R Codes for Chapter-7 Extra Sums of Squares in R

We will work again with the data from Problem 6.9, "Grocery Retailer." You can obtain the ANOVA table using the function "anova(model)". Here you get sum of squares for each predictor variable in the model:

```
> anova(1rm)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: Retailer
         Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                      Pr(>F)
Cases
          1 136366 136366 6.6417
                                     0.01309 *
Costs
               5726
                       5726 0.2789
                                     0.59987
Holiday
          1 2034514 2034514 99.0905 2.941e-13 ***
Residuals 48 985530
                      20532
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The ANOVA table given by R provides the extra sum of squares for each predictor variable, *given* that the previous predictors are already in the model. Thus the Sum of Squares given for "Cases" is $SSR(X_I) = 136366$, while the Sum of Squares given for "Costs" is $SSR(X_2 \mid X_I) = 5726$, and the Sum of Squares given for "Holiday" is $SSR(X_3 \mid X_I, X_2) = 2034514$. This corresponds to Table 7.3 on p.261 of the text.

Now you have $SSR(X_1)$, $SSR(X_2 \mid X_1)$, and $SSR(X_3 \mid X_1, X_2)$ and their corresponding degrees of freedom and mean squares. If you sum them together you get $SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$, which has 3 degrees of freedom. Divide $SSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ by 3 to get $MSR(X_1, X_2, X_3)$. To get $SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$, its degrees of freedom, and $MSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)$, use the line beginning with "Residuals." To calculate and store these in R, use the commands

```
> SSR = sum( anova(1rm)[1:3,2] )
> SSR
[1] 2176606
> MSR = SSR / 3
> MSR
[1] 725535.4
> SSE = anova(1rm)[4,2]
> SSE
[1] 985529.7
> MSE = anova(1rm)[4,3]
> MSE
[1] 20531.87
```

You can obtain alternate decompositions of the regression sum of squares into **extra sum of squares** by running new linear models with the predictors entered in a different order. For an example, if we want $SSR(X_3)$, $SSR(X_1|X_3)$ and $SSR(X_2|X_1,X_3)$, we could try:

GENERAL LINEAR TEST

If we are considering dropping $Costs(X_2)$ from the lrm model, we run a reduced model which uses only the other two predictors Cases and Holiday:

```
> Reduced <- lm( Retailer ~ Holiday+Cases) #fitting the reduced model
> Reduced
lm(formula = Retailer ~ Holiday + Cases)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
                 Holiday
                                Cases
  4.058e+03
               6.196e+02
                            7.704e-04
Then to perform the F test, just type
> anova(Reduced, Retailer)
To get the ANOVA comparison:
> anova(Reduced, 1rm)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: Retailer ~ Holiday + Cases
Model 2: Retailer ~ Cases + Costs + Holiday
 Res.Df
          RSS Df Sum of Sq
                                  F Pr(>F)
     49 992204
2
      48 985530 1
                      6674.6 0.3251 0.5712
```

Note that the first argument to the **anova()** function must be the **reduced model**, and the second argument must be the full model (the one with all the original predictors).

General Linear Test for the other reduced models:

Now suppose we want to test H_0 : $\beta_2 = 0$, $\beta_3 = 600$ against its alternative. In this case the reduced model, corresponding to H_0 , is $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + 600 X_{i3} + \varepsilon_i$, which may be rewritten as $Y_i - 600 X_{i3} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \varepsilon_i$. To obtain the reduced model in R, use the formulation:

```
> RetailerN=Retailer-600*Holiday
> Reduced2 <- lm(RetailerN ~ Cases)
> Reduced2
```

```
call:
lm(formula = RetailerN ~ Cases)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
                 Cases
 4.059e+03 7.756e-04
> anova(Reduced2)
Analysis of Variance Table
Response: RetailerN
         Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
Cases
        1 93738 93738
                          4.714 0.03469 *
Residuals 50 994244
                    19885
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

However, you will get an error message if you attempt to use the anova() function to compare this model with the full model, because the two models do not have the same response variable. Instead, you will need to obtain the SSE for this reduced model, along with its degrees of freedom, from its ANOVA table, and the SSE from the full model, along with its degrees of freedom, from the ANOVA table for the full model, then calculate F^* using equation (2.9) in the textbook.

```
> SSE_R=anova(Reduced2)[2,2]
> #SSE_R
> DF_R=anova(Reduced2)[2,1]
> #DF_R
>
> #DF_R
>
> SSE_F = anova(1rm)[4,2]
> #SSE_F
> DF_F=anova(1rm)[4,1]
> #DF_R
>
> #Test atatistics
> F=((SSE_R-SSE_F)/(DF_R-DF_F))/(SSE_F/DF_F)
> F
[1] 0.2122226

> #P-value
> Pvalue=1-pf(F,DF_R-DF_F,DF_F)
> Pvalue
[1] 0.8095395
```

Coefficients of Partial Determination

To obtain the coefficients of partial determination, you will need to use formulae like those in section 7.4. You may also need to run several different models, with the predictors in various different orders, in order to obtain values for the needed forms of *SSE* and the extra sums of squares.

```
To calculate R_{Y1|23}^2, first the following model with X_2 and X_3 should be fit to calculate SSE(X_2, X_3)

> M1=lm(Retailer~ Costs+Holiday)

> SSEX_2X_3=anova(M1)[3,2]

> SSEX_2X_3
[1] 1081237

A model with X_1, X_2 and X_3 should be fit to calculate SSE(X_1, X_2, X_3)

> M2=lm(Retailer~ Cases+Costs+Holiday)

> SSEX_1X_2X_3=anova(M2)[4,2]

> SSEX_1X_2X_3=anova(M2)[4,2]

> SSEX_1X_2X_3
[1] 985529.7

Then R_{Y1|23}^2

> RSQ_Y1_23=(SSEX_2X_3-SSEX_1X_2X_3)/SSEX_2X_3

> RSQ_Y1_23
```

[1] 0.08851609