

Phosphate dynamics in iron treated peat ditches

Master's Thesis submitted

to

Dr. Thilo Behrends

Melanie Münch Msc.

Utrecht University

Faculty of Geosciences

Department of Earth sciences

Geochemistry



Utrecht University

by

Harm van Kuppevelt

(4061985)

in partial fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Earth Science

June, 2021

Acknowledgements

I want to thank food for existing.

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum.

Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	ii
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	v
Preface	1
1 Introduction	1
2 Methodology	1
3 Results	1
3.1 Review of Results	3
4 Discussion	3
5 Conclusion	4
References	5
A Appendix	6
A.1 Figures	6
A.2 Tables	6

List of Figures

1	Estimated residuals from model XXX.	2
2	Estimated residuals (2) from model XXX.	6

List of Tables

1	Detailed descriptive statistics of location and dispersion for 2100 observed swap rates for the period from February 15, 1999 to March 2, 2007. Swap rates measured as 3.12 (instead of 0.0312).	2
2	This table was handwritten with LaTeX.	3
3	Detailed descriptive statistics of location and dispersion for 2100 observed swap rates for the period from February 15, 1999 to March 2, 2007. Swap rates measured as 3.12 (instead of 0.0312).	6

Preface

Welcome to the thesis template. This template is based on (and in many places copied directly from) the HU Berlin School of Business and Economics LaTeX template, but hopefully it will provide a nicer interface for those that have never used LaTeX before.

1 Introduction

- What is the subject of the study? Describe the problem.
- What is the purpose of the study (working hypothesis)?
- What do we already know about the subject (literature review)? Use citations: Lingelfelser, Wagner, and André (2011) shows that... Alternative Forms of the Wald test are considered (Kuncheva 2004).
- What is the innovation of the study?
- Provide an overview of your results.
- Outline of the paper:
The paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the model under investigation. Section “[Data]” describes the data set and Section “Results” presents the results. Finally, Section “Conclusion” concludes.
- The introduction should not be longer than 4 pages.

2 Methodology

3 Results

- Organize material and present results.
- Use tables, figures (but prefer visual presentation):
 - Tables and figures should supplement (and not duplicate) the text.
 - Tables and figures should be provided with legends.

- Figure 1 shows how to include and reference graphics. The graphic must be labelled before. Files must be in **.eps** format. You can do this really easily in R Markdown with `knitr::include_graphics()`!
- Figures can be referenced with `\@ref(fig:<name>)`, where `<name>` is the name of the code chunk.




Figure 1: Estimated residuals from model XXX. ...

- Tables and graphics may appear in the text or in the appendix, especially if there are many simulation results tabulated, but is also depends on the study and number of tables resp. figures. The key graphs and tables must appear in the text!

	3m	6m	1yr	2yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	12yr	15yr
Mean	3.138	3.191	3.307	3.544	3.756	4.093	4.354	4.621	4.741	4.878
StD	0.915	0.919	0.935	0.910	0.876	0.825	0.803	0.776	0.768	0.762

Table 1: Detailed descriptive statistics of location and dispersion for 2100 observed swap rates for the period from February 15, 1999 to March 2, 2007. Swap rates measured as 3.12 (instead of 0.0312).

- Allows the reader to judge whether the sample is biased or to evaluate possible impacts of outliers, for example.
- Here tables can be easily integrated using the `kable()` function in the `knitr` package (with perhaps some additional help from the `kableExtra` package). `kable()` will au-

tomatically generate a label for the table environment. That way you don't have to manually enter in the table in LaTeX, you can embed tables from R code.

- Tables can be referenced using `\@ref(label)`, where `label` is `tab:<name>`, where `<name>` is the code chunk label.
- The appearance may look different to tables directly typed with LaTeX, due to limitations in `kable()`. To compare:

	3m	6m	1yr	2yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	12yr	15yr
Mean	3.138	3.191	3.307	3.544	3.756	4.093	4.354	4.621	4.741	4.878
Std	0.915	0.919	0.935	0.910	0.876	0.825	0.803	0.776	0.768	0.762

Table 2: This table was handwritten with LaTeX.

- R Markdown can also supports math equations just like *LaTeX*!
 - Equation (1) represents the ACs of a stationary stochastic process:

$$f_y(\lambda) = (2\pi)^{-1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_j e^{-i\lambda j} = (2\pi)^{-1} \left(\gamma_0 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \gamma_j \cos(\lambda j) \right) \quad (1)$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit, $\lambda \in [-\pi, \pi]$ is the frequency and the γ_j are the autocovariances of y_t .
 - Equations can be referenced with `\@ref(eq:<name>)`, where name is defined by adding `(\#eq:<name>)` in the line immediately before `\end{equation}`.

3.1 Review of Results

- Do the results support or do they contradict theory ?
- What does the reader learn from the results?
- Try to give an intuition for your results.
- Provide robustness checks.
- Compare to previous research.

4 Discussion

5 Conclusion

- Give a short summary of what has been done and what has been found.
- Expose results concisely.
- Draw conclusions about the problem studied. What are the implications of your findings?
- Point out some limitations of study (assist reader in judging validity of findings).
- Suggest issues for future research.

References

- 10 Kuncheva, Ludmila I. 2004. *Combining Pattern Classifiers: Methods and Algorithms*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Lingenfelser, Florian, Johannes Wagner, and Elisabeth André. 2011. “A Systematic Discussion of Fusion Techniques for Multi-Modal Affect Recognition Tasks.” In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Multimodal Interfaces*, 19–26. ACM.

A Appendix

Here goes the appendix!

A.1 Figures




Figure 2: Estimated residuals (2) from model XXX. ...

A.2 Tables

	3m	6m	1yr	2yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	12yr	15yr
Mean	3.138	3.191	3.307	3.544	3.756	4.093	4.354	4.621	4.741	4.878
Median	3.013	3.109	3.228	3.490	3.680	3.906	4.117	4.420	4.575	4.759
Min	1.984	1.950	1.956	2.010	2.240	2.615	2.850	3.120	3.250	3.395
Max	5.211	5.274	5.415	5.583	5.698	5.805	5.900	6.031	6.150	6.295
StD	0.915	0.919	0.935	0.910	0.876	0.825	0.803	0.776	0.768	0.762

Table 3: Detailed descriptive statistics of location and dispersion for 2100 observed swap rates for the period from February 15, 1999 to March 2, 2007. Swap rates measured as 3.12 (instead of 0.0312).

Declaration of Authorship

I hereby confirm that I have authored this Master's Thesis independently and without use of others than the indicated sources. All passages which are literally or in general matter taken out of publications or other sources are marked as such.

Berlin, June, 2021

.....

Harm van Kuppevelt