

1. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent Exponential random variables with pdf

$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x) \text{ for } x \geq 0$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is an unknown parameter.

- (a) [10 marks] Suppose that $\{k_n\}$ is a sequence of positive integers such that $k_n/n \rightarrow \tau \in (0, 1)$ where $\sqrt{n}(k_n/n - \tau) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider estimators of λ of the form

$$\hat{\lambda}_n(\tau) = \frac{a(\tau)}{X_{(k_n)}}$$

for some $a(\tau)$.

- (i) For $\tau \in (0, 1)$, find $a(\tau)$ such that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\tau) \xrightarrow{P} \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider this. From lecture, we know that

$$X_{(k_n)} = X_{(kn)} \xrightarrow{P} F^{-1}(\tau)$$

which is equivalent to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_{(k_n)} - F^{-1}(\tau)| > \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X_{(k_n)} \left| 1 - \frac{F^{-1}(\tau)}{X_{(k_n)}} \right| > \varepsilon\right) = 0 \quad \text{taking out } X_{(k_n)} \text{ from absolute value}$$

We know that $X_{(k_n)} > 0$ since it is an exponential family

Now, take $a(\tau) = \lambda F^{-1}(\tau)$

Then consider this, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda| > \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0$

$$\text{Then, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{a(\tau)}{X_{(k_n)}} - \lambda\right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

$$\text{So, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{\lambda F^{-1}(\tau)}{X_{(k_n)}} - \lambda\right| > \varepsilon\right) \quad \text{since } a(\tau) = \lambda F^{-1}(\tau)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\lambda \cdot \left|\frac{F^{-1}(\tau)}{X_{(k_n)}} - 1\right| > \varepsilon\right) = 0$$

Since $\lambda > 0$, the limit evaluates to 0

Hence $\hat{\lambda}_n \xrightarrow{P} \lambda \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$

■

(ii) For $a(\tau)$ derived above, we will have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda}_n(\tau) - \lambda) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(\tau))$$

Find an expression for $\sigma^2(\tau)$ and find the value of τ (to 3 decimal places) that minimizes $\sigma^2(\tau)$.

We know that $\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{k_n}{n} - \tau\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we also know that $f(F'(\tau)) > 0$

Then from lecture, we have $\sqrt{n}(X_{(k_n)} - F'(\tau)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{f'(F'(\tau))})$

Using delta method let $g(x) = \frac{a(\tau)}{x} = \frac{\lambda F'(\tau)}{x}$

$$g'(x) = -\frac{\lambda F''(\tau)}{x^2}$$

$$\text{Then } g(X_{(k_n)}) = \frac{\lambda F'(\tau)}{X_{(k_n)}} = \hat{\lambda}_n(\tau)$$

$$g(F'(\tau)) = \frac{\lambda F'(\tau)}{F'(\tau)} = \lambda$$

$$g'(F'(\tau)) = \frac{-\lambda}{F''(\tau)}$$

$$\text{so, } \sqrt{n}(g(X_{(k_n)}) - g(F'(\tau))) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \left(\frac{-\lambda}{F''(\tau)}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{f'(F'(\tau))}\right)$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda}_n(\tau) - \lambda) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \left(\frac{-\lambda}{F''(\tau)}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{f'(F'(\tau))}\right)$$

Using R to compute τ that minimizes $\sigma^2(\tau)$, $\tau_{\min} = 0.797$

(b) [10 marks] The file prob1.txt contains the 50 smallest values of a sample of 100 observations from an Exponential distribution with parameter λ .

(i) Compute the maximum likelihood estimate of λ based on these data. (Hint: Use spacings.)

(ii) Compute an estimate of the standard error of the estimate in (i).

$$Y_i \sim \exp(\lambda)$$

$$\text{PDF of Exponential distribution : } f(x) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x)$$

$$P(Y; \lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{50} \lambda e^{-\lambda Y_i}$$

$$L(\lambda; Y) = \ln P(Y; \lambda)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{50} \ln(\lambda) - \lambda Y_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} L(\lambda; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{50} \frac{1}{\lambda} - Y_i$$

$$= \frac{50}{\lambda} - \sum_{i=1}^{50} Y_i$$

Equal to 0 and solve :

$$\frac{50}{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{50} Y_i$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{50}{\sum_{i=1}^{50} Y_i} = 0.405$$

Y_i is defined to be as following. $Y_n = X_{cn} - X_{cn-1} = D_{n-1}$

Using R $\hat{\lambda}$ is approximately :

ii) Standard error of MLE estimate is given by Fisher's information.

$$\hat{SE}(\hat{\lambda}) = \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} L(\lambda; Y) \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(-\frac{50}{\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^{50} \frac{1}{\lambda} - Y_i \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{50} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{50}{\lambda^2} \right)^{-1/2} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{\sqrt{50}}$$

■

Using R, $\hat{SE}(\hat{\lambda}) = 0.0573$

2. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent positive random variables with common cdf $F(x)$. The Atkinson index of F is defined by

$$A(F) = 1 - \frac{1}{E_F(X)} \exp [E_F(\ln(X))]$$

where $E_F(\cdot)$ denotes expected value with respect to the distribution F .

(a) [10 marks] Suppose that $G(x) = F(x-b)$ for some $b > 0$.

(i) Show that $A(G) \leq A(F)$. (Hint: If $X \sim F$ then $X+b \sim G$. You may find Jensen's inequality useful here.)

$$A(G) = 1 - \frac{1}{E_G(x+b)} \exp [E_G(\ln(x+b))]$$

$$A(F) = 1 - \frac{1}{E_F(x)} \exp [E_F(\ln(x))]$$

Then, $A(G) \leq A(F) \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{E_G(x+b)} \exp [E_G(\ln(x+b))] \leq 1 - \frac{1}{E_F(x)} \exp [E_F(\ln(x))]$

Equivalently this is, $\frac{1}{E(x+b)} \exp [E(\ln(x+b))] \geq \frac{1}{E(x)} \exp [E(\ln(x))]$

Consider this.

Let $g(x) = e^x$ then, using Jensen's inequality $g(E(x)) \leq E(g(x))$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(E(\ln(x+b))) &\leq E(\exp(\ln(x+b))) \\ &\leq E(x+b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(E(\ln(x))) &\leq E(\exp(\ln(x))) \\ &\leq E(x) \end{aligned}$$

So, $\frac{1}{E(x+b)} \exp(E(\ln(x+b))) \leq 1$

and, $\frac{1}{E(x)} \exp(E(\ln(x))) \leq 1$

Subtracting we get $\frac{1}{E(x+b)} \exp(E(\ln(x+b))) - \frac{1}{E(x)} \exp(E(\ln(x))) > 0$

Then, $\frac{1}{E(x+b)} \exp(E(\ln(x+b))) \geq \frac{1}{E(x)} \exp(E(\ln(x)))$

This is what we wanted to show.

Hence $A(G) \leq A(F)$

■

(ii) When is $A(G) = A(F)$ (for $b > 0$)?

$$\text{we know } A(G) = 1 - \frac{1}{E(x+b)} \exp[E(\ln(x+b))]$$

$$A(F) = 1 - \frac{1}{E(x)} \exp[E(\ln(x))]$$

When $A(G) = A(F)$, Then

$$\frac{1}{E(x+b)} \exp[E(\ln(x+b))] = \frac{1}{E(x)} \exp[E(\ln(x))]$$

$$\text{Then, } \frac{E(x)}{E(x+b)} = \exp[E(\ln(x)) - E(\ln(x+b))]$$

$$\frac{E(x)}{E(x+b)} = \exp[E(\ln(x)) - E(\ln(x+b))]$$

$$= \exp(E(\ln(x) - \ln(x+b))) \quad \text{using jensen's inequality } g(x) = e^x \\ \leq E\left(\frac{x}{x+b}\right)$$

However jensen's inequality becomes equality iff $g(x)$ is affine or x constant

$$\text{If } x \text{ constant, Then } \frac{E(x)}{E(x+b)} = \frac{x}{x+b} = \exp(E(\ln(x) - \ln(x+b)))$$

So, $A(G) = A(F)$ iff x is constant.

(b) [10 marks] A sample of 200 observations from an unknown distribution F is given in a file prob2.txt on Quercus. Compute an estimate of $A(F)$ and an estimate of its standard error. Justify your method.

Using R code, $\hat{A}(F) = A(\hat{F}) = 0.843$

$$\hat{SE}(A(F)) = 0.0305.$$

Given the data, I used Jackknife method to estimate the standard error of estimate of $A(F)$.

My estimate of $\hat{A}(F)$ is about 0.843 and the average value that I got for $A(F)$ by using leave one out method came to 0.850

We see that estimator is well approximated by an average.

Hence Jackknife will perform fairly well.

3. Suppose that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent continuous random variables with density

$$f(x; \theta) = \theta(\theta+1)x^{\theta-1}(1-x) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

where $\theta > 0$ is an unknown parameter.

(a) [7 marks] Find the MLE of θ and give an estimator of its standard error based on the observed Fisher information.

$$P(x; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(\theta+1)x_i^{\theta-1}(1-x_i)$$

$$\lambda(\theta; x) = \ln P(x; \theta)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(\theta) + \ln(\theta+1) + (\theta-1)\ln(x_i) + \ln(1-x_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \lambda(\theta; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta+1} + \ln(x_i)$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{n}{\theta+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i)$$

$$= n \left(\frac{2\theta+1}{\theta(\theta+1)} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i)$$

Equal to 0:

$$2\theta n + n = -\theta(\theta+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i)$$

$$\text{call } \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i) = b$$

$$b\theta^2 + b\theta + 2\theta n + n = 0$$

$$b\theta^2 + \theta(b+2n) + n = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{-b-2n}{2b} \pm \sqrt{\frac{(b+2n)^2 - 4bn}{4b^2}}$$

SE Using fisher information:

→ need 2nd derivative of likelihood

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \lambda(\theta; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{1}{(\theta+1)^2}$$

$$= -\frac{n}{\theta^2} - \frac{n}{(\theta+1)^2}$$

$$\text{Then, } \hat{SE}(\hat{\theta}) = \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \lambda(\hat{\theta}; x) \right)^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} + \frac{n}{(\hat{\theta}+1)^2} \right)^{-1/2}$$

■

(b) [13 marks] Define \bar{X}_n to be the sample mean of X_1, \dots, X_n .

(i) Find $\hat{\theta}_n = g(\bar{X}_n)$ so that $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$, that is, $\{\hat{\theta}_n\}$ is a consistent sequence of estimators.

Want to find $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) = 0$

We know that $\bar{X} \approx E(X)$ is a consistent estimator.

$$\text{So, } E(X) = \int_0^1 x \cdot f(x) dx$$

$$= \int_0^1 \theta(\theta+1)x^\theta(1-x) dx$$

$$= \theta(\theta+1) \int_0^1 x^\theta dx - \theta(\theta+1) \int_0^1 x^{\theta+1} dx$$

$$= \theta x^{\theta+1} \Big|_0^1 - \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+2} x^{\theta+2} \Big|_0^1$$

$$= \theta - \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+2}$$

Since this is equal to \bar{X} ,

$$\frac{\theta(\theta+2) - \theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+2} = \bar{X}$$

$$\theta = (\theta+2)\bar{X}$$

$$\theta - \theta\bar{X} = 2\bar{X}$$

Then,

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{2\bar{X}}{1-\bar{X}}$$

■

(ii) By the Delta Method, $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$. Find $\sigma^2(\theta)$.

By CLT we have $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$

where σ^2 is the variance of X

Using delta method we get $\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [g'(\mu)]^2 \sigma^2)$

we want $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2(\theta))$

so $g(\bar{X}) = \hat{\theta}_n$.

Then let $g(x) = \frac{2x}{1-x}$ since $g(\bar{X}) = \frac{2\bar{X}}{1-\bar{X}} = \hat{\theta}_n$ shown previously

Now compute $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X)$ using second moment.

$$\text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$$

$$E(X^2) = \int_0^1 x^2 f(x) dx$$

$$= \int_0^1 x^2 \theta(\theta+1)x^{\theta-1}(1-x) dx$$

$$= \theta(\theta+1) \int_0^1 x^{\theta+1} dx - \theta(\theta+1) \int_0^1 x^{\theta+2} dx$$

$$= \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+2} x^{\theta+2} \Big|_0^1 - \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+3} x^{\theta+3} \Big|_0^1$$

$$= \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+2} - \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{\theta+3}$$

$$= \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{(\theta+2)(\theta+3)}$$

$$\text{Since } E(X) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \Rightarrow E(X)^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{(\theta+2)^2}$$

$$\text{Then } \text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$$

$$= \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{(\theta+2)(\theta+3)} - \frac{\theta^2}{(\theta+2)^2}$$

$$= \frac{2\theta}{(\theta+2)^2(\theta+3)}$$

$$\text{Then } \sigma^2(\theta) = [g'(\mu)]^2 \sigma^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{(1-\mu)^2}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{2\theta}{(\theta+2)^2(\theta+3)}$$

$$= \left(\frac{(\theta+2)^2}{2}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{2\theta}{(\theta+2)^2(\theta+3)} = \frac{\theta(\theta+2)^2}{2(\theta+3)}$$

(iii) Two estimators of the standard error of $\hat{\theta}_n$ are

$$\hat{se}_1(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{|g'(\bar{X})|S}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{se}_2(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{\sigma(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\sqrt{n}}$$

where S^2 is the sample variance of X_1, \dots, X_n and $\sigma^2(\theta)$ is as defined in part (ii).

When would we prefer $\hat{se}_1(\hat{\theta}_n)$ to $\hat{se}_2(\hat{\theta}_n)$?

$$\hat{se}_1(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{|g'(\bar{x})|S}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \left(\left| \frac{2\bar{x}}{1-\bar{x}} \right| \right) \times \sqrt{\frac{2\theta}{n(\theta+2)^2(\theta+3)}}$$

$$\hat{se}_2(\hat{\theta}_n) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta(\theta+2)^2}{8n(\theta+3)}}$$

Essentially the only difference b/w $\hat{se}_1(\hat{\theta}_n)$ and $\hat{se}_2(\hat{\theta}_n)$

are that they are multiplied by $|g'(\bar{x})|$ and $g'(\mu)$ respectively.

This means that we prefer $\hat{se}_1(\hat{\theta}_n)$ when population mean μ is unknown

and we have sufficiently large N s.t. by law of large number, we can approximate

μ as \bar{x} with significant confidence. ▀