

# DeBERTa-v3-base Fine-tuning Results: With Context vs Without Context

## Experimental Setup

We fine-tuned DeBERTa-v3-base for binary sarcasm classification under two conditions:

- **With Context:** Input formatted as `"Context: {context} Response: {text}"`
- **Without Context:** Input using only the response utterance (`{text}`)

Both experiments used identical hyperparameters: learning rate of 5e-6, batch size of 8 with gradient accumulation of 2 (effective batch size 16), 5 training epochs, and 50 warmup steps. Models were evaluated on validation, test, and gold sets.

## Results

Dataset	Condition	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1	TP	TN	FP	FN
Val	With Context	0.515	0.520	0.515	0.485	25	9	24	8
Val	Without Context	0.667	0.672	0.667	0.664	19	25	8	14
Test	With Context	0.537	0.544	0.540	0.527	23	13	21	10
Test	Without Context	0.597	0.600	0.595	0.591	16	24	10	17
Gold	With Context	0.500	0.478	0.479	0.476	10	4	8	6
Gold	Without Context	0.750	0.778	0.771	0.750	10	11	1	6

## Observations

The model without context consistently outperformed the model with context across all evaluation sets:

- **Validation set:** The model without context outperformed the model with context by 15.2 percentage points in accuracy (66.7% vs 51.5%) and 17.9 percentage points in F1 score (66.4% vs 48.5%).
- **Test set:** The model without context outperformed the model with context by 6.0 percentage points in accuracy (59.7% vs 53.7%) and 6.4 percentage points in F1 score (59.1% vs 52.7%).
- **Gold set:** The model without context outperformed the model with context by 25.0 percentage points in accuracy (75.0% vs 50.0%) and 27.4 percentage points in F1 score (75.0% vs 47.6%).

The model with context performed near chance level (50%) on the validation and gold sets, with a high false positive rate (24 FP on validation, 8 FP on gold). Removing context reduced false positives substantially (8 FP

on validation, 1 FP on gold) and improved overall performance.