Lec 8: Static Scope & Tail recursion

- Names
- Variables
- The Concept of Binding
- Scope
- Tail recursion → Iteration
 - factorial
 - sum of f(n)
 - Fibonacci
 - Euclids GCD

Variables

- · A variable is an abstraction of a memory cell
- Variables can be characterized as a sextuple of attributes:
 - Name
 - Address
 - Value
 - Type
 - Lifetime
 - Scope

Variables Attributes

- Name not all variables have them
- Address the memory address with which it is associated
 - A variable may have different addresses at different times during execution
- Type determines the range of values of variables and the set of operations that are defined for values of that type;
- Value the contents of the location with which the variable is associated

Static Scope

Based on program text —

Example 3.15 Consider the following indefinite integral

$$\int_0^z \left(\int_0^y f(x)dx + \int_0^y g(u)du \right) dy$$

It contains as *free* the names z, f and g. The other names x, u and y are *bound*. The scopes of the *bound* variables are shown below.

$$\underbrace{\int_{0}^{z} \left(\underbrace{\int_{0}^{y} f(x) dx}_{x} + \underbrace{\int_{0}^{y} g(u) du}_{u} \right) dy}_{y}$$

- To connect a name reference to a variable, the sml interpreter must find the declaration
- Search process: search declarations, first locally, then in increasingly larger enclosing scopes, until one is found for the given name

```
Big
        - declaration of X
            Sub<sub>1</sub>
             - declaration of y -
             call Sub2
             . reference to X, y
                                                       Big calls Sub1
                                                      Sub1 calls Sub2
           Sub2
            - reference to X -
                                                       Sub2 uses X
        call Sub1
```

Scope (continued)

 Variables can be hidden (shadowed) from a unit by having a variable with the same name

```
Big
          - declaration of X
              Sub<sub>1</sub>
                - declaration of X -
                call Sub2
              Sub2
               - reference to X -
          call Sub1
```

```
Big
           - declaration of X
               Sub<sub>1</sub>
                - declaration of X -
                call Sub2
              Sub2
               - reference to X -
          call Sub1
```

Reference to X is to Big's X

```
fun perfect (n) =
    let fun add_factors (n) =
        let fun f (i) =
        if n mod i = 0 then i
        else 0;
        fun sum (a, b) =
            if a > b then 0
            else f(b) + sum (a, b-1);
        in sum (1, n div 2)
        end;
    in n = add_factors (n)
    end;
```

Example 3.16 Now consider the complete ML code of Example 3.13 (perfect numbers).

- The name perfect is bound and has a scope which extends beyond the definition.
- The name add-factors is bound and has a scope which begins with its definition and extends right up to the end of the definition of perfect (n) but no further.
- Similarly the name f is bound and has a scope that extends up to the end of the definition of addfactors and no further. The name sum also has a scope similar to that of f.
- The variables a and b are bound and have scopes beginning at their first occurrence in the definition of sum and ending with the same definition.

Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = 1,$$

 $F_2 = 1,$
 $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ for all $n \ge 3$.

Naive algorithm:

$$fib(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq 2, \\ fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Why does it turn out to be so slow?

 $F_1 = 1$

 $F_2 = 1$

 $F_3 = 2$

 $F_4 = 3$

 $F_5 = 5$

 $F_6 = 8$

 $F_7 = 13$

 $F_8 = 21$

$$fib(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \leq 2, \\ fib(n-1) + fib(n-2) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$fib(3) & \text{fib}(3) \\ fib(2) & \text{fib}(2) & \text{fib}(2) & \text{fib}(1) \end{cases}$$

Example: factorial

$$factorial(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ factorial(n-1) \times n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

```
factorial(3)
= factorial(2) \times 3
= (factorial(1) \times 2) \times 3
= ((factorial(0) \times 1) \times 2) \times 3
= ((1 \times 1) \times 2) \times 3
= (1 \times 2) \times 3
= 2 \times 3
= 6
```

Suppose each multiplication takes the same amount of time. (True when multiplying ints!)

$$factorial(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ factorial(n-1) \times n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We also need space to:

- keep track of deferred operations
- or, stack up frames for function calls

Similarly, show that #frames = n + 1: $space\ complexity$

$$factorial(3)$$

$$= factorial(2) \times 3$$

$$= (factorial(1) \times 2) \times 3$$

$$= ((factorial(0) \times 1) \times 2) \times 3$$

$$= ((1 \times 1) \times 2) \times 3$$

$$= (1 \times 2) \times 3$$

$$= 2 \times 3$$

$$= 6$$

factorial(3)

factorial(2)

factorial(1)

factorial(0)

Iterative Process

- Represent state of the computation with auxiliary variables and maintain some key invariant property with the variables
 - count=1, product=1, target=n=6
 - count=2, product=2, target=n
 - count=3 product=6, target=n
 - •
 - count=6 product=720, target=6
 - •>>> count==target result=product=720
- Obtain the final result from final state of these variables

Tail Recursion

```
factorial(5)
\langle Iterative\ factorial \rangle \equiv
                                                                        = fact\_iter(5, 1, 0)
  fun factorial (n) =
                                                                        = fact\_iter(5, 1, 1)
        let \langle Code\ for\ fact\_iter \rangle
         in fact_iter (n, 1, 0)
                                                                        = fact\_iter(5, 2, 2)
        end;
                                                                        = fact\_iter(5, 6, 3)
                                                                        = fact\_iter(5, 24, 4)
\langle \mathit{Code}\ \mathit{for}\ \mathtt{fact\_iter} \rangle \equiv
                                                                        = fact\_iter(5, 120, 5)
  fun fact_iter (m, f, c) =
                                                                        = 120
        if c=m then f
        else fact_iter (m, f*(c+1), c+1);
```

- Proof of Correctness?
 - Induction based on the invariant condition

Example - Summation of a function

Iterative computation of $\sum_{a}^{b} f(n)$

```
sum(a,b) = sum\_iter(a,b,0)
```

where, the auxiliary function $sum_iter : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is given as

```
sum\_iter(c,c_f,s)
= \begin{cases} s & \text{if } c = c_f + 1\\ sum\_iter(c+1,c_f,s+f(c)) \end{cases} otherwise \langle \textit{Iterative sum} \rangle \equiv \\ \text{fun sum (a, b)} = \\ \text{let } \langle \textit{Code for sum\_iter} \rangle \\ \text{in sum\_iter (a, b, 0)} \\ \text{end;} \\ \langle \textit{Code for sum\_iter} \rangle \equiv \\ \text{fun sum\_iter (c, cf, s)} = \\ \text{if c = cf+1 then s} \\ \text{else sum\_iter (c+1, cf, s + f(c));} \end{cases}
```

>>

Iterative Process – Fibonacci

- Represent state of the computation at each stage in terms of some auxiliary variables.
- Maintain a key invariant property
 - eg for Fibonacci —
 - count, a,b (a=fib[count-1], b = fib[count-2])
- Obtain the final result from the final state of these variables
 - i.e when count=n fib[n]=a+b

Iterative Fibonacci

if count = n then a+b

else fib_iter (n, b, a+b, count+1);

```
(n \ge 3) \land (3 \le count \le n) \land (a = fib(count - 2)) \land (b = fib(count - 1))
```

Then, when count = n, the process may terminate and we may obtain the value a + b = fib(count - 2) + fib(count - 1) = fib(n) as the final answer. An algorithm based on this invariant condition can be described as

$$fib(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1\\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2\\ fib_iter(n, 1, 1, 3) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $fib_iter(n, a, b, count) : \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ is an auxiliary function defined as

```
fib\_iter(n,a,b,count) = \begin{cases} a+b & \text{if } count = n \\ fib\_iter(n,b,a+b,count+1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \langle Iterative\ Fibonacci \rangle \equiv \\ \text{fun fib (n)} = \\ \text{let } \langle Code\ for\ fib\_iter \rangle \\ \text{in if } n \le 2 \text{ then } 1 \\ \text{else fib\_iter (n, 1, 1, 3)} \\ \text{end;} \langle Code\ for\ fib\_iter \rangle \equiv \\ \text{fun fib\_iter (n, a, b, count)} =
```

GCD (Euclid)

- » Greatest Common Divisor (also known as Highest Common Factor) of numbers a,b
- » gcd(a,b) use the property

```
ightharpoonup Claim: If a = qb + r, 0 < r < b, then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r)
```

» Euclids Algorithm

$$Euclid_gcd(a,b) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } b = 0\\ Euclid_gcd(b,(a\ mod\ b)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$