



PLAGIARISM COMPARISON SCAN REPORT

Content Type	FILE	FILE
Values	Col202_Assignment_2.pdf	hw2sol.pdf
First Content 1% matched		Second Content 1% matched

COL 202 Homework 2 Harshit Mawandia, Tanish Gupta, Ashish Choudhary Problem 1. Proof by Contradiction. Assume initially let's assume that there exists no edge $e \in E_1$ such that $F(V_1, feg)$ is also an acyclic graph. Proof It is a property of acyclic graph $F(V_1, feg)$ (following basic convention that V are the vertices and E are the edges connecting them) Claims For $F(V_1, feg)$ not being acyclic Claim 1 $e \in E_2$ s.t. it joins 2 vertices in V in which 1 vertex was not already connected with E_1 to a vertex already connected with E_1 . Claim 2 $e \in E_2$ s.t. it joins 2 vertices in V which were already not connected with E_1 . Conclusion With these 2 claims we can say that vertices in E_1 form vertices in E_2 . So by using the formula $|V| \geq |E|$, we get $|E_1| \geq |E_2|$ which implies that $|E_1| = |E_2|$, that contradicts $|E_1| < |E_2|$. This contradiction occurs because our assumption was wrong. Hence, proved. Problem 2. Proof by Induction on the number of vertices. Base Case When the number of vertices is 2, say v_1, v_2 . There can be only 1 edge. So, moving from v_1 to v_2 and back forms a closed graph with all edges traversed exactly twice. Induction Hypothesis Let the claim be true for $|V| \leq n-1$. Induction step Now let's add another vertex v_n to the graph, and let's say it is connected to certain other vertices. Since by the induction hypothesis our claim is true for the graph with $n-1$ vertices, we just have to show that even if we add another vertex, we can still form a closed path traversing all edges twice. Let's say that v_n is attached to k other vertices, where $k \leq n-1$. Let those vertices be v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k . Now, there already exists a closed path which traverses then v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k , and a closed path can begin and end at any vertex visited in it. So let's say it ends on v_1 . Now, from v_1 we move to v_n , then from there we move to and fro to all other vertices in the set $\{v_2, v_3, \dots, v_k\}$ back to v_n and then finally back to v_1 . We can see that this again forms a closed path which traverses all the new edges twice too, and the older edges were already traversed by our Induction Hypothesis. Therefore, our claim is true by P.M.I. Hence, Proved. Now for the second claim that every connected graph $G(V, E)$ has a closed walk of length $2|V|$ which visits every vertex in V at least once. We will prove this by induction. Base Case When the number of vertices is 2, say v_1, v_2 . There can be only 1 edge. So, moving from v_1 to v_2 and back forms a closed graph which visits every vertex of length 2 which is $2|V|$ since $|V| = 2$. Induction Hypothesis Let the claim be true for $|V| \leq n-1$. Induction Step Now let's add another vertex v_n to the graph, and let's say it is connected to certain other vertices. Since by the induction hypothesis our claim is true for the graph with $n-1$ vertices, we just have to show that even if we add another vertex, we can still form a closed path of length $2|V|$, which visits every vertex. Let's say that v_n is connected to k other vertices, where $k \leq n-1$. Now we know that v_n is already a part of a closed path that satisfies our claim by Induction Hypothesis. We also know that we can assume the closed path to end at v_n . Now we traverse from v_n to v_1 and then back to v_n after traversing the older closed path containing $n-1$ vertices. We can see that this again forms a closed path which satisfies our claim since earlier the length of path was $2(n-1)$, and we add 2 more steps to it, so the length becomes $2n$, which satisfies the claim that length $2|V|$. Therefore, our claim is true by P.M.I. Hence, Proved. Problem 3. Lemma A regular bipartite graph always has a perfect matching. Let us take 2 sets X and Y be the parts of the bipartite graph. Now let's take a subset S of the set X , and the set of the points $P(S)$ to which the points in S are connected. We know that $|S| = |P(S)|$ because every matching with a vertex in S has a vertex in $P(S)$ but not vice-versa. Thus we can say that if d is the degree of each vertex, then cardinality of the edge set of S , $d|S|$ would be less than or equal to the cardinality of the edge set of $P(S)$. Now, using Hall's Theorem, we can say that there exists a matching, say M , which matches every vertex in X . Because the graph is regular $|X| = |Y|$. Therefore, the matching M would be perfect. Now let's prove that the edge set of every bipartite regular graph can be partitioned into perfect matchings by induction on the degrees of the vertices, d . Base Case When $d = 0$, Edge set has no elements, so there are 0 perfect matchings. When $d = 1$, the edge set is perfectly matched because all vertices will be the end point of exactly one edge. Induction Hypothesis Assume that our claim holds for a graph where $d \leq n-1$. Induction Step Let's consider a graph $G(V, E)$, with degree d . Since G is bipartite and regular, it will have a perfect matching, say M . Consider another graph $G_0(V, E \setminus M)$. G_0 is also a regular bipartite graph, but with degree $d - 1$ (As every vertex will have the same number of edges $(d - 1)$ in M). As G_0 satisfies our induction hypothesis, $E \setminus M$ can be partitioned into perfect matchings. Therefore G too can be partitioned into perfect matchings, since E is the union of M and $E \setminus M$ (all elements in this set are perfectly matched). So by P.M.I., our claim is true. Hence Proved. Problem 4. Claim The number of perfect matchings in a complete graph on n vertices is given by $(n-1)!!$ if n is even and 0 otherwise (i.e. if n is odd). Proof We will prove the claim by construction. Consider a graph having n vertices. Clearly, if n is odd, then number of perfect matchings is zero. (This is straightforward from the definition of perfect matchings, since every vertex needs to be matched to exactly one vertex, the total number of vertices has to be even). So, consider the case when n is even. Let $n = 2m$, for some natural number m . Now, our goal is to divide these n vertices into m pairs, such that every vertex belongs to exactly one pair. Call this matching M . Claim M is a perfect matching. Proof The proof is easy to see. Since every vertex of the graph is included in a pair, and every vertex is included exactly once, by the definition of perfect matching, M is a perfect matching. So, the number of ways to divide $2m$ objects into m groups such that each group has exactly 2 elements is given by $\frac{(2m)!}{m! 2^m}$ which proves the claim. Problem 5. Claim The number of ways in which n passengers can occupy m seats following social distancing norms is given by $N(n, m) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(m-1)!}$ if $n \leq m$ and 0 otherwise. Proof Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m be the number of vacant seats between passenger 1 and 2, passenger 2 and 3, passenger 3 and 4, ..., passenger $m-1$ and m respectively. According to the conditions given in the question, we need $x_i \geq 1$ for all i . Also, let y_1, y_2 be the number of vacant seats to the left of passenger 1 and to the right of passenger m respectively. Clearly, $y_1 \geq 0$ and $y_2 \geq 0$. Now, since m seats are occupied by m passengers, the number of vacant seats is $n - m$. So, $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m + y_1 + y_2 = n - m$. Substituting $x_i = z_i + 1$, or in other words, $z_i = x_i - 1$. So, the equation becomes, $z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_m + y_1 + y_2 = n - m - m = n - 2m$. The problem has now reduced to a similar problem as discussed in class. We have to arrange $n - 2m + 1$ identical balls in $m + 1$ bins. The number of solutions for this, using formula discussed in class is $\binom{n-2m+1+m}{m} = \binom{n-m+1}{m}$.

HomeWork2 Solutions Tushar Gurjal Arin Kedia Rishabh Verma Problem 1. Let $F_1(V_1, E_1)$ and $F_2(V_2, E_2)$ be any two acyclic graphs (a.k.a. forests) on the same vertex set V such that $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$. xssremoved xssremoved xssremoved xssremoved xssremoved path $p_1, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, p_1$. walk, $w_1, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, p_1$. xssremoved xssremoved So, $|E_1| + |E_2| = |E|$. xssremoved regular, $|V_1| = |V_2|$. xssremoved xssremoved $|F_k|$ (xssremoved $|F_k| = 2N$ for N then that means the number of vertices will either be fractional which is not possible or it will be odd, in which perfect matching does not exist. So, we are only left to prove when N is even. Now we will use induction on k to prove our claim. Base Case When $k = 1$, we only have one perfect matching which is the edge connecting both vertices. Induction Hypothesis We assume our claim holds true for some $k-1$. Induction Step We consider a graph of $2n$ vertices. We take any vertex, say v_1 , from the graph. It will only be counted as 1 as every vertex of the graph should be matched in a perfect matching. Then we have $2n-1$ edges which match v_1 to distinct vertices. We arbitrarily choose an edge. Now, we are left with $2n-2$ unmatched vertices. By our induction hypothesis, we know total possible perfect matching for a graph of $2n-2$ vertices will be $f(2n-2)$. Also since we arbitrarily chose an edge from the set of $2n-1$ edges and for each edge we have $f(2n-2)$ possible perfect matchings, we can say the total number of perfect matchings of our original graph will be $f(2n-1) = (2n-1)f(2n-2)$. (By the multiplicative rule of combinatorics). So, by the principle of mathematical induction, we have our claim true. Hence Proved. Problem 5. Consider a Delhi Metro train consisting of n seats numbered 1 to n (distinct) passengers. The government rules for physical distancing prevent passengers from standing in the compartment during their journey. Moreover, they must leave a gap of at least two seats between themselves if a seat is occupied, seats $k, k+1, k+2$ must remain vacant. Find an expression for the number of ways in which the passengers can occupy seats while following the physical distancing norms, and prove your answer. Again, your expression must involve only a constant number of applications of only the following mathematical operators addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, exponentiation, and factorial. Solution We claim, $f(n) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-3)!}$ if $n \geq 3$ and 0 otherwise. Here $f(n)$ is the number of ways to arrange passengers in seats such that the conditions in question are satisfied. Proof We disregard the number on seats such that the seats become identical. We arrange the passengers in seats disregarding any conditions. That can be done by $n!$ ways. Now we put 2 seats between every pair of adjacent passengers. Now we are left with $n-3$ seats. We try to put them in the arrangement we have obtained so that we get the total of n seats. We consider the leftover seats as balls and the positions where they have to be put, i.e., before first passenger, after last passenger or between 2 adjacent passengers, as bins. And here we have $n-3$ bins. Due to presence of passengers these bins have become distinct and since we have erased the number on seats, the balls here are identical. From class, we have the number of ways to arrange identical balls in distinct bins as $\binom{n-3+m-1}{m-1}$. So, to put the seats in our arrangement, this can be done in $\binom{n-3+m-1}{m-1}$ ways. Now we renumber the seats. So, our total answer becomes $\frac{(n-3+m-1)!}{(m-1)!}$, which is the same as $f(n)$. Hence Proved.