

# Cryptographic Methods Based on Prime Factorization

Hasina Younas

Dr. Sharafat Hussain (Advisor)

Roll Number: 2793

Women University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir

January 16, 2023

## Abstract

Cryptographic security fundamentally relies on mathematical problems that are computationally difficult to solve. One of the most well-established cryptographic methods based on prime factorization is the Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA) encryption algorithm. The security of RSA is contingent upon the computational difficulty of factoring large semiprime numbers. This study examines cryptographic methods rooted in prime factorization, assesses their strengths and vulnerabilities, and explores a specific challenge—namely, the feasibility of breaking RSA encryption through advanced factorization techniques. Additionally, the implications of quantum computing on the security of prime factorization-based cryptography are analyzed.

## 1 Introduction

Prime factorization is a fundamental concept in modern cryptography. The RSA encryption algorithm, introduced by Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman in 1978, relies on the computational infeasibility of factoring the product of two large prime numbers. Given a sufficiently large modulus, traditional factorization techniques become impractical, thereby ensuring the robustness of RSA as a public-key cryptosystem.

Despite its widespread adoption, RSA encryption faces vulnerabilities due to advances in computational power, particularly with the emergence of quantum computing and sophisticated integer factorization algorithms such as the General Number Field Sieve (GNFS). This study explores cryptographic methodologies based on prime factorization, evaluates their security, and investigates a specific problem—the computational feasibility of breaking RSA encryption using classical factorization techniques.

## 2 Background

### 2.1 RSA Algorithm Overview

**RSA Encryption Scheme** The RSA encryption scheme is structured as follows:

1. Select two large prime numbers,  $p$  and  $q$ .
2. Compute their product:  $N = p \times q$  (public modulus).
3. Choose a public exponent  $e$  such that  $1 < e < \varphi(N)$ , where  $\varphi(N) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ .
4. Compute the private exponent  $d$  such that  $e \times d \equiv 1 \pmod{\varphi(N)}$ .
5. The public key is  $(N, e)$ , and the private key is  $d$ .

Decrypting an RSA-encrypted message requires determining  $d$ , which necessitates factoring  $N$  to retrieve  $p$  and  $q$ .

## 2.2 Factorization Algorithms

**Factorization Techniques** Several factorization techniques exist, including:

- **Trial Division:** Ineffective for large numbers.
- **Pollard's Rho Algorithm:** Suitable for numbers with small prime factors.
- **Quadratic Sieve (QS):** Efficient for numbers up to 100 digits.
- **General Number Field Sieve (GNFS):** The most efficient classical algorithm for factoring large numbers (200-300 digits).
- **Shor's Algorithm (Quantum):** Capable of factoring in polynomial time, posing a critical risk to RSA.

## 3 Evaluating RSA Security Against GNFS

A pivotal research question in cryptography is the computational feasibility of breaking RSA encryption via GNFS.

### 3.1 Problem Definition

**Computational Cost of Factoring RSA Modulus** Given an RSA modulus  $N = p \times q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are 1024-bit prime numbers, the estimated computational cost of factoring  $N$  using the General Number Field Sieve (GNFS) on contemporary computing systems is extremely high.

Current estimates suggest that factoring a 1024-bit RSA modulus requires several years of computation, even on large-scale distributed networks with high-performance computing resources. The time complexity of GNFS is given by:

$$O\left(e^{(c \log N)^{1/3} (\log \log N)^{2/3}}\right)$$

where  $c$  is a constant. Given the current advancements in computational power, a successful factorization would likely require thousands of cores running in parallel for extended periods, making RSA-1024 relatively secure against classical attacks. However, quantum computing advancements, particularly Shor's algorithm, pose a significant future threat to RSA encryption.

### 3.2 Methodology

1. **Algorithm Implementation:** Implement GNFS in a high-performance computing environment.
2. **Computational Complexity Analysis:** Evaluate GNFS through its primary phases:
  - Polynomial selection
  - Sieving
  - Matrix reduction
  - Square root computation
3. **Comparative Evaluation:** Assess GNFS performance relative to other factorization techniques.
4. **Security Implications:** Estimate the practical feasibility of compromising 1024-bit RSA encryption.

## 4 Expected Findings

- **Time Complexity Estimation:** GNFS operates in sub-exponential time

$$O\left(e^{(c \log N)^{1/3} (\log \log N)^{2/3}}\right)$$

- **Feasibility Assessment:** Breaking a 1024-bit RSA key currently requires several years of computation on high-performance distributed networks.
- **Future Security Risks:** With increasing computational capabilities, RSA keys below 2048 bits may become susceptible to attacks.

## 5 Discussion and Future Perspectives

### 5.1 The Quantum Computing Challenge

Shor's algorithm, if deployed on sufficiently large quantum computers, can factor RSA moduli in polynomial time. This presents a significant risk to prime factorization-based cryptography.

### 5.2 Advancements in Post-Quantum Cryptography

Given the vulnerabilities of factorization-based encryption, post-quantum cryptographic schemes such as lattice-based cryptography and hash-based signatures are being developed as potential alternatives.

## 6 Conclusion

Prime factorization underpins widely utilized cryptographic frameworks, particularly RSA. However, advances in computational methods and the emergence of quantum computing pose substantial threats to their security. While RSA remains robust for sufficiently large key sizes, ongoing research and development into post-quantum cryptographic solutions are imperative to maintain long-term data security.

## References

- [1] Rivest, R. L., Shamir, A., & Adleman, L. (1978). A method for obtaining digital signatures and public-key cryptosystems. *Communications of the ACM*, 21(2), 120–126.
- [2] Lenstra, A. K., & Verheul, E. R. (2001). Selecting cryptographic key sizes. *Journal of Cryptology*, 14(4), 255–293.
- [3] Shor, P. W. (1997). Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 26(5), 1484–1509.
- [4] Pomerance, C. (1996). A tale of two sieves. *Notices of the AMS*, 43(12), 1473–1485.