SSD Clustering

Manny Ko

February 13, 2022

Abstract

1 Introduction

The premise of this investigation is to use a form of clustering to drive the training of deep learning models. With clusters, we hope to promote small intracluster variability and reduce the need for large capacity models by training a model for each cluster. With that a corresponding reducing in the amount of training data.

2 Clustering

There are two distinct set of approaches to doing clustering without training:

- 1. cluster the feature vectors of a pre-trained model
- 2. cluster the input images using:
 - (a) "2nd-order" region statistics: e.g. region covariance 2.1 and Sigmaset 2.1.1
 - (b) some form of non-Local Means (NLM)

There the algorithm to perform the clustering also deserve some investigation. K-means is certain a starting point but it is very sensitive to initialization. Next, the question of producing a quasi balanced cluster should also be considered.

If we are using a NLM approach, naive approach will make k passes for k clusters while testing for nearest center. At least we need a fast lookup structure so that the nearest center can be retrieved in O(logn) or O(1) time. Or use the very nice SigmaSet 2.1.1 or [KH10]

2.1 Region Covariance

Region covariance can be computed very efficiently using 'integral images/sumarea table' [PT06, TPM06]. Let $F(x,y) = \phi(I,x,y)$ be the $W \times H \times d$ dimensional feature image extracted from I, where ϕ can be any mapping such as intensity, color, gradients, filter response etc.

The region covariance \mathbf{C}_R is a $d \times d$ matrix of the feature points:

$$\mathbf{C}_R = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\mathbf{z}_k - \mu) (\mathbf{z}_k - \mu)^T$$
 (1)

where μ is the mean. \mathbf{C}_R is $d \times d$ instead of $n \times d$ if we are using the raw features. Also, RC does not have any information regarding the ordering and the number of points. This implies a certain scale and rotation invariance.

Covariance matrices do not lie on Euclidean space, a distance metric [FM99]¹ involving generalized eigenvalues follows from the Lie group structure of positive definite matrices:

$$\rho(\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \ln^2 \lambda_i(\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2)}$$
 (2)

where $\lambda_i(\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2)$ are the generalized eigenvalues of \mathbf{C}_1 and \mathbf{C}_2 computed from $\lambda_i \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{x}_i - \lambda_i \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{x}_i \neq 0$ are the generalized eigenvectors.

Using covariance improves computer detection and tracking of humans

¹this is called "affine-invariant" distance

2.1.1 Sigma set

[HCS⁺09] proposes a novel 2nd-order statistics based region descriptor, named "Sigma Set", in the form of a small set of vectors, which can be uniquely constructed through Cholesky decomposition on the covariance matrix. This is basically an optimized form of region covariance using sparsity.

for any matrix A that satisfies $C_R = AA^T$, the set of columns of A has the same 2nd order statistics as R. More specifically, we can construct the Sigma Set descriptor for region R through Cholesky decomposition...

An example application of sigma-set [UMM13].

2.2 Kwatra2010

"Fast Covariance Computation and Dimensionality Reduction for Sub-Window Features in Images"

2.2.1 Faulkner2015

[FSS⁺15] "A Study of the Region Covariance Descriptor: Impact of Feature Selection and Image Transformations"

2.3 K-means

2.3.1 Balanced K-means

[MF14] in k-means assignment phase, the algorithm solves the assignment problem by Hungarian algorithm with time complexity $O(n^3)$.

2.3.2 Balanced K-means & min-cut

[CNMY14]

2.4 Non-Local Means

$2.4.1\quad Qian 2013$

[QY13] nonlocal similarity and spectral-spatial structure of hyperspectral imagery into sparse representation. Non-locality means the self-similarity of image, by which a whole image can be partitioned into some groups containing similar patches. The similar patches in each group are sparsely represented with a shared subset of atoms in a dictionary making true signal and noise more easily separated.

2.4.2 Fu2017

[FLSS17]

3 SSD

4 Conclusion

5 Remarks

Acknowledgments. Finally, thank you to my family and friends for the support during this report.

References

- [CNMY14] Xiaojun Chang, Feiping Nie, Zhigang Ma, and Yi Yang. Balanced k-Means and Min-Cut Clustering. In ICCV '14, 2014.
- [FLSS17] Ying Fu, Antony Lam, Imari Sato, and Yoichi Sato. Adaptive Spatial-Spectral Dictionary Learning for Hyperspectral Image Restoration. Int J of Computer Vision, 122(2):228–245, 2017.
- [FM99] Wolfgang Förstner and Boudewijn Moonen. A metric for covariance matrices. *Tech Report Stuttgart Univ.*, pages 113–128, 1999.
- [FSS+15] Hayden Faulkner, Ergnoor Shehu, Zygmunt L. Szpak, Wojciech Chojnacki, Jules R. Tapamo, Anthony Dick, and Anton Van Den Hengel. A Study of the Region Covariance Descriptor: Impact of Feature Selection and Image Transformations. In DICTA '15, page 8, 2015.
- [HCS+09] Xiaopeng Hong, Hong Chang, Shiguang Shan, Xilin Chen, and Wen Gao. Sigma set: A small second order statistical region descriptor. In CVPR Workshops '09, pages 1802–1809. Ieee, jun 2009.
- [KH10] Vivek Kwatra and Mei Han. Fast covariance computation and dimensionality reduction for sub-window features in images. In ECCV 2010, volume 94043, 2010.
- [MF14] Mikko I. Malinen and Pasi Fränti. Balanced k-means for clustering. In S+SSPR '14, volume 8621 LNCS, pages 32–41, 2014.
- [PT06] Fatih Porikli and Oncel Tuzel. Fast construction of covariance matrices for arbitrary size image windows. *ICIP '06*, pages 1581–1584, 2006.
- [QY13] Yuntao Qian and Minchao Ye. Hyperspectral imagery restoration using nonlocal spectral-spatial structured sparse representation with noise estimation. *IEEE J of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 6(2):499–515, 2013.
- [TPM06] Oncel Tuzel, Fatih Porikli, and Peter Meer. Region covariance: A fast descriptor for detection and classification. In ECCV '06, pages 589–600, 2006
- [UMM13] M. Uzair, A. Mahood, and C. McDonalid. A Compact Discriminative Representation for Efficient Image-set Classification with Application to Biometric Recognition. In *Int. Conf. on Biometrics* '13, page 8, 2013.