Polarization Theorem

 $Channel\ polarization:$

Theorem 1: For any B-DMC W, the channels $\{W_N^{(i)}\}$ polarize in the sense that, for any fixed $\delta \in (0,1)$, as N goes to infinity through powers of two, the fraction of indices $i \in \{1,....,N\}$ for which $I(W_N^{(i)}) \in (1-\delta,1]$ goes to I(W) and the fraction for which $I(W_N^{(i)}) \in [0,\delta)$ goes to 1-I(W).

For fixed $\delta > 0$ where $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\{i \in [1, N] : \delta < I(U_i; Y_N | U^{i-1}) < 1 - \delta\}|}{N} \to 0$$

true with $\delta = O(2^{-\sqrt{N}})$ also Similarly,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\{i \in [1, N] : I(U_i; Y_N | U^{i-1}) > 1 - \delta\}|}{N} \to I(W)$$

and similarly

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|\{i \in [1, N] : I(U_i; Y_N | U^{i-1}) < \delta\}|}{N} \to 1 - I(W)$$

Lemma: For all $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ for any symmetric capacity $I(W) \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]$

$$\Delta W \triangleq \frac{1}{2}(I(W^+) - I(W^-))$$

Satisfying,

$$\begin{split} \Delta W & \geq \kappa(\delta) \\ \kappa(\delta) & \triangleq \min \quad (h(2p(1-p)) - h(p))^2 \\ h^{-1}(\delta) & \leq p \leq h^{-1}(1-\delta) \end{split}$$

Proof of the lemma:

If X_1, X_2 are binary and $I(W) = I(X_1; Y_1)$

$$= I(X_2; Y_2)$$
$$= 1 - h(p)$$

then MS Gerber's Lemma Proves

$$I(W^{-}) = 1 - H(X_1 \oplus X_2 | Y_1, Y_2) \le 1 - h(2p(1-p))$$

Since,
$$I(W^+) = 2I(W) - I(W^-)$$

$$\Delta W = \frac{1}{2}(I(W^+) - (I(W^-)))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(2I(W) - I(W^-) - I(W^-))$$

$$= I(W) - I(W^-)$$

$$\geq 1 - h(p) - (1 - h(2p(1 - p)))$$

$$\Delta W \ge h(2p(1-p)) - h(p)$$

Since $0 , For <math>p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and h(p) as strictly increasing on the set, so $\Delta W > 0$ aslong as $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ so it follows that for $I(W) \in [\delta, 1-\delta]$ one can minimize this bound over this range to see $\Delta W \ge \kappa(\delta)$.

Also we note that $\kappa(\delta) > 0$ for $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ because $\kappa(\delta) > 0$ as long as $0 < h^{-1}(\delta)$ and $h^{-1}(1 - \delta) < \frac{1}{2}$.

Where h(p) is the binary entropy function

Let the average mutual information after n steps of splitting be denoted by,

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu_{n+1} \triangleq \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{(n+1)}} I(W_{2^{(n+1)}}^{(i)}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} (\frac{1}{2} I(W_{2^{(n+1)}}^{(2i-1)}) + \frac{1}{2} I(W_{2^{(n+1)}}^{(2i)})) \\ \text{i.e. split into odd-even channels.} \end{array}$

$$= \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \left(\frac{1}{2} I(W_{2^{(n)}}^{(i)}) + \frac{1}{2} I(W_{2^n}^{(i)}) \right)$$

follows from $W_N^{(2i-1)} = W_{N/2}^{(i)-}$ and $W_N^{(2i)} = W_{N/2}^{(i)+}$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{(n)}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} I(W_{2^n}^i)$$

follows from, $I(W)=\frac{1}{2}(I(W^+))+\frac{1}{2}(I(W^-))$

$$\mu_{n+1} = \mu_n$$

This proves that average mutual information is conserved after every step i.e., $\mu_{n+1} = \mu_n = \mu_0 = I(W)$. We can also observe that,

$$I(W)^{2} + \Delta(W)^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(I(W^{+})^{2} + I(W^{-})^{2}) - eqn(3)$$

Now let the average squared mutual information after n steps as v_{n+1}

$$v_{n+1} \triangleq \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n+1}} I(W_{2^{n+1}}^{i})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{2} (I(W_{2^{n+1}}^{2i-1})^{2} + I(W_{2^{n+1}}^{2i})^{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{2} (I(W_{2^{n}}^{i+1})^{2} + I(W_{2^{n}}^{i-1})^{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} (I(W_{2^{n}}^{i})^{2} + \Delta(W_{2^{n}}^{i})^{2}) \qquad from(3)$$

$$v_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} (I(W_{2^{n}}^{i})^{2}) + \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \Delta(W_{2^{n}}^{i})^{2}$$

$$v_{n+1} = v_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n}} \Delta(W_{2^{n}}^{i})^{2}$$

Now we define the fraction of δ -unpolarized channels after n steps

$$\theta_n(\delta) \triangleq \frac{1}{2^n} | i \in [2^n] | I(W_{2^n}^i \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]) |$$

We need to prove that $\theta_n(\delta) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ Using Lemma we can see that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \Delta(W_{2^n}^i)^2 \ge \theta_n(\delta)\kappa(\delta)$$

as there are $\theta_n(\delta)$ such mediocre channels so now we have,

$$0 \le \theta_n(\delta) \le \frac{v_{n+1} - v_n}{\kappa(\delta)}$$

as we know $v_{n+1} - v_n \to 0$, we imply $\theta_n(\delta) \to 0$ for all $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ In addition standard results from real analysis imply the existence of a sequence $S_n \to 0$ such that $\theta_n(\delta) \to 0$. Since $\mu_n = I(W)$ this implies that a fraction of I(W) of the virtual

channels W_N^i become perfect i.e. $I(W) \to 1$ and the fraction 1 - I(W) of the channels become useless i.e. $I(W) \to 0$.