# ${ m HOMEWORK}\,\,4$ CS 4450/7450

## DUE: FRIDAY, OCTOBER 26th, 3:00 PM

#### BACKGROUND

<u>Reverse Polish Notation</u> (**RPN**) and <u>Polish Notation</u> (**PN**) are alternatives to the more commonly seen infix notation for arithmetic. Unlike infix notation, **RPN** and **PN** do not require conventions regarding the order of operations. Instead, the order of evaluation is dictated by the syntax. With **RPN**, operands are followed by their operators and evaluated accordingly. In this assignment, we will implement an **RPN** interpreter similar to what you might see in an HP calculator.

Here is the declaration for the language you will write the interpreter for:

```
data Op = Val Int | Plus | Minus | Mul | IntDiv deriving (Show, Eq)
type PExp = [Op]
```

Our operators (i.e., Plus, Minus, Mul, and IntDiv) and operands are both represented by the Op type. Whole arithmetic expressions in **RPN** are represented as lists of operations. Evaluation for **RPN** works by reading a stream of inputs from front to back. If a number (i.e., Val 5) is read, it (i.e., 5) is pushed onto a stack. If any operator is read, its operands are popped off of the stack, the operation is performed with them and the result is pushed back onto the stack. The topmost value on the stack becomes the rightmost argument to an operator. For example, the input "2 5 -" should evaluate to "-3". Correct computations in **RPN** result in an empty input and a stack with only one number value. Stacks with more than one value in them at the end of evaluation result from malformed input.

#### PROBLEMS

1. Write a function called rpnParse, that parses a String and returns a PExp. Input strings for this function are tokens that are either numbers or operators separated by whitespace. Numbers can be an arbitrarily long strings of digits.

Note: You do not need to validate that the input is a well-formed, **RPN** expression.

Hint: Converting String to other data types (like Int) can be done using the read function in Haskell. Review the documentation and examples of this function online to see how it works. Here are examples of how rpnParse should work:

```
HW4*> rpnParse "200 + - * /"
[Val 200,Plus,Minus,Mul,IntDiv]
HW4*> rpnParse "+ - * / 200"
[Plus,Minus,Mul,IntDiv,Val 200]
```

2. Write a function called eval, that evaluates an RPN expression. This function should be typed as PExp -> Int. Cases of bad input and evaluation should result in a call to the Haskell error function.

Hint: You may need to define a helper function that takes a stack (represented using a list) and a PExp and returns an Int. Then, use the helper function to define eval. Here are examples of how eval should work:

```
HW4*> eval [Val 4, Val 2, IntDiv]
2
HW4*> eval [Mul]
*** Exception: Bad Input.
HW4*> eval [Val 4, Val 0, IntDiv]
*** Exception: Cannot divide by zero!
HW4*>
```

3. The evaluator crashes in cases where there are bad inputs and division by zero. This isn't particularly useful for recovery purposes. We can refactor the evaluator by using the data type, Either a b, to allow us to return a valid result or a failure indicator. Note the following code:

```
data RPNError = DivByZero | InvalidInput deriving (Show, Eq)
data Either a b = Left a | Right b deriving (Show, Eq)
type RPNResult = Either RPNError Int
```

By convention, the data type, Either a b, is either the failure case (i.e., Left a) or the success case (i.e., Right b). Write a function called evalSafe, that has the type PExp -> RPNResult.

*Hint*: you may need to define a helper function that takes a stack and a PExp and returns an RPNResult. Use this helper function to define evalSafe.

Note: This problem will not simply encapsulate your work from Problem 2, the error function in Haskell (a hack of sorts) crashes the program and isn't catchable in a pure function. Here are examples of how evalSafe should work:

```
HW4*> evalSafe [Val 5, Val 0, IntDiv]
Left DivByZero
HW4*> evalSafe [IntDiv, Plus, Val 0]
Left InvalidInput
HW4*> evalSafe [Val 5, Val 1, Val 1, Plus, Mul]
Right 10
```

4. Write a function called rpnTrans that takes a PExp and (given correct input) returns a String of an equivalent arithmetic expression in <a href="INFIX NOTATION">INFIX NOTATION</a>, with the correct corresponding order of operations enforced using parentheses. This translation process is still prone to failure on bad inputs, so we should use a similar Either a b configuration (as in evalSafe), but instead of creating a special type to represent it, we will return a String in the failure case as well. Formulating the correct type signature of rpnTrans is left to the student. Here are examples of how rpnTrans should work:

```
HW4*> rpnTrans [Val 1, Val 1, Plus]
Right "(1 + 1)"
HW4*> rpnTrans [Val 2, Val 4, Plus, Val 3, IntDiv]
Right "((2 + 4) / 3)"
HW4*> rpnTrans [Val 2]
Right "2"
HW4*> rpnTrans [Plus]
Left "Bad Input."
```

### GRADING

What to submit (via Canvas): a single file, named HW4\_pawprint.hs, where pawprint is your MU username. Furthermore, everyone should adhere to the following guidelines to get full credit:

- \* Your submission must load and typecheck in Haskell Platform to get any points.
- \* Name all functions and data types exactly as they appear in the assignment.
- \* The code you submit must be your own. *Exceptions*: you may (of course) use the code we provide however you like, including examples from the slides.
- \* NO LATE SUBMISSIONS!

Function	Point Value
rpnParse:	25
eval:	25
evalSafe:	25
rpnTrans:	25
Total	100