Name: Harshit Jain Access ID: hmj5262

**Recitation:** 8

# Problem 0 Points:

## Acknowledgements

- (a) I did not work in a group.
- (b) I did not consult with anyone in my group members.
- (c) I did not consult any non-class materials.

**Problem 1** 

**Points:** 

#### **Algorithm 1: GREEDY-HORN**

**Input**: set of Horn clauses

Output: either the assignment or "unsatisfiable"

- 1 Set all variables to 0;
- **2 while**  $\exists$  *an* " $\Longrightarrow$ " that is not satisfied **do**
- 3 | Set its RHS to 1;
- 4 end while
- 5 if all pure negative clauses are 1 then
- 6 **return** the assignment
- 7 end if
- 8 else
- 9 return "unsatisfiable"
- 10 end if
  - (a) According to the algorithm, first set all variables to  $0 \Longrightarrow$

$$w = 0, x = 0, y = 0, z = 0$$

Now there are 5 clauses having " $\Longrightarrow$ " out of which  $4^{th}$  clause ( $\Longrightarrow x$ ) is not satisfied. So, we will set RHS of this clause to 1, that is, x=1.

This will lead to reconsidering the assignment of y because according to  $3^{rd}$  clause  $(x \implies y)$ , if LHS is True then RHS should be set to 1, that is, y=1.

This will lead to reconsidering the assignment of w because according to  $5^{th}$  clause  $(x \land y \implies w)$ , if LHS is True then RHS should be set to 1, that is, **w=1**.

This will lead to reconsidering the assignment of z because according to  $1^{st}$  clause  $(w \land y \land z \implies x)$ , if RHS is True then LHS should be resolved to 1, that is, **z=1**.

Now, pure negative clauses are failed to satisfy, so there is no satisfying assignment, hence algorithm will return "unsatisfiable".

(b) According to the algorithm, first set all variables to  $0 \Longrightarrow$ 

$$w = 0, x = 0, y = 0, z = 0$$

Now there are 4 clauses having " $\Longrightarrow$ " out of which  $4^{th}$  clause ( $\Longrightarrow z$ ) is not satisfied. So, we will set RHS of this clause to 1, that is, z=1.

This will lead to reconsidering the assignment of w because according to  $2^{nd}$  clause  $(z \implies w)$ , if LHS is True then RHS should be set to 1, that is, **w=1**.

Here, x and y need not to be changed since the implications are still satisfied with having x=0, y=0.

Now, pure negative clauses are still 1 and hence, satisfied. So, the algorithm will return the assignment

$$w=1, x=0, v=0, z=1$$

Problem 2 Points:

Subproblem  $\rightarrow$  Notation:

 $Sum(j) = maximum sum of contigious subsequence ending at index j in the list S where <math>1 \le j \le n$ .

Recurrence  $\rightarrow$  Computing Sum(j):

```
\operatorname{Sum}(j) = \max{\{\operatorname{Sum}(j-1) + S[j], S[j]\}} where 1 \le j \le n
```

Base Case:

```
Sum(0) = 0, Sum(1) = S[1] (indexing starts from 1 and goes till n)
```

Pseudocode:

```
Algorithm 2: Contiguous Subsequence of Maximum Sum
```

```
Input: A list of numbers S = a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n
  Output: The contiguous subsequence of maximum sum
1 Set maximumSum = Sum[1];
                                                        /* maximum sum of subsequence */
2 Set start = 1;
                                                /* starting index of max subsequence */
                                                  /* ending index of max subsequence */
3 Set end = 1;
4 for i = 2 to n do
      Sum[j] = \max(Sum[j-1] + S[j], S[j]);
                                                                 /* Recurrence Formula */
      if Sum[j] > maximumSum then
6
         maximumSum = Sum[j]
7
8
         if Sum[j-1] \le 0 then
             start = j
9
         end if
10
         end = i
11
      end if
12
13 end for
14 return S[start:end]
```

### Explanation:

The approach is to find the contiguous subsequence of maximum sum in a list S by identifying the subproblem Sum(j) which gives the maximum sum of contigious subsequence ending at index j in the list S. In this algorithm, we iterate (iterator j, where  $1 \le j \le n$ ) through the entire list S and using the recurrence relation, we solve the subproblem Sum(j) at each step which is stored in list Sum and used at the next iteration to solve the following subproblem. maximumSum variable keeps track of the maximum sum of contiguous subsequence and (start, end) keeps track of the indices of the contiguous subsequence of maximum sum. Lines S - S states that, if Sum(j-1) contains any negative value while Sum(j) is the maximum sum known, then it is better off to start the indexing from there. Later, we return the sublist of contiguous subsequence of maximum sum using Start, Start,

### **Running Time:**

We consider a linear number of subproblems, each of which can be solved using previously solved subproblems in constant time. Therefore, this algorithm gives a running time of O(n).

Problem 3 Points:

Subproblem  $\rightarrow$  Notation:

LCS(i, j) = length of the longest common substring for which there are indices i and j with  $x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+k-1} = y_i y_{i+1} \cdots y_{i+k-1}$ , where  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $1 \le j \le m$ .

Recurrence  $\rightarrow$  Computing LCS(i, j):

$$LCS(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 + LCS(i-1,j-1) & ; \text{if } x[i] = y[j] \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Base Case:

$$LCS(i, 0) = 0, LCS(0, j) = 0$$

Pseudocode:

```
Algorithm 3: Longest Common Substring
```

```
Input : x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n, y = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_m
  Output: k = \text{length of the longest common string}
1 Set k = 0;
2 for i = 0 to n do
      for j = 0 to m do
          if i == 0 or j == 0 then
4
5
             LCS[i][j] = 0;
                                                                                /* Base Case */
          end if
6
          else if x[i] == y[j] then
7
                                                                   /* Recurrence Formula */
             LCS[i][j] = 1 + LCS[i-1][j-1];
8
             if LCS[i][j] \ge k then
9
                 k = LCS[i][j];
                                                                       /* Optimal Solution */
10
                                                  /* The row holding Optimal Solution */
                 solutionRow = i;
11
                 solution column = j;
                                             /* The column holding Optimal Solution */
12
             end if
13
          end if
14
          else
15
             LCS[i][j] = 0
16
17
          end if
      end for
19 end for
20 return k
```

#### Explanation:

The approach is to find the length of the longest common substring for all substrings of both the strings x and y and store these lengths in a table LCS. Each cell (i, j) of the table LCS, that is, LCS[i][j] either holds 0 if  $x[i] \neq y[j]$ , or holds the length of the common substring of  $x[0 \cdots i]$  and  $y[0 \cdots j]$  if x[i] = y[j], which is made up including x[i] and y[j]. In that way, the table keeps track of all the common substrings available and returns the largest length of common substring available in the table.

If the character happened to be the same while iterating through both the strings x (interator i) and y (interator j), then this algorithm checks for the similarity of until previous character of both the strings (x[i-1] = y[j-1]) which has already been stored in the table, and add 1 to it which signifies x[i] = y[j].

### Running Time:

We consider n \* m subproblems, each of which can be solved in a constant time using previously solved subproblems and base cases. Lines 4-17 run in a constant O(1) time, Line 3 runs in O(m) time and Line 2 runs in O(n) time. Therefore, this algorithm gives a running time of O(nm).