



Resource-efficient algorithm for estimating the trace of quantum state powers $\text{Tr}(\rho^k)$

Myeongjin Shin*, [Junseo Lee*](#), Seungwoo Lee, and Kabgyun Jeong

harris.junseo@gmail.com | [arXiv:2408.00314](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.00314)

Summary

- ▶ Estimating the trace of quantum state powers, $\text{Tr}(\rho^k)$, for k identical quantum states is a fundamental task with numerous applications in quantum information processing.
- ▶ Inspired by the Newton-Girard method, we significantly improve upon existing results by introducing an algorithm that requires only $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{r})$ qubits and $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{r})$ multi-qubit gates, where $\tilde{r} = \min \{\text{rank}(\rho), \lfloor \ln(2k/\epsilon) \rfloor\}$.

[Algorithm 1] Estimation of $\text{Tr}(\rho^k)$

1. Estimate the values of $\text{Tr}(\rho^\ell)$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, t$ using the method proposed in [Quek *et al.*, *Quantum*, 2024], and denote them as $Q_{\ell(\leq t)}$. The estimation is $\epsilon(2kt \ln t)^{-1}$ -additive.
2. Calculate the elementary symmetric polynomial b_k ($1 \leq k \leq t$) defined as:

$$b_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^k (-1)^{\ell-1} b_{k-\ell} Q_\ell, \quad b_0 = 1. \quad (1)$$

3. The value of $\text{Tr}(\rho^\ell)$ can be ϵ -additively estimated for $\ell > t$ using the following recurrence relation:

$$Q_{\ell(>t)} = \sum_{k=1}^t (-1)^{k-1} b_k Q_{\ell-k}. \quad (2)$$

[Algorithm 2] Estimation of $\text{Tr}(M\rho^k)$

1. Following Steps 1 and 2 of [Algorithm 1], with Step 1 providing an $\epsilon(2\|M\|_\infty kt \ln t)^{-1}$ -additive estimate, we obtain b_1, \dots, b_t .
2. $(\epsilon/4)$ -additively estimate the values of $\text{Tr}(M\rho^\ell)$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, t$ using the method proposed in [Liang *et al.*, *PRA*, 2023], and denote these values as $Q_{\ell(\leq t), M}$.
3. The value of $\text{Tr}(M\rho^\ell)$ can be ϵ -additively estimated for $\ell > t$ using the following recurrence relation:

$$Q_{\ell(>t), M} = \sum_{k=1}^t (-1)^{k-1} b_k Q_{\ell-k, M}. \quad (3)$$

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that

$$\varepsilon_{i, M} = |\varepsilon_{i, M}| = |P_{i, M} - Q_{i, M}| < \epsilon/4, \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$\varepsilon_i = |\varepsilon_i| = |P_i - Q_i| < \epsilon(2\|M\|_\infty kt \ln t)^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$, where the operator norm $\|M\|_\infty$ is defined corresponding to the ∞ -norm for vectors $\|x\|$, as $\|M\|_\infty = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Mx\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty}$. Setting $t = \tilde{r}_M$ and proceeding with [Algorithm 2] based on the recurrence relation (3), the following relation always holds:

$$|\varepsilon_{i, M}| = |P_{i, M} - Q_{i, M}| \leq \epsilon \quad (6)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Where \tilde{r}_M is the effective rank for the observable M defined as:

$$\tilde{r}_M = \min \{r, \lfloor \ln(2k\|M\|_\infty/\epsilon) \rfloor\}. \quad (7)$$

Notations: a_k and b_k represent the elementary symmetric polynomials corresponding to $P_i = \text{Tr}(\rho^i)$ and $Q_i = \text{Tr}(\rho^i)$, respectively.

Additionally, $P_{i, M} = \text{Tr}(M\rho^i)$ and $Q_{i, M} = \text{Tr}(M\rho^i)$.

Resource requirements for estimating $\{\text{Tr}(\rho^i)\}_{i=1}^k$

Method	# Depth	# Qubits	# CSWAP	# Copies	Original $ \psi\rangle$
Generalized swap test	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k^2/\epsilon^2)$	NOT required
Hadamard test	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k^2/\epsilon^2)$	Required
Two-copy test	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k^2/\epsilon^2)$	Required
Two-copy test & Qubit-reset	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k^2/\epsilon^2)$	Required
Multivariate trace estimation	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k)$	$\mathcal{O}(k^2/\epsilon^2)$	NOT required
Ours (this work)	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{r})$	$\mathcal{O}(\tilde{r})$	$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(k^2/\epsilon^2)$	NOT required

Effective rank for ϵ -additive estimations

Quantity	Quantum Resource Needed	Lower bound on t (in [Algorithm 1 & 2])
$\text{Tr}(\rho^k)$	$\{\text{Tr}(\rho^i)\}_{i=1}^t$	$\min \{\text{rank}(\rho), \lfloor \ln(2k/\epsilon) \rfloor\}$
$\text{Tr}(M\rho^k)$	$\{\text{Tr}(\rho^i), \text{Tr}(M\rho^i)\}_{i=1}^t$	$\min \{\text{rank}(\rho), \lfloor \ln(2k\ M\ _\infty/\epsilon) \rfloor\}$
$\text{Tr}(\rho^k \sigma^\ell)$	$\{\text{Tr}(\rho^i), \text{Tr}(\sigma^i)\}_{i=1}^t, \{\text{Tr}(\rho^i \sigma^j)\}_{(i,j)=(1,1)}^{(t,t)}$	$\min \{\max \{\text{rank}(\rho), \text{rank}(\sigma)\}, \lfloor \ln((4k+4\ell)/\epsilon) \rfloor\}$

Rank is all you need

Lemma 3.1. Let $d_k = b_k - a_k$, then the following holds:

$$|d_k| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\varepsilon_j|}{j}. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that,

$$\varepsilon_i = |\varepsilon_i| = |Q_i - P_i| < \epsilon(kt \ln t)^{-1} \quad (9)$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Setting $t = r$ and proceeding with [Algorithm 1] based on the recurrence relation (2), the following relation always holds:

$$|\varepsilon_i| = |Q_i - P_i| < \epsilon \quad (10)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Corollary 3.1. To estimate $\text{Tr}(\rho^i)$ for all $i \leq k$ within an additive error of ϵ and with a success probability of at least $1 - \delta$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$, it suffices to estimate each $\text{Tr}(\rho^j)$ for $j \leq r$ within an additive error of ε_j , as defined in Theorem 3.1. This can be achieved by using

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k^2 r^2 \ln^2 r \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right) \quad (11)$$

runs on a constant-depth quantum circuit consisting of $\mathcal{O}(j)$ qubits and $\mathcal{O}(j)$ CSWAP operations.

Application: Entanglement detection

- ▶ Separable quantum state ρ_{AB} always has a positive semi-definite (PSD) partial transpose (PT), denoted as $\rho_{AB}^{\Gamma_B}$.
- ▶ The k -th PT moment: $p_k^{\text{PT}} = \text{Tr}[(\rho^\Gamma)^k]$.

Lemma 5.1. A quantum state ρ is entangled if $e_i(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) < 0$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where p_i^{PT} are the PT moments of ρ^Γ , and $e_i(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ denotes the elementary symmetric polynomial in m variables, which satisfies the recursive formula

$$e_k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} e_{k-i} p_i^{\text{PT}}. \quad (12)$$

- ▶ Computing $\{p_i^{\text{PT}}\}_{i=1}^t$, $t = \mathcal{O}(\ln(r/\epsilon))$ is sufficient to estimate higher-order PT moments.
- ▶ Using these PT moments and the recursive formula (12), we can detect entanglement.

Effective rank is all you need

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that \tilde{P}_i is defined as

$$\tilde{P}_{i(\leq t)} = \text{Tr}(\rho^i) = \sum_{j=1}^r p_j^i, \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{P}_{i(>t)} = \sum_{k=1}^t (-1)^{k-1} a_k \tilde{P}_{i-k}. \quad (14)$$

Then the following holds:

$$|\tilde{P}_k - P_k| \leq \frac{k}{t!} \left(1 - \frac{t}{r}\right). \quad (15)$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that,

$$\varepsilon_i = |\varepsilon_i| = |Q_i - P_i| < \epsilon(2kt \ln t)^{-1} \quad (16)$$

holds for $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Setting $t = \lfloor \ln(2k/\epsilon) \rfloor$ and proceeding with [Algorithm 1] based on the recurrence relation (2), the following relation always holds:

$$|\varepsilon_i| = |Q_i - P_i| < \epsilon \quad (17)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Corollary 3.2. To estimate $\text{Tr}(\rho^i)$ for all $i \leq k$ within an additive error of ϵ and with a success probability of at least $1 - \delta$, where $\delta \in (0, 1)$, it suffices to estimate each $\text{Tr}(\rho^j)$ for $j \leq \lfloor \ln(2k/\epsilon) \rfloor$ within an additive error of ε_j , as defined in Theorem 3.2. This can be achieved by using

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\frac{k^2 \ln(1/\delta)}{\epsilon^2}\right) \quad (18)$$

runs on a constant-depth quantum circuit consisting of $\mathcal{O}(j)$ qubits and $\mathcal{O}(j)$ CSWAP operations. Where $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\cdot)$ ignores the logarithmic terms.

Open problems

- ▶ Tighter upper bound on $|\tilde{P}_k - P_k|$ and t :
 - ▶ **Conjecture:** $t = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln(k/\epsilon)}{\ln \ln(k/\epsilon)}\right)$.
- ▶ Upper bounds for restricted settings:
 - ▶ Incoherent measurements
 - ▶ Bounded quantum memory
- ▶ γ -multiplicative error dependence
- ▶ Impact on virtual distillation
- ▶ Finding more rank-dependent algorithms