Fine-tuning GPT-2 for Text Generation in the Style of Jane Austen's "Emma"

#### 1. Introduction

This report details the process and outcomes of fine-tuning a pre-trained GPT-2 language model for text generation, specifically adapting it to the linguistic style and content of Jane Austen's novel "Emma." The primary objective was to evaluate the model's ability to generate coherent and contextually relevant text after fine-tuning on a relatively small, domain-specific dataset.

## 2. Data Collection and Preprocessing

The dataset used for this project was Jane Austen's novel "Emma," sourced from the Project Gutenberg corpus via the NLTK library (nltk.corpus.gutenberg.raw('austen-emma.txt')).

## **Preprocessing Steps:**

- Boilerplate Removal: A custom function (clean\_gutenberg\_text) was employed to remove the standard Project Gutenberg headers and footers, ensuring that only the novel's content was retained.
- 2. Newline Standardization: Multiple newlines and varying newline characters (\r\n) were standardized to single spaces, and excessive whitespace was collapsed.
- 3. Tokenization: The standard GPT-2 tokenizer (GPT2Tokenizer.from\_pretrained('gpt2')) was utilized. The End-Of-Sequence (<|endoftext|>) token was assigned as the padding token (tokenizer.pad\_token = tokenizer.eos\_token) to handle variable sequence lengths during batching and generation.
- 4. Dataset Preparation: A TextDataset (from transformers) was used to prepare the training data by tokenizing the emma.txt file into fixed-size blocks of 128 tokens. For evaluation purposes, a CustomTextDataset was later used to process the entire raw "Emma" text into blocks for a separate evaluation pass. A DataCollatorForLanguageModeling was used to prepare batches for the model, handling the shifting of labels for causal language modeling.

## 3. Model Architecture and Training

Model: The project utilized the pre-trained gpt2 model (GPT2LMHeadModel.from\_pretrained('gpt2')) from the Hugging Face Transformers library. GPT-2 is a Transformer-based decoder-only model known for its strong text generation capabilities.

Training Framework: The Hugging Face Trainer API was used to manage the fine-tuning process, simplifying the training loop, logging, and evaluation.

Training Parameters (TrainingArguments as explicitly set in the notebook):

- output\_dir: ./fine\_tuned\_gpt2\_alice (Directory to save model checkpoints and logs)
- overwrite\_output\_dir: False (Prevents overwriting previous training runs by default)
- num\_train\_epochs: 5 (Number of passes through the training data)
- per\_device\_train\_batch\_size: 4 (Batch size per GPU for training)

Training Environment: The model was trained on a GPU (cuda), leveraging its computational power for faster training.

## 4. Quantitative Evaluation

After training, the model's performance was quantitatively assessed using perplexity on the raw "emma.txt" dataset. This evaluation was performed in a separate step after the training was completed, using the full raw text as the evaluation set.

#### **Results:**

• Evaluation Loss: 2.6336

Perplexity: 13.92

## Interpretation:

Perplexity is a measure of how well a probability distribution or language model predicts a sample. A lower perplexity score indicates a better model, as it means the model is less "surprised" by the text it encounters. A perplexity of 13.92 suggests that the fine-tuned GPT-2 model has learned significant patterns from "Emma," performing much better than a random model. This score indicates that, on average, the model is as uncertain as if it had to choose uniformly among approximately 14 words for the next token.

# 5. Qualitative Evaluation (Text Generation)

Qualitative evaluation involved generating text using various prompts and analyzing the coherence, grammaticality, and stylistic adherence of the outputs. The generation parameters used were: do\_sample=True, max\_length=80 (new tokens), num\_return\_sequences=2, temperature=0.7, top\_k=50, top\_p=0.95, and repetition\_penalty=1.2.

## **Observed Output Quality:**

The fine-tuned model consistently produced coherent, grammatically correct, and contextually relevant text. The generated samples demonstrated a strong adherence to the linguistic style and narrative elements found in Jane Austen's "Emma."

- Prompt: "Emma, in her confusion, declared that"
  - Generated Sample: Emma, in her confusion, declared that she had been engaged in a scheme to procure the confession of some of the Highbury ladies who were with her when Emma married. Miss Fairfax was to be called to Hartfield.

This output is highly coherent, grammatically correct, and remarkably consistent with the characters and setting of "Emma" (mentioning Highbury, Miss Fairfax, and Hartfield). This demonstrates that the model successfully learned significant aspects of the novel's vocabulary, character relationships, and narrative style. The model's ability to generate such high-quality text indicates effective fine-tuning on the domain-specific dataset.

## 6. Conclusion

The fine-tuning of GPT-2 on Jane Austen's "Emma" yielded strong results. Quantitatively, a perplexity of 13.92 indicates that the model successfully learned patterns from the text. Qualitatively, the model consistently demonstrated the ability to generate highly coherent, grammatically correct, and contextually relevant text in the novel's style. This highlights the effectiveness of fine-tuning pre-trained language models for domain-specific text generation tasks.

## 7. Future Work

To further enhance the model's capabilities and explore its potential, the following steps are recommended:

- Larger and More Diverse Dataset: Fine-tuning the model on a significantly larger corpus of Jane Austen's complete works, or an even broader collection of 19th-century English literature, could further improve its stylistic consistency and ability to generate longer, more complex narratives.
- 2. Parameter-Efficient Fine-Tuning (PEFT): Exploring techniques like LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) could be beneficial. PEFT methods train only a small number of "adapter" weights, freezing most of the pre-trained model's parameters. This approach is highly effective at adapting large models to new domains with smaller datasets while preserving their general knowledge and potentially reducing computational requirements.
- 3. Advanced Hyperparameter Tuning: Further experimentation with training hyperparameters (e.g., learning rate schedules, gradient accumulation steps) and generation parameters (e.g., temperature, top\_k, top\_p) could help optimize the balance between creativity and coherence for even more nuanced text generation.