Lecture outline

- Reminder: Vectors as basic data types
- · Lists as basic data structures
- · Relation between data tables, vectors, and lists
- Using lists to write classes
- · Generic programming in R

In [1]:

%load_ext rmagic

Data table columns, R vectors, and R types

As we saw, R basic types are **vectors** and not **scalars**. They are already vectorized container! This allows for vectorized looping, branching, and function application.

The mains basic vectorized types are:

- numeric vectors
- logical vectors
- character vectors

and they correspond to the **columns** of a data table.

The data table columns contain the values of the population **characteristics** we are studying. Here are several equivalent names (associated with different fields) to denote these population characteristics:

programming: attributesmachine learning: features

statistics: variables
physics: observables
mathematics: functions

Mathematically, a population characteristic is a function $X:\Omega\to A$ from the **population** (also called **universe**) under study to a given set of **values** A that the characteristic can take.

The values in a given data table column constitute a **sample** of the function $X:\Omega o A$:

$$X(s_1), X(s_2), \ldots, X(s_n)$$

where $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ and $S \subset \Omega$ is a subset of our population, called a **population** sample (which, in some case as the student grade example, can coincide with the total population).

In statistics, the **mode** of a variable corresponds roughly to the notion of **type** in programming. In statistics, one is interesteed in knowing only if the values of A are **numercial**, in which case the variable is **quantitative**, **characters**, in which case the variable is **categorical**, or **logical** (which is a special case of a categorical variable).

In programming, one needs to distinguish between integer or float, since they take different amoung of storage room in the computer memory.

R offers two functions reflecting this distinction between programming and statistics:

```
mode(x) and typeof(x),
```

the former corresponding to the **statistical mode** and the latter corresponding to the **programming type** of a given variable x.

```
In [6]: %%R

X = c(1, 2, 3) ; print(mode(X))
Y = c('a', 'b') ; print(mode(Y))
Z = c(T, T, F) ; print(mode(Z))

[1] "numeric"
[1] "character"
[1] "logical"
```

Data table rows, R lists, and R classes

Lists as data table rows

Since data tables are central and statistics, and since R was designed with statistics in mind, there should be a mechanism to group R vectors, corresponding to values of certain population characteristics together into a kind of data table.

Why not use vectors to group vectors together? Each vector component would then be a vector containing the value of our statistical variable. Let's try.

Two things happened here that are not to our taste:

1. The c function has **flattened** our table: what we obtained is again a vector and not a *table*!

2. The types have been also flattened out, and **converted** to the **lowest common multiple**: i.e., numbers have been interpreted as strings, such that the resulting vector has a the **same** type for all of its components.

This reflects the facts that, as we have seen, vectors ARE types, and, as such, must contained elements of the same nature: all numbers, all character string, or all Boolean.

Luckily, R has also a basic data structure: the lists.

At contrast with vectors, **lists can have elements of different nature** for their componets (including list themselves).

Lists are **heterogeneous** collections, while **vectors** are **homogeneous** collections.

Lists represent data table rows, while vectors represent datatable columns!

Lists represent data structures, while vectors represent data types!

Here's now how to create a list in R:

Retrieving elements with the dollar sign notation

So lists are also **labelled** collections, as vectors, since we can assign **names** or **labels** to their elements, using the same construct as for vectors, **naming the arguments** passed to the function list:

```
list(name1=value1, name2=value2, etc.)
```

The function print prints the list components, indicating the label by suffixing it with a dollar sign, the corresponding value below, is a regular R type, that is, a vector (in our previous example with only one component.)

One can also use the dollar notation

```
list$element name
```

to retrieve the corresponding element of a list.

The dollar notation is extremely close to the period notation for Python classes, allowing us to retrive the attributes of a given Python class!

```
In [6]: %%R

print(student$firstname)
print(student$SID)

[1] "Bob"
[1] "1343243"
```

Retrieving elements using the bracket operator

R lists are very much like Python dictionaries, or better, like Pandas DataFrames with a single row.

As Python dictionaries, or Pandas DataFrame, list elements can be also accessed using the **bracket operator**:

```
list[range]
```

\$SID

where range works exactly as for R vectors.

```
[1] "1343243"
In [9]:
          응응R
          c = student[1]
          print(c)
          $firstname
          [1] "Bob"
In [10]:
         응응R
          d = student[c(1,2,3)]
          print(d)
          $firstname
          [1] "Bob"
          $SID
          [1] "1343243"
          $Year
          [1] "Sophomore"
In [11]:
         응응R
          e = student[1:3]
          print(e)
          $firstname
          [1] "Bob"
          $SID
          [1] "1343243"
          $Year
          [1] "Sophomore"
In [12]:
         응응R
          f = student[-2]
          print(f)
          $firstname
          [1] "Bob"
          $Year
          [1] "Sophomore"
```

\$GPA [1] 3.4

A simple data table representation using lists

Using a list, we can store the first column of our data table as a vector of a certain mode in the list first element, the second column in the list second element, and so on.

This gives us a quick and dirty way to represent a data table in R:

```
In [13]:
         응응R
         F = c (Bob=62, Julien=39, Julie=84)
         M = c (Bob=12, Julien=34, Julie=64)
         sid = c(Bob=23513, Julien=4532, Julie=5424)
         grades= list(SID=sid, midterm=M, final=F)
         print(grades)
         $SID
           Bob Julien Julie
          23513 4532 5424
         $midterm
           Bob Julien Julie
            12 34 64
         $final
           Bob Julien Julie
            62 39 84
```

Since, lists elements are vectors one can compute with them **in a vectorized way**, provided that the vectorized operations make sense between the list elements (for instance, it makes sense to add only numerical vectors, etc.)

To illustrate this, let us compute the total grade for each stdudent in our previous example, and add the result back to our grade table:

```
23513 4532 5424

$midterm

    Bob Julien Julie
    12 34 64

$final
    Bob Julien Julie
    62 39 84

$TG

    Bob Julien Julie
    43.2 40.4 82.4
```

Lists as classes

If we stop to think of it, the rows in a data table ressemble much the notion of **class instances** or **objects** that we saw in Python.

Namely, one can think as the **column labels** in a data table as the various **attributes** defining a class. In this way of thinking, the actual **data table rows** correspond to the **actual class instances**, or **objects**.

In our previous example, the list student, representing a data table row, encapsulates five variables, or better five attributes representing the notion (or class) student: Namely,

```
name, SID, Year, GPA, age
```

To summarize, we have:

list elements = class attributes

R supports very much this interpretation of list elements as class attributes: Namely, R provides a function

```
attributes(x)
```

that takes a list x and returns the names of the list attributes (or elements):

```
$Year
[1] "Sophomore"

$GPA
[1] 3.4

$age
[1] 12

[1] "list"
```

Moreover, one accesses a list attributes very much the same way as for Python classes, except that the **period** is replaced by a **dollar sign**.

The class mechanism: attributes and constructor

Actually, R provides a few mechanisms that allow us to define classes using lists.

First of all there is a function

```
class(x)
```

that returns the "class" of an object:

```
In [5]: %%R
print(class(student))
[1] "list"
```

So, the **class** of our object student is: list. This is not completely satisfactory, since we'd like to define our on class: Student

The trick here is that the return value of the function class(x) is a **reference** to a special string contained in a list: the **class** string.

Defining our own class amounts to setting this **class string** to whatever we wish to:

```
In [9]: %%R
class(student) = 'Student'
```

Now our student list is of class student!

```
In [10]: %%R
print(class(student))
[1] "Student"
```

[1] Scadelle

The function attributes returns now a list with two character vectors as elements:

- · the first containing the attribute names
- the second (of length 1) containing the class name

To emulate Python classes, we are still lacking a few things. One of them is the notion of a **constructor**, that is a function that will construct objects of our class from the values we pass to it as arguement.

The way to do so in R is very simple: just write a function that does the job:

```
In [12]: %%R

Student = function(firstname, SID, Year, GPA, age)
{
    student = list(firstname=firstname, SID=SID, Year=Year, GPA=GPA, age=a ge)
    class(student) = 'Student'
    return(student)
}
```

Now we can construct many student objects, with always the same attribute structure thanks to our constructor:

Let's check the attributes of our object:

We are still missing half of the story if we want to compete with Python classes: the **methods**.

The class mechanism: methods and generic functions

Recall that we introduced classes in Python as being a convenience offered by the language allowing us to **encapsulate**

- data in the form of a collection of variables: the class attributes
- functions acting naturally on this data: the class methods

Writing methods for our own class relies in R on **naming conventions**. This means that a method for a given classis a **regular** function, whose name follows the following convention:

```
function_name.class_name = function(object, arg1, arg1, etc.) { func
tion body }
```

Remark: The object argument has the same function as the self argument that we need to pass as first parameter to Python class methods.

For instance, let us write a display method for our class Student that will display nicely student information:

Remark: To retrieve the value of the attribute attr, we used the double bracket operator

```
student[[attr]]
```

instead of the **single bracket operator**. The reason for that is the following: accessing a list element with the

- single bracket operator will return a list of one element containing the correponding value
- double bracket operator will return the value itself (i.e. here a character vector with one element)

Invoking our method now is no different than invoking any other function, since methods are just function with a special convention for their names:

```
In [16]: %%R

display.Student(Bob)

firstname: Bob

SID: 24213
```

So far, the naming convention for methods is just a good practice for book keeping. In R, methods starts to become interresant in R when used in conjunction with **generic functions**.

A **generic function**, like print is a function that, if applied to an object of a certain class, will lookup to find a corresponding class method named using the convention we outlined above.

For example,

```
print(student)
```

will search for a method named

Year: Freshman GPA: 3.4 age: 24

```
print.Student(x)
```

defined for our class, and invoke this method if found. If not, print will invoke the method of the class list and print the list underlying our Student object.

To see what classes implement a method for a generic function, you can use the following command:

```
In [26]: %%R methods(print)
```

We see that our class does not implement this method. So when we print a Student object, in fact, the underlying list is printed:

\$firstname

```
[1] "Bob"

$SID
[1] "1343243"

$Year
[1] "Sophomore"

$GPA
[1] 3.4

$age
[1] 23

attr(,"class")
[1] "Student"
```

Let's implement the **method print** for the class Student and see how the **generic function print** is affected:

```
In [23]: %%R
    print.Student = function(student)
    {
        display.Student(student)
    }
```

Now, let's call again the generic print on a Student object:

```
In [22]: %%R
print(student)
```

name: Bob
SID: 1234
Year: Sophomore
GPA: 3.4

age: 12

Great! Now what if we whant to promote our method

```
display.Student(x)
```

to a **generic function**?

We simply need to write a function

display(x)

that will invoke the special function

```
UseMethod(name, x)
```

This function will

- lookup the class of the object \mathbf{x}
- lookup for a method with name name implemented for this class
- pass the object x as argument to this method

```
In [18]:
          응응R
          display = function(object)
              UseMethod('display',object)
          }
In [19]:
          응응R
          print (methods (display))
          [1] display.Student
In [20]:
          응응R
          display(student)
                name: Bob
                 SID: 1234
                Year: Sophomore
                 GPA: 3.4
                 age: 12
```

BREAKOUT:

Write a class employee with attributes

- name
- employer
- · job title
- · hourly rate
- number of hours worked per month

and generic functions

- print
- salary

```
In [32]:
In []:
```