

ICCS200: Assignment 2
Hasdin Ghogar(Harvey)
hghogar@gmail.com
08/05/2019
Collaborators:Chakeera, Ink, Taem.

1: Poisoned Wine

We will label the testers as 1 and 0. 1 if they are poisoned and 0 if they are not. We test $n-1$ bottle and leave 1 bottle out. If no one gets poisoned then the bottle left out is the poisoned bottle. For 2^n bottles we use n bits to label each bottle and every i_{th} bit is assigned to one tester only. If the tester is labeled 1 on that bottle they have to drink the wine in that bottle. Therefore by doing this we check every possible result by labeling the 7 remaining bottle(for 8 bottles) as 000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111(8 possibilities). This way we can the scheme meets the $O(\log(n))$ tester requirements in 31 days.

2: More Running Time Analysis

Determine the best case running time and the worst case running time of method 1 in terms of Θ .

(1) Assigning n as an integer of `array.length` costs $\Theta(1)$. The cost of for loop in `helpermethod1` is $\Theta(n)$ while the other line in that method cost $\Theta(1)$. While the method swap costs $\Theta(1)$. The for loop in `method1` cost $\Theta(n)$ as it runs $n-1$ times. We run both `helpermethod` and `swap` in it therefore the cost of the program is $\Theta(n^2 - n).c(constant) + n.k(constant) = \Theta(n^2)$. Since the best-case and worst-case are same both of them are $\Theta(n^2)$

(2) Assigning n as an integer of `array.length` costs $\Theta(1)$. The for loop runs n times therefore it's cost is $\Theta(n)$. In best-case it runs only once therefore it costs $\Theta(1)$. In worst-case it runs n times before returning therefore it's cost is $\Theta(n)$.

(3) Assigning n as an integer of `array.length` costs $\Theta(1)$. The outer loop costs constant c . The middle loop runs $2*n$ times costing $\Theta(n)$. The inner loop costs $\Theta(\log n)$. Therefore the total cost of the program $\Theta(n \log n)$. Since it is a for loop therefore the best-case and worst-case is the same. Therefore in both case the cost is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

3: Recursive Code

(1) The loop run n times each times cost $k(constant)$. So, the cost for this loop is $n.k$, which is $O(n)$. In the program it returns `ys` which is the length of `xs/2` which is $n/2$ therefore the recurrence of it is $T(n/2)$. The rest of the lines cost $O(1)$ therefore the total cost for this recursion is $T(n/2) + O(n) = O(n)$

(2) The loop runs n time and each time cost $k(constant)$. So the total cost is $O(n)$. Others

work cost $O(1)$. In the program it returns `ys` which is the length of `(xs-1)` which is $n-1$ using `ys=copyOfRange(xs, 1, xs.length)`, therefore the recursion is $T(n-1)$. The total work is $T(n-1) + O(n) = O(n^2)$

(3) First and the second for loop runs $n/2$ time each.

`int[] left=Arrays.copyOfRange(xs, 0, n/2)` takes $n/2$.

`int[] right=Arrays.copyOfRange(xs, 0, n/2)` takes $n/2$.

`int[] ps = new int[xs.length]` cost n .

Others function only cost $O(1)$.

There are two recursive part of the program which are

`left = prefixSum(left);`

`right = prefixSum(right);`

Since both `left=Arrays.copyOfRange(xs, 0, n/2)` and `right=Arrays.copyOfRange(xs, 0, n/2)` costs $T(n/2)$ each, therefore it's cost is $2T(n/2)$. In the program it returns `ys` which is the length of `xs/2` which is $n/2$ therefore the recursion is $2T(n/2)$. This means the run time of the program takes $2T(n/2) + O(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$.