

Submitted to

SPL 2 Coordinators
Institute of Information Technology
University Of Dhaka

Submitted By

Md. Shayakh Shihab Uddin
Roll: BSSE0813

Md. Hasan Tarek
Roll: BSSE0818

Submission Date: 20th March, 2018



Institute of Information Technology
University Of Dhaka

Letter of Transmittal

20th March, 2018

Coordinator
Software Project Lab 2
Institute of Information Technology
University of Dhaka

Subject: Submission of software requirement specification document on "Programming Platform".

Sir

We, the team on which the project on Programming Platform was assigned, are submitting our software requirement specification document with due respect. We have tried our best for preparing the document. However, it might lack perfection.

So, may we therefore, hope that you would be kind enough to accept our document and oblige thereby.

Sincerely yours

Md. Shayakh Shihab Uddin (BSSE0813)
Md. Hasan Tarek (BSSE0818)
BSSE 8th Batch
Institute of Information Technology
University of Dhaka

Date and Signature
Dr. Mohammad Shoyaib
Professor
Institute of Information Technology
University of Dhaka.

Acknowledgement

We are pleased for getting such a tremendous opportunity to prepare the document on **Programming Platform**. We would like to thank our course teacher, Dr. Mohammad Shoyaib, Professor, Institute of Information Technology, University of Dhaka, for giving us guideline about how can we prepare this document. In completing this paper we have collected various important data and information from some of the students and teachers. We are thankful to all who helped us to complete this document.

Special thanks to:

Hasnain Heickal
Assistant Professor
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University of Dhaka.

Md. Rayhanur Rahman
Lecturer
Institute of Information Technology
University of Dhaka

Nadia Nahar
Lecturer
Institute of Information Technology
University of Dhaka

Abstract

The study is made for developing a programming platform. The scope of study is to analyze a programming platform system which will run on a Local Area Network (LAN) system. The object of this study is to develop a Software Requirements and Specification (SRS) of the Programming Platform.

Contents

CHAPTER-01: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 INTENDED AUDIENCE	1
1.3 CONCLUSION.....	2
CHAPTER-02: INCEPTION OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM.....	3
2.1 INTRODUCTION	3
2.1.1 LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS.....	3
2.1.2 RECOGNIZING MULTIPLE VIEWPOINTS	4
2.1.3 WORKING TOWARDS COLLABORATION	5
2.1.4 REQUIREMENTS QUESTIONNAIRE	6
2.2 CONCLUSION.....	6
CHAPTER-03: ELICITATION OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM	7
3.1 INTRODUCTION	7
3.2 ELICITING REQUIREMENTS	7
3.2.1 COLLABORATIVE REQUIREMENTS GATHERING	7
3.2.2 QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT	8
3.2.3 USAGE SCENERIO	9
3.2.4 ELICITATION WORK PRODUCTS	11
CHAPTER 4: SCENARIO BASED MODELING.....	12
4.1 INTRODUCTION	12
4.2 DEFINITION OF USE CASE	12
4.3 USE CASE DIAGRAMS	13
4.3.1 Level-0 Use Case Diagram	13
4.3.2 Level-1: Use Case Diagram-Subsystem.....	14
4.3.3 Level-1.1: Use Case Diagram-Authentication.....	15
4.3.3 Level-1.2: Use Case Diagram-User Profile.....	16
4.3.4 Level-1.3: Use Case Diagram- Problem Set Management	17
4.3.5 Level-1.4: Use Case Diagram- Contest Management	18
4.4 ACTIVITY DIAGRAMS OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM.....	19
4.4.1 Level-1.1 Activity Diagram.....	19
4.4.2 Level-1.2 Activity Diagram.....	22
4.4.3 Level-1.3 Activity Diagram.....	23

4.4.4 Level-1.4 Activity Diagram.....	25
4.4.5 Level-1.5 Activity Diagram.....	27
4.5 SWIMLANE DIAGRAMS OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM	28
4.5.1 Level-1.1 Swimlane Diagram.....	28
4.5.2 Level-1.2 Swimlane Diagram.....	30
4.5.3 Level-1.3 Swimlane Diagram.....	31
4.5.4 Level-1.4 Swimlane Diagram.....	33
4.5.5 Level-1.5 Swimlane Diagram.....	35
CHAPTER 05: DATA BASED MODELING.....	36
5.1 DATA MODELLING CONCEPTS	36
5.2 DATA OBJECTS	36
5.2.1 Noun identification.....	36
5.2.2 Potential Data Object	38
5.2.3 Final Data Object	39
5.3 DATA OBJECT RELATIONS.....	40
5.4 ENTITY RELATIONSHIP DIAGRAM	41
5.5 SCHEMA DIAGRAM.....	42
CHAPTER 06: CLASS-BASED MODELING	45
6.1 CLASS BASED MODELING CONCEPT.....	45
6.2 GENERAL CLASSIFICATION	45
6.3 SELECTION CRITERIA.....	47
6.4 ASSOCIATE NOUN AND VERB IDENTIFICATION.....	49
6.5 ATTRIBUTE IDENTIFICATION	50
6.6 METHOD IDENTIFICATION.....	51
6.7 FINALIZING CLASS	52
6.8 CIASS CARD.....	54
6.9 CLASS RESPONSIBILITY COLLABORATOR DIAGRAM	58
CHAPTER 07: FLOW ORIENTED MODELLING.....	59
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	59
7.2 DATA FLOW DIAGRAM (DFD)	59
7.2.1 Level-0 Data Flow Diagram.....	59
7.2.2 Level-1 Data Flow Diagram.....	60
7.2.2 Level-2 Data Flow Diagrams	61

CHAPTER 08: BEHAVIORAL MODELLING	64
8.1 STATE TRANSITION DIAGRAMS.....	64
8.1.1 EVENT IDENTIFICATION.....	64
8.1.2 STATE TRANSITION DIAGRAMS	66
8.2 SEQUENCE DIAGRAM.....	70
CHAPTER 09: CONCLUSION	72
CHAPTER 10: REFERENCES.....	72

List of Figures

Figure 1: Level 0 Use Case Diagram- Programming Platform	13
Figure 2: Level 1 Use Case diagram - Subsystems	14
Figure 3: Level 1.1 Use Case Diagram- Authentication	15
Figure 4: Level 1.2 Use Case Diagram- User Profile.....	16
Figure 5: Level 1.3 Use Case Diagram- problem set management.....	17
Figure 6: Level 1.4 Use Case Diagram- Contest Management.....	18
Figure 7: Activity Diagram 1.1.1 Sign Up	19
Figure 8: Activity Diagram 1.1.2 Sign in	20
Figure 9: Activity Diagram 1.1.3 Account Recovery	20
Figure 10: Activity Diagram 1.1.4 Logout	21
Figure 11: Activity Diagram 1.2 User Profile.....	22
Figure 12: Activity Diagram 1.3 Problem Set Management.....	23
Figure 13: Activity Diagram 1.3.1 Add Problem	24
Figure 14: Activity Diagram 1.4 Contest Management	25
Figure 15: Activity Diagram 1.4.1 Add Contest	26
Figure 16: Activity Diagram 1.5 Solution Assessment.....	27
Figure 17: Swimlane Diagram 1.1.1 Sign Up	28
Figure 18: Swimlane Diagram 1.1.2 Sign in	29
Figure 19: Swimlane Diagram 1.1.1 Recover Account.....	29
Figure 20: Swimlane Diagram 1. 2 User Profile.....	30
Figure 21: Swimlane Diagram 1.3 Problem Set Management.....	31
Figure 22: Swimlane Diagram 1.3.1 Add Problem	32
Figure 23: Swimlane Diagram 1.4 Contest Management.....	33
Figure 24: Swimlane Diagram 1.4.1 Add Contest.....	34
Figure 25: Swimlane Diagram 1.5 Solution Assessment.....	35
Figure 26: Data Object Relation	40
Figure 27: Entity Relationship Diagram	41
Figure 28: Class Responsibility Collaborator Diagram	58
Figure 29: Level-0 Data Flow Diagram	59
Figure 30: Level-1 Data Flow Diagram	60
Figure 31: Authentication data flow diagram	61
Figure 32: User profile data flow diagram	62
Figure 33: Problem set management data flow diagram	62
Figure 34: Contest management data flow diagram	63
Figure 35: State transition diagram of Authentication.....	66

Figure 36: State transition diagram of Problem solver	67
Figure 37: State transition diagram of Contest	67
Figure 38: State transition diagram of validation	68
Figure 39: State transition diagram of Problem	68
Figure 40: State transition diagram of User profile.....	69
Figure 41: State transition diagram of submission	69
Figure 42: Sequence diagram	71

Table of Contents

Table 1: Noun identification	36
Table 2: Final data object	39
Table 3: Schema for administrator.....	42
Table 4: Schema for problem solver.....	42
Table 5: Schema for problem	43
Table 6: Schema for submission	43
Table 7: Schema for contest	44
Table 8: Schema for participation	44
Table 9: General classification	46
Table 10: Selection criteria	48
Table 11: Associate noun and verb identification	49
Table 12: Attribute identification	50
Table 13: method identification	51
Table 14: Class card for authentication.....	54
Table 15: Class card for user profile.....	54
Table 16: Class card for problem solver.....	55
Table 17: Class card for contest	55
Table 18: Class card for Problem	56
Table 19: Class card for validation	56
Table 20: Class card for submission	57
Table 21: Class card for DBConnector	57
Table 22: Event identification	64

CHAPTER-01: INTRODUCTION

This chapter is a part of our software requirement specification for the project "Programming Platform". In this chapter we focus on the intended audience for this project.

1.1 INTRODUCTION

This document briefly describes the Software Requirement Analysis of "Programming Platform". It contains functional, non-functional and supporting requirements and establishes a requirements baseline for the development of the system. The requirements contained in the SRS are independent, uniquely numbered and organized by topic. The SRS serves as an official means of communicating user requirements to the developer and provides a common reference point for both the developer team and the stakeholder community. The SRS will evolve over time as users and developers work together to validate, clarify and expand its contents.

1.2 INTENDED AUDIENCE

This SRS is intended for several audiences including project managers, designers, developers, and testers.

The project managers of the developer team will use this SRS to plan milestones and a delivery date, and ensure that the developing team is on track during development of the system.

The designers will use this SRS as a basis for creating the system's design. The designers will continually refer back to this SRS to ensure that the system they are designing will fulfill the customer's needs.

The developers will use this SRS as a basis for developing the system's functionality. The developers will link the requirements defined in this SRS to the software they

create to ensure that they have created a software that will fulfill all of the customer's documented requirements.

The testers will use this SRS to derive test plans and test cases for each documented requirement. When portions of the software are complete, the testers will run their tests on that software to ensure that the software fulfills the requirements documented in this SRS. The testers will again run their tests on the entire system when it is complete and ensure that all requirements documented in this SRS have been fulfilled.

1.3 CONCLUSION

This analysis of the audience helped us to focus on the users who will be using our analysis. This overall document will help each and every person related to this project to have a better idea about the project.

CHAPTER-02: INCEPTION OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Inception is the beginning phase of requirements engineering. It defines how a software project gets started and what the scope and nature of the problem to be solved is. The goal of the inception phase is to identify concurrent needs and conflicting requirements among the stakeholders of a software project. At project inception, we establish a basic understanding of the problem, the people who want a solution, the nature of the solution that is desired and the effectiveness of preliminary communication and collaborations between the other stakeholders and the software team. The purpose of the document is to represent a short description of "Programming Platform"

To establish the groundwork we have worked with the following factors related to the inception phases:

- List of stakeholders
- Recognizing multiple viewpoints
- Working towards collaboration
- Requirements questionnaire

2.1.1 LIST OF STAKEHOLDERS

Stakeholder refers to any person or group who will be affected by the system directly or indirectly. Stakeholders include end-users who interact with the system and everyone else in an organization that may be affected by its installation. At inception, a list of people who will contribute input as requirements are elicited. To identify the stakeholders we tried to find out those following questions:

- Who is going to use the platform?
- Who is going to manage the platform?
- Who is going to get benefit from the platform?
- Who is going to give feedback to us?

We identified the following stakeholders for our “Programming Platform”.

- Programming Platform Manager: Programming platform manager is a person who will manage the whole system. Such as set a contest, remove contest, add problem, remove problem.
- Contest Regulator: Contest regulator can set, remove contest or problem.
- Problem Solver: Problem solver will be able to see the contests and problems. They can solve a problem and submit the solution code to the system for judgment.

2.1.2 RECOGNIZING MULTIPLE VIEWPOINTS

Different stakeholders achieve different benefits from the system. Consequently, each of them has a different view of the system. So we have to recognize the requirements from multiple points of view, as well as multiple views of requirements. Assumptions are given below:

Programming Platform Administrator viewpoints:

- User friendly and efficient system
- Strong Authentication
- Strong security system

Contest Regulator viewpoints:

- User friendly and efficient system
- Efficient contest management system
- Giving live rank of contenders of any contest
- Minimum time to process verdict on solution submission
- Users are supposed to view her/his own source code

Problem Solver viewpoints:

- User friendly and efficient system

- Fascinating outlook
- Minimum time on returning verdict
- User profile statistics of user submission in contest
- Source code reading opportunity of which have been already submitted

2.1.3 WORKING TOWARDS COLLABORATION

Every stakeholder has their own requirements. There are some common and conflicting requirements of our stakeholder. That's why we followed the following steps to merge these requirements-

- Find the common and conflicting requirements
- Categorize them
- List the requirements based on stakeholder's priority points
- Make final decision about requirements

Common requirements:

- User friendly and efficient platform
- Fascinating outlook
- Minimum time on returning verdict
- User profile statistics of user submission in contest
- Giving live rank of contenders of contests
- Source code reading opportunity of a user's own source code

Conflicting requirements:

- Limited development time

Final requirements: We finalize the following requirements based on stakeholder's priority point:

- User friendly and efficient platform
- Fascinating outlook
- Minimum time on returning verdict

- User profile statistics of user submission in contest
- Giving live rank of contenders of contests
- Source code reading opportunity of a user's own source code

2.1.4 REQUIREMENTS QUESTIONNAIRE

We first ask the stakeholder some context free questions to understand the project's overall performance and goals. These questions are mentioned in section 2.1.1. These questions help us to identify the stakeholders of the project. Then we ask our next set of questions to better understand the problem and take stakeholder's opinion about the solution.

2.2 CONCLUSION

The Inception phase helped us to establish basic understanding about the "Programming Platform", identify the stakeholders who will be benefited if this system becomes automated, define the nature of the system and the tasks done by the system, and establish a preliminary communication with our stakeholders.

In our project, we have established a basic understanding of the problem, the nature of the solution that is desired and the effectiveness of preliminary communication and collaboration between the stakeholders and the software team. More studies and communication will help both sides (developer and client) to understand the future prospect of the project. Our team believes that the full functioning document will help us to define that future prospect.

CHAPTER-03: ELICITATION OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM

After discussing on the inception phase, we need to focus on Elicitation phase. So, this chapter specifies the Elicitation phase.

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Requirements Elicitation is a part of requirements engineering that is the practice of gathering requirements from the stakeholders. We have faced many difficulties, like understanding the problems, making questions to the stakeholders, problems of scope and volatility. Though it is not easy to gather requirements within a very short time, we have surpassed these problems in an organized and systematic manner.

3.2 ELICITING REQUIREMENTS

We have seen Question and Answer (Q&A) approach in the previous chapter, where the inception phase of requirement engineering has been described. Requirements Elicitation (also called requirements gathering) combines problem solving, elaboration, negotiation and specification. The collaborative working approach of the stakeholders is required to elicit the requirements. We have finished the following tasks for eliciting requirements-

- Collaborative Requirements Gathering
- Quality Function Deployment
- Usage scenarios
- Elicitation work products

3.2.1 COLLABORATIVE REQUIREMENTS GATHERING

We have met with the stakeholders of this project in the inception phase such as administrator and problem solvers. Many different approaches to collaborative requirements gathering have been proposed by the stakeholders. To solve this

problem we have met with the stakeholders again to elicit the requirements. A slightly different scenario from these approaches has been found.

- The meeting were conducted with an administrator of an online judge and programming problem solvers. They were questioned about their requirements and expectations.
- They were asked about the problems they were facing the current manual system.
- Lastly we selected our final requirement list from the meetings.

3.2.2 QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT

Quality Function Deployment (QFD) is a technique that translates the needs of the customer into technical requirements for software. It concentrates on maximizing customer satisfaction from the software engineering process. So we have followed this methodology to identify the requirements for the project. The requirements, which are given below, are identified successfully by the QFD.

3.2.2.1 NORMAL REQUIREMENTS

Normal are generally the objectives and goals that are stated for a product or system during meetings with the stakeholders. The presence of these requirements fulfills stakeholders' satisfaction. The normal requirements of our project-

- First and foremost, a really friendly user interface
- Store the information of all users
- Minimum effort to use the software
- Problem or contest can be added, removed easily without any difficulty.
- Problem solver should get the result of the submitted code immediately.
- Show the rank of a contest

3.2.2.2 EXPECTED REQUIREMENTS

These requirements are implicit to the product or system and may be so fundamental that the customer does not explicitly state them. Their absence will be a cause for significant dissatisfaction. Below the expected requirements are described

- Authentication process
- Interactive and attractive graphical user interface

3.2.3 USAGE SCENERIO

Programming Platform is an automated System for the following purposes-

- Authentication
- Problem Set Management
- Programming Contest Management
- Solution Assessment
- User Profile Management

Authentication

Offline programming platform has two types of users.

- Administrator
- Problem solver

At the time of installation of this system an administrator account will be created who will maintain the system. For account creation any type of user has to provide these information

- Username/Email
- Password
- Recovery Pin
- Institute

User's password can be at most fifteen characters and pin four characters. Only authenticated user can enter to the system.

A user can log into the system entering her/his username/email and password. If provided username/email and password matches, user can enter to the system. Otherwise an error message will be generated.

If a user forget her/his password then she/he can recover her/his account. For user account recovery the system will ask for her/his username/email and pin number. If provided email and pin number matches then she/he will be able to see her/his password. Then using that password she/he can enter into the system.

Problem Set Management

One of the basic features of a Programming Platform is to give the programmers the opportunity to practice programming problems to enhance their coding skills. A programming platform is supposed to have some predefined problems which have been set by the administrator.

The problem set will hold the programming problems that have been set in previously arranged contests. This problem set will allow the users to practice contest problems even the contest duration is over.

All the problems will be set by the administrator. Users can surf through the problem set and solve the problems of their choice.

Programming Contest Management

Arrangement of contest is the greatest feature of a programming platform or judge. An administrator can arrange programming contests.

To arrange a contest, the administrator needs to set problems. The administrator will set a problem by uploading a problem description file which will be a portable document format (pdf) file. The administrator will also upload a test input file and a solution file corresponding to every problem. The duration of the contest will also be set by the administrator.

The users can participate in any of contest. Users will be ranked based on their performance in the contest.

After the contest, the problems of the contest will also be added to problem set.

Solution Assessment

A user can submit the solution of a problem through an input text field which will be underneath that particular problem. At the same time s/he will also select the programming language. The available programming language in this case will be C, C++ and Java.

The submitted solution will be first compiled by the system based on the programming language s/he has selected. Then this solution will be run against the pre-set test input file. The output of the given input set will be matched against the solution file which has also been set by the administrator. If the output matches with the inputted solution file, the solution code will be accepted. Otherwise, the solution

will be not accepted. In response to the submitted solution code, the system will provide one of the following verdicts:

- Accepted : *If the output satisfies the provided solution file.*
- Wrong answer : *If the output does not satisfy the provided solution file.*
- Compilation error: *If the system fails to compile the solution code.*
- Time limit exceed: *If the code takes more time than it is expected to execute that code.*

User Profile Management

Every user will be provided a dedicated profile against the email he has provided. In her/his profile, a user can see the problems s/he has solved in the contests or after the contests. He can also see in which contest s/he has participated.

A user can also modify her or his profile information (institute, password and recovery pin).

3.2.4 ELICITATION WORK PRODUCTS

The work products produced as a sequence of requirements elicitation will vary depending on the size of the system or product to be built. Here, the Elicitation work product includes

- Making a statement of our requirements for the Programming Platform.
- Making a bounded statement of scope of our system
- Making a list of the stakeholders who participated in the requirement elicitation
- A description of the system's technical environment.
- A list of requirements that are organized by function and domain constraints that apply to each other.
- A set of usage scenario that provide insight into the use of the system

CHAPTER 4: SCENARIO BASED MODELING

This chapter describes the Scenario Based Model for the Programming Platform.

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Although the success of a computer-based system or product is measured in many ways, user satisfaction resides at the top of the list. If we understand how end users (and other actors) want to interact with a system, our software team will be better able to properly characterize requirements and build meaningful analysis and design models. Hence, requirements modeling begins with the creation of scenarios in the form of Use Cases, activity diagrams and swim lane diagrams.

4.2 DEFINITION OF USE CASE

A Use Case captures a contract that describes the system behavior under various conditions as the system responds to a request from one of its stakeholders. In essence, a Use Case tells a stylized story about how an end user interacts with the system under a specific set of circumstances. A Use Case diagram simply describes a story using corresponding actors who perform important roles in the story and makes the story understandable for the users.

The first step in writing a Use Case is to define that set of “actors” that will be involved in the story. Actors are the different people that use the system or product within the context of the function and behavior that is to be described. Actors represent the roles that people play as the system operators. Every user has one or more goals when using system.

Primary Actor

Primary actors interact directly to achieve required system function and derive the intended benefit from the system. They work directly and frequently with the software.

Secondary Actor

Secondary actors support the system so that primary actors can do their work. They either produce or consume information.

4.3 USE CASE DIAGRAMS

Use Case diagrams give the non-technical view of overall system.

4.3.1 Level-0 Use Case Diagram




Figure 1: Level 0 Use Case Diagram- Programming Platform

4.3.2 Level-1: Use Case Diagram-Subsystem




Figure 2: Level 1 Use Case diagram - Subsystems

Description of Use Case Diagram Level 1-

Primary Actor: Administrator, Problem Solver, System.

There are five subsystems in the programming platform. They are:

1. Authentication.
2. User Profile.
3. Problem Set Management.
4. Contest Management.
5. Solution Assessment.

4.3.3 Level-1.1: Use Case Diagram-Authentication




Figure 3: Level 1.1 Use Case Diagram- Authentication

Primary Actor: Administrator, Problem Solver.

The Authentication Subsystem can be divided into four parts:

1. Sign Up
2. Log in
3. Recover Account
4. Log Out

At the time of installation of this system an administrator account will be created who will maintain the system. For account creation any type of user has to provide these information

- Username/Email
- Password
- Recovery Pin
- Institute

User's password can be at most fifteen characters and pin four characters. Only authenticated user can enter to the system.

A user can log into the system entering her/his username/email and password. If provided username/email and password matches, user can enter to the system. Otherwise an error message will be generated.

If a user forget her/his password then she/he can recover her/his account. For user account recovery the system will ask for her/his username/email and pin number. If provided email and pin number matches then she/he will be able to see her/his password. Then using that password she/he can enter into the system.

4.3.3 Level-1.2: Use Case Diagram-User Profile




Figure 4: Level 1.2 Use Case Diagram- User Profile

Primary Actor: Problem Solver.

The User Profile Subsystem can be divided into two parts:

1. View submission status
2. Modify profile

Every user will be provided a dedicated profile against the email he has provided. In her/his profile, a user can see the problems s/he has solved in the contests or after the contests. He can also see in which contest s/he has participated.

A user can also modify her or his profile information (institute, password and recovery pin).

4.3.4 Level-1.3: Use Case Diagram- Problem Set Management




Figure 5: Level 1.3 Use Case Diagram- problem set management

Primary Actors: Administrator, Problem Solver.

The Problem Set Management Subsystem can be divided into three parts:

1. Set Problem
2. Remove Problem
3. Solve Problem

To arrange a contest, the administrator needs to set problems. The administrator will set a problem by uploading a problem description file which will be a portable document format (pdf) file. The administrator will also upload a test input file, a solution file and time limit corresponding to every problem. The problem will also have a problem name.

The administrator can also remove any problem which has been set previously.

User can solve any problem. For that he needs to select the problem identified by contest id and problem id. Then he needs to provide the programming language name and the solution. The solution will be assessed by the subsystem "Solution assessor".

4.3.5 Level-1.4: Use Case Diagram- Contest Management




Figure 6: Level 1.4 Use Case Diagram- Contest Management

Primary Actors: Administrator, Problem Solver.

The Contest Management Subsystem can be divided into three parts:

1. Add Contest
2. Remove Contest
3. Modify Contest

To add a contest, an administrator user needs to give contest title, password, starting time, duration. An id will be generated from the system. Then administrator will set problems for the contest which has been described in "Problem Set Management". The administrator user will also be able to modify the contest information before the contest. S/he can also remove the contest.

4.4 ACTIVITY DIAGRAMS OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM

4.4.1 Level-1.1 Activity Diagram




Figure 7: Activity Diagram 1.1.1 Sign Up




Figure 8: Activity Diagram 1.1.2 Sign in




Figure 9: Activity Diagram 1.1.3 Account Recovery




Figure 10: Activity Diagram 1.1.4 Logout

4.4.2 Level-1.2 Activity Diagram




Figure 11: Activity Diagram 1.2 User Profile

4.4.3 Level-1.3 Activity Diagram




Figure 12: Activity Diagram 1.3 Problem Set Management




Figure 13: Activity Diagram 1.3.1 Add Problem

4.4.4 Level-1.4 Activity Diagram




Figure 14: Activity Diagram 1.4 Contest Management




Figure 15: Activity Diagram 1.4.1 Add Contest

4.4.5 Level-1.5 Activity Diagram




Figure 16: Activity Diagram 1.5 Solution Assessment

4.5 SWIMLANE DIAGRAMS OF PROGRAMMING PLATFORM

4.5.1 Level-1.1 Swimlane Diagram




Figure 17: Swimlane Diagram 1.1.1 Sign Up




Figure 18: Swimlane Diagram 1.1.2 Sign in




Figure 19: Swimlane Diagram 1.1.1 Recover Account

4.5.2 Level-1.2 Swimlane Diagram




Figure 20: Swimlane Diagram 1. 2 User Profile

4.5.3 Level-1.3 Swimlane Diagram




Figure 21: Swimlane Diagram 1.3 Problem Set Management




Figure 22: Swimlane Diagram 1.3.1 Add Problem

4.5.4 Level-1.4 Swimlane Diagram




Figure 23: Swimlane Diagram 1.4 Contest Management




Figure 24: Swimlane Diagram 1.4.1 Add Contest

4.5.5 Level-1.5 Swimlane Diagram




Figure 25: Swimlane Diagram 1.5 Solution Assessment

CHAPTER 05: DATA BASED MODELING

5.1 DATA MODELLING CONCEPTS

If software requirements include the necessity to create, extend or interact with a database or complex data structures need to be constructed and manipulated, then the software team chooses to create data models as part of overall requirements modeling. The entity-relationship diagram (ERD) defines all data objects that are processed within the system, the relationships between the data objects and the information about how the data objects are entered, stored, transformed and produced within the system.

5.2 DATA OBJECTS

A data object is a representation of composite information that must be understood by the software. Here, composite information means an information that has a number of different properties or attributes. A data object can be an external entity, a thing, an occurrence, a role, an organizational unit, a place or a structure.

5.2.1 Noun identification

We identified all the nouns whether they are in problem space or in solution space from our usage scenario.

Table 1: Noun identification

Serial No	Noun	S/P	Attributes of Noun
1.	Programming	P	
2.	Platform	P	
3.	System	P	
4.	Authentication	S	
5.	Registration	S	
6.	Sign in	S	
7.	Sign out	S	
8.	Problem set	S	

9.	Contest	S	35,51-55
10.	User	S	16-20
11.	Administrator	S	16-20,22
12.	Problem Solver	S	16-20,21
13.	Judge	P	
14.	Field	P	
15.	Features	P	
16.	Username	S	
17.	Email	S	
18.	Password	S	
19.	Recovery pin	S	
20.	Institute	S	
21.	Registration number	S	
22.	Designation	S	
23.	Opportunity	P	
24.	Message	P	
25.	Error	P	
26.	Skills	P	
27.	Document	P	
28.	Installation	P	
29.	Programmer	S	
30.	Problem	S	31-36
31.	Description file	S	
32.	Input file	S	
33.	Solution file	S	
34.	Assessment	P	
35.	Duration	S	
36.	Time limit	S	
37.	Set	P	
38.	Choice	P	
39.	Arrangement	P	
40.	Language	S	
41.	Compilation	P	
42.	Verdict	S	

43.	Wrong answer	S	
44.	Skill	P	
45.	Performance	P	
46.	Text	P	
47.	Code	S	
48.	Submission	S	49-51
49.	Submission file	S	
50.	Compiler	S	
51.	Timestamp	S	
52.	Starting time	S	
53.	Contest name	S	
54.	Rank	S	
55.	No of problems solved	S	
56.	Profile	S	
57.	Problem name	S	

5.2.2 Potential Data Object

- User [16-20]
- Administrator [16-20],22
- Problem Solver [16-20],21
- Problem [31-36]
- Submission [49-51]
- Contest 35,[51-55]

5.2.3 Final Data Object

Table 2: Final data object

No	Data Objects
1.	Administrator: <u>username</u> , email, password, recovery pin, institute, designation
2.	Problem Solver: <u>username</u> , email, password, recovery pin, institute, registration number
3.	Contest: <u>contest_id</u> , contest_name, starting_time, duration
4.	Problem: <u>problem_id</u> , problem_name, timelimit, question_file, input_file, solution_file, <u>contest_id</u>
5.	Submission: <u>submission_id</u> , submission_file, timestamp, verdict, compiler, <u>problem_id</u> , <u>contest_id</u> , <u>username</u>
6.	Participation: <u>username</u> , <u>contest_id</u> , no_of_problems_solved, timestamp

5.3 DATA OBJECT RELATIONS




Figure 26: Data Object Relation

5.4 ENTITY RELATIONSHIP DIAGRAM




Figure 27: Entity Relationship Diagram

5.5 SCHEMA DIAGRAM

Table 3: Schema for administrator

Administrator		
Attribute	Type	Size
userName	Varchar	50
password	Varchar	15
recoveryPin	Number	4
institute	Varchar	50
userType	Varchar	20
Designation	Varchar	50

Table 4: Schema for problem solver

Problem solver		
Attribute	Type	Size
userName	Varchar	50
password	Varchar	15
recoveryPin	Number	4
institute	Varchar	50
userType	Varchar	20
registrationNumber	Number	11

Table 5: Schema for problem

Problem		
Attribute	Type	Size
problemID	Number	11
contestID	Number	11
problemName	Varchar	50
timeLimit	Number	4
questionFile	Varchar	50
inputFile	Varchar	50
solutionFile	Varchar	50

Table 6: Schema for submission

Submission		
Attribute	Type	Size
submissionID	Number	11
problemID	Number	11
contestID	Number	11
userName	Varchar	50
compiler	Varchar	50
verdict	Varchar	50
submissionFile	MEDIUMTEXT	
timestamp	TIMESTAMP	

Table 7: Schema for contest

Contest		
Attribute	Type	Size
contestID	Number	11
userName	Varchar	50
contestName	Varchar	50
duration	Number	11
startingTime	Date	

Table 8: Schema for participation

Participation		
Attribute	Type	Size
userName	Varchar	50
contestID	Number	11
numberOfProblemSolved	Number	4
timestamp	TIMESTAMP	

CHAPTER 06: CLASS-BASED MODELING

This Chapter is intended to describe class based modeling of “**Programming Platform**”.

6.1 CLASS BASED MODELING CONCEPT

Class-based modeling represents the objects that the system will manipulate, the operations that will applied to the objects, relationships between the objects and the collaborations that occur between the classes that are defined.

6.2 GENERAL CLASSIFICATION

To identify the potential classes, we have first selected the nouns from the solution space of the story. These were then characterized in seven general classification. The seven general characteristics are as follows

1. External entities (e.g., other systems, devices, people) that produce or consume information to be used by a computer-based system.
2. Things (e.g., reports, displays, letters, signals) that are part of the information domain for the problem.
3. Occurrences or events (e.g., a property transfer or the completion of a series of robot movements) that occur within the context of system operation.
4. Roles (e.g., manager, engineer, salesperson) played by people who interact with the system.
5. Organizational units (e.g., division, group, team) that are relevant to an application.

6. Places (e.g., manufacturing floor or loading dock) that establish the context of the problem and the overall function of the system.
7. Structures (e.g., sensors, four-wheeled vehicles, or computers) that define a class of objects or related classes of objects.

Following are the specifications of the nouns according to the general classifications:

Table 9: General classification

Serial No	Noun	General Classification
1	Authentication	3,5
2	Problem set	2,3,5,7
3	Contest	2,3,5,6,7
4	User	4,5,7
5	Administrator	4,5,7
6	Problem Solver	1,4,5,7
7	Username	
8	Email	
9	Password	
10	Recovery pin	
11	Institute	
12	Registration number	
13	Designation	
14	Problem	
15	Description file	
16	Input file	
17	Solution file	
18	Duration	
19	Time limit	
20	Language	
21	Verdict	
22	Wrong answer	
23	Code	

24	Submission	2,5,7
25	Submission file	
26	Compiler	
27	Timestamp	
28	Starting time	
29	Contest name	
30	Rank	2,7
31	No of problems solved	
32	Profile	2,7
33		

6.3 SELECTION CRITERIA

The potential classes were then selected as classes by six Selection Criteria. A potential class becomes a class when it fulfills all six characteristics.

1. Retain information: The potential class will be useful during analysis only if information about it must be remembered so that the system can function.
2. Needed services: The potential class must have a set of identifiable operations that can change the value of its attributes in some way.
3. Multiple attributes: During requirement analysis, the focus should be on "major" information; a class with a single attribute may, in fact, be useful during design, but is probably better represented as an attribute of another class during the analysis activity.
4. Common attributes: A set of attributes can be defined for the potential class and these attributes apply to all instances of the class.
5. Common operations: A set of operations can be defined for the potential class and these operations apply to all instances of the class.

6. Essential requirements: External entities that appear in the problem space and produce or consume information essential to the operation of any solution for the system will almost always be defined as classes in the requirements model.

Table 10: Selection criteria

No	Noun	Selection criteria
1	Authentication	3
2	Problem set	1, 3-5
3	Contest	1, 3-5
4	User	1-5
5	Administrator	1-5
6	Problem Solver	1-5
7	Submission	1, 3-5
8	Rank	3-5
9	Profile	1, 3-5

6.4 ASSOCIATE NOUN AND VERB IDENTIFICATION

We will now identify the nouns and verbs associated with the potential classes to better find out the attributes and methods of each class.

Table 11: Associate noun and verb identification

No	Potential class	Noun	Verb
1	Authentication	Problem solver, Administrator	Log in, sign up, recover account and log out.
2	Problem set	Problem name, time limit, question file, input file, solution file, problem id.	Set new problem, remove problem, display problem set.
3	Contest	Contest id, contest name, duration, starting time.	Set new contest remove contest, modify contest, display contests, show rank of a contest.
4	User	User name, password, recovery pin, user type, institute.	Create new user
5	Administrator	User name, password, recovery pin, user type, institute, designation.	Managing contest, managing problem
6	Problem solver	User name, password, recovery pin, user type, institute, registration number.	Participating in contest, submitting code, check submission status.
7	Submission	Submission language, verdict, submission code.	Receiving code, compiling and running code, giving verdict.
8	Rank	User, number of problems solved, contest id	Calculating number of problems solved by a user in a contest, calculating rank.
9	Profile	User	Show profile and modify profile.

6.5 ATTRIBUTE IDENTIFICATION

Table 12: Attribute identification

No	Name	Attribute
1.	Authentication	username password recoveryPin userType institute
2.	User	username password recoveryPin userType institute
3.	Administrator	username password recoveryPin userType institute designation
4.	Problem solver	username password recoveryPin userType institute registrationNumber
5.	Contest	contestId contestName startingTime duration
6.	Problem	problemName timeLimit questionFile inputFile solutionFile
7.	Submission	submissionLanguage verdict submissionCode
8.	Rank	user numberOfProblemsSolved contest id
9.	Profile	user

6.6 METHOD IDENTIFICATION

Table 13: method identification

No	Class	Methods
1.	Authentication	signUp() login() recoverAccount() logout()
2.	Problem Set	addProblem() removeProblem() displayProblem()
3.	Contest	showContest() createContest() removeContest() modifyContest()
4.	User	createUser()
5.	Administrator	prepareContest() removeContest() modifyContest() showResult() displayProblem()
6.	Problem solver	checkSubmission() submitCode() participateInContest()
7.	Submission	matchWithSolutionFile() compileCode() runCode() giveVerdict() receiveCode()
8.	Rank	showRankOfContest()
9.	Profile	modifyProfile() showProfile()

6.7 FINALIZING CLASS

For finalizing the classes, the method identification and attribute identification part was again analyzed. Based on similarities between class responsibilities, the final class was identified.

As we can see the administrator has responsibilities which is quite similar to other classes of the system. As a result, we can minimize the administrator class. Again we can minimize the Rank class with Contest class as the rank of a contest should be in the contest class.

The following classes are the final classes-

1. For registration, log in and to recover an account a class is made named Authentication. Authentication class has the following attributes

- Username
- Password
- recoveryPin
- userType
- institute

2. User has common attributes and methods with Administrator and Problem solver. So we merge them as UserProfile class and add the common and unique attributes and methods to UserProfile. The attributes for this class are

- Username
- Password
- recoveryPin
- userType
- institute

4. Problem solver class extends the UserProile class. The attribute for this class are registration number and the attributes of UserProfile class.

4. To set, remove or modify a contest a class named as contest is made. The attributes of this class are

- contestId
- contestName
- startingTime
- duration

5. To add or remove a problem the Problem class is made. The attributes of this class are

- problemName
- timeLimit
- questionFile
- inputFile
- solutionFile

6. Submission class will compile, run and give the output of a submitted code and it has the following attributes

- submissionLanguage
- verdict
- submissionCode

7. Validation class will validate all types of information throughout the system.

8. DBConnector class will connect to the database to store and retrieve information from database.

6.8 CLASS CARD

Table 14: Class card for authentication

Authentication	
Attribute	Method
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• username• password• recoveryPin• userType• institute	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• signUp()• login()• recoverAccount()• logout()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Register a user• Login to the system• Recover a user account• Logout from the system	Validation DBConnector

Table 15: Class card for user profile

UserProfile	
Attribute	Method
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Username• password• recovery pin• userType• institute	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• modifyProfile()• showProfile()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Showing user profile• Modifying user profile	Validation DBConnector

Table 16: Class card for problem solver

Problem solver	
Attribute	Method
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Registration number 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> checkSubmission() submitCode() participateInContest()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Display the submissions Submit code Participate in contest 	<p>Contest</p> <p>Submission</p> <p>DBConnector</p>

Note: Problem solver will extend UserProfile class.

Table 17: Class card for contest

Contest	
Attribute	Method
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> contestId contestName startingTime duration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> showContest() prepareContest() removeContest() modifyContest() showRankOfContest()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Showing all contests Creating new contest Removing a contest Updating a contest Showing rank of a contest 	<p>Problem</p> <p>Validation</p> <p>DBConnector</p>

Table 18: Class card for Problem

Problem	
Attribute	Method
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • problemName • timeLimit • questionFile • inputFile • solutionFile 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • addProblem() • removeProblem() • displayProblem()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adding a new problem • Removing a problem • Displaying problem of a contest. 	Validation DBConnector

Table 19: Class card for validation

Validation	
Attribute	Method
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • validateInput()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Validate data 	DBConnector

Table 20: Class card for submission

Submission	
Attribute	Method
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • submissionLanguage • verdict • submissionCode 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • matchWithSolutionFile() • compileCode() • runCode() • giveVerdict() • receiveCode()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compiling the submitted code • Running the compiled code • Matching with solution file • Giving a verdict 	DBConnector

Table 21: Class card for DBConnector

DBConnector	
Attribute	Method
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • connectToDatabase()
Responsibilities	Collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • connecting to database 	

6.9 CLASS RESPONSIBILITY COLLABORATOR DIAGRAM




Figure 28: Class Responsibility Collaborator Diagram

CHAPTER 07: FLOW ORIENTED MODELLING

This chapter focusses on the flow oriented modelling.

7.1 INTRODUCTION

Data flow-oriented modelling is one of the most widely used requirement modelling technique. It provides a clear overview over system requirements and data flow.

7.2 DATA FLOW DIAGRAM (DFD)

7.2.1 Level-0 Data Flow Diagram




Figure 29: Level-0 Data Flow Diagram

7.2.2 Level-1 Data Flow Diagram




Figure 30: Level-1 Data Flow Diagram

7.2.2 Level-2 Data Flow Diagrams




Figure 31: Authentication data flow diagram




Figure 32: User profile data flow diagram




Figure 33: Problem set management data flow diagram




Figure 34: Contest management data flow diagram

CHAPTER 08: BEHAVIORAL MODELLING

8.1 STATE TRANSITION DIAGRAMS

State diagram represents active states for each class the events (triggers). For this we identified all the events, their initiators and collaborators.

8.1.1 EVENT IDENTIFICATION

Table 22: Event identification

No	Event	Primary Object	Collaborator	Invoked Method
1.	Register into the system	Authentication	User, Database	signUp()
2.	Validate inputted data	System	User, Contest, Problem	Validate()
3.	Verify inputted data	System	User, Contest, Problem	Verify()
4.	Login into the system	Authentication	User	Login()
5.	Recover account	Authentication		RecoverAccount()
6.	Log out from the system	Authentication	System	Logout()
7.	Set contest	Contest	Problem, Database	CreateNewContest()
8.	Remove contest	Contest	Database	removeContest()
9.	Participate in contest	Contest		participateInContest()
10.	Modify contest	Contest	Database	modifyContest()
11.	Set problem	Problem	Database	addProblem()
12.	Remove problem	Problem	Database	removeProblem()
13.	Show problem	Problem	Database	showProblem()
14.	Submit solution code	Submission	System, Database	submitForJudgement()

15.	Compile code	System		compileCode()
16.	Run code	System		runCode()
17.	Match output with predefined output file	System	Database	matchWithSolutionFile()
18.	Give verdict	System	User	giveVerdict()
19.	Show submission status	ProblemSolver	System	showSubmission()
20.	Show rank in contest	Contest	System, Database	showRankOfContest()
21.	Modify profile	User	Database	modifyUserProfile()

8.1.2 STATE TRANSITION DIAGRAMS




Figure 35: State transition diagram of Authentication




Figure 36: State transition diagram of Problem solver




Figure 37: State transition diagram of Contest




Figure 38: State transition diagram of validation




Figure 39: State transition diagram of Problem




Figure 40: State transition diagram of User profile




Figure 41: State transition diagram of submission

8.2 SEQUENCE DIAGRAM

A sequence diagram is an interaction diagram that shows how objects operate with one another and in what order.




Figure 42: Sequence diagram

CHAPTER 09: CONCLUSION

We are pleased to submit the final SRS report on Programming Platform. From this, the readers will get a clear and easy view of the overall system of Programming Platform. This SRS document can be used effectively to maintain the software development cycle. It will be very easy to conduct the whole project using this SRS. Hopefully, this document can also help others teams who intend to work on similar kind of projects. We tried our best to remove all dependencies and make an effective and fully designed SRS. We believe that the reader will find it in order.

CHAPTER 10: REFERENCES

Pressman, Roger S. Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach (7th Edition)
Ian Sommerville: Software Engineering