

Teaching Computers to See Patterns in Scatterplots with Scagnostics

by Harriet Mason, Stuart Lee, Ursula Laa, and Dianne Cook

Abstract As the number of dimensions in a dataset increases, the process of visualising its structure and variable dependencies becomes more tedious. Scagnostics (scatterplot diagnostics) are a set of visual features that can be used to identify interesting and abnormal scatterplots, and thus give a sense of priority to the variables we choose to visualise. Here, we will discuss the creation of the *cassowaryr* R package that will provide a user-friendly method to calculate these scagnostics, as well as the development of adjusted measures not previously defined in the literature. The package is being tested on datasets with known interesting visual features to ensure the scagnostics are working as expected, before being applied to time series, physics and AFLW data to show their value as a preliminary step in exploratory data analysis.

Introduction

Visualising high dimensional data is often difficult and requires a trade-off between the usefulness of the plots and maintaining the structures of the original data. This is because the number of possible pairwise plots rises exponentially with the number of dimensions. Datasets like Anscombe's quartet (Anscombe, 1973) or the datasaurus dozen (Locke and D'Agostino McGowan, 2018) have been constructed such that each pairwise plot has the same summary statistics but strikingly different visual features. This design is to illustrate the pitfalls of numerical summaries and the importance of visualisation. This means that despite the issues that come with increasing dimensionality, visualisation of the data cannot be ignored. Scagnostics offer one possible solution to this issue.

The term scagnostics was introduced by John Tukey in 1982 (Tukey, 1988). Tukey discusses the value of a cognostic (a diagnostic that should be interpreted by a computer rather than a human) to filter out uninteresting visualisations. He denotes a cognostic that is specific to scatter plots a scagnostic. Up to a moderate number of variables, a scatter plot matrix (SPLOM) can be used to create pairwise visualisations, however, this solution quickly becomes infeasible. Thus, instead of trying to view every possible variable combination, the workload is reduced by calculating a series of visual features, and only presenting the outlier scatter plots on these feature combinations.

There is a large amount of research into visualising high dimensional data, most of which focuses on some form of dimension reduction. This can be done by creating a hierarchy of potential variables, performing a transformation of the variables, or some combination of the two. Unfortunately none of these methods are without pitfalls. Linear transformations are subject to crowding, where low level projections concentrate data in the centre of the distribution, making it difficult to differentiate data points (Diaconis and Freedman, 1984). Non-linear transformations often have complex parameterisations, and can break the underlying global structure of the data, creating misleading visualisations. While there are solutions within these methods to fix these issues such as a burning sage tour which zooms in further on points closer to the middle of a tour to prevent crowding (Laa et al., 2020a), or the liminal package which facilitates linked brushing between a non-linear and linear data transformations to maintaining global structure (Lee et al., 2020), all these methods still involve some transformation of the data. Scagnostics gives the benefit of allowing the user to view relationships between the variables in their raw form. This means they are not subject to the linear transformation issue of crowding, or the non-linear transformation issue of misleading global structures. That being said, only viewing pairwise plots can leave our variable interpretations without context. Methods such as those shown in *ScagExplorer* (Dang and Wilkinson, 2014) try to address this by visualising the pairwise plots in relation to the scagnostic measures distribution, but ultimately the lack of context remains one of the limitations of using scagnostics alone as a dimension reduction technique.

Scagnostics are not only useful in isolation, they can be applied in conjunction with other techniques to find interesting feature combinations of the transformed variables. The *tourr* projection pursuit currently uses a selection of scagnostics to identify interesting low level projections and move the visualisation towards them (Laa and Cook, 2020). Since scagnostics are not dependent on the type of data, they can also be used to compare and contrast scatter plots regardless of the discipline. In this way, they are a useful metric for something like the comparisons described in *A self-organizing, living library of time-series data*, which tries to organise time series by their features instead of on their metadata (Fulcher et al., 2020).

Several scagnostics have been previously defined in *Graph-Theoretic Scagnostics* (Wilkinson et al., 2005), which are typically considered the basis of the visual features. They were all constructed to




Figure 1: The building blocks for graph-based scagnostics: (a) convex hull, (b) alpha hull and (c) minimal spanning tree. The convex hull is a convex shell around all the data points. The alphahull contains all the points but allows concavities better capturing some shapes, but it needs tuning. The minimal spanning tree connects all points once, and has a single chain connecting central points.

range $[0,1]$, and later scagnostics have maintained this scale. The formula for these measures were revised in *Scagnostic Distributions* and are still calculated according to this paper (Wilkinson and Wills, 2008). In addition to the main nine, the benefit of using two additional association scagnostics were discussed in Katrin Grimm’s PhD thesis (Grimm, 2016). These two association measures are also used in the tourr projection pursuit (Laa and Cook, 2020).

There are two existing scagnostics packages, *scagnostics* (Wilkinson and Wills, 2008) and the archived package *binostics* (Laa et al., 2020b). Both are based on the original C++ code from *Scagnostic Distributions* (Wilkinson and Wills, 2008), which is difficult to read and difficult to debug. Thus there is a need for a new implementation that enables better diagnosis of the scagnostics, and better graphical tools for examining the results.

This paper describes the R package, *cassowaryr* that computes the currently existing scagnostics, and adds several new measures. The paper is organised as follows. The next section explains the scagnostics. This is followed by a description of the implementation. Several examples using collections of time series and XXX illustrate the usage.

Scagnostics

Building blocks for the graph-based metrics

In order to capture the visual structure of the data, graph theory is used to calculate most of the scagnostics. The pairwise scatter plot is re-constructed as a graph with the data points as vertices and the edges are calculated using Delaunay triangulation. In the package, this calculation is done using the *alphahull* package (Pateiro-Lopez et al., 2019) to construct an object called a *scree*. This is the basis for all the other objects that are used to calculate the scagnostics (except for monotonic, dcor and splines which use the raw data). The graph (*scree* object) is then used to construct the three key structures on which the scagnostics are based; the convex hull, alpha hull and minimum-spanning tree (MST) (Figure 1).

- **Convex hull:** The outside vertices of the graph, connected to make a convex polygon that contains all points. It is constructed using the *tripack* package.
- **Alpha hull:** A collection of boundaries that contain all the points in the graph. Unlike the convex hull, it does not need to be convex. It is calculated using the *alphahull* package (Pateiro-Lopez et al., 2019).
- **MST:** the minimum spanning tree, i.e the smallest distance of branches that can be used to connect all the points. In the package it is calculated from the graph using the *igraph* package (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006).

Graph-based scagnostics

The nine scagnostics defined in *Scagnostic Distributions* are detailed below with an explanation, formula, and visualisation. We will let A = alpha Hull, C = convex hull, M = minimum spanning tree, and s =

the scagnostic measure. Since some of the measures have a sample size dependence, w is a parameter used to adjust for the sample size.

- **Convex:** Measure of how convex the shape of the data is. Computed as the ratio between the area of the alpha hull (A) and convex hull (C). Unlike the other scagnostic measures, a high value on convex does not correlate to an interesting scatter plot, rather it usually indicates a lack of relationship between the two variables.

$$s_{convex} = w \frac{area(A)}{area(C)}$$

- **Skinny:** A measure of how “thin” the shape of the data is. It is calculated as the ratio between the area and perimeter of the alpha hull (A) with some normalisation such that 0 correspond to a perfect circle and values close to 1 indicate a skinny polygon.

$$s_{skinny} = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{4\pi area(A)}}{perimeter(A)}$$

- **Outlying:** A measure of proportion and severity of outliers in dataset. Calculated by comparing the edge lengths of the outlying points in the MST with the length of the entire MST.

$$s_{outlying} = \frac{length(M_{outliers})}{length(M)}$$

- **Stringy:** This measure identifies a “stringy” shape with no branches, such as a thin line of data. It is calculated by comparing the number of vertices of degree two ($V^{(2)}$) with the total number of vertices (V), dropping those of degree one ($V^{(1)}$).

$$s_{stringy} = \frac{|V^{(2)}|}{|V| - |V^{(1)}|}$$

- **Skewed:** A measure of skewness in the edge lengths of the MST (not in the distribution of the data). It is calculated as the ratio between the 40% IQR and the 80% IQR, adjusted for sample size dependence.

$$s_{skewed} = 1 - w(1 - \frac{q_{90} - q_{50}}{q_{90} - q_{10}})$$

- **Sparse:** Identifies if the data is sporadically located on the plane. Calculated as the 90th percentile of MST edge lengths.

$$s_{sparse} = wq_{90}$$

- **Clumpy:** This measure is used to detect clustering and is calculated through an iterative process. First an edge J is selected and removed from the MST. From the two spanning trees that are created by this break, we select the largest edge from the smaller tree (K). The length of this edge (K) is compared to the removed edge (J) giving a clumpy measure for this edge. This process is repeated for every edge in the MST and the final clumpy measure is the maximum of this value over all edges.

$$\max_j [1 - \frac{\max_k [length(e_k)]}{length(e_j)}]$$

- **Striated:** This measure identifies features such as discreteness by finding parallel lines, or smooth algebraic functions. Calculated by counting the proportion of acute (0 to 40 degree) angles between the adjacent edges of vertices with only two edges.

$$\frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{v \in V^2} I(\cos \theta_{e(v,a)e(v,b)} < -0.75)$$

Association-based scagnostics

- **Monotonic:** Checks if the data has an increasing or decreasing trend. Calculated as the Spearman correlation coefficient, i.e. the Pearson correlation between the ranks of x and y .

$$s_{\text{monotonic}} = r_{\text{spearman}}^2$$

The two additional scagnostics discussed by Katrin Grimm are described below.

- **Splines:** Measures the functional non-linear dependence by fitting a penalised splines model on X using Y , and on Y using X . The variance of the residuals are scaled down by the axis so they are comparable, and finally the maximum is taken. Therefore the value will be closer to 1 if either relationship can be decently explained by a splines model.

$$s_{\text{splines}} = \max_{i \in x, y} \left[1 - \frac{\text{Var}(\text{Residuals}_{\text{model } i=})}{\text{Var}(i)} \right]$$

- **Dcor:** A measure of non-linear dependence which is 0 if and only if the two variables are independent. Computed using an ANOVA like calculation on the pairwise distances between observations.

$$s_{\text{dcor}} = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{V}(X, Y)}{\mathcal{V}(X, X)\mathcal{V}(Y, Y)}}$$

where

$$\mathcal{V}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n A_{kl} B_{kl}$$

where

$$A_{kl} = a_{kl} - \bar{a}_{k.} - \bar{a}_{.j} - \bar{a}_{..}$$

$$B_{kl} = b_{kl} - \bar{b}_{k.} - \bar{b}_{.j} - \bar{b}_{..}$$

Checking the scagnostics calculations

Once we have working functions that correctly calculate the scagnostics according to their definition, we can assess how well they identify the visual features of scatter plots. To test the packages ability to differentiate plots, we have created a dataset called “features” (Figure 2), that contains a series of interesting and unique scatter plots which we can run our scagnostics on. These scatter plots typify certain visual features we want to look for in scatter plots, be it deterministic relationships (such as that shown in the nonlinear feature), discreteness in variables (vlines), or clustering (clumpy), we should be able to use scagnostics to identify each of these scatter plots.

Figure 3 shows scatter plots from the features data aligned on a 0 to 1 scale for each scagnostic. This visualisation displays a low, high, and moderate value for each scagnostic, and is useful to see how the scagnostics order data that typifies their visual feature. This plot gives a slight idea of the issues some of the scagnostics face in their current state. The scagnostics are supposed to range from 0 to 1 however in some cases the values are so compressed that a moderate value would not fit, indicating that the scagnostics do not work quite as intended. The scagnostics based upon the convex hull (i.e. skinny and convex) work fine, as do the association measures such as monotonic, dcor and splines. The main issue comes from the measures based on the MST. We suspect the reason for these warped distributions is the removal of binning as a preliminary step in calculating the scagnostics. We wanted the package to have binning as an optional method, considering choices in binning can lead to bias as noted in [Wilkinson and Wills \(2008\)](#) or unreproducible results as noted in [Wang et al. \(2020\)](#). Therefore the current scagnostics will be assessed without binning.

- **Striated:** striated can identify the specific case of one discrete variable and one continuous variable (which alone is not particularly interesting) but will not identify two discrete variables. Since by definition it is a subset of the stringy measure, they are highly correlated, which means most variables that score highly on striated already score highly on stringy, making the measure less useful.
- **Sparse:** While sparse does seem to identify spread out distributions, it rarely returns a value higher than 0.1. As this measure is the 90th percentile of MST edge lengths, and the removal of binning allows for a large number of arbitrarily small edges. In addition to this, a larger




Figure 2: The scatter plots of the features dataset. These scatter plots were designed to each represent a distinct visual feature, for example the ring scatter plot is a hollow version of disk. The scagnostics need to be able to differentiate these plots.




Figure 3: A visual table of a selection of scagnostics computed on the features data. The rows correspond to different scagnostics and the horizontal axis is the calculated value on a range of 0-1. Thumbnail plots of variable pairs are placed at their scagnostic value, and indicate the type of structure that would produce high or low or medium values. Some scagnostics, e.g. clumpy, clearly need adjustment, while others, such as splines work well as they are. XXX DC: not sure I see this

number of observations will arbitrarily make this value smaller. The addition of new points will increase the number of small edges and decrease the number of large edges, and it is rare that a significantly large edge will be at or below the 90th percentile.

- **Skewed:** this measure can identify skewed edge lengths (such as the L shape in the visual table) however the values on real data rarely drop below 0.5 or rise above 0.8. Skewed seems to suffer from the same issue as sparse regarding the binning issue and is also heavily influenced by the number of observations in the scatter plot.
- **Outlying:** the distinction of outlying points described in the scagnostic literature is certainly strange. By definition an outlier must have *all* its adjacent edges in the MST above this threshold, and the visual table displays three interesting cases of this. The first plot (outliers2) returns a 0 even though the handful of points in the top corner would likely be considered to be outliers by a human. This is because within that group the points are close enough that all of them have at least one edge that is below the outlying threshold. Even if we changed the measure such that only one edge needed to be above the outlying threshold, it would only remove a single point. The l-shape shows an increasing spread of the points as they move away from the bottom left corner, as such, the larger edge lengths make sense within the distribution. Outlying does not take this into account, and identifies a large number of the spread out points to be outliers and removes them before computing the other scagnostics. The value that scores the highest on the outlying measure is, without question, a highly outlying distribution, however the outlying measure only returns a 0.5, this is again due to the removal of binning as a preprocessing step.
- **Clumpy:** the clumpy scagnostic is probably the one that suffers the most with the removal of the binning step. Due to it being a ratio between an edge and its longest adjacent edge, it does not identify the largest edge, but rather an edge that is connected to an arbitrarily small edge. Because of this, this scagnostic reliably returns an arbitrarily high value and scatter plots that actually contain clusters (such as clusters) scores low on this measure, while a continuous variable plotted against a discrete variable score arbitrarily high.
- **Stringy:** This measure rarely drops below 0.5 even on data generated from a random normal distribution (which should intuitively return a 0). Unlike the other scagnostics on this list, stringy does not depend upon the edge lengths of the MST, so it is hard to say if this issue stems from binning.

Some of these measures primary issue is that they do not seem to range uniformly from 0 to 1. These measures still put the scatter plots in the correct order and so do not urgently need adjustments in order to work as intended. For other measures, removing the binning step completely changes the visual feature the measure is identifying. For that reason we will only adjust the measures which no longer make sense without binning, and keep the measures that have a warped distribution (but correctly order the scagnostics) as they are. With these issues in mind, we have defined and written several new scagnostics that work even without the pre-processing step of binning.

The Adjusted Scagnostics Measures

The measures that need an adjusted version are striated, and clumpy. The outlying and stringy measure could possibly be left as they are, as they are not as badly damaged by the removal of binning.

Striated adjusted The issues surrounding the striated scagnostic are:

1. By only counting vertices with 2 edges, the set of vertices counted in this measure are a subset of those counted in stringy, thus the two measures are highly correlated.
2. In order for the vertex to be counted, the angle between the edges needs to be approximately 135 to 220 degrees. The original idea seems to have been to give an allowance for the binning, data on a line might end up slightly to either side if the boundary for the bins is exactly on the line the data follows. With the removal of binning this leeway is unnecessary and many plots that are not discrete are identified as such.

To account for these two issues the striated adjusted measure considers all vertices (not just those with two adjacent edges), and makes the measure strict around the 180 and 90 degree angles. With this we can see the improvements on the measure in Figure 4.

Figure 4 shows that while these two measures may seem similar at a glance, there are a few minor things that make the striated2 scagnostic an improvement on the striated scagnostic. First of all, the perfect 1 value on striated goes to the “line” scatter plot. While this does fulfil the definition, it is not what the measure is supposed to be looking for, rather supposed to be identifying the “vlines” scatter plot. Since striated does not count the right angles that go between the vertical lines, a truly striated




Figure 4: Using a visual table to compare the striated and its adjusted counterpart, striated2. This plot compares the scagnostic value of a select group of scatter plots for striated and striated2. While the functions may seem similar at a glance, striated2 has a stricter version of discreteness, hence why line and vlins have the same result and plots with no discreteness score a 0.

plot will never get a full 1 on this measure, striated adjusted fixes this. After that there is a large gap in both measures because none of the other scatter plots have a strictly discrete measure on the x or y axis. The lower plots show that striated2 is also better at identifying discrete relationships with a rotation and noise added as shown in the “discrete” plot. In striated “discrete” is lower in the order than “outlying” which would indicate that striated has finished looking at discreteness. In striated2, after the plots with strict discreteness in “vlins” or strict rotated discreteness in “line”, is the noisy and rotated “discrete” plot. Therefore in terms of ordering the plots in how well they represent the feature of discreteness, striated2 outperforms striated.

The scagnostics need to be used and interpreted with the type of dataset you are working with in mind. For if we are looking at a dataset that is discrete, a very low value on striated2 would indicate some strange relationship in the scatter plot. Since the old striated measure is specifically trying to find a continuous variable against a discrete variable, its highest values are also identified by the striated2. The lowest values on striated simply identify a plot where all the variables are at right angles, once again a measure of discreteness but one that is not identified by striated. Striated2 encapsulates both versions of discreteness in the values that get exactly a 1.

Clumpy adjusted The issues that need to be addressed with the new clumpy measure are:

1. It needs to consider more than 1 edge in its final measure to make the measure more robust
2. The impact of the ratio between the long and short edges need to be weighted by the size of their clusters so the measure does not simply identify outliers
3. It should not consider vertices that's adjacent angles form a straight line (to avoid identifying the angles striated identifies)

Before we calculated a new clumpy measure, we looked into applying a different adjustment defined in the *Improving the robustness of scagnostics* that is a robust version of the original clumpy measure (Wang et al., 2020). This version of clumpy has been included in the package as `clumpy_r` however it is not included as an option in the higher level functions such as `calc_scags()` because its computation time is too long. This measure tries to build multiple clusters, each having their own clumpy value, and then returns the weighted sum, where each value is weighted by the number of observations in that cluster. This version of clumpy spreads the scatter plots more evenly between 0 and 1 and is more robust to outliers, however it does a poor job of ordering plots generally considered




Figure 5: A visual table comparison of clumpy and clumpy2. This plot compares the scagnostic value of a select group of scatter plots for clumpy and clumpy2. It is clear that clumpy2 achieves a more balanced distribution and more intuitive plot ordering.

to be clumpy without the assistance of binning. Since this scagnostic cannot be used in large scale scagnostic calculations (such as those done on every pairwise combination of variables as is intended by the package) and it maintains the ordering issue from the original measure, it is not discussed here.

Therefore in order to fix the issues in the clumpy measure described above, we designed an adjusted clumpy measure, called clumpy2 in the package, and it is calculated as follows:

1. Sort the edges in the MST
2. Calculate the difference of adjacent vectors in this ordering, and find the index of the maximum. This maximum difference should indicate the jump from between cluster edges and inter-cluster edges.
3. Remove the between cluster edges from the MST and build clusters using the remaining edges
4. For each between cluster edge, take the smaller cluster (in number of observations) and take its median edge length. The clumpy value for that edge is the ratio between the large and small edge lengths $\frac{edge_{small}}{edge_{large}}$, with a two multiplicative penaltys, one for uneven clusters $\frac{2 \times n_{small}}{n_{small} + n_{big}}$, and one for “stringy” scatter plots that is only applied if the stringy value is higher than 0.95, to reduce the arbitrarily large clumpy scores that come from striated plots $1 - s_{stringy}$.
5. Take the mean clumpy value for each between cluster edge, if it is below 1 it is beneath the threshold that is considered clumpy, and the value is adjusted to 1.
6. Clumpy 2 returns $1 - \frac{1}{mean(clumpy_i)}$

With this calculation, we generate the clumpy2 measure which is compared to the original clumpy measure in the Figure 5. Here we can see the improvements made on the clumpy measure in both distribution from 0 to 1 and ordering. The measure is more spread out, and so values range more accurately from 0 to 1. More importantly the measures do a better job of ordering the scatter plots. On the original clumpy measure the “clusters” scatter plot was next to last, on the clumpy2 measure “clusters” is identified as the most clumpy scatter plot. Clumpy 2 also has a penalty for uneven clusters (to avoid being large due to a small collection of outliers) and clusters created arbitrarily due to discreteness (such as vlines) in order to better align with the human interpretation of clumpy. With these changes, the stronger performance of clumpy2 is apparent in this visual table.

Implementation

Installation

The package can be installed from CRAN using

```
install.packages("cassowaryr")
```

and from GitHub using

```
remotes::install_github("numbats/cassowaryr")
```

to install the development version.

Web site

More documentation of the package can be found at the web site <https://numbats.github.io/cassowaryr/>.

Data sets

The cassowaryr package comes with several data sets that load with the package, they are described here.

data	explanation
features	Simulated data with special features.
anscombe_tidy	Data from Anscombes famous example in tidy format.
datasaurus_dozen	Datasaurus Dozen data in a long tidy format.
datasaurus_dozen_wide	Datasaurus Dozen Data in a wide tidy format.
numbat	A toy data set with a numbat shape hidden among noise variables.
pk	Parkinsons data from UCI machine learning archive.

Functions

Scagnostics functions

The scagnostics functions either calculate each scagnostic measure, or are involved in the process of calculating a scanostic measure (such as making the hull objects). These functions are low level functions, and while they are exported and can be used, they are not the intended method of calculating scagnostics as they perform no outlier removal, however they are still an option for users if they wish. In some cases, such as `sc_clumpy_r` for clumpy robust, they are the only method to calculate that scagnostic. The functions in this group are:

dt	text
scree	Generates a scree object that contains the Delaunay triangulation of the scatter plot.
sc_clumpy	Compute the original clumpy scagnostic measure.
sc_clumpy2	Compute adjusted clumpy scagnostic measure.
sc_clumpy_r	Compute robust clumpy scagnostic measure.
sc_convex	Compute the original convex scagnostic measure
sc_dcor	Compute the distance correlation index.
sc_monotonic	Compute the Spearman correlation.
sc_outlying	Compute the original outlying scagnostic measure.
sc_skewed	Compute the original skewed scagnostic measure.
sc_skinny	Compute the original skinny scagnostic measure.
sc_sparse	Compute the original sparse scagnostic measure.
sc_sparse2	Compute adjusted sparse measure.
sc_splines	Compute the spline based index.
sc_striated	Compute the original striated scagnostic measure.
sc_striated2	Compute angle adjusted striated measure.
sc_stringy	Compute stringy scagnostic measure.

Table 1: Cassowaryr summary functions

funcname	description
calc_scags	Compute selected scagnostics on subsets.
calc_scags_wide	Compute scagnostics on all possible scatter plots for the given data.

Table 2: The main arguments for calc_scags().

argument	description
y	numeric vector of x values.
x	numeric vector of y values.
scags	collection of strings matching names of scagnostics to calculate: outlying, stringy, striated, striated2

Drawing functions

The drawing functions are intended to be used by the user so they can better understand the results of the scagnostic functions. The input is two numeric vectors and the output is a ggplot object that draws the graph based on the object in question. The functions that belong to this group are:

funcname	description
draw_alphahull	Drawing the alpha hull.
draw_convexhull	Drawing the convex hull.
draw_mst	Drawing the MST.

Calculate functions

The summary functions are the preferred method for users to calculate scagnostics. The calc_scags() function is supposed to be used on long data with the dplyr group_by and summarise functions. The calc_scags_wide() function is designed to take in a tibble of numerical variables and return the scagnostics on every possible pairwise scatter plot. Both functions return a tibble where each column is a scagnostic. These are the two main functions of the package.

The main arguments of the calc_scags() function are shown in Table 2.

Making summaries

While the calc_scags() function does not take in a tibble, it is designed to be seamlessly integrated into the tidy data workflow. Currently if we specify the scagnostics in the summarise function it does not work correctly, however we can work around that with the filter option until it is fixed. Using the code below, we can calculate a specified list of scagnostics on the scatter plots from the features data, producing the output shown in **Table 3**.

```
features_scags <- features %>%
  group_by(feature) %>%
  summarise(calc_scags(x,y)) %>%
  select(c(feature, outlying, clumpy2, monotonic))
```

feature	outlying	clumpy2	monotonic
barrier	0.00	0.00	0.35
clusters	0.06	0.83	0.03
discrete	0.00	0.00	0.01
disk	0.02	0.40	0.09
gaps	0.00	0.75	0.06
l-shape	0.38	0.00	0.48
line	0.11	0.00	1.00
nonlinear1	0.27	0.00	0.17
nonlinear2	0.00	0.00	0.81
outliers	0.00	0.52	0.71
outliers2	0.59	0.00	0.06
positive	0.14	0.29	0.92
ring	0.02	0.45	0.04
vlines	0.00	0.17	0.08
weak	0.05	0.00	0.41

We are also considering an additional two summary functions that could be introduced to the package. While the code required to write them is simple and easily performed by the user, having them as ready functions in the package would help guide users to use the package most effectively. The two additional functions that have not yet been implemented into the package are `calc_topscacs()` and `calc_toppairs()` which are described in [table](#).

func_name	description
<code>calc_topscacs</code>	Return the top scagnostic value for each pair of variables
<code>calc_toppairs</code>	Return the top pair of variables for each scagnostic

The code for both are simple, but an example of how to calculate `calc_toppairs()` with its output will be shown here. In this case it is the top groups, but the main idea is the same as if we had used `calc_scags()` wide to generate pairs of variables.

```
features_toppairs <- features_scags %>%
  pivot_longer(!feature, names_to = "scag", values_to = "value") %>%
  arrange(desc(value)) %>%
  group_by(scag) %>%
  slice_head(n=1)
```

feature	scag	value
clusters	clumpy2	0.83
line	monotonic	1.00
outliers2	outlying	0.59

Tests

All the functions that calculate the scagnostic measures (all the measures that start with “sc”) have tests written and implemented using the `testthat` package. They have all been compared to calculations completed by hand to ensure the difference in results from previous literature are due to other steps in the process, such as binning, and not a mistake in the write up of the code. These tests also illuminated some issues that allowed us to make meaningful changes to the definitions of the scagnostics and the implementation of the package. For example, several tests to check the outlying scagnostic was working correctly illustrated some issues in the process of outlier removal, which is illustrated in [Figure 6](#).

[Figure 6](#) shows the an example of a simulated test set, combined with the associated MST. When creating this test data set, we assumed the MST would connect via the red line, but instead the MST connected via the long black line. The difference between these choices is essentially random, they are the exact same length, but it has significant implications for the value returned by the outlying scagnostic. This test was designed to check the outlier removal process for internal outliers, point 1 should have been identified as an internal outlier which means both its edges were considered in the calculation of outlying, and points 2 and 3 are too close to each other for either to fulfill the outlying definition, so they are left alone. Using the `draw_mst()` function when the test failed showed the issue was an essentially random decision in the MST construction. If the red line was used to construct the MST, both the red dashed line, and the line connecting point 1 to point 2 would be included in the outlying scagnostic calculation, in the actual calculation it was only the edge between points 1 and 2, giving a significantly smaller value on the the outlying scagnostic. This shows that even the scagnostics that work reliably well and did not need significant adjustments are still susceptible to




Figure 6: Plot of simulated data used for testing the ‘outlying’ scagnostic. The left plot shows the raw data, while the right plot presents the MST generated on that data. The edges that make it into the MST can be random and also have serious implications for outlier scagnostic. If the red edge is in the MST rather than the black edge that connects to 3, the outlying value on this plot is much higher.

arbitrarily large changes resulting from seemingly small changes in the visual structure of the scatter plot.

Examples

AFL player statistics

The Australian Football League Women’s (AFLW) is the national semi-profesisonal Australia Rules football league for female players. Here we will analyse data sourced from the official AFL website with information on the 2020 season, in which the league had 14 teams and 1932 players. These variables are recorded per player per game, so the stats are averaged for each player over the course of the season. The description of each statistic data set can be found in the Appendix. There are 68 variables, 33 of which are numeric, the others are categorical, e.g. players names or match ids, and they would not be used in scagnostic calculations. This means there are 528 possible scatterplots, significantly more than a single person could view and analyse themselves and so we use scagnostics to identify which pairwise plots might be interesting to examine.

Figure 7 displays 5 scatter plots (Plots 1 to 5 in the figure) that were identified as having a particularly high or low value on a scagnostic, or an unusual combination of two or more scagnostics. In addition to these 5, there is a 6th plot (Plot 6 in the figure) that is included to display what a middling value on almost all of the scagnostics looks like. Most scatter plots score middling values on the scagnostics, so Plot 6 is a good indication of what we would look at if we picked variables to plot ourselves with no intuition. The visual structure that changes significantly between Plots 1 to 5, and the lack of interesting visual features in Plot 6, shows the benefit of using scagnostics in early stages of exploratory data analysis. Extreme values on the scagnostic measurements identify atypical scatter plots.

The best way to identify interesting scatter plots using scagnostics is to construct a large interactive SPLOM. This is how Plots 1 to 5 were identified, but for the sake of space, we are only going to show the specific scatter plots of the SPLOM that led to the selection of Plots 1, 2, and 5.

Figure 8 displays Plot 1, Plot2 and Plot 5 beneath the specific scatter plot of the scagnostics SPLOM that was used to identify the plot as interesting. Plot 1 was identifies as interesting as it returned high values on both outlying and skewed. Intuitively, this would indicate that even after removing outliers, the data was still disproportionately spread out, a visual feature that we can see very clearly in Plot 1. Plot 2 scored very highly on all the association measures, which indicates a strong relationship between the two variables. The three association measures typically have strong correlation and scatter plots that stay within the large mass in the center have a linear relation, those that don’t often have a non-linear relationship. The splines vs dcor plot tells us that there is a strong linear relationship between total possessions and disposals. Total possessions is the number of times the player has the ball and disposals is the number of times the player gets rid of the ball legally, so the strong linear relation indicates the level of play, i.e. few mistakes are made in a professional league. Plot 5 an excellent example in what new information we can learn from a unique plot identified with scagnostics. This plot is high on striated2 and moderate to low on outlying, telling us most of the points will be at




Figure 7: Six AFLW sport statistic scatter plots that were identified as identified as interesting by the scagnostics. Plots 1 to 5 had unique values an individual or pair of scagnostics, Plot 6 had middling values on all measures. There is a clear difference in structure between these plots that was identified by the scagnostics.

straight or right angles and a little spread out. If a specific sports statistic is related to position, we would see a relationship have a lower triangular structure similar to that of Plot 4, however this plot does not have a lower triangular structure, is has an L-shape. This means these statistics are not about position, but rather the physical abilities of the players. Hitouts measure the number of times the player punches the ball after the referee throws it back into play, bounces have to be done while running, and are typically done by fast players. The L-shape tells us that players who do one very rarely perform the other. The moderate spread along both of the statistics tells us these are both somewhat specialised skills, and the players who specialise in one do not specialise in the other, i.e. in AFL the tallest player in the team is rarely the fastest. These plots provide a clear example in the unique information gained using scagnostics as a tool in exploratory data analysis.

Black hole mergers

Physics data often contains multiple variables with highly non-linear pairwise relationships that often also have a large amount of clustering, which makes this type of data ideal for investigating the capability of the splines and clumpy2 scagnostics. Two scagnostics that's uses were not particularly visible in the AFLW example. Here we will use scagnostics to study a simulated dataset that contains posterior samples describing a gravitational waves signal from a black hole merger. The data contains 13 variables that describe (what event), the explanation of each can be found in the appendix. In this example looking at the complete SPLOM is still feasible, and could be used to identify several interesting scatterplots and the corresponding combination of variables. Most notably we can see non-linear and non-functional relations between pairs of variables, and we expect that these should stand out on the scagnostics measures as well. The full data file contains 9998 posterior samples, without binning it is too long to compute the scagnostics on such a large number of observations. For our purpose a much smaller sample is sufficient, and we randomly sample 200 observations before computing the scagnostics. We will focus on the structures we know exist by looking at which scatter plots significant difference in their splines and dcor values, as well as which plots stand out on the clumpy2 measure.

Figure 9 shows scatterplots of the computed scagnostics measures, where several combinations stand out. On the left plot we see three points with very low values of the convex measure and high values of skinny. These are all possible combinations containing the variables time, ra and dec, and the corresponding scatterplots are shown in the upper row of Figure 10. Because of how a single experiment observes the sky, there is an interesting pattern between these variables, with posterior samples being drawn along a non-linear band in this three-dimensional space.

These variables also stand out in the middle plot of Figure 9, where it is interesting to note that the combinations with non-linear but functional relation (time vs ra and dec vs ra) have somewhat higher values in the splines measure compared to dcor. On the other hand dec vs time does not




Figure 8: Three plots that were identified as interesting with the scagnostic scatter plot used to identify it. Each scatter plot of AFLW data is displayed below a plot of the two scagnostic measures it stood out on. One of the most useful ways to identify plots is through scatter plots of the scagnostics.




Figure 9: Pairs of scagnostics computed for the black hole mergers data. XXX




Figure 10: Features in the BBH data that stand out on several of the scagnostics measures (convey, skinny, splines and dcor), showing strong relations between variables including non-linear and non-functional dependencies. The final example (time vs alpha) is expected to take high values in clumpy, but only stands out on the corrected clumpy2.

exhibit a functional relation, and consequently gets a higher dcor score compared to splines (with both measures still taking large values). This also happens for two other combinations: `m1` vs `m2` and `chi_p` vs `chi_tot`, which are shown in the bottom row (left and middle) of Figure 10. We see that both these combinations show noisy linear relations.

Another interesting aspect with this dataset is that there are several combinations that lead to visible separations between groups of points. It is thus an ideal testcase for our new implementation of `clumpy2`. The right plot in Figure 9 shows `clumpy` vs `clumpy2`, and reveals large differences between the two measures. In particular there are many combinations without visible clustering, that still score high on `clumpy`, but where `clumpy2` is zero. On the other hand we can see that there are several combinations that do lead to visible separation between groups that stand out in terms of `clumpy2`, but not the original `clumpy`. One example is `time` vs `alpha`, shown in the bottom right plot of Figure 10.

Comparing Macroeconomic and Microeconomic Time Series

A potential application of scagnostics is to detect shape differences between groups. Commonly, classification focuses on differences in the means, or separations between groups, there are few techniques that focus on difference in shape. This difference in shape occurs when the variance patterns between groups are different, and quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA), is a classical example of a method that takes this difference in variance into consideration. QDA assumes the distribution of each group is normal, and then draws a curved boundary between them that is furthest from each groups mean, but also respects that one group might have a larger elliptical variance-covariance than another group. While this method is useful when groups have different shapes, the technique is still limited by an assumption of normality. Scagnostics could be utilised in a similar fashion to QDA to identify irregular shape differences between.

This analysis compares the features of two large collections time series, and then tries to differentiate them using scagnostics. The goal of the comparison is to compare shapes, not necessarily centres of groups as might be done in LDA or other machine learning methods. The two groups chosen for comparison are macroeconomic and microeconomic series. The data is pulled from the self-organizing database of time-series data (Fulcher et al., 2020), using the `compenginets` R package (Hyndman and Yang, 2021). Since the time series are different lengths, each is described by a set of time series features (chapter 4 of fpp, 2021) using the `feasts` R package (O'Hara-Wild et al., 2021).

For illustration, just a small set of features is examined, but still enough that the list of scatter plots




Figure 11: Interesting differences between two groups of time series detected by scagnostics. The time series are described by time series features, in order to handle different length series. Scagnostics are computed on these features separately for each set to explore for shape differences.

identified by the scagnostics is significantly smaller than the list of all possible scatter plots. **Table** shows the pair of features that maximises the difference between groups for each scagnostic. Plotting a handful of these in (Figure 11), we can see the difference in shape that the scagnostics have identified. For example, the difference between the curvature and trend strength features shows both types of time series have, on average, strong trends and moderate curvature, however the former varies more in the macroeconomic series and the latter in the microeconomic series. We can see from this example, and the other comparisons in the plot, that the scagnostics have identified a difference in shape that is not apparent in the mean of the data. Similar comments can be made about the other two plots in Figure 11.

While we have shown that the scagnostics succeed in identifying difference in shapes between groups, this does not automatically transfer to a classification technique. Utilising the scagnostics ability to identify between group shape differences is an early step in using them for classification. It is not uncommon for supervised learning methods to be born from unsupervised learning methods. For example, principal component analysis transforms a dataset by making linear combinations of the old variables in the direction of most variance, and using these transformed variables in a linear regression can improve results. However, despite its promise, developing a classification technique is beyond the scope of this research.

Var1	Var2	scags	macro_value	micro_value	scag_dif
acf1	trend_strength	clumpy2	0.83	0.00	0.83
longest_flat_spot	trend_strength	convex	0.12	0.62	0.50
pacf5	diff1_acf1	outlying	0.32	0.71	0.39
curvature	trend_strength	skewed	0.66	0.84	0.19
longest_flat_spot	trend_strength	skinny	0.64	0.37	0.27
acf1	trend_strength	sparse	0.04	0.11	0.07
pacf5	acf1	splines	0.88	0.00	0.88
longest_flat_spot	diff1_acf1	striated2	0.13	0.06	0.06
diff1_acf1	trend_strength	stringy	0.84	0.73	0.11

World bank development indicators

The World Bank delivers a lot of development indicators ([World Bank, 2021](#)), for many countries and multiple years. The sheer volume of indicators, in addition to substantial missing values, makes a barrier to analysis. This is a good example to where scagnostics can be used to identify pairs of indicators with interesting relationships.

Here we have downloaded indicators from 2018 for a number of countries. First, the data needs some pre-processing, to remove variables which have mostly missing values, and countries which have mostly missing values. The scagnostics will be calculated on the pairwise complete data, so it is ok to leave a few sporadic missings. At the end of the pre-processing, there are 20 indicators for 79 countries.




Figure 12: Most of the pairs of indicators exhibit outliers or are stringy. There is one pair that has clumpy as the highest value. There are numerous pairs that have a highest value on convex.

Conclusion

Scagnostics are a useful tool to identify the visual features in scatter plots. By building upon the earlier work, we have successfully implemented previously defined scagnostics into the *cassowary* package, and adjusted some of them so they continue to work without a pre-processing binning step. The package is shown to work, with details on its functions, the testing process and its possible applications. We displayed these applications by giving four examples. The first, AFLW was designed to show the general use of the *cassowary* package, and show how to best use scagnostics to find unique scatter plots. In this example we also showed that looking at specific pairwise scatter plots can give us valuable information about our dataset, namely by interpreting the *hitouts vs bounces* scatter plot in Figure 8. Using simulated data of a black hole merger, we then displayed the packages ability to identify pairwise non-linear relationship with splines and dcor, as well as the improvement clumpy2 made in identifying clustering. The time series example displayed the packages value as a method of classification where it successfully identified scatter plots where the macro and micro economic time series had different shape. Finally the scagnostics were applied to the World Bank indicators to show how the scagnostics can be used to product an overall “shape summary” of a data set.

The greatest limitation in this project was the 1 year time limit on the research. When the presenting the research proposal, I thought there would be time to code up all the original scagnostics, fix any issues with them, implement binning as an option, and have time left over to create scagnostics completely of my own design. This clearly did not occur. Ultimately coding up the previous scagnostics was more time consuming than I originally thought it would be, especially because there was a fair bit of room for interpretation on some of the scagnostics. For example, skinny and convex do not specify what to do in the event the alphahull has no area (this occurs when the data is on a perfect straight line), and so we used intuition to set them to 1 and 0 respectively. Additionally, a large portion of the project was invisible to me at the outset, such as the testing to ensure the scagnostics were working according to definition, rounds of debugging, and meeting CRAN requirements. These elements produced very little output but were required for the rigour of the project. On top of this, to reduce dependencies most of the functions were written only using base R, which made the project more challenging. For these reasons, the software aspect of this thesis narrowed in scope throughout the year, however the number of examples and applications increased, as we recognised new ways the scagnostics could be used throughout the year. Ultimately the final project is significantly different to its original goals, but it contains an equal amount of work.

There is a large amount of future work that could build upon this research. To start with, the distribution of the scagnostics have been largely warped by the removal of binning as a preprocessing step. In the World Bank indicators example, we made the assumption that the scagnostics are all uniformly distributed from 0 to 1, however, looking at some of our results as well as the visual table of the features scatter plots (Figure 3), shows this may not be the case. It would be a substantial task to identify the distributions of the scagnostics, and make adjustments to rectify any irregularities. The scagnostics would need to be reassessed using large volumes of data to ensure the measures are not simply identifying the structures of that data set. Looking only at the outlying scagnostic on the features data may lead us to believe that the maximum outlier value is 0.5 and its distribution is warped, however other data sets in this paper had scatter plots that measured a perfect 1 on outlying. Without this wide variety of data it is difficult for us to make comments on the spread of the scagnostics. Scagnostics could also be expanded to be the basis of a classification technique that identifies shape differences in groups. While we showed in the time series example that scagnostics can identify shape differences between groups, expanding that observation to stand alone classification technique is outside the scope of this research. *Transforming Scagnostics to Reveal*

Hidden Features (XXX needs citation) showed that scagnostics can be used to identify useful structure in pairwise plots after transformations such as log or logit transformations. This paper showed a possible natural extension for the scagnostics in the original code, but could also be considered a natural extension of the *cassowaryr* package. Finally, there are a handful of scagnostics that are used in the projection pursuit in the *tourr* package to find the best view of a large number of variables. The scagnostics described here could also be implemented into the package to improve the projection pursuit. Previously scagnostics have has a large amount of noise (i.e. the *tourr* struggles to reliably move in the direction that will have the greatest increase in the scagnostic) and checking if these scagnostics maintain that issue, and developing them to negate this issue, is another possible area of future research. It is clear from this list that there is a significant amount of research that could be built upon this scagnostics.

Bibliography

- Forecasting: principles and practice, 3rd edition*. OTexts: Melbourne, Australia, OTexts.com/fpp3, 2021. Accessed on October 18, 2021. [p15]
- F. J. Anscombe. Graphs in statistical analysis. *The American Statistician*, 27(1):17–21, 1973. doi: 10.1080/00031305.1973.10478966. URL <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00031305.1973.10478966>. [p1]
- G. Csardi and T. Nepusz. The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal, Complex Systems*:1695, 2006. URL <https://igraph.org>. [p2]
- T. N. Dang and L. Wilkinson. Scagexplorer: Exploring scatterplots by their scagnostics. In *2014 IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium*, pages 73–80, 2014. doi: 10.1109/PacificVis.2014.42. [p1]
- P. Diaconis and D. Freedman. Asymptotics of graphical projection pursuit. *The Annals of Statistics*, 12(3):793–815, 1984. URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2240961>. [p1]
- B. D. Fulcher, C. H. Lubba, S. S. Sethi, and N. S. Jones. A self-organizing, living library of time-series data. *Scientific data*, 7(1):213–213, 2020. URL <https://www.comp-engine.org>. [p1, 15]
- K. Grimm. *Kennzahlenbasierte Grafikauswahl*. doctoral thesis, Universität Augsburg, 2016. [p2]
- R. Hyndman and Y. Yang. *compenginets: Time series from http://www.comp-engine.org/timeseries/*, 2021. URL <https://github.com/robjhyndman/compenginets>. R package version 0.1. [p15]
- U. Laa and D. Cook. Using Tours to Visually Investigate Properties of New Projection Pursuit Indexes with Application to Problems in Physics. *Computational Statistics*, 35:1171–1205, 2020. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-020-00954-8>. [p1, 2]
- U. Laa, D. Cook, and S. Lee. Burning sage: Reversing the curse of dimensionality in the visualization of high-dimensional data. *arXiv: Computation*, 2020a. [p1]
- U. Laa, H. Wickham, D. Cook, and H. Hofmann. binostics: Computing scagnostics measures in r and c++. 2020b. URL <https://github.com/uschiLaa/paper-binostics>. [p2]
- S. Lee, U. Laa, and D. Cook. Casting multiple shadows: High-dimensional interactive data visualisation with tours and embeddings, 2020. [p1]
- S. Locke and L. D’Agostino McGowan. *datasauRus: Datasets from the Datasaurus Dozen*, 2018. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=datasauRus>. R package version 0.1.4. [p1]
- M. O’Hara-Wild, R. Hyndman, and E. Wang. *feasts: Feature Extraction and Statistics for Time Series*, 2021. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=feasts>. R package version 0.2.2. [p15]
- B. Pateiro-Lopez, A. Rodriguez-Casal, and . *alphahull: Generalization of the Convex Hull of a Sample of Points in the Plane*, 2019. URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=alphahull>. R package version 2.2. [p2]
- J. Tukey. *The Collected Works of John W. Tukey*, pages 411,427,433. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 1988. [p1]
- Y. Wang, Z. Wang, T. Liu, M. Correll, Z. Cheng, O. Deussen, and M. Sedlmair. Improving the robustness of scagnostics. *IEEE Transactions on Visualisations and Computer Graphics*, 26(1):759–769, 2020. [p4, 7]
- L. Wilkinson and G. Wills. Scagnostics distributions. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 17(2):473–491, 2008. [p2, 4]

L. Wilkinson, A. Anand, and R. Grossman. Graph-theoretic scagnostics. In *IEEE Symposium on Information Visualization, 2005. INFOVIS 2005.*, pages 157–164, Oct 2005. [p1]

World Bank. World development indicators. the world bank group. <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators>, 2021. Accessed 14 October 2021. [p16]

Harriet Mason
Monash University
Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics
Melbourne, Australia
<https://www.britannica.com/animal/quokka>
ORCID: 0000-1721-1511-1101
hmas0003@student.monash.edu

Stuart Lee
Genentech

<https://stuartlee.org>
ORCID: 0000-0003-1179-8436
stuart.andrew.lee@gmail.com

Ursula Laa
University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences
Institute of Statistics
Vienna, Austria
<https://uschilaa.github.io>
ORCID: 0000-0002-0249-6439
ursula.laa@boku.ac.at

Dianne Cook
Monash University
Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics
Melbourne, Australia
<https://dicook.org>
ORCID: 000-0002-3813-7155
dicook@monash.edu