

COL 352 Introduction to Automata and Theory of Computation

Nikhil Balaji

Bharti 420
Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi
nbalaji@cse.iitd.ac.in

March 15, 2023

Lecture 20: CFLs: Closure Properties

Recap

- ▶ New model: NPDA = NFA + Stack.
- ▶ Context-free Languages: those languages accepted by NPDAs.

$$L_{0,1} = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$PAL = \{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$$

- ▶ Algebraic way to define CFLs: Context-free Grammars.

Recap

- ▶ New model: NPDA = NFA + Stack.
- ▶ Context-free Languages: those languages accepted by NPDAs.

$$L_{0,1} = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$PAL = \{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$$

- ▶ Algebraic way to define CFLs: Context-free Grammars.
- ▶ CFG - Chomsky Normal Form, Parse trees, Ambiguity.
- ▶ Pumping Lemma for CFLs.

Recap

- ▶ New model: NPDA = NFA + Stack.
- ▶ Context-free Languages: those languages accepted by NPDAs.

$$L_{0,1} = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$PAL = \{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$$

- ▶ Algebraic way to define CFLs: Context-free Grammars.
- ▶ CFG - Chomsky Normal Form, Parse trees, Ambiguity.
- ▶ Pumping Lemma for CFLs.

$$L_{0,1,2} = \{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$EQ = \{ww \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$$

Recap

- ▶ New model: NPDA = NFA + Stack.
- ▶ Context-free Languages: those languages accepted by NPDAs.

$$L_{0,1} = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$PAL = \{ww^R \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$$

- ▶ Algebraic way to define CFLs: Context-free Grammars.
- ▶ CFG - Chomsky Normal Form, Parse trees, Ambiguity.
- ▶ Pumping Lemma for CFLs.

$$L_{0,1,2} = \{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$EQ = \{ww \mid w \in \Sigma^*\}$$

- ▶ Equivalence of CFG and NPDA.

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are closed under the following operations:

- 1 *Union*
- 2 *Concatenation*
- 3 *Kleene closure*
- 4 *Homomorphism*
- 5 *Substitution*
- 6 *Inverse-homomorphism*
- 7 *Reverse*

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are closed under the following operations:

- ❶ *Union*
- ❷ *Concatenation*
- ❸ *Kleene closure*
- ❹ *Homomorphism*
- ❺ *Substitution*
- ❻ *Inverse-homomorphism*
- ❼ *Reverse*

What about complementation? Intersection?

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are not closed under complement and intersection.

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are not closed under complement and intersection.

Proof.

$$L_1 = \{0^n 1^n 2^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{0^m 1^n 2^n \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are not closed under complement and intersection.

Proof.

$$L_1 = \{0^n 1^n 2^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{0^m 1^n 2^n \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

What is $L_1 \cap L_2$?

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are not closed under complement and intersection.

Proof.

$$L_1 = \{0^n 1^n 2^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{0^m 1^n 2^n \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

What is $L_1 \cap L_2$?

$$L = \{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

Hence CFLs are not closed under intersection. □

Properties of CFLs

Theorem

Context-free languages are not closed under complement and intersection.

Proof.

$$L_1 = \{0^n 1^n 2^m \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

$$L_2 = \{0^m 1^n 2^n \mid n, m \geq 0\}$$

What is $L_1 \cap L_2$?

$$L = \{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

Hence CFLs are not closed under intersection. □

Exercise 1: What if L_1 is regular and L_2 is context-free?

Exercise 2: Prove closure under homomorphisms and inverse homomorphisms.

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \quad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \quad D \rightarrow SA$$

|a|a|b|b|a|b|
0 1 2 3 4 5 6

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \qquad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \qquad D \rightarrow SA$$

	a		a		b		b		a		b	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6						

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \quad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \quad D \rightarrow SA$$

|a|a|b|b|a|b|
0 1 2 3 4 5 6

0						
—	1					
—	—	2				
—	—	—	3			
—	—	—	—	4		
—	—	—	—	—	5	
—	—	—	—	—	—	6

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \quad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \quad D \rightarrow SA$$

$|a|a|b|b|a|b|$
0 1 2 3 4 5 6

0						
A	1					
—	A	2				
—	—	B	3			
—	—	—	B	4		
—	—	—	—	A	5	
—	—	—	—	—	B	6

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \quad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \quad D \rightarrow SA$$

$|a|a|b|b|a|b|$
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

0						
A	1					
∅	A	2				
–	S	B	3			
–	–	∅	B	4		
–	–	–	S	A	5	
–	–	–	–	S	B	6

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \quad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \quad D \rightarrow SA$$

$|a|a|b|b|a|b|$
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

0						
A	1					
∅	A	2				
∅	S	B	3			
—	C	∅	B	4		
—	—	—	S	A	5	
—	—	—	—	S	B	6

Membership

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid BA \mid SS \mid AC \mid BD$$

$$A \rightarrow a \quad B \rightarrow b$$

$$C \rightarrow SB \quad D \rightarrow SA$$

$|a|a|b|b|a|b|$
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6

0						
A	1					
∅	A	2				
∅	S	B	3			
S	C	∅	B	4		
D	S	∅	S	A	5	
S	C	∅	C	S	B	6

The Cocke-Kasami-Younger Algorithm

```
for  $i := 0$  to  $n - 1$  do                                /* strings of length 1 first */
begin
     $T_{i,i+1} := \emptyset$ ;                               /* initialize to  $\emptyset$  */
    for  $A \rightarrow a$  a production of  $G$  do
        if  $a = x_{i,i+1}$  then  $T_{i,i+1} := T_{i,i+1} \cup \{A\}$ 
    end;
for  $m := 2$  to  $n$  do                                    /* for each length  $m \geq 2$  */
    for  $i := 0$  to  $n - m$  do                              /* for each substring */
        begin                                           /* of length  $m$  */
             $T_{i,i+m} := \emptyset$ ;                    /* initialize to  $\emptyset$  */
            for  $j := i + 1$  to  $i + m - 1$  do          /* for all ways to break */
                for  $A \rightarrow BC$  a production of  $G$  do /* up the string */
                    if  $B \in T_{i,j} \wedge C \in T_{j,i+m}$ 
                        then  $T_{i,i+m} := T_{i,i+m} \cup \{A\}$ 
                end;
            end;
        end;
    end;
```

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Membership':** Given an arbitrary string w , does there exist a CFG G such that $w \in L(G)$?

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Membership':** Given an arbitrary string w , does there exist a CFG G such that $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Emptiness/Universality:** Given CFG G is $L(G) = \emptyset$ or $L(G) = \Sigma^*$?

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Membership':** Given an arbitrary string w , does there exist a CFG G such that $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Emptiness/Universality:** Given CFG G is $L(G) = \emptyset$ or $L(G) = \Sigma^*$?
- ▶ **Minimisation:** Given CFG G does there exist a CFG G' with smaller number of variables/productions such that $L(G) = L(G')$?

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Membership':** Given an arbitrary string w , does there exist a CFG G such that $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Emptiness/Universality:** Given CFG G is $L(G) = \emptyset$ or $L(G) = \Sigma^*$?
- ▶ **Minimisation:** Given CFG G does there exist a CFG G' with smaller number of variables/productions such that $L(G) = L(G')$?
- ▶ **Language Equivalence:** Given CFG G_1, G_2 , does $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$?

Computational questions

- ▶ **Membership:** Given a CFG G , and a string w , does $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Membership':** Given an arbitrary string w , does there exist a CFG G such that $w \in L(G)$?
- ▶ **Emptiness/Universality:** Given CFG G is $L(G) = \emptyset$ or $L(G) = \Sigma^*$?
- ▶ **Minimisation:** Given CFG G does there exist a CFG G' with smaller number of variables/productions such that $L(G) = L(G')$?
- ▶ **Language Equivalence:** Given CFG G_1, G_2 , does $L(G_1) = L(G_2)$?
- ▶ **Unambiguity:** Given CFG G is $L(G)$ unambiguous?

Other possible variants

- ▶ 2-NPDA vs NPDA?

Other possible variants

- ▶ 2-NPDA vs NPDA?
- ▶ Do there exist grammars that capture them?

Other possible variants

- ▶ 2-NPDA vs NPDA?
- ▶ Do there exist grammars that capture them?
- ▶ There exist 2-NPDA that can accept $\{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Other possible variants

- ▶ 2-NPDA vs NPDA?
- ▶ Do there exist grammars that capture them?
- ▶ There exist 2-NPDA that can accept $\{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- ▶ What about machines with 2 stacks?
- ▶ What about all these machines with k pointers on the input tape?

Other possible variants

- ▶ 2-NPDA vs NPDA?
- ▶ Do there exist grammars that capture them?
- ▶ There exist 2-NPDA that can accept $\{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- ▶ What about machines with 2 stacks?
- ▶ What about all these machines with k pointers on the input tape?
- ▶ PDA/Grammars with weights for each transitions? (useful in NLP)

Other possible variants

- ▶ 2-NPDA vs NPDA?
- ▶ Do there exist grammars that capture them?
- ▶ There exist 2-NPDA that can accept $\{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- ▶ What about machines with 2 stacks?
- ▶ What about all these machines with k pointers on the input tape?
- ▶ PDA/Grammars with weights for each transitions? (useful in NLP)

Is there a machine-independent notion of computation?