KNOWLEDGE DISCOVERY AND DATA MINING

HoMEWORK DI - PROBABILITY

Solutions:

1. 1) Given :-Probability of Jerry goes to bank, P(J) = 20! = 0.2Probability of Susan goes to bank, P(S) = 30! = 0.3Probability of together at the bank, $P(J \land S) = 8! = 0.08$.

a) Probability of Jerry at bank given Susan was at Bank, P(J/S) = 9WKT, $P(J|S) = P(J \cap S) = \frac{0.08}{0.3} = 0.26667$ 0. 26.667%

b) Probability of Jerry at the bank given Susan wasn't at the bank, P(J/S')= ? $P(S') \rightarrow probability of Susan not at bank = 1-P(S) = 1-0.3$

WKT, $P(J|S') = P(J \cap S')$ P(S') $P(J \cap S') = P(J) - P(J \cap S) = 0.2 - 0.08 = 0.12$ Hence, $P(J|S') = P(J \cap S') = \frac{0.12}{0.7} = 0.171428$ or 17.14281.

c) Probability of both at the bank given at least one of them at the bank, $P(J \cap S | J \cup S) = ?$ P(JUS) = P(J) + P(S) - P(JNS) = 0.2 + 0.3 - 0.08 = 0.42WKT, P(Jns/Jus) = P(Jns) = 0.08 = 0.190476 89 19.0476% P(JUS) 0.42

1.2) Probability of Harold getting 'B', P(H) = 801-0.8.

Probability of Sharon getting 'B', P(S) = 901-0.9.

Probability of at least one getting B', P(HVS) = 911-0.91.

So, we know P(HOS) = P(H) + P(S) - P(HOS) = 0.8+0.9-0.91 = 0.79

a) Phobability of only Hoxold getting B', P(only H) = ?

P(only H) = P(HUS) - P(S) = 0.91-0.9

= 0.01 or 1.1-

b) Photoability of only Sharon getting B', P(only S)=9.

P(only S) = P(HUS) - P(H) = 0.91-0.8

= 0.11 08 11-1.

c) Probability of both not getting a B', P((HUS)')= 9

P((HUS)')=1-P(Hand S getting B')

= 1-P(HUS)

= 1-0.91=0.09 or 9.1.

1.3) Given: P(J)=201=0.2; P(S)=301. 0.03, P(J) × P(S)=0.2 × 0.3 P(JNS)=81-20.08.

For the two events to be independent, the events individual parameter's product should be equal to the intersection of the two events. So, $P(J \cap S) = P(J) \times P(S)$

0.08 \$ 0.06

Hence, proved the events "Jessy is at bank" and "Susan is at the bank" are NOT INDEPENDENT.

1.4) Giren:

Event : Rolling two dece.

Sample Space - { (1,1), (1,2), (1,3), (1,4), (1,5), (1,6), (2,1), (2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (2,5), (2,6), (3,1), (3.2), (3,3), (3,4), (3,5), (3,6), (4,1), (4,2), (4,3), (4,4), (4,5), (4,6), (5,1), (5,2), (5,3), (5,4), (5,5), (5,6), (6, 1), [6, 2), (6, 3), (6, 4), (6, 5), (6, 6)

a) Event A: the sum is 6; (1,5), (2,4), (3,3), (4,2), (5,1) Event B: the second dice shows 5; (1,5), (2,5), (3,5), (4,5)

 $P(A) = \frac{5}{36}$, $P(B) = \frac{6}{36}$

P(ANB) = Probability of both A and B occurring is when we get (1,5).

Hence, P(ANB) - 1 36.

To check, if the events are independent of each other, it must prove, $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \times P(B)$ So.

LHS: P(ANB) = 1/36

RHS: P(A) x P(B) = 5/36 x 6/36 = 5/216.

Hence, LHS = RHS, so the evente are not independent."

b) Event A: the sum is 7; (1,6), (2,5), (3,4), (4,3), (5,2), (6,1) Event B: the first die Shones 5; (5,1), (5,2), (5,3), (5,4), (5,5), (5,6)

$$P(A) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$$
 ; $P(B) = \frac{6}{36} = \frac{1}{6}$

 $P(A \cap B) = P_{A} \cap B$ of both A and B occurring is when we get (5,2)Hence, $P(A \cap B) = \frac{1}{36}$

To check, if the events are independent of each other, it must prove, $P(A \cap B) - P(A) \times P(B)$.

So, LHS: P(ANB) = 1/36.

RHS: P(A) x P(B), 1 x 1 = 1

Hence, proved LHS = RHS, which means events are independent".

1.5) Given: Peobability of Company at TX, P(Tx) = 601 = 0.6.
Peobability of Company at NJ, $P(NJ) = \frac{1}{2}01 = 0.3$ By peobability axioms, P(Tx) + P(NJ) + P(AK) = 1.

P(AK) = 1-P(TX)-P(NJ) = 1-0.6-0.1=0.3

Peobability of Company at AK, P(AK) = 0.3 or 301.

Probability of find oel given state is TX = 30%, 0.3 = P(0|TX)
Probability of finding oil given state is AK, 20% = 0.2 = P(0|AK)
Probability of finding oil given state is NJ, 10%, 0.1 = P(0|NJ)

a) Probability of fending oil, P(0), ?

P(0)= P(TX) x P(0|TX) + P(AK) P(0|AK) + P(NJ) P(0|NJ) = (0.6 * 0.3) + (0.3 * 0.2) + (0.1 * 0.1) = 0.18 + 0.06 + 0.01

2 0.25 08 25.1.

b) Probability of deilling on TX given they found oil.

 $P(T \times | 0) = P(0|T \times) \times P(T \times) = 0.3 \times 0.6 = 0.18 = 0.72$ 0.25 0.25 0 72%

1.6)	Given: Sulveved						
	Sulvived.		CABIN				
			2	nd	3rd	Gen	Sub Total
ш	Sdult Child	197	9	4	151	212	654
De	Child	6	2	4	27		57
	Sub Total	203	11	8	178	212	411
Not Survived				CABIN 2nd 3rd Gew Sub Jotal			
			ist	2 nd	3rd	Gew	Sub Total
U	o Adul	t	122	167	476	643	1438
2	ddul T Chilo	e	-		52		52
	Sub Joi	tol	122	161	528	673	1490
<u>Jotal</u>			1st 2nd 3rd				
			ıst	2 nd	3rd	Gew	Sub Total
	doubt		319	261	627	885	2092
2	s ddult E Child		6	24		-	109
	Sub- Jot	al	325	285	706	885	2201

a) The probability that a passanger did not survive

b) The probability that a passanger was staying in first class.

P(1st class or survived) = No. of passenger survived and in 1st class

Jotal passengers

 $= \frac{203}{2201} = 0.0922$

P(survived) = 1 - P(not survived) = 1 - 0.6769 = 0.3231

d) We know that, $P(1^{st} class) = 0.14766 \qquad P(not survival) = 0.3231$ $P(1^{st} class \land unvived) = 0.0922$

The two events to be independent, the events individual parameters product should be equal to intersection of two events.

P(1st class 1 survived) = P(1st) x P(survived)

LHS:- P(1st class 1) survived) = 0.0922

RHS:- P(1st class) × P(survived) = 0.14766 × 0.3231= 0.047

Hence, proved the events (passanges in 1st class) and event (passenges is survived) are "not independent".

e) The probability that the passenger was first claes and is a child given passenger survived,

P(parsenger in 1st class being child | Rusvived) = P(ist class 1 child 1 survived)

P(survived)

= 6 = 0.008438 98 0.84384

= 6 = 0.008438 & 0.8438%

1) The probability that the passenger was a the adult given passenger survived,

g) 1. Probability of adult, it class given survived. 2. Probability of child, 1st class given survived.

1. P(adult 11st class) should be equal to P(adult) × P(1tclass) if they are independent.

Is they aren't equal, the events are "not independent given survived

2. P(child 1) 1st class) should be equal to P(child) × P(1st class) if they are independent

do they aren't equal, the events are "not independent" given survived.

1.7) Giren:

· In total, 1000 human generated documents and 1000 Al generated document. · app misclassified to human generated as Algenerated · 30 Al generated as human generated

Confusion mateix:

Dander To an Al	AI generated	Human generated	Total
Predicted as AI generated	970	70	1040
Predicted as human generated	FN 30	TN 930	960
Jotal	1000	1000	2000

- AMRUTHA KANAKATTE RAVISHANKAR