

Hao Chen

Udacity Artificial Intelligence

### Heuristic Analysis

Custom\_score 1 is similar to the Improved\_score where it outputs a score equal to the difference in the number of moves available to the two players. However, if your available move is 2 more than your opponents available moves, you start to chase after your opponent, having a heuristic formula  $\text{own\_moves} - 2 * \text{opp\_moves}$ .

Custom\_score 2 is also a score based implementation of heuristics. Since each corner moves are less desirable than the center moves, each player's score is calculated by 2 times its available center moves plus 1 times its available corner move. Then we return the score difference between the two players.

Custom\_score 3 is a combination of custom\_score 1 + custom\_score 2. Each player's score is calculated by 2 times its available center moves plus 1 times its available corner move. In addition, if the player's available moves are 2 more than the opponent's moves, then the player can start chasing after the opponent, returning a heuristic formula  $\text{own\_moves} - 2 * \text{opp\_moves}$ .

*****									
Playing Matches									
*****									
Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved		AB_Custom		AB_Custom_2		AB_Custom_3	
		Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost	Won	Lost
1	Random	33	7	33	7	33	7	31	9
2	MM_Open	12	28	10	30	17	23	12	28
3	MM_Center	23	17	22	18	27	13	23	17
4	MM_Improved	8	32	10	30	16	24	15	25
5	AB_Open	18	22	24	16	23	17	20	20
6	AB_Center	19	21	19	21	14	26	24	16
7	AB_Improved	22	18	19	21	20	20	27	13
<hr/>									
Win Rate:		48.2%		48.9%		53.6%		54.3%	

By increasing the number of matches to 20 and running the tournaments.py, I concluded that heuristic 3 outperformed Improved\_score and worked the best. By comparing my result with ones on the forum, I see that my laptop's CPU is also slower than the average, causing a ~50% win ratio for Improved\_score. Lastly, the only way to really know the best evaluation function is to try lots of variances in them and see which one works the best.