

Reading in Data

Input: `filename`, which is the string that represents the name of the files we want to read data from

Output: a matrix formed from `number1`, a sequence whose elements are numbers read from the file `filename`.

Method Used: `file2url`, whose argument is a string that represent file's name

`urlopen`, whose argument is a given url

`readlines`, which is used to get the original file in the form as lines

Recipe:

1. Create an empty sequence and use the variable `number1` to refer to this sequence.
2. Make the `filename` become a url by calling the method `file2url`, and use the variable `url` to refer to this url
3. Open `url` by calling the method `urlopen` and assign the value of the opened file to the variable `netfile`
4. Read every line of `netfile` by calling the method `readlines` and iterate over each line in the `netfile`. Then use the variable `line` to refer to each line in `netfile`.

Inside the iteration: 1. Split `line` into a sequence whose elements is the strings from the `line` , use the variable `number` to refer to this sequence.

2. Iterate each number from 0 to the value of length of the `number`; assign the value to the variable `index`.

Inside the iteration:

Make the string become decimal numbers; Then assign the value of numbers to the elements whose index is `index` in the sequence `number`

3. Add `number` into `number1`

5. Make `number1` into a matrix, return the matrix formed by `number1`

Understanding the Model: Generating Predictions

Input: A linear model using the $m \times 1$ matrix of weights gotten from itself

`inputs`, a $m \times n$ matrix of explanatory variables

Output: a $n \times 1$ matrix, which is the product of `inputs` and weights

Recipe:

1. Get the weights of the class `LinearModel` itself

Return the product of `inputs` and weights

Understanding the Model: Calculating Prediction Error

Input: A linear model using the $m \times 1$ matrix of whose weights is gotten from itself

`inputs`, which is a $n \times m$ matrix of explanatory variables

`actual_result`, which is a $n \times 1$ matrix of the corresponding actual values for the measured variables

Output: the mean squared error between `inputs` and `actual_result`

Algorithm used: `mse()`, whose two arguments are two sequences, one is the expected result and another one is actual result. This function is used to calculate the mean squared error between expected result and actual result.

`shape()`, which is used to get a sequence whose first element represents the number of rows of the matrix while the second element represents the number of column of the matrix

`generate_predictions()`, which is used to predict a matrix of measured variables given a matrix of input data. Its argument is the matrix `inputs` that represent input data

`zip()`, which is used to combine two sequences into one sequence whose elements is a sequence whose elements are from the original given two sequences. The arguments are two given sequences, `result` and `expected`.

Recipe:

1. At first, define a function `mse()` outside the class `LinearModel`, which is used to calculate mean squared error.

Inside the definition:

1. Create a variable `sum_squares`. Assign the value of 0 to the `sum_squares`.
 2. Combine two given sequences into one sequence by calling the `zip` function, iterate the first element in the elements inside the sequence and assign its value to the variable `num1`, iterate the first element in the elements inside the sequence and assign its value to the variable `num2`.
 3. Add the value of the variable `sum_squares` and the value of the square of the difference between `num1` and `num2`.
 4. Make `sum_squares` into a decimal number, divide it by the value of the length of the sequence `expected`. Assign the value of the quotient to the variable `err`.
 5. Return the variable `err`
2. Create two empty sequences referred by the variable `list1` and `list2`.
 3. Call the method `generate_predictions` to generate predictions for the given `inputs`, assign the value to the variable `inputs1`
 4. Iterate each number from 0 to the value of the number of rows of the matrix `inputs1` (the value of the number of rows is the elements whose index is 1 in the sequence gotten by calling the method `shape` .

Inside the iteration:

1. Add the element whose index of row is `index` and whose `index` of column is 0 inside the matrix `inputs1` into `list1`
 2. Add the element whose index of row is `index` and whose `index` of column is 0 inside the matrix `actual_result` into `list2`
5. Call the function `mse()` to calculate the mean square error between `list1` and `list2`, return the result of the calculation.

Written component for fit least squares:

$$\frac{1}{n}(2w^T X^T X - 2y^T X) = 0$$

$$2w^T X^T X = 2y^T X$$

$$w^T X^T X = y^T X$$

① $X = 0$
all elements value in

② $X \neq 0$
all elements value in

$$w^T X^T = y^T$$

$$(XW)^T = y^T$$

$$XW = y$$

$$(X^T \cdot X) \cdot w = X^T y$$

$$(X^T \cdot X)^{-1} (X^T \cdot X) \cdot w = (X^T \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot X^T y$$

$$w = (X^T \cdot X)^{-1} \cdot X^T y$$

Discussion:

1. This is correct only in the given case because we know that, X represents a $n \times m$ matrix, y represents a $n \times 1$ matrix and w represents a $m \times 1$ matrix.

Therefore $y^T X$ is the product between a $1 \times n$ matrix and a $n \times m$ matrix, which is a $1 \times m$ matrix. Then when the product multiply w , the result is the product of a $1 \times m$ matrix and an $m \times 1$ matrix, which is a 1×1 matrix. At the right side, w^T is a $1 \times m$ matrix and X^T is an $m \times n$ matrix. As a result, their product is a $1 \times n$ matrix.

Then the product of the $1 \times n$ matrix and y , a $n \times 1$ matrix, is also a 1×1 matrix. In another word, the dimension of the matrix at both sides of the equation is 1×1 . So it can work in this case. However, in other cases, the dimensions may be quite different (e.g. all are $n \times 1$ matrix), which may cause the dimension error when bring multiplied.

2. As lameda increases, the value to be minimized will also increase. the weight vector output by the LASSO algorithm will increase as λ increases.
3. The fit LASSO Estimation

Yes, these weights also best predict the number of wins on the test 2001-2012 data.

The conclusion is : Compared with least square fit, Fit LASSO Shooting model is a better way to make the predictions.

4. We can determine the importance by evaluating the absolute value of the corresponding weights. The larger the absolute value is, the more important that statistic data is. Since the absolute value of weights put out is always close to zero in several predictions of LASSO Estimation based on different λ s, therefore it is not very important. Because of the same reason, double play and assists are also not very important.