satisfied: typing-extensions>=4.6.1 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from py dantic!=1.8,!=1.8.1,<3.0.0,>=1.7.4->spacy) (4.8.0) Requirement already satisfied: urllib3<1.27,>=1.21.1 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from reque sts<3.0.0,>=2.13.0->spacy) (1.26.7) Requirement already satisfied: certifi>=2017.4.17 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from requests <3.0.0,>=2.13.0->spacy) (2021.10.8) Requirement already satisfied: charset-normalizer~=2.0.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from r equests<3.0.0,>=2.13.0->spacy) (2.0.4) Requirement already satisfied: idna<4,>=2.5 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from requests<3.0. 0, >=2.13.0 -> spacy) (3.3) Requirement already satisfied: blis<0.8.0,>=0.7.8 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from thinc<8. 2.0, >=8.1.8-> spacy) (0.7.10)Requirement already satisfied: confection<1.0.0,>=0.0.1 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from the inc<8.2.0,>=8.1.8->spacy) (0.1.3) Requirement already satisfied: colorama in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from tqdm<5.0.0,>=4.38. 0->spacy) (0.4.6) Requirement already satisfied: click<9.0.0,>=7.1.1 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from typer<  $0.10.0, \ge 0.3.0 - \text{spacy})$  (8.1.7) Requirement already satisfied: MarkupSafe>=2.0 in c:\users\gauth\miniconda3\lib\site-packages (from jinja2->spa cy) (2.1.0)C:\Users\gauth\miniconda3\python.exe [nltk data] Downloading package brown to C:\Users\gauth\AppData\Roaming\nltk data... [nltk data] Package brown is already up-to-date! Out[2]: In [3]: # This function comes from the BTAP repo. def display topics(model, features, no top words=5): for topic, words in enumerate(model.components): total = words.sum() largest = words.argsort()[::-1] # invert sort order print("\nTopic %02d" % topic) for i in range(0, no top words): print(" %s (%2.2f)" % (features[largest[i]], abs(words[largest[i]]\*100.0/total))) Getting to Know the Brown Corpus Let's spend a bit of time getting to know what's in the Brown corpus, our NLTK example of an "overlapping" corpus. In [4]: # categories of articles in Brown corpus for category in brown.categories() : print(f"For {category} we have {len(brown.fileids(categories=category))} articles.") For adventure we have 29 articles. For belles lettres we have 75 articles. For editorial we have 27 articles. For fiction we have 29 articles. For government we have 30 articles. For hobbies we have 36 articles. For humor we have 9 articles. For learned we have 80 articles. For lore we have 48 articles. For mystery we have 24 articles. For news we have 44 articles. For religion we have 17 articles. For reviews we have 17 articles. For romance we have 29 articles. For science fiction we have 6 articles. Let's create a dataframe of the articles in of hobbies, editorial, government, news, and romance. In [5]: categories = ['editorial', 'government', 'news', 'romance', 'hobbies'] category list = [] file ids = [] texts = []for category in categories : for file id in brown.fileids(categories=category) : # build some lists for a dataframe category list.append(category) file\_ids.append(file\_id) text = brown.words(fileids=file id) texts.append(" ".join(text)) df = pd.DataFrame() df['category'] = category\_list df['id'] = file ids df['text'] = texts df.shape (166, 3)Out[5]: df.head() In [6]: Out[6]: id category text **0** editorial cb01 Assembly session brought much good The General... **1** editorial cb02 Must Berlin remain divided ? ? The inference h... **2** editorial cb03 A good man departs . Goodby , Mr. Sam . Sam Ra... editorial cb04 A shock wave from Africa Word of Dag Hammarskj... editorial cb05 Help when needed If the Dominican Republic ach... In [7]: # Let's add some helpful columns on the df df['char\_len'] = df['text'].apply(len) df['word len'] = df['text'].apply(lambda x: len(x.split())) %matplotlib inline In [8]: df.groupby('category').agg({'word len': 'mean'}).plot.bar(figsize=(10,6)) <Axes: xlabel='category'> Out[8]: 2500 word len 2000 1500 1000 500 government mance category Now do our TF-IDF and Count vectorizations. In [9]: count\_text\_vectorizer = CountVectorizer(stop\_words=list(stopwords), min df=5, max df=0.7) count text vectors = count text vectorizer.fit transform(df["text"]) count text vectors.shape (166, 4941)Out[9]: In [10]: tfidf text vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(stop words=list(stopwords), min df=5, max df=0.7) tfidf text vectors = tfidf text vectorizer.fit transform(df['text']) tfidf text vectors.shape (166, 4941)Out[10]: Q: What do the two data frames count\_text\_vectors and tfidf\_text\_vectors hold? A:Each cell in tfidf\_text\_vectors represents the TF-IDF value, whereas each cell in count\_text\_vectors reflects the term frequency (frequency of a term in a document). To gauge a term's significance in a document in relation to the full corpus, TF-IDF combines the term frequency and inverse document frequency. Fitting a Non-Negative Matrix Factorization Model In this section the code to fit a five-topic NMF model has already been written. This code comes directly from the BTAP repo, which will help you tremendously in the coming sections. In [11]: # fit a five-topic NMF model nmf text model = NMF(n components=5, random state=7) W text matrix = nmf text model.fit transform(tfidf text vectors) H text matrix = nmf text model.components In [12]: display topics(nmf text model, tfidf text vectorizer.get feature names out()) Topic 00 mr (0.51)president (0.45) kennedy (0.43) united (0.42)khrushchev (0.40) Topic 01 said (0.88) didn (0.46)11 (0.45) thought (0.42) man (0.37)Topic 02 state (0.40) development (0.36) tax (0.33)sales (0.30) program (0.25) Topic 03 mrs (2.61)mr (0.78)said (0.64) miss (0.52)car (0.51)Topic 04 game (1.01)league (0.74)ball (0.72)baseball (0.71) team (0.66)Now some work for you to do. Compare the NMF factorization to the original categories from the Brown Corpus. We are interested in the extent to which our NMF factorization agrees or disagrees with the original categories in the corpus. For each topic in your NMF model, tally the Brown categories and interpret the results. # For each topic in your NMF model, tally the Brown categories In [13]: topic to category = defaultdict(lambda: defaultdict(int)) for idx, row in enumerate(W\_text\_matrix): topic = np.argmax(row) category = df['category'].iloc[idx] topic\_to\_category[topic][category] += 1 topic\_to\_category defaultdict(<function main .<lambda>()>, Out[13]: {2: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 2, 'government': 26, 'news': 11, 'hobbies': 26}), 0: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 20, 'government': 4, 'news': 8}), 1: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 4, 'romance': 29, 'hobbies': 8}), 4: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 1, 'news': 8, 'hobbies': 1}), 3: defaultdict(int, {'news': 17, 'hobbies': 1})}) Q: How does your five-topic NMF model compare to the original Brown categories? A: The five-topic NMF model shows some agreement with the original Brown categories. For example, topic 02, which is dominated by terms such as "state," "tax," "program," and "development," seems to align well with the 'government' category. Similarly, topic 00, with terms like "mr," "president," "kennedy," and "united," appears to fit with categories such as 'government,' 'news,' or 'editorial. However, topic 01 and 03 with term like "mrs," "miss," "car," and "said" or "said," "didn," "thought," and "man" are harder to intepret if it is 'romance', 'hobies', or 'news'. Fitting an LSA Model In this section, follow the example from the repository and fit an LSA model (called a "TruncatedSVD" in sklearn ). Again fit a five-topic model and compare it to the actual categories in the Brown corpus. Use the TF-IDF vectors for your fit, as above. To be explicit, we are once again interested in the extent to which this LSA factorization agrees or disagrees with the original categories in the corpus. For each topic in your model, tally the Brown categories and interpret the results. In [14]: # Fit the LSA model lsa text model = TruncatedSVD(n components=5, random state=7) lsa text matrix = lsa text model.fit transform(tfidf text vectors) # For each topic in your NMF model, tally the Brown categories In [15]: topic to category = defaultdict(lambda: defaultdict(int)) for idx, row in enumerate(lsa text matrix): topic = np.argmax(row) category = df['category'].iloc[idx] topic to category[topic][category] += 1 topic to category defaultdict(<function main .<lambda>()>, Out[15]: {0: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 27, 'government': 30, 'news': 34, 'romance': 21, 'hobbies': 36}), 4: defaultdict(int, {'news': 7}), 3: defaultdict(int, {'news': 3}), 1: defaultdict(int, {'romance': 8})}) Q: How does your five-topic LSA model compare to the original Brown categories? A: Topic 0 appears to predominate throughout all categories, whereas topics 4 and 3 are related to the "news" category in particular and topic 1 is related to the "romance" category. Topic 2 was absent, which indicates that it has little or no relationship to any of the categories. # call display topics on your model In [16]: display topics(lsa text model, tfidf text vectorizer.get feature names out()) Topic 00 said (0.44) mr (0.25)mrs (0.22)state (0.20) man (0.17)Topic 01 said (3.89) 11 (2.73) didn (2.63) thought (2.20) got (1.97) Topic 02 mrs (3.17)mr (1.69)said (1.06) kennedy (0.78) khrushchev (0.76) Topic 03 mrs (27.04)club (6.03) game (5.52)jr (5.17) university (4.72)Topic 04 game (4.12)league (2.95) baseball (2.94) ball (2.82) team (2.68)Q: What is your interpretation of the display topics output? A: Some interpretations can be formed based on the display topics generated by the LSA model, such as the following: topics 00, 01, and 02 are more like stories or discourse about people who are difficult to interpret, while topics 03 and 04 are probably about sports or hobbies. Topic 00 is about baseball or something sports-related. Fitting an LDA Model Finally, fit a five-topic LDA model using the count vectors (count\_text\_vectors from above). Display the results using pyLDAvis.display and describe what you learn from that visualization. t # Fit the LDA model In [17]: lda text model = LatentDirichletAllocation(n components=5, random state=7) lda text matrix = lda text model.fit transform(count text vectors) In [18]: # Call `display topics` on your fitted model here display\_topics(lda\_text\_model, tfidf\_text\_vectorizer.get\_feature\_names\_out()) Topic 00 use (0.59)water (0.45) work (0.38) good (0.37) high (0.35)Topic 01 said (1.41) man (0.58)old (0.53)little (0.49) know (0.43)Topic 02 said (0.65)state (0.61) president (0.55) tax (0.43)city (0.35)Topic 03 mrs (1.52)mr (0.53)clay (0.44)work (0.39) student (0.38) Topic 04 state (1.41) states (1.32) united (1.23) government (0.89) shall (0.71)# For each topic in your NMF model, tally the Brown categories In [19]: topic to category = defaultdict(lambda: defaultdict(int)) for idx, row in enumerate(lda text matrix): topic = np.argmax(row) category = df['category'].iloc[idx] topic to category[topic][category] += 1 topic to category defaultdict(<function main .<lambda>()>, Out[19]: {2: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 13, 'government': 14, 'news': 28, 'hobbies': 3}), 1: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 11, 'government': 1, 'news': 6, 'romance': 29, 'hobbies': 5}), 3: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 1, 'government': 2, 'news': 9, 'hobbies': 8}), 4: defaultdict(int, {'editorial': 2, 'government': 8, 'news': 1, 'hobbies': 1}), 0: defaultdict(int, {'government': 5, 'hobbies': 19})}) Q: What inference do you draw from the displayed topics for your LDA model? A: Topic 00 seems to focus on the use of water, work, and quality. Topic 01 may be discussion about a man, and his age. Topic 02 involves discussions related to the state, president, taxes, and cities. Topic 03 focuses on individuals such as Mrs., Mr., work, and students. Topic 04 is about the state, United States, government. Q: Repeat the tallying of Brown categories within your topics. How does your five-topic LDA model compare to the original Brown categories? A: Mosts topics from LDA model contains different Brown categories. Topic 2 and 4 seems most aligned to the ctergory of government, editorials and news. Other topics are hareder to compare. lda display = pyLDAvis.lda model.prepare(lda text model, count text vectors, count text vectorizer, sort topics pyLDAvis.display(lda display) Out[21]: Selected Topic: 0 **Previous Topic Next Topic** Clear Topic Slide to adjust relevance metric:<sup>(2)</sup> Intertopic Distance Map (via multidimensional scaling) Top-30 N 0 100 200 300 PC2 mrs state states united said government shall development tax 000 use act secretary medical PC1 got peace university went department didn clay Ш 2 old policy program public nations mr don military Marginal topic distribution Overall term frequency Estimated term frequency within th 1. saliency(term w) = frequency(w) \* [sum\_t p( 5% 2. relevance(term w | topic t) =  $\lambda * p(w | t) + (1)$ 10% Q: What conclusions do you draw from the visualization above? Please address the principal component scatterplot and the salient terms graph. A: Topics 3 and 2 appear to have the largest representation in the dataset, according to the principal component scatterplot, indicating that these two topics are more frequently discussed than other topics. Additionally, it can be seen that 3 and 5 share the most similarities, along with 2 and 4. Topic 1 appears to be the most distinctive from other topics, however. Additionally, the salient terms graph highlights the most significant and common terms for each topic within the corpus. Finding the main ideas and concepts related to each issue is helpful. These graphs offer excellent details and insightful information.