Homework 2

1. **Defining Multiplication over** \mathbb{Z}_{27}^* . In the class, we had considered the group $(\mathbb{Z}_{26}, +)$ to construct a one-time pad for one alphabet messages. A few students were interested to define a group with 26 elements using a "multiplication"-like operation. This problem will assist you to define the $(\mathbb{Z}_{27}^*, \times)$ group.

Interpret \mathbb{Z}_{27}^* as the set of all triplets (a_0, a_1, a_2) such that $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_3$ and at least one of them is non-zero (you can think of the triplets as the ternary representation of the elements in \mathbb{Z}_{27}^*). We shall equivalently interpret the element (a_0, a_1, a_2) as the polynomial $a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2$. So, every element in \mathbb{Z}_{27}^* has an associated non-zero polynomial of degree at most 2, and every non-zero polynomial of degree at most 2 has an element in \mathbb{Z}_{27}^* associated with it.

The multiplication (\times operator) of the element (a_0, a_1, a_2) with the element (b_0, b_1, b_2) is defined as the element corresponding to the polynomial

$$(a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2) \times (b_0 + b_1X + b_2X^2) \mod X^3 + 2X + 2$$

According to this definition of the \times operator, find

- (10 points) $(1,2,1) \times (2,2,1)$
- (15 points) the inverse of (1, 2, 1).

Solution.

• $(1,2,1) \times (2,2,1)$ $(a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2) \times (b_0 + b_1X + b_2X^2) \mod X^3 + 2X + 22$ $(1 + 2X + X^2) \times (2 + 2X + X^2) \mod X^3 + 2X + 2$ $2 + 4X + 2X^2) + (2X + 4X^2 + 2X^3) + (X^2 + 2X^3 + X^4 \mod X^3 + 2X + 2$ $2 + 0X + X^2 + X^3 + X^4 \mod X^3 + 2X + 2$ $2 + 0X + X^2 - (2X + 2) - (2X^2 + 2X) \mod X^3 + 2X + 2$ $0 + 2X + 2X^2 \mod X^3 + 2X + 2$ (0, 2, 2) • the inverse of (1, 2, 1).

$$(1+2X+X^2)\times(b_0+b_1X+b_2X^2)\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$(b_0+b_1X+b_2X^2)+(2b_0X+2b_1X^2+2b_2X^3)+(b_0X^2+b_1X^3+b_2X^4)\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$b_0+(b_1+2b_0)X+(b_2+2b_1+b_0)X^2+(2b_2+b_1)X^3+b_2X^4\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$b_0+(b_1+2b_0)X+(b_2+2b_1+b_0)X^2-(2b_2+b_1)(2X+2)-b_2(2X^2+2X)\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$b_0+(b_1+2b_0)X+(b_2+2b_1+b_0)X^2-(b_2+2b_1)-(b_2+2b_1)X-(2b_2)X-(2b_2)X^2\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$(b_0+b_1+2b_2)+(2b_0+2b_1)X+(2b_2+2b_1+b_0)X^2\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$(b_0+b_1+2b_2)+(2b_0+2b_1)X+(2b_2+2b_1+b_0)X^2\mod X^3+2X+2$$

$$(0,0,0) \text{ when } b_0=2,b_1=2,b_2=1$$

$$(1,2,2)$$

2. One-time Pad for 3-Alphabet Words. We interpret a, b, ..., z as 0, 1, ..., 25. We will work over the group $(\mathbb{Z}_{26}^3, +)$, where + is coordinate-wise integer-sum mod 26. For example, abx + acd = ada.

Now, consider the one-time pad encryption scheme over the group $(\mathbb{Z}_{26}^3,+)$.

• (12.5 points) What is the probability that the encryption of the message *cat* is the cipher text *cat*?

$$P(cipher = "cat" given message = "cat") = P(secretkey = "aaa") = (1/26)^3 = 0.0000569$$

• (12.5 points) What is the probability that the encryption of the message cat is the cipher text dog?

$$P(cipher = "dog" given message = "cat") = P(secretkey = "bon") = (1/26)^3 = 0.0000569$$

3. Left Identity and Left Inverse. Recall that when we defined a group (G, \circ) , we stated that there exists an element e such that for all $x \in G$ we have $x \circ e = x$. Note that e is "applied on x from the right."

Similarly, for every $x \in G$, we are guaranteed that there exists $\mathsf{inv}(x) \in G$ such that $x \circ \mathsf{inv}(x) = e$. Note that $\mathsf{inv}(x)$ is again "applied to x from the right."

Intuitively, we shall explore the following questions: (a) Is there an "identity from the left?," and (b) Is there an "inverse from the left?"

We shall formalize and prove these results in this question.

- (10 points) Prove that $e \circ x = x$, for all $x \in G$.
- (10 points) Prove that if there exists an element $\alpha \in G$ such that for all $x \in G$ we have $\alpha \circ x = x$, then $\alpha = e$.

Note that these two steps prove that the "left identity" is identical to the right identity e.

- (10 points) Prove that $inv(x) \circ x = e$.
- (10 points) Prove that if there exists an element $\alpha \in G$ and $x \in G$ such that $\alpha \circ x = e$, then $\alpha = \mathsf{inv}(x)$.

Note that these two steps prove that the "left inverse of x" is identical to the left inverse inv(x).

Finally, we can prove the following result crucial to the proof of security of one-time pad over the group (G, \circ) .

• (10 points) Suppose $m \in G$ is a message and $c \in G$ is a cipher text. Prove that there exists a unique $\mathsf{sk} \in G$ such that $m \circ \mathsf{sk} = c$.

Solution.

• Prove that $e \circ x = x$, for all $x \in G$.

Proof by contradiction. Suppose there exists x such that $e \circ x \neq x$ By performing the $\circ \mathsf{inv}(x)$ function on both sides, we get $e \circ (x \circ inv(x)) \neq x \circ inv(x) \equiv e \circ e \neq e \equiv e \neq e$

Proof by contradiction, $e \circ x = x$

• Prove that if there exists an element $\alpha \in G$ such that for all $x \in G$ we have $\alpha \circ x = x$, then $\alpha = e$.

Suppose there exists α such that $\alpha \circ x = x$

Let x = e, such that $\alpha \circ e = e$ Given $\alpha \circ e = \alpha$, we can conclude $\alpha = e$

• Prove that $inv(x) \circ x = e$.

```
Given that x \circ \mathsf{inv}(x) = e and x \circ e = x
Then \mathsf{inv}(x) = \mathsf{inv}(x) \circ e = \mathsf{inv}(x) \circ (x \circ \mathsf{inv}(x)) = (\mathsf{inv}(x) \circ x) \circ \mathsf{inv}(x)
It follows that e = \mathsf{inv}(x) \circ \mathsf{inv}(\mathsf{inv}(x)) = (\mathsf{inv}(x) \circ x) \circ \mathsf{inv}(x) \circ \mathsf{inv}(\mathsf{inv}(x)) = (\mathsf{inv}(x) \circ x) \circ e = \mathsf{inv}(x) \circ x
\mathsf{inv}(x) \circ x = e
```

• Prove that if there exists an element $\alpha \in G$ and $x \in G$ such that $\alpha \circ x = e$, then $\alpha = \operatorname{inv}(x)$.

```
Suppose \alpha \circ x = e
```

```
Then \alpha \circ x = e \equiv \alpha \circ x \circ \mathsf{inv}(x) = e \circ \mathsf{inv}(x) \equiv \alpha \circ e = e \circ \mathsf{inv}(x) \equiv \alpha = \mathsf{inv}(x)
```

• Suppose $m \in G$ is a message and $c \in G$ is a cipher text. Prove that there exists a unique $\mathsf{sk} \in G$ such that $m \circ \mathsf{sk} = c$.

```
Given m, c \in G, suppose m \circ \mathsf{sk} = c
```

This would imply that $m \circ \mathsf{sk} \circ \mathsf{inv}(m) = c \circ \mathsf{inv}(m) \equiv \mathsf{sk} = c \circ \mathsf{inv}(m)$

Therefor, there exists a $\mathsf{sk} \in G$ for every $m, c \in G$ where $\mathsf{sk} = c \circ \mathsf{inv}(m)$

4. One-time Pad with non-uniform secret key. (25 points) Consider the one-time pad encryption scheme over a group (G, +). Suppose the a priori distribution of messages is the uniform distribution over the set G. Suppose the generation algorithm samples the secret-key sk according to the distribution \mathcal{D} over the sample space G such that \mathcal{D} is not the uniform distribution over G. Is this encryption scheme secure? (Remark: To prove that the scheme is secure, provide a proof that the a priori distribution of messages is same as the a posteriori distribution. To prove that the scheme is insecure, provide a proof that the a priori distribution of messages is different from the a posteriori distribution.)

- 5. Designing Encryption Scheme. We shall work over the field (Z₁₁, +, ×). Assume that there are ten people {1,2,...,10}. Design a private-key encryption scheme for the following scenario.
 Alice meets the ten people {1,2,...,10} today. She can provide each of them information {s₁, s₂,...,s₁₀}.
 Tomorrow, Alice shall encrypt a message m ∈ Z₁₁. The encryption has to ensure that decryption should be possible if and only if two people among {1,...,5} and three people among {6,...,10} get together.
 - (15 points) Provide the (Gen, Enc, Dec) algorithms.
 - (15 points) Proof of security of this scheme.

- 6. A property of 2-wise Independence. Let \mathcal{H} be a hash function family from the domain \mathcal{D} to the range \mathcal{R} .
 - (20 points) Similar to the proof in the lectures for universal hash function family, prove the following. There exists distinct $x_1^*, x_2^* \in \mathcal{D}$ and $y_1^*, y_2^* \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[h(x_1^*) = y_1^*, h(x_2^*) = y_2^* \colon h \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{H}\right] \geqslant \frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{R}\right|^2}$$

(*Remark:* Note that this result does not depend on whether $|\mathcal{R}| < |\mathcal{D}|$ or not.)

• (25 points) Now, suppose that $|\mathcal{R}| < |\mathcal{D}|$. Suppose that for all distinct $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ the following holds.

$$\mathbb{P}\left[h(x_1) = h(x_2) \colon h \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{H}\right] < \frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|}$$

Prove that there exists distinct $x_1^*, x_2^* \in \mathcal{D}$ and $y_1^*, y_2^* \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[h(x_1^*) = y_1^*, h(x_2^*) = y_2^* \colon h \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{H}\right] > \frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|^2}$$

This result proves that if a universal hash-function family has collision probability $<\frac{1}{|\mathcal{R}|}$ then it is not pairwise independent.

7. **Extra Credit.** Suppose $\mathcal{D} = \{0,1\}^n$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{0,1\}^{n-1}$. Construct a hash function family such that for all distinct $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left[h(x_1) = h(x_2) \colon h \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathcal{H}\right] = \frac{1}{M} \cdot \left(\frac{N-M}{N-1}\right),$$

where $N = 2^n$ and $M = 2^{n-1}$. Try to construct a hash function family such that each hash function can be efficiently evaluated.