A DECIPHERMENT OF THE TIKAL EMBLEM GLYPH D. STUART

Thus far, four variants of the Tikal Emblem Chyph have been identified. These are:









While graphically dissimilar in some respects, it can be seen that all four are visually related. Indeed, one might see these as variations on a single sign, with @ and @ as bead variants of @ and @. Mareover, we can be certain that @ and @ nepresent tied hair, with @ simply adding a head for visual clarification. The conventional form of the Emblem simply shows the back of a head with a headband tie, as revealed by a jade figurine excavated in the stairway cache of Str. 101-26 at Copan:





Copan Str. 102-26 cache (back view) The bead various in D is somewhat enigmatic, for two reasons. First, the field hair is shown differently, perhaps only at the back of the bead. Most strange, however, is the bead itself, which is identical to the partial bead on Stela 31, and which at Palenque seems to function as a sign for way. Way is certainly not the reading of the Tikal E.G., however, for otherwise (3) and are untually exclusive.

As it happens, certain phonetic complements point to a decipherment of the Tital main sign. In the Peterbatun negro, where the Emblem was also in use, we occasionally find the prefix me. At Yaxchilan, where the sign functions in a different context altogether, we find the suffix to.





Speculating that we and to might be phonetic complements,

I was pleased to find the following entry for mut in Yucatec:
"mut pol: rodete haver la muser de sus cabellos" (Cordennex)

(plait that "women makes of her hair)

The Tikal Emblem might therefore read mut or mutul,

the latter when accompanied by the box.