

Harper Fuchs  
AVL Tree Documentation

### Command: insert (NAME) (ID)

Function name: `string insert(string name, string id)`

Time Complexity:  $O(\log(n))$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: The time complexity is  $O(\log(n))$  because the function `insertNAMEID(string name, string id)` which is the private function called by `insert` is  $O(\log(n))$  which determines where to insert the node based on the data value (like a normal BST tree) and this reduces the amount of nodes that need to be traversed. All of the functions encased in the `insertNAMEID` function are  $O(1)$ , except one other  $O(\log(n))$  and they are called outside of any loop, and therefore can be dropped in the consideration of the time complexity of this function. The other functions called in `insert` are  $O(1)$  and  $O(m+l)$  where  $m$  is the chars in the name and  $l$  is the num chars in `id`. I am not considering these in my analysis because they are called in my main outside of `insert`, but I had to put them into `insert` when I had to get rid of main to run my test cases. My analysis is based on the time complexity when my main is included. I will comment them out of the `insert` function when I comment out the test cases to submit my files.

### Command: remove (ID)

Function name: `string removeID(string id)`

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: The time complexity is  $O(n)$  because it calls two functions with  $O(n)$  time complexities (both in relation to nodes still) so that becomes  $O(2n)$  which drops the 2. The function `deleteCases(Node* node)` has a time complexity of  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes because that was the highest time complexity of the two non- $O(1)$  functions it calls.  $O(n)$  occurs because it may have to access every node.

### Command: search (ID)

Function name: `string searchID(string id)`

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: This function is  $O(n)$  because in the worst case it may have to touch every node.

### Command: search (NAME)

Function name: `string searchNAME(string name)`

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: This function is  $O(n)$  because in the worst case it may have to touch every node.

### Command: printInorder

Function name: `void printIn()`

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: This function is  $O(n)$  because every node must be accessed to print.

### Command: printPreorder

Function name: void printPre()

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: This function is  $O(n)$  because every node must be accessed to print.

### Command: printPostorder

Function name: void printPost()

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes

Notes: This function is  $O(n)$  because every node must be accessed to print.

### Command: printLevelCount

Function name: int printLevelCount()

Time Complexity:  $O(1)$

Notes: Only one node needs to be accessed to determine the number of levels so this is a constant time complexity.

### Command: removeInorder (N)

Function name: string removeInorderN(int num)

Time Complexity:  $O(n)$  where  $n$  is the number of nodes.

Notes: This function is  $O(n)$  because the two functions encased in it are both  $O(n)$  both also with  $n$  being the number of nodes. These are  $O(n)$  because in the worst case they may need to access every node.  $O(2n)$  is then simplified to just  $O(n)$ .

## What I Learned

I learned a lot from this project. One of the most notable things is recursion. I already knew what recursion was, and have used it before, but this project gave me a lot of practice looking at it and I feel that I truly understand the concept now. I have learned about trees and how to rotate them, which is self explanatory, but the tree itself has strengthened my understanding of pointers and has been a great review. I have also had to revisit time complexity a lot, and this was good practice for that. If I have made any mistakes in my analysis, that will just be another opportunity to learn as I look through the feedback.

## What I would do Differently

I would start implementing test cases a lot earlier. This part of the project seemed very overwhelming to me at the beginning and I put it off. I had never learned how to make a test case so I was stressed that it would take up too much of my time and cut into the time I had

to fix my code since that was worth more points. After learning how to set up test cases, I realize that it is not that difficult or overwhelming and could have been a great tool to have as I worked on the project from the beginning.