Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) A lest for Homogeneity of Mean.

The technique of "Arralysis of variance is referred to as ANOVA. The technique of ANOVA is to split the variation into its various components. They are (i) Variance hetween samples.

(ii) Variance within samples!

The observations (or data) may be classified according to one factor or two factors. which are called one-way clasification and luis-way classification.

One-way ANOVA influence of any one factor, then it is realled. one-way classification.

Eg: The yields of serieral plots of land may be classified according to one or more types of firtilization

The techniques for ANOVA one-way classification model are:

Direct Method

(ii) Short - Cut Method

(iii) Coding method.

(i) Direct method to:  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \cdots = \mu_K$ , where  $\mu_1, \mu_2; \cdots, \mu_K$  one the arithmetic means of the k populations from which K samples are drawn at random.

H,: M+12+.-- +1/2,

a) Calculation of variance between the Samples It is the sum of the squares of the deviations of the means of the various samples from the grand mean.

(i) Calculate the bample means X, X, X3, -- X of all to I samples.

(ii) Calculate the mean of the sample means X = x1+x2++--+XK or X = T

(iii) Evaluate the deviations of the sample means from the grand mean i, e find  $X_1 - \overline{X}$ ,  $X_2 - \overline{X}$ ,  $X_k - \overline{X}$ .

(IV) SSB (or SSC) = Sum of the squares of the Variations between the samples or between to columns =  $\geq n_i(\bar{x}_i - \bar{x})^2$ .

MSB or MSC = Variance or the mean square between the samples.

(or between the columns)

b) Calculation of Variance within the samples.

SSW (or SSE) = Sum of the squares of the variations

Sum of the squares due to errors. =  $\leq (x_1 - \bar{x})^2 + \leq (x_1 - \bar{x})^2 + \cdots + \leq (x_K - \bar{x}_K)^2$ 

MSW or MSE = Variance of mean square within the samples.

= 35W.

N2 = d.f = total noiof observations - No: of Saughts.

C)  $F = \frac{MSB}{MSW}$  or  $\frac{MSC}{MSE} = \frac{Variance}{Variance} \frac{behiven his Suples}{Variance} \frac{MSW}{MSE}$ Dif =  $\gamma_1 = K-1$ ,  $\gamma_2 = N-K$ .

Annova table (one-way dassification)

Sourceof	Sum of Squares SS	Degrees Of feedom	Mean Squas MS	Jest Stalitie
Between Samples or columns.	SSB	K-I	$MSB = \frac{SSB}{k-1}$	
(Error)	SSW	N-K	MSW>N-K	F= MSB MSW.
Jolal	SST	N-1	-	5 m m 2

Short-Cut Method

3. Compute SST = Jotal sum of the squares of deviation = 
$$Z \times_1^2 + Z \times_2^2 + \cdots + Z \times_K - \frac{T^2}{N}$$

4. Calculate 
$$SSIB = \left(\frac{\sum x_1^2}{n_1} + \left(\frac{\sum x_2}{n_2}\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\frac{\sum x_k}{n_k}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{N}$$

6. Now proceed as in Direct Method to obtain.

MSB, MSW and F. and agrice of the

final decision

Annova for two-way classification (Manifold Calesification) In two-way classification, observations are classified according to two different factors or criteria. Eg: Fertilizers may be tried on différent soil Working Rule 1. Calculate SSC i, e The Sum of squares (or variance) between The Columns. 2. SSR = Sum of squares (or Nariance) belucien 3. SSE = the sum of squares for the residuals A) SST = SSC+ SSR+ SSE C-no: of Columns, R- DO: of some. : total no: of degrees of freedom = cr-1 Def lietween columns = C D.f belueen residuals = (cr-1)-(-1)-(-1) =(c-1)(a-1)

10

the property of the

1.8

1 ceen

1

UNIT-5 Part-C , 26:05:2022 Analysis Of Variance (A NOVA) 1 way Classification: 1, Short-cut Method 2 Direct Method only 1 Parameter is calculated. 3, Cading Methad Hint: ANOVA is More than 2 Samples 1) Short cut Method: adorage we the Category K = Noof slamples N = Total Norof Observations (12) ETT = OT = OT 3 (M.) \* T = ZX1+ZX2+ZX3 \* Coverection Factor [CF] = I' \* Sum of Aquares Between Samples [SSB]:  $SCB = \frac{(\Sigma \times 1)^{2}}{m_{1}} + \frac{(\Sigma \times 1)^{2}}{m_{2}} + \frac{(\Sigma \times 2)^{2}}{m_{3}} = \frac{1}{N}$ \* Italial Sum of Squares of Jamples [557]: SST = INO+ INO+ INOY- IT \* SSW = SST-LSB C = 23 Man & prola \* Msw= SSW = SSW N-K \* Fcal = MSB on MSW \* Ftab = F0.05 (K-1, N-K)
MSW MSB degree degrees of Freedom Ms=112=113 d, Direct Method 23 21 11 22 SSB = n1(71-7) + n2(72-7) + n3(72-7) SSTU = E( x1-x1) + E(x2-x2) + E(x3-x2) 2

121.25-1069-0683

641 1413

Ahoree different Machines are used for Buoduction on Basis. of their Output the Machines are Equatly effectives MACHINE-I MACHINE-IU MACHINE-I 10 20 5 16 1001314 11 10 dol: It belongs to the Oategory of one way ANOVA, Since At Contains More than 2 samples & we studied only

1 Parameter

\*Null Hypotheris (Ho): M1 = M2 (H) The those Machines are Equally effective (or) Homogenity Of Means.

\*Alternative Hypothesis (H1): M1 + M2 + M3 (OU) The Three Machines are Not Equally working (01) Non-Homogenity of Means

\* devel of Significance (a): 0.05

\* Test Statistic:

1, SHORT-CUT METHOD: K= No of samples = 3 322 - D2 = 0

N=Total No of Observations = 12 MACHINE-I MACHINE-III 2211 21

23 212 222 10 20 100 M 81 400 25 M 25 5 256 10 11 121 49 11 100 100 36 16 10 ZX1=36 Σ γ2 = 27 Σχ3=50 Σχί=346 Σχ3=191 Σχ3=3

T= IX1+ IX2+ IX2= 36+27+50 = 113

 $CF = \frac{1}{19} = \frac{113}{19} = 1064.0833$ 

 $SSB = \underbrace{(21)^{2}}_{N1} + \underbrace{(21)^{2}}_{n2} + \underbrace{(21)^{2}}_{n3} + \underbrace{(21)^{2}}_{N} + \underbrace$ 

1431.25-1064.0833

= 67.1667

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N$$

$$SSB = n_{1}(x_{1}-x_{2})^{2}+n_{2}(x_{2}-x_{2})^{2}+n_{3}(x_{3}-x_{2})^{2}$$

$$= H(9-9.4169)^{2}+H(6.95-9.4169)^{2}+H(12.5-9.4169)^{2}$$

$$= 0.6946+28.4452+38.0270=69.1668$$

$$SSM = \sum_{1}(x_{1}-x_{2})^{2}+\sum_{1}(x_{2}-x_{2})^{2}+\sum_{1}(x_{2}-x_{2})^{2}$$

SSW = 
$$\Sigma(\pi_1 - \overline{x_1})^n + \Sigma(\pi_2 - \overline{x_2})^n + \Sigma(\pi_3 - \overline{x_2})^n$$
  
= [22]+ [8.75)+ [147] = 177.75  
MSD =  $\frac{SSB}{K-1} = \frac{69.1669}{2} = 33.583$ 

Mrm= 1500 = 177.35 = 19.75

Ma	
da.	Feal: MSB _ 33.582 . 2004
	Msw 19.75 Feal < Flat
	Feal: MSB = 33.583 = 1.7004 [Feal < Flat]  Ftab=F0.08 (2.0) - 11.96
-	(a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c
,	COUNG METHOD AND CAMPAGE STORY OF THE
K	III CODING METHOD  In this Method: We thave to ADD (OU) SURRACT (81) DIVIDE (8)
0	the first of the state of the s
	with a Constant Value with each of the Observal
	Troblem, and chiphyant 10 from each Observed
	Value, As '10' is depended many times in Given Jable.  M-I M-II M-III
	M. T. 15 Stepeated many times in giver valle.
4	M-II M-III ) Remaining Procedure can (
	o -1 10 demonstrate Charles and be
	5 -5 6 Carrie Carried Only
	b -3 helpools or sundayler since   dot 1 - 2001 4
1	M-T M-III M-III  0 -1 10 Remaining Powcedwie can be  -5 -5 6 done ruing Short-Out Method only  1 -3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Ò.	drawn gespectively five, five, four Motor Car types are
1	atawn stespectively form & Royans (D) (B) (A) Manuel
5:	by 3 Machines. The Steetimens & Franches 'A', 'B', C', Manufacture of 3 Machines. The Steetimens & Franches A', CB', CC', Manufacture of Branches 'A', CB', CC', CB', CC', CB', CB', CB', CB
0.	by 3 Machines. The Lifetime of 3 types in 1000 Miles is fiven below.
	Elest supported the second for the factor of
	Test whether Average bifetime of 3 Brands types are
	86,000 36330 1 11
	A B C C S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	40 24 24 24
	39 34 30
	(3)14.0 p36 3.61 + 25 28 26
	31 33
	Col ni = 5 na = 5 02211
	CCMellon N= 3 N= 14
	The state of the s
	35 20 00 1555 000
	110 35 20 100 389 Mall Hypothesis (Ho)i
	23 34 30 inea 1576 Mr=
	26 28 26 1996 200 Attemative Hypothesic
	31 33 961 1089 696 (CHI) + (CE ) MITHURAN
	113 significance
	0(=0.05

2 144.5558 SSW = Σ(χι-∇) + Σ(χι-∇ι) + Σ(χι-∇ι) 2 46+34+80 = 100

 $MSB = \frac{SSB}{31} = \frac{SSB}{K-1} = \frac{144.5558}{2} = 72.2779$   $MSW = \frac{SSW}{32} = \frac{SSW}{N-1} = \frac{100}{141-3} = 9.0909$  Null typothesis is Rejected.

Fcal = MSB = 7.9506

Flab= Fo.05(2111)

ANOVA Two Way Olasification: No No of scous x No of columns = (91x0) T= 2×1+ 2×2+ 2×3 SSC= (EXI) + (EX2) + (EX3) - IT SST-(SSC+SSR) SSR= (271) + (272) + (272) n3 SST= \ Xij^2-Ir MSC = SSC (-1 on MSE ((1-1), (C-1)(9-1) MSR = SSR 9-1 MSE= SSE ((-1)(1-1) 1, A Farmer Applies 3 types of Fertilizers on four Separate plots. The Figure on Yield for acre are tabulated Find out of the Plots are Materially different in fertility also It 3 fertilizers make any Material difference in yields: gields. Plots & Fentilizens 6 Nitrogen F1 Potanirem Fz Phosphorus F3 Sol: Here, we Study & Parameters: Plots A, B, C,D and Fertilizers F1, F2, F3. So Here we have to apply 2 Way Classification of ANOVA. Null Hypothesis (Ho): A=B=C=D, F1=F2=F3=Ag The effect of 3 Fertilizers are Same. CAlternative Hypothesis (Hs): A + B + C + P & F1 + F2 + F3

Test Statistics: of = No-of swws C = No-of Columns = Plots yield FeelHitzers (23) (X2) Nitseogen (F1) To Potassium (F2) To Phosphorus (F3) 73 8 10 EXI= 21 EXS = 15 EX3 = 24 EX4 = 24 N= 91C = 3X4 = 12 T= IXI+ Exo+ Exo = 21+15+24+24 = 84 Exy Mago SSR = (271) + (272) + (273) + (274) - In = (au)+ (a8)+ (32)- 588 = 8 hali SST = \( \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{N} = 6^{n} + 4^{n} + 8^{n} + 6^{n} + 7^{n} + 6^{n} + 6^{n} + 9^{n} + 8^{n} + 5^{n} + 5^{n} + 6^{n} + SST-(SSC+SSR) = 36-(18+8) = 10 SSC = 18 = 6 6 3.6001

devel of Significance d=0.05

Fc tab = Fc ((c+1), (x-1)(c-1)) = F0.05 (3,6) = 4.76
FR tab= FR((91-1), (8-1)(c-1)= F0.05(2,6)=5.14
I Fecal & Fetat A=B=C=D
II : Freal < Fr tab F1=F2=F3 All Fertilizers are Equally Effective
To Study the Performance of 3 Detergents of 3 different temperatures, the following whitenexusore observed. Perform a 2 way Analysis of Variance using 5% Level of Significance.
Water Détengent Détengent Détengent lemperature A B
Cold water 57 MIL 55 BOX 67 DE SIM
Warm water 49 52 68
Hot water 54 346 58 922 921
ol: Null Hypothesis: PA PB-PC
Coldwater = warm Water = hot water
Atternative Hypothesis: DA + DB + DC
Level of Significance: -50=0.05
Test Statutic: No of evous(e)= 3 N=910=9  No of columns(c)=3
Water / Detergent-A Detargent Det Total
Temperature $(x_1)$ $(x_2)$ $(x_3)$ $(x_3)$ $(x_4)$ $(x_5)$
Cold water 17 57 - 169
warm water To 97 58 2T2 = 158
Hot water T3 54 H6 ZX1=160 ZX1=193
By ANOVA 2 way Method:
T= \(\Si\)1+\(\Si\)2\(\si\)2\(\Si\)2\(\Si\)3=160+153+193=506

CF = T = (506) = 28448.4 CSC = (EXI) + (EXI) + (EXI) - IN = (160) + (153) + (193) - 28448.4 = 304.2660 to facous salas SSR= ( TI) + ( TI2) + ( TI2) - I ? ... = (179) + (169) + (158) - 28448.4 = 73.6 SST = \( \frac{7}{7} \) \( \frac{7}{N} = 5974975497557527 + 467 + 697 + 68751 \)
-284484 Error SSE: SSE=[SST- (SC+SSR)]= 28888- (304.2666+79.6)=61.7782 in A water 30H-2888 - 152.3111 warm water PH rota is folf  $MSR = \frac{15R}{R-1} = \frac{73.6}{3-1} = 367478$ of All Hupotheris PA JB-PC MSE = SSE = 1996 = (C-1) (9+XC-1) (C-1)(91-1) (2)(2) 10 (11) (11) (1-10 FcCal = MSC = 9-8488) Frtal= (377), (-1)(21-1) FR Cal = MS & 109.9 (2.98141) (6.94) The detergents are Not Equally effective. DA + DR + DC. Rejected FRCal & FRtag - Null Hypotheris & The Temperature of water Equally Effective mar out toll. 261 - EX.3 021000

By ANOVA & Your Helped:

Toding Method: In this Cading	Method, 2	pe multiply e	n Airide ot	Subscartion					
In this Cading Method, we multiply or devide or Subscaction or Addition of any value for Smaller Values.									
water/ Jemperature	DetA	Deta	Delc	. 922 - 22M 1-12					
Cold water	5	hishi2,7	8666135 ·	્ટ્ટ, : ૩૨M છ(/ ૨)					
warm water	-3 105	T Dans	16	Te Cal - Mee					
Hot water	2 data	-6	banger	IRCAL MIR					
Stort-cut method									
A BALLO	disgraft 11	357	100 × 100 m						
X1 , X2 , X3	JATA	X12 X27	ΣT1= 23	To 2 and C					
Ti 5 3 15	25	9 225	V-						
To -3 0 16	19 9 st 8	0 256	ΣΤ5= 13	at 1					
T3 & -6 6	4	36 36	272= 2						
IX1=4 ZX1=-3 ZX2=	37 38	45 517	mpenatune	alā prēlā					
Null Hypothesis: PA = PB = PC									
cold water = warm water = Hot water Detergents.									
All types of water has Equal effect on all Detergents.									
Alternative Hypothesis: DA + DB + DC									
Jevil of Significance: d=0.05									
Jest Statistics: No of slow (91) = 3 N=91xc=9									
No-of columns (c)=3									
T= \(\Si\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau									
CF= IT= 387= 1	60-4444								
SSC = (IXI) + (IX) + (IX3) - I = 16 + 9 + 1369 - 160.4444 N2 N = 3 + 9 + 1369 - 160.4444									
SIR= (ZTI)+ (ZLT)									
SST= TTX11-th = 35+9+225+9+0+256+H+36+36									
= H39.5556									

SSE = SST-(SCC+SSR)= 439.5556-(304.2222+73.5556)  $MCC = \frac{CC}{C-1} = \frac{304.2222}{2} = .152.1111$ MSR , CCR = 73.5556 = 36.7778 A Jack MSE = SSE = 61.7778 = 15.4444 education 5 Fe Cal = Mse = 152.1111 = 9.8489

FR Cal = Mse = 36.7778 = 2.3813

FR tab = Fo.05(2,4) = 6.94

FR tab = Fo.05(2,4) = 6.94 Ji Fc Cal > Fctab | Null Hypothesis is Rejected
The Detengents are Not Equally Effective. DA + DR + Dc : Fr cal > Fr tab + Null Hypothesic is Accepted The Temperature of water is Equally Effective of Al- Al : May Happit I Cold widen-waren water Het unter All types of water has Equal effect on all Lebergers enative Hypothesis: Day Dat Date Park M gracio inconstintis fri a triffice. The of room (191) = 3 Northeamort O= 2 18 - 185 + 18 - 18 - 28 - 34 - 34 - 34 - 34 ( ( ( x y) + ( 2 x y)

8- (11) + (12) +

Jersera + 4 eg to +1 + 8 cc + p + 8 c - 11 - 9 m - 7

48145516