

Citadel Datathon Team 11: An exploration of the effects of human activity on water pollution

Citadel Datathon Team 11: An exploration of the effects of human activity on water pollution

Summary

We investigate

1. Exploratory Data analysis

1.1 Exposure to pollution

Let $C = \{0, 1\}$ be the states of contamination, where 1 in particular denotes over than mean levels of contamination, and 0 otherwise. We first identify which states are most polluted. Let $M_{county, state}$ be the number of people in a selected county and state, exposed to level 1 of contamination (above mean), and let $N_{county, state}$ be the total number of population exposed to different states of contamination. The below geomap illustrates the population exposed to above mean levels of water contamination from years 1999 - 2016.

We learn that:

- California is getting cleaner and cleaner. (See Contamination map) From EDA, Bernalillo as a polluted state.
- With California, we have a richer dataset over time and $M_{California, county}$ evolves more over time.
- In California, there are significant changes in years 2001 and 2004.

Since the above geomap is not weighted, it is more insightful to consider the ratio

$$R_{county} = \frac{M_{county}}{N_{county}}, \text{ and } R_{state} = \frac{M_{county, \cdot}}{N_{county, \cdot}},$$

where the notation \cdot subscript describes $M_{state, \cdot} = \sum_{state} M_{county, state}$. It gives us a more precise information about whether the proportion of people exposed to contaminated water is greater and how it evolves.

With this we plot the below geomap with the R_i values for the states. We observe the evolution of the ratio for each state and see that there is a particular spike in year 2001 in Connecticut. In addition, the ratio is also decreasing as we approach 2016.

1.2 Exposed to types of chemicals

Once we identify our most polluted states the natural questions concern the type of pollution and the sources. Here we generate 3 graphs that demonstrate considerable distribution variation across our polluted states, in this case Florida, California and Connecticut.

Forest fires release large amounts of arsenic into the environment, which may explain the high levels in California. Connecticut's population also experiences high levels of above mean exposure in their population of arsenic. Interestingly, Florida exhibits contrasting levels, with low levels of abnormally high arsenic and uranium, and high levels of Halo-Acetic acid and trihalomethane.

Figure

1.3 Exposure to industry

2. Modelling

3. Experimental Results

4. Discussion