

Simulations Documentation

the AWESOME Project

Markus Haider, Harald Höller

March 6, 2012

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Notes | 2 |
| 2 | Simulations | 5 |
| 2.1 | r128 | 5 |
| 2.1.1 | drdx_3 | 5 |
| 2.1.2 | drdx_h100_r128_1 | 6 |
| 2.1.3 | drdx_h100_r128_2 | 7 |
| 2.1.4 | drkltest+3c+sl50_1 | 8 |
| 2.2 | r256 | 9 |
| 2.2.1 | dr5d5_r256 | 9 |
| 2.2.2 | drd5_r256 (+) | 10 |
| 2.2.3 | drd5_r256_2 (+ major merger in progress) | 12 |
| 2.2.4 | drdx_3_r256 | 15 |
| 2.2.5 | fuenfincr256_1 | 17 |
| 2.2.6 | fuenfincr256_2 → dump! | 19 |
| 2.2.7 | gendrkl1r2_1c_1 | 21 |
| 2.2.8 | stages_12 | 25 |
| 2.2.9 | stages_21 | 26 |

Chapter 1

Notes

- 06.03.2012 submitted 4 jobs with same seed but different constraints parameters
Memory agglomeration fix also on cluster + email to developer
Wrote E-Mails to Rien de Weijgaert and Peter Behroozi
re-rockstarring `stages_21` on my machine pc122 → dumped due to memory
- 02.03.2012 re-galacticussing `NgenIC_15039` cause 200 output redshifts lead to > 30GB file + added luminosity output redshifts from Markus' .xml file
Peter answered and sent `consistent_trees v0.99`, but problem persists - suspicion: Snapshotnames.dat must be changed (delete corresponding lines) for runs that have < 200 outputs!
rockstar won't start any more ... network problem suspected
- 01.03.2012 wrote E-Mail to Peter concerning `find_parents_and_cleanup`:
`find_parents_and_cleanup.c:130` problem
consistenttree: `NgenIC_15039`, galacticussing
restarted: `stages_21` rockstarred auf AMD-04
first 512^3 simulation `NgenIC_7755` finished successfully - lasted 1 day on 64 cores
wrote E-mail to de Weijgaert concerning constrained ICs
- 29.02.2012 `stages_12` re-rockstarred auf AMD-03
`stages_21` rockstarred auf AMD-04 - crashed
100Mpc 512^3 jobs: 11410, 15725, 27036, 7755
10 100Mpc ICs generated
Note: try bigger volumes with NGen-IC
added output redshifts derived from `gadget_timer.txt` as parameter `outputRedshifts` in .xml file
Random seeds that do not create cluster like structures at 32Mpc box:

589, 12170, 13610, 16604, 16749, 17362, 17433, 29666, 32223, 17595, 22045, 3724, 3183, 4152, 7581, 8502, 10153, 10657, 22946, 14841, 25060, 29468, 32634

Random seeds that look a little interesting: 15039 → rockstarred on AMD-03 (finished), 26214 → rockstarred on AMD-04

28.02.2012 Successfully started some N-GenIC jobs for comparison of IC generation

17.02.2012 Discussion with Asmus about Stages Cluster → try more systematic approach to ICs

15.02.2012 Galacticus revision 708 - `drd5_r256_2` not fixed → E-Mail to Andrew check tomorrow: Galacticus jobs `fuenfincr256_1` and `drdx_3_r256`

Note: think about / find a good method for common metadata

14.02.2012 Wrote E-Mail to Bertschinger.

13.02.2012 Deleted some jobs I started yesterday because they had artificial crosses or were practically unconstrained

Third simulation `fuenfincr256_1` ran through - Galacticus restart worked well!

Note: IC with same seed but higher resolution do not yield the same simulation! → started two more test runs from r128 sims to doublecheck

12.02.2012 Updated Galacticus to revision 707 as suggested by Andrew and added parameter `hotHaloOutflowAngularMomentumAlwaysGrows` to xml file. Two of four simulations ran through (copied hdf5 to transfer), two crashed → try to continue at saved states!

10.02.2012 wrote E-Mail to Andrew about performance problems and wavelenght computation error in `fuenfincr256_1`

started some runs with higher central delta and broader smoothing lenghts, i.e. 32/dx and 100/dx; all 128 resolution except second last one (same seed!):

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------|------------|-------|---|------------|----------|-----------------|----|
| 83492 | 0.60500 | d31c_1_sta | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:19:56 | intel.q@astro18 | 16 |
| 83493 | 0.60500 | d31c_2_sta | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:20:37 | intel.q@astro29 | 16 |
| 83494 | 0.60500 | d31c_3_sta | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:21:17 | intel.q@astro25 | 16 |
| 83495 | 0.60500 | d51c_s1100 | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:23:21 | intel.q@astro31 | 16 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---------|------------|-------|---|------------|----------|-----------------|----|
| 83496 | 0.54786 | d3+3c_s150 | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:37:13 | intel.q@astro12 | 16 |
| 83497 | 0.60500 | d3+3c_s150 | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:39:16 | intel.q@astro30 | 32 |
| 83498 | 0.60500 | d15+3c_s15 | harre | r | 02/10/2012 | 15:44:23 | intel.q@astro30 | 16 |

09.02.2012 drd5_r256 last written to hdf5 file feb 09, 05:07
 fuenfincr256_2 last written to hdf5 file feb 06, 03:28
 drd5_r256_2 last written to hdf5 file feb 07, 00:50

02.02.2012 drdx_h100_128_1 run has again severe consistency metric problem
 → not clear why
 upper python script does not work, was commented out again
 plan: **move to python scripts in general in order to have easier arithmetic calculations**
 plan: create new folder structure and remove old simulations → done

31.01.2012 note: h=70.3 in galacticus xml input file is expected, consistent tree obviously implies it
 → fixed: changed in markus parameter file for the converter and in xml file
 → question: why not read out?
 → python updateGalacticusStart.py from Markus


30.01.2012 new consistenttree with vmax=20

Chapter 2

Simulations

2.1 r128


2.1.1 drdx_3



ROCKSTARRED ✓

pfff → Error: too few halos at scale factor 0.926072 to calculate consistency metric.


2.1.2 drdx_h100_r128_1



ROCKSTARRED ✓

consistenttree: too few halos at scale factor 0.896 ... → wtf?

2.1.3 drdx_h100_r128_2




is being rockstarred

2.1.4 drkltest+3c+sl50_1

```
Error: too few halos at scale factor 0.890265 to calculate consistency metric.  
Please remove this and all earlier timesteps from the scale file and rerun.  
(DescScales.txt)
```

2.2 r256

2.2.1 dr5d5_r256




→ re-rockstar on AMD ...-03

```
find_parents_and_cleanup.c:130:  
lookup_new_id: Assertion `new_id' failed.
```

is being consistentreed

2.2.2 drd5_r256 (+)




```
Fatal error in Build_Descendent\_Pointers():
failed to find descendent node: 5546454 of 5522259
```


galacticus.sh: line 67: 25689 Aborted


ROCKSTARRED ✓
CONSISTENTTREED ✓

2.2.3 drd5_r256_2 (+ major merger in progress)



Evolution:





GALACTICUSSED ✓

→ fixed in revision 709

→ not fixed! E-Mail to Andrew

After fix in rev. 708 → is being re-galacticussed

→ DUMP IT ?

→ gadgetviewer: simulation has "artificial" cross galacticus running on SGE

→ re-converted with bugfixed converter (v0.3)

is being galacticussed → job seems to run!



no: A fatal error occurred! Backtrace for this error:


#0 0x2B3F2E65E897

```
#1 0x2B3F2E65EE4E
#2 0x301763648F
#3 0x487AA0 in __merger_tree_read_MOD_build_descendent_pointers
#4 0x48ADC3 in __merger_tree_read_MOD_merger_tree_read_do
#5 0x48205E in __merger_tree_construction_MOD_merger_tree_create
#6 0x46F469 in __galacticus_tasks_evolve_tree_MOD_galacticus_task_evolve_tree._omp_
.F90:0
#7 0x46F9C4 in __galacticus_tasks_evolve_tree_MOD_galacticus_task_evolve_tree
#8 0x46FA4F in __galacticus_tasks_MOD_galacticus_task_do
#9 0x4600E4 in MAIN__ at Galacticus.F90:0

CONSISTENTTREEED √
ROCKSTARRED √ (lasted about 9000minutes)
```

2.2.4 drdx_3_r256





GALACTICUSSED ✓
 → fixed in revision 709

GALACTICUS REV708:

```
#4 0x301763648F
#5 0x49B1B8 in __merger_tree_read_MOD_build_descendent_pointers at merger_trees.com
#6 0x49FF70 in __merger_tree_read_MOD_merger_tree_read_do at merger_trees.construct
#7 0x4923BE in __merger_tree_construction_MOD_merger_tree_create at merger_trees.co
#8 0x4800C6 in __galacticus_tasks_evolve_tree_MOD_galacticus_task_evolve_tree._omp_
#9 0x2AC099B4F829
#10 0x3017A07CDO
#11 0x30176DFD3C
#12 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
/sge-root/sge/AMD64/spool/astro13/job_scripts/83594: line 22: 13318 Aborted
```


CONSISTENTTREED ✓

ROCKSTARRED ✓

is being rockstarred on astro-x4600-03

This run is a test if r256 and r128 (drdx_3) are comparable → see pictures.

2.2.5 fuenfincr256_1



GALACTICUSSED ✓

→ re-galacticussing with rev708

GALACTICUS: rev707 exited without error but not finished

GALACTICUSSED ✓ BUT:

[3:46:48 PM CEST] Markus Haider: der fuenfincr256_1 hat a problem

[3:46:52 PM CEST] Markus Haider: der hat keine output gruppe

[3:46:58 PM CEST] Markus Haider: also keinen output

[3:47:30 PM CEST] Markus Haider: btw schon einen output
[3:47:34 PM CEST] Markus Haider: aber es scheint was zu fehlen

→ E-Mail to Andrew
→ re-converted with bugfixed converter

```
Running model.....  
Reading data for metallicity log10(Z/Z_Solar) = 0.198  
Found 188 ages in the file  
Found 1963 wavelengths in the file  
gsl: ../../roots/brent.c:57: ERROR: function value is not finite  
Default GSL error handler invoked.
```


tree copied to markus transfer

GALACTICUS:

```
Fatal error in Build_Descendent_Pointers():  
failed to find descendent node: 12048576 of 12014628  
galacticus.sh: line 67: 5751 Aborted
```

ROCKSTARRED ✓
CONSISTENTTREED ✓

2.2.6 fuenfincr256_2 → dump!



GALACTICUSSED ✓ → gadgetviewer: simulation has "artificial" cross on right upper corner → DUMP IT ?

→ re-converted with bugfixed converter (v0.3)

galacticus running on SGE

is being galacticussed → job seems to run!


GALACTICUS:


```
Fatal error in Build_Descendent_Pointers():
failed to find descendent node
```

CONSISTENTTREEDE ✓

ROCKSTARRED ✓ (lasted about 9000minutes)

2.2.7 gendrkl1r2_1c_1





GALACTICUSSED WITH REVISION 709 ✓ CONSISTENTTREED ✓
 ROCKSTARRED ✓
 is being rockstarred on astro-x4600-03

E-Mail sent to Bertschinger

```
$ diff drkt+3c+s15_1+r2/constraints_drkt+3c+s15_1+r2.f
r128/h100/gendrk11_1c_1/constraints_gendrk11_1c_1.f

$ diff gendrk11r2_1c_1/grafic_inc_gendrk11r2_1c_1.f
r128/h100/gendrk11_1c_1/grafic_inc_gendrk11_1c_1.f
5c5
< parameter (np1=256,np2=256,np3=256,ncon=1)
---
> parameter (np1=128,np2=128,np3=128,ncon=1)

diff gendrk11r2_1c_1/graficIO_gendrk11r2_1c_1.out r128/h100/gendrk11_1c_1/graficIO_g
23c23
< Particle lattice size: np1,np2,np3= 256 256 256
---
> Particle lattice size: np1,np2,np3= 128 128 128
25,27c25,27
```

```

< chosen: 0.12500000      0.0000000      5.00000007E-02
< npart, L_x, L_y, L_z= 16777216      32.00      32.00      32.00 Mpc
< Particle mass= .1447E+09 solar masses
---
> chosen: 0.25000000      0.0000000      5.00000007E-02
> npart, L_x, L_y, L_z= 2097152      32.00      32.00      32.00 Mpc
> Particle mass= .1158E+10 solar masses
37c37
<           ak,akmax=   16.100662      16.000005475554534
---
>           ak,akmax=   16.068306      16.000005475554534
40,41c40,41
<     Mean sigma_delta, sigma_psi=   4.8100653      4.7177238      Mpc
<     Chisq, dof, nu=   16781832.      16777215  0.79710007
---
>     Mean sigma_delta, sigma_psi=   4.1531582      4.7162638      Mpc
>     Chisq, dof, nu=   2095840.0      2097151 -0.64012647
43c43
< Constraint 1: Sampled, desired= 0.28453870E-02 0.25000000E-01
---
> Constraint 1: Sampled, desired=-0.64672055E-02 0.25000000E-01
46c46
<           Sampled, desired=   0.21657717      16.718990
---
>           Sampled, desired=   1.1184790      16.713776
49c49
< Constraint 1: Final= 0.25000000E-01
---
> Constraint 1: Final= 0.25000002E-01
52,54c52,54
<     sigma_delta, sigma_psi=   4.9692168      7.6522889      Mpc
<     Chisq, dof=   16781832.      16777214
<     Maximum delta, displacement=   27.548712      17.026833      Mpc
---
>     sigma_delta, sigma_psi=   4.2376528      6.6093922      Mpc
>     Chisq, dof=   2095838.9      2097150
>     Maximum delta, displacement=   22.542503      14.168747      Mpc
56c56
< Scaling density and displacements to a= 2.75129788E-02
---
> Scaling density and displacements to a= 3.36233079E-02
58,59c58,59
< For a=astart: linear sigma, delmax= 0.18037927      0.99999994
< RMS, max. 3-D displacement= 0.27777302      0.61806273      Mpc

```


```
> For a=astart: linear sigma, delmax= 0.18798503      1.0000000
> RMS, max. 3-D displacement= 0.29319692      0.62853473      Mpc
```

This run is a test if r256 and r128 (`gendrkl_1c_1`) are comparable → see pictures. Sims are not only different in resolution!

2.2.8 stages_12

is being rockstarred on AMD-03

2.2.9 stages_21



is being rockstarred on AMD-04