

Data Science Assignment Report: Trader Behaviour vs Market Sentiment — Analysis

Prepared by: Harsh Makadiya

Date: 25-09-2025

Executive Summary:

This report analyses the relationship between **trader behaviour** (profitability, risk, trading volume, buy/sell bias, volatility) and **market sentiment** (Fear vs Greed).

The analysis merges the **Bitcoin Fear & Greed Index** with **Hyperliquid historical trading data**, aggregated into daily statistics. The purpose is to uncover how trading outcomes align with or diverge from sentiment regimes, and to identify **hidden patterns** that could serve as trading signals.

Key findings show that:

- Traders achieve the **highest profitability in Extreme Fear**, but with lower win-rates and high volatility.
- Traders record the **highest win-rates in Extreme Greed**, but with lower average profitability.
- **Fear-driven markets** trigger the largest trading volumes, while **Extreme Fear** leads to hesitation and low activity.
- **Risk-adjusted returns** are strongest in **Neutral** markets and weakest in **Greed**.
- **Lagged correlations** suggest greed predicts weaker future returns, while fear predicts stronger ones — supporting contrarian strategies.

Methodology:

1. Data Preparation

- Cleaned sentiment data (date, value, classification).
- Processed historical trading logs, converting numeric fields and standardizing side labels (BUY/SELL).
- Aggregated trades into daily summaries:
 - Average execution price
 - Total USD and token volumes
 - Average fees
 - Total closed PnL

- Buy ratio (% of trades that were buys)
- Crossed ratio (order aggressiveness)
- PnL volatility

2. Merging & Analysis

- Merged aggregated trading data with sentiment classifications.
- Performed exploratory data analysis (EDA): distributions, boxplots, correlation heatmaps.
- Analyzed profitability, win-rates, volume trends, and risk-adjusted returns by sentiment.
- Conducted lagged correlation analysis to check predictive relationships.

Key Findings:

- **Profitability**
 - **Extreme Fear** → Highest profitability (average $\approx 10.9K$ PnL, median $\approx 18.6K$).
 - Even with fewer winning days, profits are substantial when trades succeed.
 - **Greed** → Lowest profitability (average $\approx 3.6K$).
 - Overconfidence and overtrading reduce returns.
- **Win-Rate**
 - **Extreme Greed** → Highest win-rate ($\approx 88\%$).
 - Most trades succeed but yield smaller profits.
 - **Extreme Fear** → Lowest win-rate ($\approx 64\%$).
 - Many losing trades, but the winners dominate in size.
- **Volume**
 - **Fear** → Highest trading activity ($\sim \$149M$).
 - Suggests panic selling or repositioning.
 - **Extreme Fear** → Lowest activity ($\sim \$38M$).
 - Traders hesitate, avoid risk, or stop trading.
- **Risk (Volatility)**
 - **Extreme Fear** → Highest volatility ($\sim 17.5K$ PnL std).

- Profits and losses are highly unstable.
- **Greed** → Lowest volatility (~8.6K PnL std).
 - Lower variance but weaker returns.
- **Risk-Adjusted Returns**
 - **Neutral** → Best environment (Sharpe-like ratio ≈ 0.74).
 - Balanced emotions, consistent efficiency.
 - **Greed** → Weakest (≈ 0.43).
 - Overtrading reduces efficiency.
- **Lagged Correlation (Sentiment vs PnL)**
 - Correlations are consistently negative across lags.
 - Higher greed today predicts weaker returns over the next 1–7 days.
 - Higher fear today predicts stronger returns ahead.
 - Suggests sentiment is not only reflective but also predictive of outcomes.

Summary Table: Key Metrics by Sentiment

Classification	Avg PnL	Median PnL	PnL Std	Win Rate	Avg Buy Ratio	Avg Crossed Ratio	Total Volume
Extreme Fear	10,986	18,581	17,480	0.64	0.49	0.66	38,163,755
Fear	9,080	1,412	12,356	0.74	0.51	0.74	148,987,200
Neutral	8,769	1,819	11,861	0.67	0.50	0.78	90,918,800
Greed	3,645	678	8,571	0.73	0.49	0.79	133,488,700
Extreme Greed	7,625	3,128	10,482	0.88	0.46	0.66	108,309,700

Insights & Interpretation:

- **Extreme Fear** → High risk, high reward. Fewer wins but very profitable outcomes. Traders who act during fear benefit disproportionately.
- **Fear** → Heavy trading activity. Many traders react to fear by selling or repositioning.

- **Neutral** → Most balanced and efficient environment. Profits are steady, volatility is moderate, and traders behave rationally.
- **Greed** → Worst efficiency. Traders overtrade and chase rallies, leading to poor risk-adjusted returns.
- **Extreme Greed** → Safer, higher win-rate but smaller average profits.

Actionable Insights:

1. Contrarian Strategy

- Buy opportunities in **Extreme Fear** → Markets overreact, disciplined traders can capture outsized gains.
- Reduce exposure in **Extreme Greed** → Many wins occur, but profitability is inefficient; bubbles are possible.

2. Neutral Sentiment Trading

- Best time for systematic strategies (algos, trend-following) — consistent and efficient environment.

3. Risk Management

- Volatility is highest in **Extreme Fear**. Use smaller position sizes and tighter stops.
- Greed-driven markets require monitoring for over-leverage and inefficiency.

Conclusion

This analysis confirms that trader behaviour is closely tied to sentiment, but outcomes differ significantly across regimes.

- **Extreme Fear** → High average profits, high volatility, and fewer wins → “High Risk, High Reward.”
- **Extreme Greed** → Safer environment with many small wins but lower profitability.
- **Neutral** → Most efficient, balanced environment for consistent profits.
- **Greed overall** → Risk of overtrading and poor efficiency.

The **Fear & Greed Index** can be used as a **contrarian signal**:

- **Fear = Opportunity**
- **Greed = Caution**

This insight can guide smarter trading strategies in Web3 markets, helping traders optimize risk and reward.