

Linear Algebra

Hasib Ali

March 5, 2024

Contents

1	Spring 1	2
1.1	Basis and dimension theory	2
1.2	Subspaces and Dimension Theory	6

Chapter 1

Spring 1

Lecture 1: Introduction

Lecture 7

1.1 Basis and dimension theory

Keep thinking back to \mathbb{R}^n Yo

Definition 1.1 (Basis of V). Let V be a vector space. A subset $B \subseteq V$ is a basis of v if B is:

- linearly independent
- and spans V

Example. Let $V = M_{m,n}$. This is a vector space. The standard basis is the set

$$\{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j, +n\}.$$

where E_{ij} is the matrix with 0's everywhere except in $(i, j)^{th}$ entry where it equals 1.

For example, in the case M_{22} we have

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Linear indepedece is clear. We can show it spans M_{22}

$$\text{Span: } a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_{22}$$

◇

Example. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$. The standard basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$.

◇

Example. Let $V \leq \mathbb{R}^5$ be the space of vectors (x_1, \dots, x_5) such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_5 &= 0 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + x_4 + 3x_5 &= 0 \\ x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + 2x_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

We write this system as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Placing this in reduced row echelon form gives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Assign parameters α, β, γ to x_3, x_4, x_5 :

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= (2\alpha + \beta + \gamma, -\alpha - \beta - 2\gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\ &= \alpha(2, -1, 1, 0, 0) + \beta(1, -1, 0, 1, 0) + \gamma(1, -2, 0, 0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence a basis for V is $\{(2, -1, 1, 0, 0), (1, -1, 0, 1, 0), (1, -2, 0, 0, 1)\}$ \diamond

Proposition 1.2. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, $V \neq \{0_v\}$. Suppose $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ is a spanning set of V . Moreover, if $L \subseteq S$ is any linearly independent set then we can choose B to contain L .

Proof. We only prove the final statement, since the rest follows by setting $L = \emptyset$. Let $B \subseteq S$ be a maximal subset containing L , such that B is linearly independent. We claim B is a basis. We need to show $\langle B \rangle = V$. Suppose not. Hence $\exists v_i \notin \langle B \rangle$. But then $B \cup \{v_i\}$ is a strictly larger linearly independent set.

To show $B \cup \{v_i\}$ is linearly independent:

$$\lambda v_i + \sum \mu_j b_j = 0_v$$

with $b_j \in B$ and $\lambda, \mu_j \in \mathbb{R}$ is a dependence relation.

If $\lambda = 0$ this contradicts B being linearly independent. Hence $\lambda \neq 0$. So

$$v_i = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum \mu_j b_j$$

But this would mean that $v_i \in \langle B \rangle$, again resulting in a contradiction \square

Lecture 8

Remark. Says that every finite-dimensional vector space has a basis.

Lemma 1.3 (Steinitz Exchange Lemma). Let V be a vector space. Let $X = \{v_1, \dots, v_N\} \subseteq V$. Suppose $u \in \langle X \rangle$, but $u \notin \langle X \setminus \{v_i\} \rangle$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then let $Y = (X \setminus \{v_i\}) \cup \{u\}$. We have $\langle Y \rangle = \langle X \rangle$.

Proof. • Since $u \in \langle X \rangle \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n \quad (1.1)$$

By assumption we have $u \notin \langle X \setminus \{v_i\} \rangle$. Without loss of generality assume $i = n$. Since $u \notin \langle X \setminus \{v_n\} \rangle$, so $\lambda_n \neq 0$. Rearranging (1) we get

$$v_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (u - \lambda_1 v_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1} v_{n-1}) \quad (1.2)$$

- Let $w \in \langle Y \rangle$. We can express w as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u . We can replace u with (1), hence write w as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, v_n . Hence $w \in \langle X \rangle$. So $\langle Y \rangle \subseteq \langle X \rangle$.
- Let $w \in \langle X \rangle$. Then we have an expression for w as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_n . By (2) we can replace v_n with a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, u . Hence $w \in \langle Y \rangle$. So $\langle X \rangle \subseteq \langle Y \rangle$.

□

Example. Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$. $B = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ the standard basis. Let $u = 2e_1 - 3e_2$. The Steinitz Exchange Lemma tells us

$$B_1 = \{u, e_2, e_3\} \quad B_2 = \{e_1, u, e_3\}$$

both span V . (You should check this later!)

Although the Steinitz Exchange Lemma says nothing about linear independence, in fact both B_1, B_2 are. Hence B_1, B_2 are bases for V . (Again check this!)

What about if we exchange e_3 for u ?

$$\text{i.e. } \{e_1, e_2, u\} = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (2, -3, 0)\}$$

Since the third coordinate is zero, this cannot span V . ◇

Theorem 1.4. Let V be a vector space and $S, T \subseteq V$ are finite subsets. If S is linearly independent and if $\langle T \rangle = V$, then $|S| \leq |T|$.

Proof. • Let $S = \{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$, $T = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$

- We will use Steinitz Exchange Lemma to swap elements of T for those in S . This will finish exhausting S , hence $|S| \leq |T|$.
- Let $T_0 = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. We have $\langle T_0 \rangle = V$, so $u_1 \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_i \rangle$ but $u_1 \notin \langle v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} \rangle$. By the Steinitz Exchange Lemma,

$$\langle v_1, \dots, v_i \rangle = \langle u_1, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1} \rangle.$$

Hence $V = \langle u_1, v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n \rangle$ without loss of generality we can replace the v_j to get u_1 has been exchanged for v_i set

$$T_1 = \{u_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}, \quad \langle T_1 \rangle = V.$$

- Proceeding by induction we have

$$T_k = \{u_1, \dots, u_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}, \quad \langle T_k \rangle = V.$$

- At each stage $u_{k+1} \in \langle T_k \rangle$, but $u_{k+1} \notin \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$ because S is linearly independent. Hence we continue to make substitution. This can only terminate when S is exhausted, $m \leq n$.
- Hence $|S| \leq |T|$.

□

Lecture 9

Let B_2 be another basis of V . Recall that this means both B_1 and B_2 are spanning and are linearly independent.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} B_2 \text{ is linearly independent} \\ \langle B_1 \rangle = V \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow |B_2| \leq |B_1|$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} B_1 \text{ is linearly independent} \\ \langle B_2 \rangle = V \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow |B_1| \leq |B_2|$$

$$\Rightarrow |B_1| = |B_2|$$

In particular, B_2 is also finite since B_1 is finite.

Definition 1.5 (Dimension of V). Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. The dimension of V is defined to be $\dim(V) := |B|$ for some basis B of V

Example.

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \{(0, 1, 2, 3), (1, 2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 4, 5)\} \\ &= \{r_1, r_2, r_3\} \text{ where } r_1, r_2, r_3 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then the Rowspace}(A) &= \langle S \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4 \\ &= \langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{RRE}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r'_1 \\ r'_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} r'_1 = (1, 0, -1, -2) = -r_1 - r_2 + r_3 \\ r'_2 = (0, 1, 2, 3) = r_1 \end{array} \right\} S' := \{r'_1, r'_2\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle S' \rangle \subseteq \langle S \rangle$$

$$\langle r'_1, r'_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle r_1, r_2, r_3 \rangle$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{bmatrix} = A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \text{RRE}(A)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r'_1 \\ r'_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} r_1 = r'_2 \\ r_2 = r'_1 + 2r'_2 \\ r_3 = 2r'_1 + 3r'_2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \langle S \rangle \leq \langle S' \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle S \rangle = \langle S' \rangle$$

Notice that $\{r'_1, r'_2\}$ is spanning $\langle S \rangle$. Notice that if

$$\lambda_1 r'_1 + \lambda_2 r'_2 = \underline{0} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2, -2\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2) = \underline{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$$

So $\{r'_1, r'_2\}$ is linearly independent. That is, $\{r'_1, r'_2\} = S'$ is a basis of $\text{Rowspan}(A)$.
Hence, $\dim \text{Rowspan}(A) = 2$. \diamond

Example (Generalisation). Let $A \in M_{nn}$

$$\text{RRE}(A) = BA = \begin{bmatrix} r'_1 \\ \vdots \\ r'_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } r'_1 \neq \underline{0}, \dots, r'_k \neq \underline{0}$$

Like above we have $\text{Rowspan}(A) = \langle r'_1, \dots, r'_k \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{row rank of } A &= \dim \text{Rowspan}(A) \\ &= \text{non-zero rows in RRE}(A) \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

\diamond

1.2 Subspaces and Dimension Theory

Proposition 1.6. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space and $U \leq V$ is a subspace. Then

- U is finite-dimensional
- $\dim U \leq \dim V$

Furthermore, if $\dim U = \dim V$, then $U = V$.

Proof. Let $S \subseteq U$ be the largest linearly independent subset. Let B be a basis of V , say B with $|B| = n = \dim(V)$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} S \subseteq V \text{ is linearly independent.} \\ \langle B \rangle = V \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow |S| \leq |B|$$

Assume towards a contradiction that $\langle S \rangle \not\subseteq U$. Then there exists $u \in U \setminus \langle S \rangle$

Claim: $S \cup \{u\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof. Say $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_k s_k + \lambda u = \underline{0}$. There are two cases:

- $\lambda = 0$

$$\Rightarrow u = \frac{-1}{\lambda} (\lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_k s_k) \in \langle S \rangle$$

which is a contradiction.

- $\lambda \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \underline{0} &= \lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_k s_k + \lambda u \text{ where } \lambda_u = 0 \\ &= \lambda_1 s_1 + \dots + \lambda_k s_k \end{aligned}$$

Since $s_{1,k}$ are linearly independent so $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_k = 0$ That is all coefficients are zero.

□

Hence, $S \not\subseteq S \cup \{u\}$ is linearly independent. This is a contradiction to S being maximal, which implies $U = \langle S \rangle$ and S is a basis of U , which implies $\dim(U) = |S| \leq |B| = n = \dim(V)$

□

Lecture 10

Theorem 1.7 (Dimension Formula). Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let $U, W \leq V$ be subspaces. Then

$$\dim(U + W) + \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W)$$

Example. Suppose $V = \mathbb{R}_3$, $U = \langle(1, 0, 0)\rangle$, $W = \langle(1, 0, 1)\rangle$. Have $\dim(U) = 1$, $\dim W = 1$.

$$U \cap W = \langle(1, 0, 0)\rangle \cap \langle(1, 0, 1)\rangle = \{\underline{0}\}$$

Hence $\dim(U \cap W) = 0$. By the dimension formula

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U + W) &= \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U \cap W) \\ &= 1 + 1 - 0 \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

◇

Proof. Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ be a basis for $U \cap W$. Have $U \cap W$. Have $UW \leq U$, $U \cap W \leq W$. We can extend our basis to give a basis

$$\{v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_p\} \text{ of } U$$

$$\{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_q\} \text{ of } W$$

$$\text{Hence } \dim(U) = m + p, \dim(W) = m + q$$

$$\dim(U \cap W) = m$$

We claim $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_p, w_1, \dots, w_q\}$ is a basis for $U + W$. Hence $\dim(U + W) = m + p + q$ as required.

Spanning:

Take $x \in U + W$. Then $x = u + w$, for some $u \in U$, $w \in W$.

$$u = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m + a'_1 u_1 + \dots + a'_p u_p$$

$$w = b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_m v_m + b'_1 w_1 + \dots + b'_q w_q$$

for some $a_i, a'_i, b_i, b'_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= u + w \\ &= (a_1 + b_1) v_1 + \dots + (a_m + b_m) v_m + a'_1 u_1 + \dots + a'_p u_p + b'_1 w_1 + \dots + b'_q w_q \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in \langle S \rangle$.

Linear Independence:

Suppose

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_p u_p + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_q w_q = 0$$

for some $a_i, b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Have

$$(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_p u_p) \in U = -(c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_q w_q) \in W$$

so this lies in $U \cap W$. Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_q\}$ is a basis of W , so

$$c_1 = \dots = c_q = d_1 = \dots = d_m = 0.$$

Hence we have:

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_p u_p = 0$$

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_p\}$ is a basis of U , so

$$a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_p = 0.$$

Hence it is linearly independent. □

Definition 1.8 (Direct Sum). Let V be a vector space $U, W \leq V$. If $U \cap W = \{0\}$ and $U + W = V$. We write $V = U \oplus W$ and we say V is the direct sum of U and W .