MTL-100 Lec-6 limsup and liminf (continued) For a bounded sequence (an) =1 & = limsup an = lim an, where on = sup az B = liminf an = lim Bn, where Pn = infak demma!: If & = limsup an, then for any EDO, FINEN et an < X+E + M>N. Lemma 2: If B = liming an, then for any EDO, JNEN s.t. an>B-E Yn>N Created with Doceria

Proof of Lemma 1: Since lim on = d, given E>0, 3 NEW St X-E<XM<X+E 4N3N. Now on = sup ak. So, if m=N, then an < dn < dt E .. an< a+E +m>N. Similarly, we can prove Lemma 2. Next, we want to show that limsup is the supremum of all limits of convergent subsequences and limits is the infimum of all limits of convergent subsequences. Created with Doceri

Theorem 1: Let (am) be a bounded exquence and (ank) ker be a convergent subsequence. Then liming an & lim and & limsup an. Proof: Suppose L = him and a= limsup an He'll show that L SX. Suppose L>d. Then we can find E>0 such that L-E>X By Lemma 1, 3 NEW s.t. an< L-E YMIN => (an-L)>E Ym>N. This contradicts lim ank = L b, using Lemma 2, we can show that

L> P = limited and with Doceri

Theorem 2: If (an) is a bounded sequence, then there exist subsequences converging to Proof: Let &= linesup an = him dn, where on = aug an. Now $\alpha_1 = \sup_{k \geqslant 1} \alpha_k \Rightarrow \exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t.}$ $\alpha_{i-1} < \alpha_{n_1} \leq \alpha_1$ This way we get $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$ s.t. $a_{n_k+1} - \frac{1}{2} < a_{n_2} < a_n < n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots$ s.t. $a_{n_k+1} - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k} < a_{n_k+1} - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k+1} - \frac{1}$

Now since on -> 0, lim dout! i. Using the sandwich theolem, we get Similarly, we can get a subseq. converging Combining Theorem | & Theorem 2, we see that linsup is the supramum of all limits of subsequences and limit is the infimum of all limits of subsequences. Created with Doceria

Example: Let an = (1+(1) m+ 1/2m) /m , n = IN. Find limsup an and liminf an. Solution: an = = if n is odd and an = (2+ In) if n is even Now 2 < 2+ 1/2 < 3 So, 2/2 < (2+ \frac{1}{2m})/m < 3/m Thus \frac{1}{2} and I are the limits of convergent Hence, liming an = = = & linsupan = 1. subsequences. Created with Doceria

Supremum & Infimum of unbounded sets

Definition: If A is a nosempty subset of R that is not bounded above, then we say

If A is a nonempty subset of R that is not bounded below, then we say inf (A) =-00.

not bounded below, each
$$(0,\infty) = 0$$
.

eg. sup $(0,\infty) = +\infty$, inf $(0,\infty) = 0$.

sup $(-\infty,1) = 1$, inf $(-\infty,1) = -\infty$.

sup $(Z) = +\infty$, inf $(Z) = -\infty$.

Created with Doceri

sequence (an) no, we can do lineup an = llm dn , where dn = sup ax hing an = him Bu, where Br= inf He say linsup an = 00 if $\alpha_1 = \infty$ (Note that if $\alpha_1 = \infty$, the $\alpha_m = \infty$ then. and if $\alpha_1 < \infty$, the sine $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 < \cdots$,

if him an = 00, then liming an = himsupan=00.

him an = 00 => given any M > 0, INEN

non st. an > M & no, N. Then Pm = infak > M + m > N $3 \quad x_m = \sup_{k \neq m} a_k > M \quad x_m$ $\lim_{m \to \infty} f_m = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} d_m = \infty$ $50, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m = \infty, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m = \infty.$ Created with Doceria

Lec-6.pdf Page 10 of 14

(an) =
$$(0,1,0,2,0,3,...)$$

 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = \infty \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 $\exists \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty$.
 $\exists \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 $\exists \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n = 0$.
(an) = $(0,-1,0,-2,0,-3,...)$
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.
 $dn = \sup_{k \neq n} a_k = 0 \quad \forall n$.

Remark: If limsup an or limit an = 40 Or - 10, then also we have subsequence . Assume linsup an = +0 He'll show I a subseq vlose limit is too. linsup an = +00 => dn = sup ak =+0 YneIN Since $\alpha_1 = +\infty$, $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $a_{n_1} > 1$. Now since $\alpha_{n_1+1} = +\infty$, $\exists n_2 \geqslant n_1 + 1 > n_1$ s.t. $a_{n_2} > 2$. Continuing this very, we get (nh) k=1 s.t n1<n2<... Thus we get a subseq. $(ank)_{k=1}^k$ s.t. $\lim_{k \to \infty} an_k = +\infty$. Created with Doceri

Theorem: let (an) be a sequence of norgers red numbers. Then we have liminf and & liminf langth & limens langth & luncup Proof: Note that limited any langth & linear langth Now we show the right inequality = limsup (and) We want to show that living any m & L. If L=+0, then it is obviously true. suppose LeR. Let E>0. By Lemmer 1, I NEM St. (ant) < L+E Y~>N. Created with Doceria

Then for any ny, NH, we have |an| = |an | | an | -- | any | . |an | < (L+E) -N |an| = (L+E) ((L+E) N |an|). Tuking a = (L+E) N | aN , we get Ian 1 (L+E) a'm 4 m7, N+1. linsup | an | 1/2 < hinsup (L+c) alm = L+E (: lona = 1). Since E>O is arbitrary, we get lansup lan / L. Similarly, we can prove the first inequality Created with Doceric