The SAIL Framework

Critical Thinking for an AI-Augmented World

"*The more I was depending on it, the less I was thinking about the concepts of underlying strategies.*"

— Student reflection, Strategic Management, Fall 2025

SAIL Collaborative

Suffolk University · Sawyer Business School

December 2025

The Problem We're Solving

Business students are graduating into an AI-augmented world. Every employer expects them to use AI tools. But using AI isn't the hard part—*knowing when to trust it, when to question it, and when to override it* is.

Most AI education focuses on skills: prompt engineering, tool proficiency, workflow optimization. These skills matter. But skills alone produce a dangerous asymmetry: students who can *use* AI but cannot *evaluate* it.

Researchers at MIT's Media Lab call this **cognitive debt**—the accumulated cost of outsourcing thinking to AI (Kosmyna et al., 2025). Each time you accept output without evaluation, you skip a learning opportunity. The debt compounds. Eventually, when AI makes a mistake —and it will—you lack the capacity to catch it.

The solution isn't to avoid AI. It's to develop the judgment that makes AI useful rather than dangerous.

The SAIL Framework

SAIL represents four interconnected competencies for AI-ready professionals:

Pillar	Description
Social Intelligence	Communicating about AI to colleagues, clients, and stakeholders. Knowing what to share, how to frame it, and what your audience needs.
AI Literacy	Understanding what AI can and cannot do. Recognizing its limitations, biases, and failure modes in your specific domain.
Innovation/ Inquiry	Questioning AI outputs. Pushing beyond first answers. Treating AI as a thought partner rather than an oracle.
Leadership	Taking responsibility for Human-AI collaboration outcomes. Deciding when to use AI, when to override it, and owning the results.

These four pillars prepare students not just to use AI, but to lead in an AI-augmented world. But the pillars alone aren't enough. They need something to make them work.

Critical Thinking: The Foundation

Here's what we've learned: **Critical thinking isn't a fifth pillar. It's what makes the other four function.**

The Etymology Matters

The Greek word *kritikos* (κριτικός)—the root of "critical"—literally means "**able to discern**." Critical thinking was never meant to be purely

analytical. From its origins, it included the perceptual capacity to *see what matters*.

The APA Delphi Report (Facione, 1990)—the expert consensus definition used in education worldwide—defines critical thinking as "*purposeful, self-regulatory judgment*." Not just analysis. **Judgment**.

Two Dimensions, One Capacity

Research confirms that critical thinking has two integrated dimensions:

1. **Cognitive Skills**—interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation, self-regulation (Facione, 1990)
2. **Dispositional Qualities**—open-mindedness, truth-seeking, inquisitiveness, systematicity, cognitive maturity (Delphi Report, 83% expert consensus)

Kahneman's Nobel Prize research adds another lens: effective thinking requires both *System 1* (fast, intuitive, perceptual) and *System 2* (slow, analytical, deliberate) processes working together. Neither alone is sufficient.

What This Means for SAIL

Each pillar requires critical thinking—in its full, original sense—to function:

- **Social Intelligence** without critical thinking is just talking. With it, you're assessing what your audience actually needs.
- **AI Literacy** without critical thinking is just trivia. With it, you're applying knowledge to evaluate specific outputs.
- **Innovation/Inquiry** without critical thinking is just novelty. With it, you're genuinely questioning and pushing beyond surface answers.
- **Leadership** without critical thinking is just authority. With it, you're making principled decisions and owning outcomes.

Critical thinking is both the **foundation beneath** the pillars (what enables them) and the **bonds between** them (what connects them). When you communicate AI findings to a stakeholder, you're drawing on AI Literacy, Social Intelligence, and Innovation/Inquiry simultaneously—and critical thinking is what integrates them.

Evidence: The Three-Phase Scaffold

Sam Rosen's Strategic Management course at Suffolk University (Fall 2025) piloted a three-phase approach to developing critical thinking in AI use:

Phase	What Students Do	What They Develop
1. Foundation	Own the intellectual work with AI constrained to research assistance only	Baseline competence; the capacity to evaluate
2. Integration	Use AI fully while documenting and evaluating outputs for hallucinations	Critical evaluation; metacognitive awareness
3. Leadership	Design Human-AI collaboration frameworks as a Chief Strategy Officer	Principled judgment; professional readiness

One student captured the experience perfectly:

> "*One of the most significant obstacles that I encountered was to stay critical about myself when the AI made the process of writing so simple and quick. Since it generated entire paragraphs in real-time, it was too easy to receive the responses as final rather than evaluating whether they were relevant to our class structures or not. I observed that the more I was depending on it, the less I was thinking about the concepts of underlying strategies.*"

This is cognitive debt described in the student's own words—and exactly what the three-phase scaffold helps students recognize and overcome.

The SAIL Promise

Most AI education asks: *How do we teach students to use AI?*

SAIL asks a different question: ***How do we develop the judgment that makes AI use wise?***

The answer is critical thinking—not as an abstract virtue, but as a practiced capacity developed through structured experience. Critical thinking, in its full original meaning (*kritikos*: "able to discern"), integrates both the analytical skills to evaluate and the dispositional qualities to perceive what matters.

Skills can be automated. Judgment cannot. As AI capabilities grow, the human capacity that remains irreplaceable is judgment—the integration of perception and analysis that tells you what to do when the answer isn't obvious.

The SAIL promise: Not just AI-literate graduates. Graduates with judgment.

References

Facione, P. A. (1990). *Critical thinking: A statement of expert consensus for purposes of educational assessment and instruction (The Delphi Report)*. California Academic Press.

Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Kosmyna, N., et al. (2025). Your brain on ChatGPT: Accumulation of cognitive debt when using an AI assistant for essay writing task. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.08872*. MIT Media Lab.

Rosen, S. (2025). Scaffolding SAIL in Strategic Management: A three-phase approach to AI integration. Suffolk University, Sawyer Business School.

About SAIL

The SAIL Collaborative at Suffolk University's Sawyer Business School develops frameworks, curricula, and credentials for AI-ready business education. SAIL stands for Social Intelligence, AI Literacy, Innovation/Inquiry, and Leadership.

Project Lead: Hasan Arslan, PhD, Chief AI Officer & Associate Professor

SAIL Leadership Team: Amy Zeng (Dean), Pelin Bicen (Associate Dean), Jodi Detjen (Associate Dean), Dmitri Tcherevik (Technical Director)

Acknowledgment: The conceptual framework synthesizes established research (Facione, Kahneman) with original pedagogical applications developed by the SAIL team.

For more information: harslan@suffolk.edu