## **COL 351: Analysis and Design of Algorithms**

Lecture 22

#### **Pattern Matching**

**Given:** String T =  $(t_{n-1}, ..., t_1, t_0)$  and a pattern X =  $(x_{k-1}, ..., x_1, x_0)$ , both binary.

**Find:** If there exists a sub-string of T that is identical to X.

# Yottom $X = (x_{k-1}, ..., x_1, x_0)$ $N_X = 2^{k-1}x_{k-1} + ... + 2^1x_1 + 2^0x_0$ (decimal form of X)

$$\chi = 11001$$
 $N_{x} = 16 + 8 + 1 = 25$ 

$$T = (t_{n-1}, \dots, t_1, t_0)$$

$$N_T(j) = 2^{k-1}t_{j+k-1} + \dots + 2^{1}t_{j+1} + 2^{0}t_j$$
(decimal form of  $(t_{j+k-1}, \dots, t_{j+1}, t_j)$ )

#### **Algorithm**

```
Flag= False

For j = 0 to (n - k):

If N_X = N_T(j) then

Flag = True

Return Flag
```

Time = 
$$O(nk)$$

#### **Algorithm**

```
p = \text{random prime in range } [2, n^4].
```

**Hash Function**  $H: z \to z \mod p$ 

```
Flag= False

For j = 0 to (n - k):

If H(N_X) = H(N_T(j)) then

Flag = True

Return Flag
```

#### Show:

- Answer returned is correct with probability (1 1/n).
- Implementation in O(n) time.

#### Computing random prime in range $[2, n^4]$

**Prime Number Theorem:** Number of primes in the range [2,L] is  $\Theta\left(\frac{L}{\log L}\right)$ .

• Probability (a random number in 
$$> \frac{c}{\log L}$$

AKS Primality Test (By Agarwal, Kayal, Sanena)

• Checks if a number 
$$n$$
 is prime or not in

 $O(\log^c(n))$  time, for some fined  $c \ge 1$ .

#### **Observations**

Claim 1: For any integer  $z \le 2^k$ , the number of distinct prime factors of z is at most k.

$$Z = \begin{array}{cccc} i_1 & i_2 & i_{\alpha} \\ & \downarrow_{2} & \ddots & \downarrow_{\alpha} \end{array} \leq \begin{array}{cccc} Z & & & \\ & \downarrow_{2} & & & \\ & & \downarrow_{2} & & \\ & \downarrow_{2} & & \\ & & \downarrow_{2} & & \\ & & \downarrow_{2} & & \\ & \downarrow_{2}$$

Claim 2: For any j, the number of distinct prime factors of  $(N_T(j) - N_X)$  is at most n.

$$N_{\tau}(j) \leq 2^{n}$$
 $N_{x} \leq 2^{R} \leq 2^{n}$ 
 $N_{x} \leq 2^{R} \leq 2^{n}$ 
 $N_{\tau}(j) - N_{x} \leq 2$ 
 $N_{\tau}(j) - N_{x} \leq 2$ 
 $N_{\tau}(j) - N_{x} \leq 2$ 

Claim 3: For any 
$$j \in [0, n-k]$$
 with  $N_T(j) \neq N_X$ .

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(\frac{p}{p} \operatorname{divides}\left(N_{T}(j) - N_{X}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n^{2}}.$$

Claim 4: If 
$$X$$
 is not a substring of  $T$ . Then

**Prob** 
$$\exists j$$
, such that  $H(N_T(j)) = H(N_X) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ .

By union bound,

$$\operatorname{Prob}\left(\mathsf{Error}\,\mathsf{in}\,\mathsf{entire}\,\mathsf{algo}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-k}\operatorname{Prob}\left(\mathsf{E}\,\mathsf{rror}\,\mathsf{at}\,\mathsf{bcation}\,j\right) \leq \frac{n-k+1}{n^2} \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{0(n^4/\log n^4)} \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$$

#### How to recursively compute $N_T(j)$ ?

$$N_T(j) = \text{Decimal form of } \underbrace{(t_{j+k-1}, \cdots, t_{j+1}, t_j)}_{\text{N}_T(j+1)}$$
 Remarks  $N_T(j+1) = \text{Decimal form of } \underbrace{(t_{j+k}, t_{j+k-1}, \cdots, t_{j+1})}_{\text{Added}}$  Added  $N_T(j+1) = \frac{N_T(j) - t_j}{2} + 2^{k-1} t_{j+k}$ 

#### Recursively Computing Hash of $N_T(j)$

$$N_{T}(j+1) = \frac{N_{T}(j) - t_{j}}{2} + 2^{k-1} t_{j+k}$$

$$H(N_{T} C_{j}^{*}+1)) = N_{T} (j+1)$$

$$= (N_{T}(j) - t_{j}^{*}) \cdot 2^{j} - 2^{k-1} \cdot t_{j+k} \mod p$$

$$= (N_{T}(j) \mod p - t_{j}^{*} \mod p) \cdot 2^{p-2} \mod p - 2^{nod}p - t_{j+k}^{*} \mod p$$

$$H(N_{T}(j)) \qquad O(i) \text{ time}$$

$$= M_{T}(j) \mod p - M_{T}(j) \qquad M_{T}($$

Claim: 
$$H(N_{\tau}(j+1))$$
 can be obtained from  $H(N_{\tau}(j))$  in  $O(1)$  space,  $O(1)$  time if we know  $A, B$ .

#### **Efficiently Computing A and B**

$$A = 2^{p-2} \mod p$$

$$B = 2^{k-1} \mod p$$

$$2 \pmod p$$

$$3 \pmod p$$

$$4 \pmod p$$

$$5 \pmod p$$

$$5 \pmod p$$

$$6 \pmod p$$

Claim: 
$$y$$
 we know  $z$  (mod  $p$ ) then we can compute  $z$  (mod  $p$ ) in  $O(1)$  space, time.

Computing 
$$A - O(\log b) = O(\log n)$$
 Time,  $O(1)$  Space  
Computing  $B - O(\log k) = O(\log n)$  Time,  $O(1)$  Space

### Base Case

We can compute 2<sup>i+1</sup> mod p from 2<sup>i</sup> mad p in O(1) space, O(1) quey time.

Claim: We can compute  $H(N_T(0))$  and  $H(N_X)$  in O(R) time and O(1) space.