

# Establishing the Validity and Reliability of a Research Instrument



# Topics covered

- The concept of validity
- Types of validity
- The concept of reliability in quantitative research
- Factors affecting the reliability of a research instrument
- Methods of determining the reliability in quantitative research
- Validity and reliability in qualitative research



# The concept of validity

Validity is the ability of a research instrument to measure what it is designed to measure

*“Validity is defined as the degree to which the researcher has measured what he has set out to measure” (Smith 1991, 106)*

*“The commonest definition of validity is epitomised by the question: Are we measuring what we thing we are measuring?” (Kerlinger, 1973, 457)*



# Types of validity in quantitative research

## Face and Content validity based on subjective logic

- Face validity: Logic link between research instrument and an research objective
- Content validity: All aspects of the issue being measured

## Concurrent and Predictive validity based on types of comparison

- Concurrent validity: Judged by how well an instrument compares with a second assessment concurrently done (validity coefficient)
- Predictive validity: Judged by the degree to which an instrument can forecast an outcome

## Construct validity based on statistical procedures

- Construct validity: Statistical procedures establish the contribution of each important factor (construct)



# The concept of reliability

- The research tool is consistent, stable, predictable and accurate when used repeatedly

“ A scale or test is reliable to the extent that repeat measurements made by it under constant conditions will give the same result”  
(Moser & Kalton, 1989, 353)



# Factors affecting the reliability of a research instrument

- The wording of questions
- The physical setting
- The respondent's mood
- The interviewer's mood
- The nature of interaction
- The regression effect of an instrument



# Methods of determining the reliability in quantitative research

External consistency procedures:

- Test and retest ( the instrument is compared with itself)
- Parallel forms of the same test (two instruments measure the same issue)

Internal consistency procedures:

- The split-half technique (if measuring attitudes statements are divided in half and scores are correlated)



# Validity and reliability in qualitative research

Trustworthiness and authenticity

Four indicators that reflect validity and reliability in qualitative research:

- Credibility
- Transferability
- Dependability
- Confirmability





# Table 11.1 from Trochim and Donnell

**Table 11.1** Criteria for judging research

Traditional criteria for judging quantitative research	Alternative criteria for judging qualitative research
Internal Validity	Credibility
External Validity	Transferability
Reliability	Dependability
Objectivity	Confirmability

(Trochim and Donnelly 2007: 149)



# Summary

- Validity and reliability is used differently in quantitative and qualitative research
- Concepts of validity and reliability relate more to quantitative research
- Validity refers to whether a research instrument measures what is set out to measure
- Reliability refers to an instrument that produces consistent measurement each time
- There are not set procedures for validity and reliability in qualitative research

