# **Information Retrieval Assignment 2**

#### **Question 1:**

### **Data Preprocessing:**

Preprocessing is done similarly to Assignment 1. We have preprocessed the relevant text by extracting the title and text and concatenating both fields. The data from tags is extracted using the BeautifulSoup library. We have performed the same for all the 1400 files and shown the text before and after the preprocessing for five such samples in our code file. Here, we are showing the output for one such file.

```
Before Extraction : <doc>
<docno>
1223
</docno>
<title>
inviscid-incompressible-flow theory of static two-dimensional
solid jets, in proximity to the ground .
</title>
<author>
strand, t.
</author>
<biblio>
j. ae. scs. 1962, 170.
</biblio>
<text>
 the inviscid-incompressible-flow theory of static two-dimensional
solid jets impinging orthogonally on the ground is presented
using conformal mapping methods .
  it is shown that the thrust of a solid jet at constant power
initially decreases as the ground is approached . the magnitude
of the thrust out of ground effect is regained only at a very
low height-to-jet width ratio (approximately 0.55) . the maximuin
decrease is about 6 percent . the ground effect on solid
jets is thus largely unfavorable .
</text>
</doc>
```

#### After Extraction :

inviscid-incompressible-flow theory of static two-dimensional solid jets, in proximity to the ground .

the inviscid-incompressible-flow theory of static two-dimensional solid jets impinging orthogonally on the ground is presented using conformal mapping methods .

it is shown that the thrust of a solid jet at constant power initially decreases as the ground is approached . the magnitude of the thrust out of ground effect is regained only at a very low height-to-jet width ratio (approximately 0.55) . the maximuin decrease is about 6 percent . the ground effect on solid jets is thus largely unfavorable .

We have done the preprocessing specified in the assignment in the mentioned order:-

- 1. Convert the text to lowercase.
- 2. Perform tokenization on lowercase text input using nltk library.
- 3. Removed stopwords from the tokenized dataset using nltk library.
- 4. Removed punctuation using string library.
- 5. Removed leftover blank space tokens.

We have performed the above steps on the entire dataset, and the below screenshot shows the text files before and after preprocessing.

#### Before lower case text :

free-flight measurements of the static and dynamic

charts have been prepared relating the thermodynamic properties of air in chemical equilibrium for temperatures to 15,000 k and for pressures from 10 to 10 atmospheres . also included are charts showing the composition of air, the isentropic exponent, and the speed of sound . these charts are based on thermodynamic data calculated by the national bureau of standards .

#### After lower case text and before tokenization :

free-flight measurements of the static and dynamic

charts have been prepared relating the thermodynamic properties of air in chemical equilibrium for temperatures to 15,000 k and for pressures from 10 to 10 atmospheres . also included are charts showing the composition of air, the isentropic exponent, and the speed of sound . these charts are based on thermodynamic data calculated by the national bureau of standards .

```
After tokenization and before stopwords removal: ['free-flight', 'measurements', 'of', 'the', 'static', 'and', 'dyn amic', 'charts', 'have', 'been', 'prepared', 'relating', 'the', 'thermodynamic', 'properties', 'of', 'air', 'in', 'ch emical', 'equilibrium', 'for', 'temperatures', 'to', 'ls, 'and', 'for', 'pressures', 'from', '10', 'to', 'l 0', 'atmospheres', '.', 'also', 'included', 'are', 'charts', 'showing', 'the', 'composition', 'of', 'air', ',', 'th e', 'isentropic', 'exponent', ',', 'and', 'the', 'pressurea', 'these', 'charts', 'are', 'based', 'on', 'thermodynamic', 'data', 'calculated', 'by', 'the', 'national', 'bureau', 'of', 'standards', '.']

After stopwords removal and before punctuations removal: ['free-flight', 'measurements', 'static', 'dynamic', 'charts', 'prepared', 'relating', 'thermodynamic', 'properties', 'air', 'chemical', 'equilibrium', 'temperatures', '15,00 '(', 'k', 'pressures', '10', '10', 'atmospheres', '.', 'also', 'included', 'charts', 'showing', 'composition', 'air', 'isentropic', 'exponent', ',', 'speed', 'sound', '.', 'charts', 'based', 'thermodynamic', 'data', 'calculated', 'national', 'bureau', 'standards', '.']

After punctuations and before blank space token removal: ['free-flight', 'measurements', 'of', 'the', 'static', 'an d', 'dynamic', 'charts', 'have', 'been', 'prepared', 'relating', 'the', 'thermodynamic', 'properties', 'of', 'air', 'in', 'chemical', 'equilibrium', 'for', 'temperatures', 'to', '15,000', 'k', 'and', 'for', 'pressures', 'from', '10', 'to', '10', 'atmospheres', 'also', 'included', 'are', 'charts', 'showing', 'the, 'composition', 'of', 'air', 'the', 'isentropic', 'exponent', 'and', 'the', 'national', 'bureau', 'of', 'standards']

After blank space token removal: ['free-flight', 'measurements', 'of', 'the', 'static', 'and', 'dynamic', 'charts', 'have', 'been', 'prepared', 'relating', 'the', 'thermodynamic', 'properties', 'of', 'air', 'in', 'chemical', 'equilibrium', 'for', 'themperatures', 'to', '15,000', 'k', 'and', 'for', 'pressures', 'from', '10', 'to', '10
```

### **TF-IDF Matrix:**

### **Methodology:**

- Create raw term frequency for each term in each document:
  - We go through each document. Create a frequency dictionary for each doc.
  - Create an empty term-frequency dictionary that will store each term as a key and a nested dictionary corresponding to that term. The nested dictionary will have the doc ID as the key and term frequency in that document as the value
  - We iterate through each document and fill the term-frequency dictionary.
- Create inverse document frequency:
  - We iterate over each term and compute how many documents that term occurs.
  - Using the formula, compute IDF.
- Initialize empty tf-idf matrix of size no. of docs X vocab size.
- For each term and its document pair, we normalize the raw term frequency using the given weighting schemes and multiply by the IDF value.
- The tf-idf matrix construction is completed.
- We process the test query the same way as the token creation.
- Create the query vector of size vocab. Here the number of documents is one.
- Using the dot product, calculate the similarity between the tf-idf matrix and query vector. The dot product is then normalized by the norm of the tf-idf and the norm of the query vector.
- We sort and retrieve the 5 most relevant documents with their similarity score.

#### Pros and Cons of all weighting schemes-

Binary Count - Just checks if a term appears in a document. It can help reduce the
impact of very frequent terms which are not useful in context, such as stopwords. The
approach is naive as we ignore each term's frequency.

- Raw Count- It takes into account how many times a term appears. It is helpful when the relevant term appears multiple times. It is more biased toward longer documents because they have a higher chance of repeating the same terms.
- Term Frequency It gives an advantage to a term that is repeated multiple times.
   However, it helps reduce the bias toward longer documents as we divide by the total number of tokens in the document.
- Log Normalization It mitigates the impact of terms that have a very high frequency in comparison to other terms in the query. The bias towards terms with higher frequency is dealt with by taking the log of the raw count. However, we can lose the information that comes with higher term frequency because the values are scaled down.
- **Double Normalization** It helps mitigates the effect of higher frequency terms in the longer documents. The method is unstable and hard to tune. The value 0.5 might not be optimal in every case.

#### Results:

Enter the number of queries. 1
Query: Which document should this query belong to? Maybe our algorithm can help figure it out.
Query 1: Which document should this query belong to? Maybe our algorithm can help figure it out.
Documents retrieved for query 1 for binary:

+	_+	_+	_4
	Score	Document	ļ .
0   1   2   3	0.00353159   0.00319108   0.00277138   0.00250726   0.00250726	cranfield0153 cranfield0928 cranfield0962 cranfield0798 cranfield0499	       
			!

Documents retrieved for query 1 for raw count:

ļ			Score	Document
1-		+-		+
Ĺ	0		0.00359466	cranfield0962
	1		0.00307852	cranfield0928
	2		0.00295812	cranfield0914
	3		0.00253147	cranfield0798
	4		0.00250967	cranfield1147

Documents retrieved for query 1 for term frequency:

+	_+	_+	
ļ .	Score	Document	
!		,	
0	0.00454263	cranfield0153	
1	0.00194453	cranfield0914	
2	0.00184536	cranfield0130	
3	0.00162415	cranfield0463	
4	0.00155529	cranfield0457	

Documents retrieved for query 1 for  $\log$  normalization:

T	т	-т	т
. !	Score	Document	
		r	
0	0.00334915	cranfield0962	
1	0.00325503	cranfield0928	
2	0.00306321	cranfield0914	
3	0.00298822	cranfield0153	
4	0.00259431	cranfield0798	
4		+	
	1   2	0   0.00334915 1   0.00325503 2   0.00306321 3   0.00298822	0   0.00334915   cranfield0962   1   0.00325503   cranfield0928   2   0.00306321   cranfield0914   3   0.00298822   cranfield0153

Documents retrieved for query 1 for double normalization:

	+	-+	-+	-
	 	Score	Document	
	0 1 2 3 4	0.00385409 0.00302948 0.00267723 0.00245303 0.0023455	cranfield0153   cranfield0928   cranfield0962   cranfield0914   cranfield0499	
-	+	+	++	

# **Jaccard Coefficient:**

# <u>Methodology:</u>

- For each document, we find the unique tokens in it.
- We processed the test query the same way tokens were created.
- Now for each document,
  - We find the number of intersecting tokens in both the document and query.
  - o Find the length of the intersection list.
  - o We find the union of the number of unique tokens in both the document and query.
  - o Find the length of the union list.
  - The jaccard coefficient is calculated by dividing the intersection length by the union list's length.
- We sort and retrieve the top 10 documents with the highest Jaccard coefficient.

# **Results:**

Enter the number of queries.1

Query: Which document should this query belong to? Maybe our algorithm can help figure it out. Query 1: Which document should this query belong to? Maybe our algorithm can help figure it out. Documents retrieved for query 1 using Jaccard Coefficient:

+----+

	Score	Document
0	0.1	cranfield0281
1	0.0754717	cranfield0835
2	0.0740741	cranfield0153
3	0.0704225	cranfield1275
4	0.0694444	cranfield0637
5	0.0677966	cranfield0855
6	0.0666667	cranfield0909
7	0.0657895	cranfield1388
8	0.0638298	cranfield0506
9	0.0632911	cranfield0469
10	0.0625	cranfield1323
11	0.0615385	cranfield0768
12	0.0615385	cranfield0817
13	0.0612245	cranfield1102
14	0.0606061	cranfield0376
15	0.0597015	cranfield0067
16	0.0595238	cranfield1155
17	0.0588235	cranfield0532
18	0.0576923	cranfield0385
19	0.0574713	cranfield0377
+	+	+