# **Signed Networks**

### Introduction

Signed networks are graphs where edges can have positive (+) or negative (-) weights, representing relationships such as friendship/enmity, trust/distrust, or agreement/disagreement. These networks provide a richer representation of social and economic interactions than traditional unsigned networks.

## **Basic Concepts**

### 1. Signed Network Structure

**Definition**: A signed network is a graph  $G=(V,E,\sigma)$  where:

- ullet V is the set of nodes
- ullet E is the set of edges
- +  $\sigma: E 
  ightarrow \{+1,-1\}$  is the sign function

### **Edge Types**:

- **Positive edges** (+1): Friendship, trust, agreement
- **Negative edges** (-1): Enmity, distrust, disagreement

### 2. Adjacency Matrix Representation

### **Signed Adjacency Matrix:**

$$A_{ij} = egin{cases} +1 & ext{if } (i,j) ext{ is a positive edge} \ -1 & ext{if } (i,j) ext{ is a negative edge} \ 0 & ext{if no edge exists} \end{cases}$$

# **Balance Theory**

#### 1. Structural Balance

**Definition**: A signed network is balanced if it can be partitioned into two groups such that all positive edges are within groups and all negative edges are between groups.

**Mathematical Definition**: A triangle is balanced if the product of its edge signs is positive:

$$\sigma_{ii} \cdot \sigma_{ik} \cdot \sigma_{ki} = +1$$

#### 2. Balance Conditions

#### **Triangle Types:**

- 1. +++: All positive edges (balanced) "Friend of friend is friend"
- 2. +--: One positive, two negative edges (balanced) "Enemy of enemy is friend"
- 3. ++-: Two positive, one negative edge (unbalanced) "Two friends with common enemy"
- 4. ---: All negative edges (unbalanced) "Three mutual enemies"

#### 3. Balance Index

**Definition**: Fraction of balanced triangles in the network.

#### **Mathematical Definition:**

$$B = \frac{\text{Number of balanced triangles}}{\text{Total number of triangles}}$$

# **Centrality Measures**

#### **Issues with Standard Centrality**

#### **Problems:**

- 1. Shortest Paths: How to define "shortest" when edges have different signs?
- 2. Path Meaning: A path through enemies is fundamentally different from a path

through friends

- 3. Edge Treatment: Standard algorithms treat all edges equally, ignoring signs
- 4. Existence: Some measures (like eigenvector centrality) may not even exist for signed networks!

### 1. Signed Degree Centrality

**Definition**: Net degree considering both positive and negative connections.

#### **Mathematical Definition:**

$$d_i^{net} = d_i^+ - d_i^-$$

where:

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet & d_i^+ \text{ is the positive degree of node } i \\ \bullet & d_i^- \text{ is the negative degree of node } i \end{array}$

## **Interpretation**:

- · High positive net degree: Well-liked, influential
- · High negative net degree: Controversial, many enemies
- Near zero: Balanced relationships

#### 2. Walk-Based Centrality

Walk-based centrality is the most reliable method for signed networks. It properly accounts for how influence propagates along all paths with signs multiplying.

Reference: Liu et al. (2020) "A simple approach for quantifying node centrality in signed and directed social networks"

Key Innovation: Instead of shortest paths, consider ALL walks where effects propagate and signs multiply.

### Direct Effect Formula

The direct effect of node i on node j is:

$$a_{ij}=rac{\sigma_{ij}}{d_i}$$

where:

+  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the sign of edge (i,j)  $(+1 ext{ or } -1)$ 

•  $d_j$  is the degree of node j

**Intuition**: Effect is stronger when target has fewer connections.

# **Indirect Effects and Sign Propagation**

For a walk i o k o j , the indirect effect is:

$$\operatorname{effect}(i o j \ {
m via} \ k) = a_{ik} imes a_{kj}$$

### **Sign Propagation Rules**:

•  $(+) \times (+) = +$ : Positive influence through positive intermediary

- (+) imes (-) = - : Positive becomes negative through negative intermediary

•  $(-) \times (-) = +$ : Negative through negative (enemy of enemy)

### Total Effect (TE)

**Definition**: Sum of effects along ALL walks up to length n:

$$TE_{ij}^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{ ext{walks of length } l} ext{effect along walk}$$

Total Effect of node i on whole network:

$$TE_i = \sum_{j=1}^N TE_{ij}^{(n)}$$

Interpretation: Overall influence magnitude, regardless of sign.

#### **Net Effect (NE)**

**Definition**: Positive effects minus negative effects:

$$NE_{ij}^{(n)} = E_{ij}^{(n)+} - E_{ij}^{(n)-}$$

Net Effect of node *i*:

$$NE_i = \sum_{j=1}^N NE_{ij}^{(n)}$$

### **Interpretation**:

- $NE_i > 0$ : Predominantly positive influence
- $NE_i < 0$ : Predominantly negative influence
- $NE_ipprox 0$ : Balanced positive and negative influence

### 3. Signed Betweenness Centrality (Approximation Only)

#### **Approach: Structure-Weighted Approximation**

```
def signed_betweenness_approximation(G):
   Approximate betweenness for signed networks.
   WARNING: This is an approximation with limitations.
   Consider using walk-based centrality instead.
   # Create unsigned version for path counting
   G_unsigned = nx.Graph()
   G_unsigned.add_nodes_from(G.nodes())
   G_unsigned.add_edges_from(G.edges())
   # Calculate standard betweenness
   betweenness = nx.betweenness_centrality(G_unsigned)
   # Weight by local sign environment
   weighted_betweenness = {}
   for node in G.nodes():
        pos_edges = sum(1 for _, _, d in G.edges(node, data=True)
                       if d.get('sign', 1) == 1)
        neg_edges = sum(1 for _, _, d in G.edges(node, data=True)
                       if d.get('sign', 1) == -1)
        total_edges = pos_edges + neg_edges
        if total_edges > 0:
            sign_ratio = (pos_edges - neg_edges) / total_edges
            weighted_betweenness[node] = betweenness[node] * (1 +
```

```
sign_ratio) / 2
        else:
            weighted_betweenness[node] = 0.0

return weighted_betweenness
```

## 4. Signed Closeness Centrality

```
def signed_closeness_harmonic(G):
    Harmonic closeness using only positive edges.
    Only considers reachability through friendly relationships.
    0.00
    # Create subgraph with only positive edges
    G_positive = nx.Graph()
    G_positive.add_nodes_from(G.nodes())
    for u, v, data in G.edges(data=True):
        if data.get('sign', 1) == 1:
            G_positive.add_edge(u, v)
    closeness = {}
    for node in G.nodes():
        harmonic sum = 0.0
        for target in G.nodes():
            if node != target:
                try:
                    distance = nx.shortest_path_length(G_positive,
node, target)
                    harmonic_sum += 1.0 / distance
                except nx.NetworkXNoPath:
                    pass # No path through positive edges
        n = len(G.nodes())
        closeness[node] = harmonic_sum / (n - 1) if n > 1 else 0
    return closeness
```

#### Interpretation:

- High closeness: Well-connected through friendly relationships
- Low closeness: Isolated or only reachable through enemies

# 5. Signed Eigenvector Centrality

The Perron-Frobenius theorem does NOT apply when adjacency matrix has negative entries.

### When Eigenvector Centrality Fails:

- 1. No dominant positive eigenvalue
- 2. Multiple eigenvalues with same magnitude
- 3. Complex eigenvalues with imaginary components
- 4. Eigenvector with mixed signs (no clear interpretation)

#### **Mathematical Definition:**

$$x_i = rac{1}{\lambda} \sum_j A_{ij} x_j$$

where  $A_{ij}$  can be positive or negative.

## **Python Implementation with Safety Checks:**

```
def signed_eigenvector_centrality(G, tol=1e-6):
    """
    Calculate eigenvector centrality for signed networks.

WARNING: May not exist! Always check return status.

Returns
------
centrality: dict or None
    Centrality values if computable, None otherwise
```

```
status : str
        Status message explaining result
    nodes = list(G.nodes())
    n = len(nodes)
    if n == 0:
        return None, "Empty graph"
    # Build signed adjacency matrix
    A = nx.adjacency_matrix(G, nodelist=nodes,
weight='sign').toarray()
    # Find eigenvalues
    try:
        eigenvalues, eigenvectors = np.linalg.eig(A)
        eigenvalues = eigenvalues.real
    except:
        return None, "Failed to compute eigenvalues"
    # Find largest eigenvalue by magnitude
    max_idx = np.argmax(np.abs(eigenvalues))
    lambda_max = eigenvalues[max_idx]
    # Check 1: Dominant eigenvalue?
    sorted_eigs = sorted(np.abs(eigenvalues), reverse=True)
    if len(sorted_eigs) > 1 and np.abs(sorted_eigs[0] -
sorted eigs[1]) < tol:</pre>
        return None, "No dominant eigenvalue (multiple with same
magnitude)"
    # Check 2: Positive eigenvalue?
    if lambda max <= 0:
        return None, f"No positive dominant eigenvalue (\lambda=
{lambda_max:.4f})"
    # Get eigenvector
    eigenvector = eigenvectors[:, max_idx].real
    # Check 3: All same sign?
    if np.all(eigenvector >= 0) or np.all(eigenvector <= 0):</pre>
        eigenvector = np.abs(eigenvector)
```

# **Community Detection**

### 1. Signed Modularity

The Problem: Standard Newman modularity treats all edges equally.

**Correct Formula**: Gómez et al. signed modularity with parameter  $\alpha$ :

$$Q_{signed} = lpha \cdot Q(G^+) + (1-lpha) \cdot Q(G^-)$$

Where:

- $Q(G^+)$ : Modularity for positive edges (want within communities)
- $Q(G^-)$ : Modularity for negative edges (want between communities)
- $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ : Balance parameter (typically 0.5)

#### 2. Signed Spectral Clustering

**Algorithm**: ```python def signed\_spectral\_clustering(G, num\_communities=2):
"""Spectral clustering for signed networks""" nodes = list(G.nodes()) n = len(nodes)

```
# Create signed adjacency matrix
A = nx.adjacency_matrix(G, weight='sign').toarray()
```

```
# Compute signed Laplacian
D = np.diag(np.sum(np.abs(A), axis=1))
L = D - A

# Find eigenvectors
eigenvalues, eigenvectors = np.linalg.eigh(L)

# Use Fiedler vector (second smallest eigenvalue)
fiedler_vector = eigenvectors[:, 1]

# Partition based on sign
communities = {}
for i, node in enumerate(nodes):
    communities[node] = 0 if fiedler_vector[i] < 0 else 1</pre>
return communities
```

. . .

# 3. Community Quality Metrics

```
def analyze_community_quality(G, communities):
    """Analyze quality of detected communities in signed
network"""
    internal_pos = 0 # Good
    internal_neg = 0 # Bad
    external_pos = 0 # Bad
    external_neg = 0 # Good
    for u, v, data in G.edges(data=True):
        sign = data['sign']
        same_community = (communities[u] == communities[v])
        if same_community:
            if sign == 1:
                internal_pos += 1
            else:
                internal_neg += 1
        else:
            if sign == 1:
                external_pos += 1
```

### **Link Prediction**

#### **Balance-Based Prediction**

**Principle**: Predict signs that maximize structural balance.

# Algorithm:

```
def predict_edge_sign(G, node1, node2):
    """
    Predict edge sign using balance theory.

Returns
-----
predicted_sign : int (1 or -1)
    Predicted sign
confidence : float
    Prediction confidence
"""

# Find common neighbors
neighbors1 = set(G.neighbors(node1))
```

```
neighbors2 = set(G.neighbors(node2))
   common_neighbors = neighbors1.intersection(neighbors2)
   if len(common_neighbors) == 0:
       return None, 0.0
   vote positive = 0
   vote_negative = 0
   for neighbor in common_neighbors:
       sign1 = G[node1][neighbor]['sign']
       sign2 = G[node2][neighbor]['sign']
       # Balance rule: if signs match → predict positive
       if sign1 * sign2 == 1:
           vote_positive += 1
       else:
           vote_negative += 1
   predicted_sign = 1 if vote_positive > vote_negative else -1
   confidence = max(vote_positive, vote_negative) /
(vote_positive + vote_negative)
   return predicted_sign, confidence
```

# **Complete Analysis Example**

```
import networkx as nx
import numpy as np

# Create signed network
G = nx.Graph()
G.add_edges_from([
    ('A', 'B', {'sign': 1}),
    ('B', 'C', {'sign': 1}),
    ('C', 'A', {'sign': 1}), # Community 1: all friends
```

```
('D', 'E', {'sign': 1}),
    ('E', 'F', {'sign': 1}), # Community 2: friends
    ('A', 'D', {'sign': -1}),
    ('B', 'E', {'sign': -1}),
    ('C', 'F', {'sign': -1}) # Between communities: enemies
])
# 1. Calculate balance index
balance idx = calculate_balance_index(G)
print(f"Balance Index: {balance_idx:.3f}")
# 2. Calculate centrality (USE WALK-BASED - RECOMMENDED)
centrality = signed_walk_effect(G, max_steps=3)
print("\nTop 3 by Total Effect:")
sorted_nodes = sorted(centrality.items(),
                     key=lambda x: x[1]['total_effect'],
                     reverse=True)[:3]
for node, metrics in sorted_nodes:
    print(f" {node}: TE={metrics['total_effect']:.3f}, "
          f"NE={metrics['net_effect']:.3f}")
# 3. Detect communities
communities = signed_spectral_clustering(G, num_communities=2)
print(f"\nCommunities: {communities}")
# 4. Calculate CORRECT signed modularity
Q_signed = signed_modularity(G, communities, alpha=0.5)
print(f"Signed Modularity: {Q signed:.3f}")
# 5. Evaluate community quality
quality = analyze_community_quality(G, communities)
print(f"Community Quality: {quality['quality_score']:.3f}")
# 6. Link prediction
for node1 in ['A', 'D']:
    for node2 in ['B', 'E']:
        if not G.has_edge(node1, node2):
            pred_sign, conf = predict_edge_sign(G, node1, node2)
            if pred_sign:
                sign_str = '+' if pred_sign == 1 else '-'
                print(f"Predict {node1}-{node2}: {sign str} "
                      f"(confidence: {conf:.2%})")
```

#### References

### **Critical Papers for Signed Networks**

- 1. **Harary, F. (1953)**. "On the notion of balance of a signed graph." Michigan Mathematical Journal, 2(2), 143-146.
- 2. Original balance theory
- 3. **Cartwright, D., & Harary, F. (1956)**. "Structural balance: a generalization of Heider's theory." Psychological Review, 63(5), 277.
- 4. Extended balance theory
- 5. Liu, W. C., Huang, L. C., Liu, C. W. J., & Jordán, F. (2020). "A simple approach for quantifying node centrality in signed and directed social networks." Applied Network Science, 5(1), 1-18.
- 6. Walk-based centrality (RECOMMENDED METHOD)
- 7. Read online
- 8. **Bonacich, P., & Lloyd, P. (2004)**. "Calculating status with negative relations." Social Networks, 26(4), 331-338.
- 9. Eigenvector centrality for signed networks
- 10. **Traag, V. A., & Bruggeman, J. (2009)**. "Community detection in networks with positive and negative links." Physical Review E, 80(3), 036115.
- 11. Signed modularity
- 12. **Esmailian, P., & Jalili, M. (2015)**. "Community detection in signed networks: the role of negative ties in different scales." Scientific Reports, 5, 14339.
- 13. Shows inconsistencies in signed modularity
- 14. **Everett, M. G., & Borgatti, S. P. (2014)**. "Networks containing negative ties." Social Networks, 38, 111-120.
- 15. PN centrality measure
- 16. **Leskovec, J., Huttenlocher, D., & Kleinberg, J. (2010)**. "Predicting positive and negative links in online social networks." Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on World Wide Web, 641-650.
- 17. Link prediction in signed networks