

1.1. Hard margin - (20 pts). Recall that the hard margin SVM problem can be written in the following primal form

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

(a) Write down the Lagrangian for this problem with Lagrangian parameters denoted with α_i 's.

The lagrangian for this problem becomes

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) - 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \forall \alpha$$

(b) Show that the equivalent dual problem can be written as

$$\max_{\alpha} W(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j$$

$$\text{s.t. } 0 \leq \alpha_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0.$$

Using previous lagrangian problem we have

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) - 1]$$

The goal of the lagrangian is to solve for,

$$\min_{\mathbf{w}, b} \max_{\alpha} L(\mathbf{w}, b, \alpha)$$

or equivalently,

$$\max_{\alpha} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b} L(\mathbf{w}, b, \alpha)$$

$$\max_{\alpha} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) - 1]$$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Then } \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i = 0$$

Let it equal to 0 we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ and } \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i$$

Let it equal to 0 we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0$$

Substituting these back into Lagrangian,

$$(\text{Dual}): \max_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i \right\|_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [t_i (\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j t_j \mathbf{x}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) - 1]$$

$$= \max_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i)^\top \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i (\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j t_j \mathbf{x}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_i) - b \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$$

 This is 0 (constraint)

$$= \max_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j - \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$$

$$= \max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j$$

Here we have 1 more constraints

$$\alpha_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0$$

<p>So (Dual) = $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j$ s.t $\alpha_i \geq 0$</p> <p>$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0$</p>

- (c) Assume that we solved the above dual formulation and obtained the optimal α . For a given test data point x , how can we predict its class?

Assume optimal α is given from above dual formulation

Then we have $w = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i x_i$ as previously shown.

Using the primal formulation:

$$t_i (w^T x_i + b) = 1$$

$$t_i^2 (w^T x_i + b) = t_i$$

$$w^T x_i + b = t_i$$

$$b = t_i - w^T x_i$$

So, with optimal α , we have w and b .

Using our classifier $f(x) = \text{sign}(w^T x + b)$

we can predict the class of a new test data x

1.2. Soft margin - (20 pts). Recall that the soft margin SVM problem can be written in the following primal form

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{w}, b, \xi} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ & \xi_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, N \end{aligned}$$

(a) Use the Lagrangian provided in the lecture to show that the equivalent dual problem can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} \quad & W(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{x}_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \gamma \quad i = 1, \dots, N \\ & \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian is given as the following:

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \alpha, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [t_i(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i + b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \xi_i$$

Where α_i, β_i are lagrange multipliers, constrained to be ≥ 0

① Setting derivative with respect to \mathbf{w} to be 0:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i = 0$$

$$\text{so } \mathbf{w} = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

② Setting derivative with respect to b to be 0:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0$$

$$\text{so } \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0$$

③ Setting derivative with respect to ξ to be 0:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi} = \gamma - \alpha_i - \beta_i = 0$$

$$\text{so } \gamma = \alpha_i + \beta_i$$

Since $\beta_i \geq 0$ because lagrange multiplier

$$\text{we have } \gamma - \alpha_i = \beta_i \geq 0$$

$$\text{so } \alpha_i \leq \gamma$$

Then $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \gamma$ Since $0 \leq \alpha_i$ α_i is lagrange multiplier.

Substitute w and Evaluate :

$$L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_i t_i x_i)^T \cdot \sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_i t_i x_i) + r \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \\ - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i [t_i (\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j t_j x_j + b) - 1 + \xi_i] - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \xi_i$$

$$L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, r) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j + r \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \\ - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i (\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j t_j x_j) x_i - b \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i + \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \xi_i$$

$$L(w, b, \xi, \alpha, r) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j + r \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i \xi_i \\ = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j + \sum_{i=1}^N (r - \alpha_i - \beta_i) \xi_i$$

$$\max_{\alpha} w(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N t_i t_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j \quad \text{such that } 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq r \quad \forall \alpha_i \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i = 0$$

(b) Assume that we solved the above dual formulation and obtained the optimal α . For a given test data point x , how can we predict its class?

Assume optimal α is given from above dual formulation

Then we have $w = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i t_i x_i$ as previously shown.

Using the primal formulation :

$$t_i (w^T x_i + b) = 1$$

$$t_i^2 (w^T x_i + b) = t_i$$

$$w^T x_i + b = t_i$$

$$b = t_i - w^T x_i$$

So, with optimal α , we have w and b .

Using our classifier $f(x) = \text{sign}(w^T x + b)$

we can predict the class of a new test data x