

442 Beta Test Grading- User Use Statements

1. **Group name**

Use Cases

2. **User Identification**

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ 4. Exemplary- Clearly identified a specific user role (ex: "As a player" "As a college student")
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory- General user statement (ex: "As a user")
- ☐ 2. Developing- Starts statement with "I will" or doesn't identify a specific user
- ☐ 1. No Evidence- No identification or user makes no sense (no one should say "As a Unicorn, I will..." unless you have unicorn in the context of a user)

3. **User Identification Comments**

4. **Related to description**

Mark only one oval.

- ☐ 4. Exemplary- Content has specifics that present the use case relevant to the description of features in user story and feature set of the project.
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory- Very broad in relation to the project description. High level relevance to your project features or goals.
- ☐ 2. Developing- Generic and not specific to your project features or goals
- ☐ 1. No Evidence- Not relevant (for example talking about LEDs when your project is entirely web based and focused on finding things)

5. Related to Description Comments

6.

Non-Technical Presentation*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 4. Exemplary- Concise explanation of ideas without any non-common place jargon
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory- Verbose explanation with mild amounts of non-common place jargon
- ☐ 2. Developing- Technical level borders on beyond the target audience level, long winded explanation
- ☐ 1. No Evidence- Inappropriate jargon, very drawn out in explanation

7.

Non-Technical Comments

8.

Not ambiguous content*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 4. Exemplary- Appropriate level of detail, self contained, any sequential aspects clearly defined
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory- Some ambiguity in the description (2 people may have different interpretation of the use case)
- ☐ 2. Developing- Disjointed, disordered, considers more than one possible path of action
- ☐ 1. No Evidence- Not clear, includes references like "the thing" and "stuff"

9.

Ambiguity comments

Testing Procedures

10. Target*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 4. Exemplary - The target is clear and focused aspect of the part of the project they seek to test.
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory - A target is identified, but is not focused on a specific aspect of the project.
- ☐ 2. Developing - The target is broad but related to some aspect of the project.
- ☐ 1. No Evidence - There is no clear target for the test presented or it is not relevant to the project.

11.**Target Comments**

12.**Testing Procedure***Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 4. Exemplary - Procedure to test the target is well constructed, it is easy to follow each step.
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory - Procedure to test the target is clear overall, some steps may be a little unspecific.
- ☐ 2. Developing - Procedure to test the target is broad and not detailed to allow for easy testing.
- ☐ 1. No Evidence- There are some steps to create a procedure, but they have no relation to the target. Process may also be unclear.

13.**Testing Procedure Comments**

14. Expected Outcome*Mark only one oval.*

- ☐ 4. Exemplary- Clear outcome of the project behavior in response to the test process that was developed. Intermediate expected outcomes are documented.
- ☐ 3. Satisfactory - Clear outcome of the project behavior in response to the test process that was developed. No intermediate expectations included.
- ☐ 2. Developing - Broad or non-detailed outcome to the process, outcome is relevant to the target.
- ☐ 1. No Evidence- The outcome developed is not relevant to the target being tested or the testing procedure.

15.**Expected Outcome Comments**

Powered by



Google Forms