

# Maximum flow - Dinic's algorithm

## Table of Contents

- [Definitions](#)
- [Algorithm](#)
- [Proof of correctness](#)
- [Number of phases](#)
- [Finding blocking flow](#)
- [Complexity](#)
- [Unit networks](#)
- [Implementation](#)

Dinic's algorithm solves the maximum flow problem in  $O(V^2E)$ . The maximum flow problem is defined in this article [Maximum flow - Ford-Fulkerson and Edmonds-Karp](#). This algorithm was discovered by Yefim Dinitz in 1970.

## Definitions

A **residual network**  $G^R$  of network  $G$  is a network which contains two edges for each edge  $(v, u) \in G$ :

- $(v, u)$  with capacity  $c_{vu}^R = c_{vu} - f_{vu}$
- $(u, v)$  with capacity  $c_{uv}^R = f_{vu}$

A **blocking flow** of some network is such a flow that every path from  $s$  to  $t$  contains at least one edge which is saturated by this flow. Note that a blocking flow is not necessarily maximal.

A **layered network** of a network  $G$  is a network built in the following way. Firstly, for each vertex  $v$  we calculate  $level[v]$  - the shortest path (unweighted) from  $s$  to this vertex using only edges with positive capacity. Then we keep only those edges  $(v, u)$  for which  $level[v] + 1 = level[u]$ . Obviously, this network is acyclic.

## Algorithm

The algorithm consists of several phases. On each phase we construct the layered network of the residual network of  $G$ . Then we find an arbitrary blocking flow in the layered network and add it to the current flow.

# Proof of correctness

Let's show that if the algorithm terminates, it finds the maximum flow.

If the algorithm terminated, it couldn't find a blocking flow in the layered network. It means that the layered network doesn't have any path from  $s$  to  $t$ . It means that the residual network doesn't have any path from  $s$  to  $t$ . It means that the flow is maximum.

## Number of phases

The algorithm terminates in less than  $V$  phases. To prove this, we must firstly prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** The distances from  $s$  to each vertex don't decrease after each iteration, i. e.

$$level_{i+1}[v] \geq level_i[v].$$

**Proof.** Fix a phase  $i$  and a vertex  $v$ . Consider any shortest path  $P$  from  $s$  to  $v$  in  $G_{i+1}^R$ . The length of  $P$  equals  $level_{i+1}[v]$ . Note that  $G_{i+1}^R$  can only contain edges from  $G_i^R$  and back edges for edges from  $G_i^R$ . If  $P$  has no back edges for  $G_i^R$ , then  $level_{i+1}[v] \geq level_i[v]$

because  $P$  is also a path in  $G_i^R$ . Now, suppose that  $P$  has at least one back edge. Let the first such edge be  $(u, w)$ . Then  $level_{i+1}[u] \geq level_i[u]$  (because of the first case). The edge  $(u, w)$  doesn't belong to  $G_i^R$ , so the edge  $(w, u)$  was affected by the blocking flow on the previous iteration. It means that  $level_i[u] = level_i[w] + 1$ . Also,  $level_{i+1}[w] = level_{i+1}[u] + 1$ . From these two equations and  $level_{i+1}[u] \geq level_i[u]$  we obtain  $level_{i+1}[w] \geq level_i[w] + 2$ . Now we can use the same idea for the rest of the path.

**Lemma 2.**  $level_{i+1}[t] > level_i[t]$

**Proof.** From the previous lemma,  $level_{i+1}[t] \geq level_i[t]$ . Suppose that  $level_{i+1}[t] = level_i[t]$ . Note that  $G_{i+1}^R$  can only contain edges from  $G_i^R$  and back edges for edges from  $G_i^R$ . It means that there is a shortest path in  $G_i^R$  which wasn't blocked by the blocking flow. It's a contradiction.

From these two lemmas we conclude that there are less than  $V$  phases because  $level[t]$  increases, but it can't be greater than  $V - 1$ .

# Finding blocking flow

In order to find the blocking flow on each iteration, we may simply try pushing flow with DFS from  $s$  to  $t$  in the layered network while it can be pushed. In order to do it more quickly, we must remove the edges which can't be used to push anymore. To do this we can keep a pointer in each vertex which points to the next edge which can be used. Each pointer can be moved at most  $E$  times, so each phase works in  $O(VE)$ .

## Complexity

There are less than  $V$  phases, so the total complexity is  $O(V^2E)$ .

## Unit networks

A **unit network** is a network in which all the edges have unit capacity, and for any vertex except  $s$  and  $t$  either incoming or outgoing edge is unique. That's exactly the case with the network we build to solve the maximum matching problem with flows.

On unit networks Dinic's algorithm works in  $O(E\sqrt{V})$ .  
Let's prove this.

Firstly, each phase now works in  $O(E)$  because each edge will be considered at most once.

Secondly, suppose there have already been  $\sqrt{V}$  phases. Then all the augmenting paths with the length  $\leq \sqrt{V}$  have been found. Let  $f$  be the current flow,  $f'$  be the maximum flow. Consider their difference  $f' - f$ . It is a flow in  $G^R$  of value  $|f'| - |f|$  and on each edge it is either 0 or 1. It can be decomposed into  $|f'| - |f|$  paths from  $s$  to  $t$  and possibly cycles. As the network is unit, they can't have common vertices, so the total number of vertices is  $\geq (|f'| - |f|)\sqrt{V}$ , but it is also  $\leq V$ , so in another  $\sqrt{V}$  iterations we will definitely find the maximum flow.

## Implementation

```
struct FlowEdge {  
    int v, u;  
    long long cap, flow = 0;  
    FlowEdge(int v, int u, long long cap)  
};
```

```
struct Dinic {
    const long long flow_inf = 1e18;
    vector<FlowEdge> edges;
    vector<vector<int>> adj;
    int n, m = 0;
    int s, t;
    vector<int> level, ptr;
    queue<int> q;

    Dinic(int n, int s, int t) : n(n), s(s) {
        adj.resize(n);
        level.resize(n);
        ptr.resize(n);
    }

    void add_edge(int v, int u, long long cap) {
        edges.emplace_back(v, u, cap);
        edges.emplace_back(u, v, 0);
        adj[v].push_back(m);
        adj[u].push_back(m + 1);
        m += 2;
    }

    bool bfs() {
        while (!q.empty()) {
```

```

    int v = q.front();
    q.pop();
    for (int id : adj[v]) {
        if (edges[id].cap - edges[id].flow == 0)
            continue;
        if (level[edges[id].u] != level[v] + 1)
            continue;
        level[edges[id].u] = level[v] + 1;
        q.push(edges[id].u);
    }
}
return level[t] != -1;
}

```

```


long long dfs(int v, long long pushed)
if (pushed == 0)
    return 0;
if (v == t)
    return pushed;
for (int& cid = ptr[v]; cid < adj[v].size(); cid++) {
    int id = adj[v][cid];
    int u = edges[id].u;
    if (level[v] + 1 != level[u] || edges[id].cap - edges[id].flow == 0)
        continue;
    long long tr = dfs(u, min(pushed, edges[id].cap - edges[id].flow));
    if (tr == 0)
        continue;
    edges[id].flow += tr;
    edges[rev[id]].flow -= tr;
    pushed -= tr;
}
return pushed;
}

```



```
        continue;
    edges[id].flow += tr;
    edges[id ^ 1].flow -= tr;
    return tr;
}
return 0;
}

long long flow() {
    long long f = 0;
    while (true) {
        fill(level.begin(), level.end(), 0);
        level[s] = 0;
        q.push(s);
        if (!bfs())
            break;
        fill(ptr.begin(), ptr.end(), 0);
        while (long long pushed = dfs(s, ptr, 0))
            f += pushed;
    }
    return f;
}
};
```



(c) 2014-2019 translation by <http://github.com/e-maxx-eng> 27:4048/1836