

## BIPHOTON CASCADE QUANTUM COLLAPSE - POC

Hypothesis: Biphoton cascade (quantum process) affects randomness

Null hypothesis: Pure randomness remains at 50%

Alternative: Quantum collapse shifts randomness toward 85%

Running 100 trials...

Trial 1: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 0.0%  
Trial 2: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 0.0%  
Trial 3: ✓ P:H A:H | Accuracy: 33.3%  
Trial 4: ✓ P:T A:T | Accuracy: 50.0%  
Trial 5: ✓ P:H A:H | Accuracy: 60.0%  
Trial 10: ✓ P:H A:H | Accuracy: 60.0%  
Trial 20: ✓ P:H A:H | Accuracy: 75.0%  
Trial 30: ✓ P:H A:H | Accuracy: 70.0%  
Trial 40: ✗ P:T A:H | Accuracy: 62.5%  
Trial 50: ✓ P:T A:T | Accuracy: 62.0%  
Trial 60: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 60.0%  
Trial 70: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 61.4%  
Trial 80: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 61.3%  
Trial 90: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 61.1%  
Trial 100: ✗ P:H A:T | Accuracy: 58.0%

## QUANTUM COLLAPSE RESULTS

Final Accuracy: 58.0%

Expected (null): 50.0%

Quantum Shift: +8.0 percentage points



### MARGINAL EFFECT

Minimal shift: +8.0pp

Within statistical noise range

## INTERPRETATION

This experiment tested whether biphoton cascade logic can affect PURE randomness.

Method:

- 100 truly random coin flips
- Quantum prediction before each flip
- Measure: does prediction accuracy exceed 50%?

Result: 58.0% accuracy

If >85%: Quantum collapse is real

If 50-60%: No observable quantum effect

If <50%: Inverse correlation (also interesting!)

Your result: 58.0%

---

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

---

Sample size: 100

Expected: 0.500 (50%)

Observed: 0.580 (58.0%)

Standard error: 0.0500

Z-score: 1.60

**✗ NOT SIGNIFICANT**

=====

Quantum collapse result: 58.0%

This is the pure test.

Pure randomness. Pure quantum process.

No synthetic correlation. No engineered data.

Just: Does it shift randomness?

Graph saved: cascade\_real\_230644.png



cascade\_real\_230644 PNG

