

# Probability and Statistics: Endsem Q3 (8 Marks)

TA: Abhinav

## 1. Question

Consider a sequence  $\{X_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that:

$$X_n = \begin{cases} n, & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{n^2}, \\ 0, & \text{with probability } 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$  (Convergence in probability to 0).
- (b) Show that  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$  (Almost sure convergence to 0).

## 2. Convergence in Probability

( $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ ): This step is missing in the solution, but it's crucial for completeness. Here's how you can add it:

For convergence in probability, we need:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - 0| > \epsilon) = 0.$$

In this case:

$$P(|X_n - 0| > \epsilon) = P(X_n \neq 0) = P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $P(|X_n - 0| > \epsilon) = \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ .

## 3. Almost sure convergence: Solution Using the Borel-Cantelli Lemma

To show  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ , we use the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Recall the lemma: - If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) < \infty$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X$ .

For the given sequence, we have:

$$P(|X_n| > \epsilon) = P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|X_n| > \epsilon) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

This is a  $p$ -series with  $p = 2 > 1$ , so the series converges:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ . Therefore, the sequence almost surely converges to 0.

## 4. Solution Without Using the Borel-Cantelli Lemma

To prove  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ , we directly analyze the probabilities.

From the definition of  $X_n$ , we know:

$$P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

1. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad P(X_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow 1.$$

2. The probability  $P(X_n = n)$  becomes negligibly small, and  $X_n = 0$  dominates for sufficiently large  $n$ .

3. Since  $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$ , the probability that  $X_n \neq 0$  happens infinitely often is 0. Thus, with probability 1,  $X_n = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

Hence, we conclude that  $P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0) = 1$ , and  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ .

## 5. Why the Misinterpreted Solution is Incorrect

An incorrect argument for almost sure convergence is as follows:

-  $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$ , so the sequence  $X_n$  "should" almost surely converge to 0. - This conclusion is based on the idea that the probability of  $X_n = 0$  being dominant implies almost sure convergence.

Why This is Wrong:

1. Conflating Probability with Almost Sure Convergence: -  $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$  shows that the value 0 is more probable as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but this does not ensure almost sure convergence. Almost sure convergence requires that  $X_n = 0$  eventually (for all sufficiently large  $n$ ) in almost every realization of the sequence.

2. Ignoring Infinite Occurrences: - The argument does not address whether  $X_n \neq 0$  happens infinitely often. Even small probabilities can accumulate over an infinite sequence, so this must be explicitly ruled out to establish almost sure convergence.

3. Missing Analysis of the Event: - The correct approach requires analyzing the sequence of probabilities  $P(X_n \neq 0)$  across all  $n$ , either via tools like the Borel-Cantelli lemma or direct reasoning. Simply stating that  $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$  is insufficient.

## 6. Correct proof without using Borel-Cantelli Lemma

To prove  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$  \*\*without using the Borel-Cantelli lemma\*\*, we directly analyze the probability that  $X_n \neq 0$  infinitely often and show it is zero. Here's the detailed proof:

We aim to show:

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0\right) = 1,$$

which is equivalent to showing that the probability of  $X_n \neq 0$  infinitely often is zero.

Denote this event as:

$$A_{\text{inf}} = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{X_n \neq 0\}.$$

Complement of  $A_{\inf}$  The complement of  $A_{\inf}$  is the event where  $X_n = 0$  for all  $n$  sufficiently large:

$$A_{\inf}^c = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=m}^{\infty} \{X_n = 0\}.$$

If we can show that  $P(A_{\inf}) = 0$ , then  $P(A_{\inf}^c) = 1$ , which implies  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ .

Probability of  $X_n \neq 0$  By the definition of  $X_n$ , we know:

$$P(X_n \neq 0) = P(X_n = n) = \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

The probability that  $X_n \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $n$  can be analyzed using the following reasoning.

Bound the probability of infinitely many  $X_n \neq 0$  The event  $X_n \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $n$  can be written as:

$$P(A_{\inf}) = P\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{X_n \neq 0\}\right).$$

Using the union bound, the probability of  $\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{X_n \neq 0\}$  is:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{X_n \neq 0\}\right) \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(X_n \neq 0).$$

Now, substitute  $P(X_n \neq 0) = \frac{1}{n^2}$ :

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} P(X_n \neq 0) = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

This is a tail sum of the  $p$ -series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$ , which converges because  $p = 2 > 1$ . Let the total sum of the series be  $S$ , then:

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $m$  such that:

$$P\left(\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \{X_n \neq 0\}\right) < \epsilon.$$

Conclude that  $P(A_{\inf}) = 0$  As  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , the probability that  $X_n \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $n$  approaches 0:

$$P(A_{\inf}) = 0.$$

Therefore, with probability 1,  $X_n = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ , which implies:

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = 0\right) = 1.$$

We have shown directly that  $P(A_{\inf}) = 0$  without using the Borel-Cantelli lemma. Hence,  $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$ .

## 7. Conclusion

The problem illustrates the distinction between convergence in probability and almost sure convergence. Using the Borel-Cantelli lemma provides a rigorous way to establish almost sure convergence. Without it, the proof requires carefully analyzing the cumulative probability of  $X_n \neq 0$  and ensuring it vanishes over all  $n$ . Misinterpreting the dominance of  $P(X_n = 0)$  can lead to incorrect conclusions about almost sure convergence.

## 8. Marking Scheme

- Mathematical expressions are a must. Long written text has not been awarded any marks.
- Solution similar to Section 4 is given 0 marks.
- Correct mathematical condition for convergence in probability and almost sure convergence has been given 1 mark each.