# **Signed Networks**

## Introduction

Signed networks are graphs where edges can have positive (+) or negative (-) weights, representing relationships such as friendship/enmity, trust/distrust, or agreement/disagreement. These networks provide a richer representation of social and economic interactions than traditional unsigned networks.

## **Basic Concepts**

## 1. Signed Network Structure

**Definition**: A signed network is a graph  $G=(V,E,\sigma)$  where:

- ullet V is the set of nodes
- ullet E is the set of edges
- +  $\sigma: E 
  ightarrow \{+1,-1\}$  is the sign function

#### **Edge Types**:

- **Positive edges** (+1): Friendship, trust, agreement
- **Negative edges** (-1): Enmity, distrust, disagreement

## 2. Adjacency Matrix Representation

### **Signed Adjacency Matrix:**

$$A_{ij} = egin{cases} +1 & ext{if } (i,j) ext{ is a positive edge} \ -1 & ext{if } (i,j) ext{ is a negative edge} \ 0 & ext{if no edge exists} \end{cases}$$

# **Balance Theory**

#### 1. Structural Balance

**Definition**: A signed network is balanced if it can be partitioned into two groups such that all positive edges are within groups and all negative edges are between groups.

**Mathematical Definition**: A triangle is balanced if the product of its edge signs is positive:

$$\sigma_{ij} \cdot \sigma_{jk} \cdot \sigma_{ki} = +1$$

#### 2. Balance Conditions

#### **Triangle Types:**

- 1. +++: All positive edges (balanced) "Friend of friend is friend"
- 2. +--: One positive, two negative edges (balanced) "Enemy of enemy is friend"
- 3. ++-: Two positive, one negative edge (unbalanced) "Two friends with common enemy"
- 4. ---: All negative edges (unbalanced) "Three mutual enemies"

#### 3. Balance Index

**Definition**: Fraction of balanced triangles in the network.

#### **Mathematical Definition:**

$$B = \frac{\text{Number of balanced triangles}}{\text{Total number of triangles}}$$

**Python Implementation**: ```python def calculate\_balance\_index(G): """Calculate balance index for signed network""" triangles = [c for c in nx.enumerate\_all\_cliques(G) if len(c) == 3]

```
if not triangles:
    return None

balanced = 0
for triangle in triangles:
```

. . .

# **Centrality Measures**

⚠ CRITICAL WARNING: Standard centrality measures (betweenness, closeness, eigenvector) were designed for unsigned networks and DO NOT work properly for signed networks! Always use specialized signed network methods.

#### **Issues with Standard Centrality**

### **Problems:**

- 1. **Shortest Paths**: How to define "shortest" when edges have different signs?
- 2. **Path Meaning**: A path through enemies is fundamentally different from a path through friends
- 3. **Edge Treatment**: Standard algorithms treat all edges equally, ignoring signs
- 4. **Existence**: Some measures (like eigenvector centrality) may not even exist for signed networks!

## **Example of Failure**: python

```
# X WRONG - These ignore edge signs completely!
betweenness = nx.betweenness_centrality(G)  # Treats all edges
equally
closeness = nx.closeness_centrality(G)  # Ignores sign meaning
eigenvector = nx.eigenvector_centrality(G)  # May not exist!
```

### 1. Signed Degree Centrality

**Definition**: Net degree considering both positive and negative connections.

#### **Mathematical Definition:**

$$d_i^{net} = d_i^+ - d_i^-$$

where:

- ullet  $d_i^+$  is the positive degree of node i
- $oldsymbol{\cdot}$   $d_i^-$  is the negative degree of node i

**Python Implementation**: ``python def signed\_degree\_centrality(G): """Calculate signed degree centrality""" centrality = {}

. . .

#### Interpretation:

- High positive net degree: Well-liked, influential
- High negative net degree: Controversial, many enemies
- Near zero: Balanced relationships

### 2. Walk-Based Centrality (RECOMMENDED PRIMARY METHOD)

**RECOMMENDED**: Walk-based centrality is the most reliable method for signed networks. It properly accounts for how influence propagates along all paths with signs multiplying.

**Reference**: Liu et al. (2020) "A simple approach for quantifying node centrality in signed and directed social networks"

**Key Innovation**: Instead of shortest paths, consider ALL walks where effects propagate and signs multiply.

## Direct Effect Formula

The direct effect of node i on node j is:

$$a_{ij} = rac{\sigma_{ij}}{d_j}$$

where:

- $\sigma_{ij}$  is the sign of edge (i,j)  $(+1 ext{ or } -1)$
- $d_j$  is the degree of node j

**Intuition**: Effect is stronger when target has fewer connections.

# **Indirect Effects and Sign Propagation**

For a walk i o k o j , the indirect effect is:

$$\operatorname{effect}(i \to j \text{ via } k) = a_{ik} \times a_{kj}$$

## **Sign Propagation Rules**:

- $(+) \times (+) = +$ : Positive influence through positive intermediary
- $(+) \times (-) = -$ : Positive becomes negative through negative intermediary
- $(-) \times (-) = +$ : Negative through negative (enemy of enemy)

## Total Effect (TE)

**Definition**: Sum of effects along ALL walks up to length n:

$$TE_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{ ext{walks of length } l} ext{effect along walk}$$

Total Effect of node i on whole network:

$$TE_i = \sum_{i=1}^N TE_{ij}^{(n)}$$

Interpretation: Overall influence magnitude, regardless of sign.

#### Net Effect (NE)

**Definition**: Positive effects minus negative effects:

$$NE_{ij}^{(n)} = E_{ij}^{(n)+} - E_{ij}^{(n)-}$$

Net Effect of node i:

$$NE_i = \sum_{i=1}^N NE_{ij}^{(n)}$$

## Interpretation:

- $NE_i > 0$ : Predominantly positive influence
- $NE_i < 0$ : Predominantly negative influence
- $NE_ipprox 0$ : Balanced positive and negative influence

### **Python Implementation**

def signed\_walk\_effect(G, max\_steps=3):
 """
 Calculate walk-based centrality for signed networks.

Returns total effect and net effect for each node.
 This is the RECOMMENDED method for signed networks.
 """

```
nodes = list(G.nodes())
    n = len(nodes)
    node_to_idx = {node: i for i, node in enumerate(nodes)}
    # Initialize direct effect matrix
    A = np.zeros((n, n))
    for u, v, data in G.edges(data=True):
        i, j = node_to_idx[u], node_to_idx[v]
        sign = data.get('sign', 1)
        degree_v = G.degree(v)
        if degree_v > 0:
            A[i, j] = sign / degree_v
            # For undirected graphs
            A[j, i] = sign / degree_v
    # Calculate cumulative effects up to max_steps
    total_effect = np.eye(n) # Start with self-effect
    current = A.copy()
    for step in range(1, max_steps + 1):
        total_effect += current
        current = current @ A # Matrix multiplication for next
step
    # Calculate metrics for each node
    results = {}
    for i, node in enumerate(nodes):
        row sum = np.sum(total effect[i, :]) # Total effect
exerted
        # Separate positive and negative effects
        positive_effect = np.sum(total_effect[i, :]
[total_effect[i, :] > 0])
        negative_effect = np.sum(np.abs(total_effect[i, :]
[total_effect[i, :] < 0]))</pre>
        net_effect = positive_effect - negative_effect
        results[node] = {
            'total_effect': row_sum,
            'net_effect': net_effect,
            'positive effect': positive effect,
            'negative_effect': negative_effect
```

```
return results
```

**Usage Example**: ```python

# **Calculate walk-based centrality (RECOMMENDED)**

centrality = signed\_walk\_effect(G, max\_steps=3)

## Find most influential nodes

```
\label{lem:most_influential} $$ \max(\text{centrality.items}(), \text{key=lambda x: x[1]['total_effect']})$$ most_positive = \max(\text{centrality.items}(), \text{key=lambda x: x[1]['net_effect']})$$ print(f"Most influential: {most_influential[0]}") print(f"Most positive influence: {most_positive[0]}") ```
```

#### 3. Signed Betweenness Centrality (Approximation Only)

⚠ **WARNING**: No consensus on "correct" signed betweenness in literature. Use approximations with caution or prefer walk-based methods.

**Challenge**: Standard betweenness assumes all paths are equally "good" for communication.

#### **Approach: Structure-Weighted Approximation**

```
def signed_betweenness_approximation(G):
```

```
Approximate betweenness for signed networks.
   WARNING: This is an approximation with limitations.
   Consider using walk-based centrality instead.
   # Create unsigned version for path counting
   G_unsigned = nx.Graph()
   G_unsigned.add_nodes_from(G.nodes())
   G_unsigned.add_edges_from(G.edges())
   # Calculate standard betweenness
    betweenness = nx.betweenness_centrality(G_unsigned)
   # Weight by local sign environment
   weighted_betweenness = {}
    for node in G.nodes():
        pos_edges = sum(1 for _, _, d in G.edges(node, data=True)
                       if d.get('sign', 1) == 1)
        neg_edges = sum(1 for _, _, d in G.edges(node, data=True)
                       if d.get('sign', 1) == -1)
       total_edges = pos_edges + neg_edges
        if total_edges > 0:
            sign_ratio = (pos_edges - neg_edges) / total_edges
            weighted_betweenness[node] = betweenness[node] * (1 +
sign_ratio) / 2
        else:
            weighted_betweenness[node] = 0.0
   return weighted_betweenness
```

#### 4. Signed Closeness Centrality

Challenge: What is "distance" through negative edges?

**Solution: Harmonic Closeness with Positive Edges Only** 

```
def signed_closeness_harmonic(G):
    Harmonic closeness using only positive edges.
    Only considers reachability through friendly relationships.
    # Create subgraph with only positive edges
    G_positive = nx.Graph()
    G_positive.add_nodes_from(G.nodes())
    for u, v, data in G.edges(data=True):
        if data.get('sign', 1) == 1:
            G_positive.add_edge(u, v)
    closeness = {}
    for node in G.nodes():
        harmonic_sum = 0.0
        for target in G.nodes():
            if node != target:
                try:
                    distance = nx.shortest_path_length(G_positive,
node, target)
                    harmonic_sum += 1.0 / distance
                except nx.NetworkXNoPath:
                    pass # No path through positive edges
        n = len(G.nodes())
        closeness[node] = harmonic_sum / (n - 1) if n > 1 else 0
    return closeness
```

#### Interpretation:

- High closeness: Well-connected through friendly relationships
- Low closeness: Isolated or only reachable through enemies

### 5. Signed Eigenvector Centrality

**CRITICAL WARNING**: Eigenvector centrality **may not exist** for signed networks! The Perron-Frobenius theorem does NOT apply when adjacency matrix has negative entries.

### When Eigenvector Centrality Fails:

- 1. No dominant positive eigenvalue
- 2. Multiple eigenvalues with same magnitude
- 3. Complex eigenvalues with imaginary components
- 4. Eigenvector with mixed signs (no clear interpretation)

#### **Mathematical Definition:**

$$x_i = rac{1}{\lambda} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

where  $A_{ij}$  can be positive or negative.

### **Python Implementation with Safety Checks:**

```
def signed_eigenvector_centrality(G, tol=1e-6):
    """
    Calculate eigenvector centrality for signed networks.

WARNING: May not exist! Always check return status.

Returns
-----
centrality: dict or None
    Centrality values if computable, None otherwise status: str
    Status message explaining result
    """
    nodes = list(G.nodes())
    n = len(nodes)
```

```
if n == 0:
        return None, "Empty graph"
    # Build signed adjacency matrix
    A = nx.adjacency_matrix(G, nodelist=nodes,
weight='sign').toarray()
    # Find eigenvalues
    try:
        eigenvalues, eigenvectors = np.linalg.eig(A)
        eigenvalues = eigenvalues.real
    except:
        return None, "Failed to compute eigenvalues"
    # Find largest eigenvalue by magnitude
    max_idx = np.argmax(np.abs(eigenvalues))
    lambda max = eigenvalues[max idx]
    # Check 1: Dominant eigenvalue?
    sorted_eigs = sorted(np.abs(eigenvalues), reverse=True)
    if len(sorted_eigs) > 1 and np.abs(sorted_eigs[0] -
sorted_eigs[1]) < tol:</pre>
        return None, "No dominant eigenvalue (multiple with same
magnitude)"
    # Check 2: Positive eigenvalue?
    if lambda max <= 0:
        return None, f"No positive dominant eigenvalue (\lambda=
{lambda_max:.4f})"
    # Get eigenvector
    eigenvector = eigenvectors[:, max_idx].real
    # Check 3: All same sign?
    if np.all(eigenvector >= 0) or np.all(eigenvector <= 0):</pre>
        eigenvector = np.abs(eigenvector)
        eigenvector = eigenvector / eigenvector.sum()
        centrality = {node: eigenvector[i] for i, node in
enumerate(nodes)}
        return centrality, f"Success (\lambda={lambda_max:.4f})"
    else:
        return None, "Eigenvector has mixed signs"
```

```
# Usage
centrality, status = signed_eigenvector_centrality(G)
if centrality is None:
    print(f"Cannot compute: {status}")
    print("Using walk-based centrality instead")
    centrality = signed_walk_effect(G)
```

Reference: Bonacich & Lloyd (2004) "Calculating status with negative relations"

## **Comparison: Which Centrality to Use?**

Measure	Best For	Reliability	Recommendation
Walk-Based (TE/NE)	Overall influence, signed impact	<b>✓ ✓ ✓</b> Always works	PRIMARY METHOD
Signed Degree	Quick assessment	<b>✓ ✓ ✓</b> Always works	Good supplement
Signed Eigenvector	Friend-of-friend influence	⚠ May not exist	Check first, use cautiously
Signed Betweenness	Bridge identification	Approximation only	Use with caution
Signed Closeness	Reachability	⚠ Multiple definitions	Use specific interpretation

**Primary Recommendation**: Use **walk-based centrality** (total effect and net effect) as your primary measure, supplemented with signed degree for quick insights.

# **Community Detection**

## **A CRITICAL WARNING:** Standard

nx.algorithms.community.modularity() does NOT work for signed networks! It completely ignores edge signs.

#### 1. Signed Modularity

**The Problem**: Standard Newman modularity treats all edges equally.

**Correct Formula**: Gómez et al. signed modularity with parameter  $\alpha$ :

$$Q_{signed} = lpha \cdot Q(G^+) + (1-lpha) \cdot Q(G^-)$$

Where:

- ullet  $Q(G^+)$ : Modularity for positive edges (want within communities)
- $Q(G^-)$ : Modularity for negative edges (want between communities)
- $\alpha \in [0,1]$ : Balance parameter (typically 0.5)

#### **Python Implementation:**

```
Gómez et al. (2009), Traag & Bruggeman (2009)
# Separate into positive and negative subgraphs
G_pos = nx.Graph()
G_neg = nx.Graph()
G_pos.add_nodes_from(G.nodes())
G_neg.add_nodes_from(G.nodes())
m_pos = 0
m_neg = 0
for u, v, data in G.edges(data=True):
    sign = data.get('sign', 1)
    if sign > 0:
        G_pos.add_edge(u, v)
        m pos += 1
    else:
        G_neg.add_edge(u, v)
        m_neg += 1
# Calculate Q for positive edges (want within communities)
Q_pos = 0.0
if m_pos > 0:
    for comm_id in set(communities.values()):
        nodes_in_comm = [n for n, c in communities.items()
                       if c == comm id]
        subgraph = G_pos.subgraph(nodes_in_comm)
        1_c = subgraph.number_of_edges()
        d_c = sum(G_pos.degree(n) for n in nodes_in_comm)
        Q_pos += (l_c / m_pos) - (d_c / (2 * m_pos)) ** 2
# Calculate Q for negative edges (want between communities)
Q_neg = 0.0
if m_neg > 0:
    between_edges = sum(1 for u, v in G_neg.edges()
                      if communities[u] != communities[v])
    Q_neg = between_edges / m_neg
return alpha * Q_pos + (1 - alpha) * Q_neg
```

**Known Issue**: As the number of negative ties increases, the density of positive ties is neglected more (Esmailian & Jalili, 2015).

#### 2. Signed Spectral Clustering

**Algorithm**: ```python def signed\_spectral\_clustering(G, num\_communities=2):
"""Spectral clustering for signed networks""" nodes = list(G.nodes()) n = len(nodes)

```
# Create signed adjacency matrix
A = nx.adjacency_matrix(G, weight='sign').toarray()

# Compute signed Laplacian
D = np.diag(np.sum(np.abs(A), axis=1))
L = D - A

# Find eigenvectors
eigenvalues, eigenvectors = np.linalg.eigh(L)

# Use Fiedler vector (second smallest eigenvalue)
fiedler_vector = eigenvectors[:, 1]

# Partition based on sign
communities = {}
for i, node in enumerate(nodes):
    communities[node] = 0 if fiedler_vector[i] < 0 else 1</pre>
return communities
```

. . .

## 3. Community Quality Metrics

```
def analyze_community_quality(G, communities):
    """Analyze quality of detected communities in signed
network"""
    internal_pos = 0  # Good
    internal_neg = 0  # Bad
    external_pos = 0  # Bad
    external_neg = 0  # Good
```

```
for u, v, data in G.edges(data=True):
        sign = data['sign']
        same_community = (communities[u] == communities[v])
        if same_community:
            if sign == 1:
                internal_pos += 1
            else:
                internal_neg += 1
        else:
            if sign == 1:
                external_pos += 1
            else:
                external_neg += 1
    good_edges = internal_pos + external_neg
    bad_edges = internal_neg + external_pos
    quality = good_edges / (good_edges + bad_edges) if (good_edges
+ bad_edges) > 0 else 0
    return {
        'internal_positive': internal_pos,
        'internal_negative': internal_neg,
        'external_positive': external_pos,
        'external_negative': external_neg,
        'quality_score': quality
    }
```

### **Link Prediction**

#### **Balance-Based Prediction**

**Principle**: Predict signs that maximize structural balance.

### Algorithm:

```
def predict_edge_sign(G, node1, node2):
```

```
Predict edge sign using balance theory.
   Returns
   predicted_sign : int (1 or -1)
       Predicted sign
   confidence : float
        Prediction confidence
   0.00
   # Find common neighbors
   neighbors1 = set(G.neighbors(node1))
   neighbors2 = set(G.neighbors(node2))
   common_neighbors = neighbors1.intersection(neighbors2)
   if len(common_neighbors) == 0:
       return None, 0.0
   vote_positive = 0
   vote_negative = 0
   for neighbor in common_neighbors:
       sign1 = G[node1][neighbor]['sign']
       sign2 = G[node2][neighbor]['sign']
       # Balance rule: if signs match → predict positive
       if sign1 * sign2 == 1:
           vote_positive += 1
       else:
           vote_negative += 1
   predicted_sign = 1 if vote_positive > vote_negative else -1
   confidence = max(vote_positive, vote_negative) /
(vote_positive + vote_negative)
   return predicted_sign, confidence
```

**Example**: ``` A ---?--- B \ / (+)\ /(+) \ / C

Prediction: A-B should be POSITIVE Reasoning: (+)(+)(+) = + (balanced triangle) Confidence: 100% (1 common neighbor, 1 vote) ```

# **Applications**

#### 1. Social Networks

#### **Online Social Networks**

- Positive edges: Friends, followers, likes
- Negative edges: Blocks, unfriends, dislikes
- Applications: Recommendation systems, sentiment analysis

#### **Political Networks**

- Positive edges: Alliances, agreements
- Negative edges: Conflicts, disagreements
- Applications: Political analysis, conflict resolution

#### 2. Economic Networks

### **Trade Networks**

- Positive edges: Trade agreements, partnerships
- Negative edges: Trade disputes, sanctions
- Applications: Economic modeling, policy analysis

### 3. Biological Networks

#### **Protein Interaction Networks**

- Positive edges: Activating interactions
- Negative edges: Inhibiting interactions
- Applications: Drug discovery, disease understanding

### **Gene Regulatory Networks**

- Positive edges: Gene activation
- Negative edges: Gene repression
- · Applications: Gene therapy, disease treatment

## **Complete Analysis Example**

```
import networkx as nx
import numpy as np
# Create signed network
G = nx.Graph()
G.add_edges_from([
    ('A', 'B', {'sign': 1}),
    ('B', 'C', {'sign': 1}),
    ('C', 'A', {'sign': 1}), # Community 1: all friends
    ('D', 'E', {'sign': 1}),
    ('E', 'F', {'sign': 1}), # Community 2: friends
    ('A', 'D', {'sign': -1}),
    ('B', 'E', {'sign': -1}),
    ('C', 'F', {'sign': -1}) # Between communities: enemies
])
# 1. Calculate balance index
balance_idx = calculate_balance_index(G)
print(f"Balance Index: {balance idx:.3f}")
# 2. Calculate centrality (USE WALK-BASED - RECOMMENDED)
centrality = signed_walk_effect(G, max_steps=3)
print("\nTop 3 by Total Effect:")
sorted nodes = sorted(centrality.items(),
                     key=lambda x: x[1]['total_effect'],
                     reverse=True)[:3]
for node, metrics in sorted_nodes:
    print(f" {node}: TE={metrics['total_effect']:.3f}, "
          f"NE={metrics['net_effect']:.3f}")
# 3. Detect communities
communities = signed_spectral_clustering(G, num_communities=2)
print(f"\nCommunities: {communities}")
# 4. Calculate CORRECT signed modularity
Q_signed = signed_modularity(G, communities, alpha=0.5)
print(f"Signed Modularity: {Q_signed:.3f}")
# 5. Evaluate community quality
```

# **Key Takeaways**

- X Standard centrality measures DON'T work they ignore edge signs completely
- 2. V Use walk-based centrality (total effect & net effect) as primary method
- 3.  $\times$  Standard modularity FAILS use signed modularity with  $\alpha$  parameter
- 4. Ligenvector centrality may NOT EXIST always check before computing
- 5. **Balance theory predicts** stable network configurations
- 6. Always cite proper papers don't cite unsigned network methods

#### References

#### **Critical Papers for Signed Networks**

- 1. **Harary, F. (1953)**. "On the notion of balance of a signed graph." Michigan Mathematical Journal, 2(2), 143-146.
- 2. Original balance theory
- 3. **Cartwright, D., & Harary, F. (1956)**. "Structural balance: a generalization of Heider's theory." Psychological Review, 63(5), 277.

- 4. Extended balance theory
- 5. Liu, W. C., Huang, L. C., Liu, C. W. J., & Jordán, F. (2020). "A simple approach for quantifying node centrality in signed and directed social networks." Applied Network Science, 5(1), 1-18.
- 6. Walk-based centrality (RECOMMENDED METHOD)
- 7. Read online
- 8. **Bonacich, P., & Lloyd, P. (2004)**. "Calculating status with negative relations." Social Networks, 26(4), 331-338.
- 9. Eigenvector centrality for signed networks
- 10. **Traag, V. A., & Bruggeman, J. (2009)**. "Community detection in networks with positive and negative links." Physical Review E, 80(3), 036115.
- 11. Signed modularity
- 12. **Esmailian, P., & Jalili, M. (2015)**. "Community detection in signed networks: the role of negative ties in different scales." Scientific Reports, 5, 14339.
- 13. Shows inconsistencies in signed modularity
- 14. **Everett, M. G., & Borgatti, S. P. (2014)**. "Networks containing negative ties." Social Networks, 38, 111-120.
- 15. PN centrality measure
- 16. **Leskovec, J., Huttenlocher, D., & Kleinberg, J. (2010)**. "Predicting positive and negative links in online social networks." Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on World Wide Web, 641-650.
- 17. Link prediction in signed networks