

# Project Report

## 1. Task 1

- Mathematical Recursive Formulation

Let  $Side[i][j]$  be the side length of the maximum square whose top left corner is at  $(i, j)$  in the grid, then  $Side[i][j] = \min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1]) + 1$  if  $H[i][j] = C$ , and  $Side[i][j] = 0$  if  $H[i][j] \neq C$ . Boundary conditions are skipped here which are easy to check.

- Correctness:

Let  $Square[i][j]$  be the maximum square whose top left corner is at  $(i, j)$  in the grid. If  $H[j][j] \neq C$ , then  $Side[i][j] = 0$ . So next we only consider the case that  $H[i][j] = C$ .

First, the top left corner of  $Square[i-1][j-1]$  cannot be to the left of the top left corner of  $Square[i][j]$ . That is,  $Side[i-1][j-1] + 1 \leq Side[i][j]$ . Again the top bottom corner of  $Square[i-1][j]$  must be not higher than the bottom left corner of  $Square[i][j]$ . So  $Side[i-1][j] + 1 \leq Side[i][j]$  too. Similarly, the top left corner of  $Square[i][j-1]$  must be not to the right of the top left corner of  $Square[i][j]$ , which means  $Side[i][j-1] + 1 \leq Side[i][j]$ . Now we can have the following:

$$Side[i][j] \geq \min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1]) + 1$$

On the other hand, there are a square whose top left corner is at  $(i-1, j-1)$  and side length =  $\min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1])$ , a rectangle whose top left corner is at  $(i-1, j)$  with width =  $\min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1])$  and height = 1, and a rectangle whose top left corner is at  $(i, j-1)$  with width = 1 and height =  $\min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1])$ . Those two rectangles and one square consist of square whose top left corner is at  $(i, j)$  with side length  $\min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1]) + 1$ .

So now we can conclude that

$$Side[i][j] = \min(Side[i-1][j], Side[i-1][j-1], Side[i][j-1]) + 1.$$

- Time and Space Complexity:

Since the calculation of  $Side[i][j]$  only depends on  $Side[i-1][j]$ ,  $Side[i-1][j-1]$ , and  $Side[i][j-1]$ , it takes  $O(1)$  time to calculate  $Side[i][j]$ . There are  $N \times M$  such  $Side[i][j]$  since  $0 \leq i < N$  and  $0 \leq j < M$ . So the total time is  $\Theta(NM)$ . Note that we need store the maximum  $Side[i][j]$  for each row  $i$ .

The algorithm implementation can only use two arrays  $Side1$  and  $Side2$  which store  $Side[i-1][j]$  and  $Side[i][j]$  where  $0 \leq j < M$  correspondingly. So the total space is  $O(M)$ .

## 2. Task 2

- Mathematical Recursive Formulation

Let  $Count[i][j]$  be the number of consecutive cells in row  $i$ ,  $i-1, \dots, i - Count[i][j] + 1$  such that  $Height[i][j] = C$  but  $Height[i - Count[i][j]][j] \neq C$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Count[i][j] &= Count[i-1][j] + 1 \text{ if } H(i, j) = C \\ &= 0 \text{ if } H(i, j) \neq C \end{aligned}$$

Let  $R[i][j]$  be the maximum rectangle which includes cell  $(i, j)$  as the top boundary with height =  $Count[i][j]$ .  $R[i][j]$  = the rectangle from cells  $(i-1, j)$ ,  $(i-2, j) \dots (i-k, j)$ ,  $(i+1, j)$ ,  $(i+2, j)$ ,  $(i+m, j)$  such that all those cells have  $Count() \geq Count(i, j)$ , but  $Count[i-k-1][j] < Count[i][j]$  and  $Count[i+m+1][j] < Count[i][j]$ . Boundary conditions are skipped here which are easy to check.

- Correctness

Obviously the calculation of  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  is correct. Then the correctness follows from (1) for any maximum rectangle at cell  $(i, j)$ , our algorithm finds it when calculate  $R[i][j]$ , and (2) our calculation of  $R[i][j]$  finds a rectangle.

- Time and Space Complexity

The calculation of  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  takes time  $\Theta(NM)$ , while the calculation of  $R[i][j]$  takes time  $\Theta(NM^2)$  since there are  $NM$  cells and each cell need  $\Theta(M)$  time to calculate  $R[i][j]$ . So the time complexity is  $\Theta(NM^2)$ .

We only need to keep two rows of Count:  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  and  $\text{Count}[i-1][j]$  and one row for  $R[i][j]$  where  $0 \leq j < M$ . So the space complexity is  $O(M)$ .

### 3. Task 3

- Mathematical Recursive Formulation

Let  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  be the number of consecutive cells in row  $i$ ,  $i-1, \dots, i - \text{Count}[i][j] + 1$  such that  $\text{Height}[i][j] = C$  but  $\text{Height}[i - \text{Count}[i][j]][j] \neq C$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Count}[i][j] &= \text{Count}[i-1][j] + 1 \text{ if } H(i, j) = C \\ &= 0 \text{ if } H(i, j) \neq C\end{aligned}$$

To find the maximum rectangle faster, we use a stack  $S$  to store  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  ( $0 \leq j < M$ ) when we process  $\text{Count}[i]$  for row  $i$  such that the stack elements are in ascending order. That is, the top of the stack is always the one with the maximum  $\text{Count}()$ . When a cell  $\text{Count}[i][k]$  is smaller than the stack top element, then stack elements are popped until stack top is smaller than or equal to  $\text{Count}[i][k]$ , and if after that the stack  $S$  is empty, then push  $k$  into  $S$ .

- Correctness

Obviously the calculation of  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  is correct. Now we show that our algorithm correctly finds the maximum rectangle by using the stack  $S$ .

When  $\text{Count}[i][k]$  is processed, if it is greater than the  $\text{Count}[S.\text{top}()]$ , then  $k$  is push into  $S$ . So the ascending order of stack elements is preserved. When  $\text{Count}[i][k]$  is smaller than or equal to  $\text{Count}[S.\text{top}()]$ , then  $\text{Count}[i][k]$  is the right boundary of the maximum rectangle starts at  $S.\text{top}()$ . So the maximum rectangle starts at  $S.\text{top}()$  can be calculated as

$$(k - S.\text{top}()) * \text{Count}[i][k]$$

This concludes the correctness of our algorithm

- Time and Space Complexity

The calculation of  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  takes time  $\Theta(NM)$ , while the calculation of  $R[i][j]$  takes time  $\Theta(NM)$  since there are  $NM$  cells and all cells  $(i, j)$  where  $0 \leq j < M$  for row  $i$  need  $\Theta(M)$  time to calculate  $R[i][j]$  for all  $j$  ( $0 \leq j < M$ ) in row  $i$ . This is because each  $i$  from 0 to  $M$  is pushed to stack  $S$  at most once. So the time complexity is  $\Theta(NM)$ .

We only need to keep two rows of Count:  $\text{Count}[i][j]$  and  $\text{Count}[i-1][j]$ , one row for  $R[i][j]$ , and two stacks  $SL$  and  $SR$  which need at most  $O(M)$  space where  $0 \leq i < N$ . So the space complexity is  $O(M)$ .

#### Task 4:

- **Recursive Formulation**

##### Mathematical Recursive Formulation

Let  $S[i]$  be the highest row indices of consecutive cells in row  $i$ ,  $i-1, \dots, i - \text{Count}[i][j] + 1$  such that  $\text{abs}(\text{Height}[i][j] - H[i'][j]) \leq C$ . Then we have

$$S[i][c] = \max(t) \text{ s.t. } c = \text{UPPER\_LIMIT}[j] - H[i][t]$$

Let  $R[i][j]$  be the maximum rectangle which includes cell  $(i, j)$  as the bottom right boundary with height =  $\text{start\_idx}[j]$ .  $R[i][j]$  = the rectangle from cells  $(i-1, j), (i-2, j) \dots (i-k, j), (i+1, j), (i+2, j), (i+m, j)$  such that all those cells are within  $C$  of each other via the merge operation done as part of the relaxation.

- **Correctness:**

Similar to Task 2, we maintain the longest contiguous column with values within  $C$  of each other, as a relaxation step combining the maximal area rectangle to find the end coordinates. The optimal substructure i.e. the longest column that does not violate the constraints (i.e.  $\leq C$ ) can be combined/relaxed to form rectangles thus systematic construction of maximal area rectangles becomes possible. Thus by maintaining the above mentioned recurrence we can eventually find the required maximal area rectangle.

- **Time and Space Complexity:**

There are  $N$  rows, and  $M$  columns, looping over them  $C$  times, we get the required time complexity of  $\Theta(N.M^2.C)$ , space complexity remains  $M.C$ .