

BIBLIOGRAPHIE

1. Arrington, R., Langley, C. & Bogaerts, S. Using domain knowledge to improve monte-carlo tree search performance in parameterized poker squares. in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* vol. 30 (2016).
2. Dahl, F. A. A reinforcement learning algorithm applied to simplified two-player Texas Hold'em poker. in *European Conference on Machine Learning* 85–96 (Springer, 2001).
3. Gerrison, G. E. H. Combining Monte Carlo Tree Search and Opponent Modeling in Poker. *Maastricht Univ.* (2010).
4. He, H., Boyd-Graber, J., Kwok, K. & Daumé III, H. Opponent modeling in deep reinforcement learning. in *International conference on machine learning* 1804–1813 (PMLR, 2016).
5. Iolis, B. & Bontempi, G. Comparison of selection strategies in monte carlo tree search for computer poker. in *Proceedings of the Annual Machine Learning Conference of Belgium and The Netherlands, BeNeLearn* (2010).
6. McNally, P. & Rafii, Z. Opponent Modeling in Poker Using Machine Learning Techniques. *Northwest. Univ.* (2008).
7. Muhammad, T. *et al.* Transformer-Based Deep Learning Model for Stock Price Prediction: A Case Study on Bangladesh Stock Market. *Int. J. Comput. Intell. Appl.* 2350013 (2023) doi:10.1142/S146902682350013X.
8. Ponsen, M., De Jong, S. & Lanctot, M. Computing approximate nash equilibria and robust best-responses using sampling. *J. Artif. Intell. Res.* **42**, 575–605 (2011).
9. Teófilo, L. F., Passos, N., Reis, L. P. & Cardoso, H. L. Adapting Strategies to Opponent Models in Incomplete Information Games: A Reinforcement Learning Approach for Poker. in *Autonomous and Intelligent Systems* (eds. Kamel, M., Karray, F. & Hagrass, H.) vol. 7326 220–227 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012).