

Solutions

Problem 1.

(a) **Critical points.** We compute the gradient:

$$f_x(x, y) = 2x - 4y, \quad f_y(x, y) = 8y - 4x.$$

Critical points satisfy

$$\nabla f(x, y) = (0, 0) \iff \begin{cases} 2x - 4y = 0, \\ 8y - 4x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Both equations reduce to $x = 2y$, so every point on the line $x = 2y$ is a critical point. Hence there are infinitely many critical points:

$$(x, y) = (2t, t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(b) **Discriminant.** We compute the second partial derivatives:

$$f_{xx} = 2, \quad f_{yy} = 8, \quad f_{xy} = f_{yx} = -4.$$

Thus

$$D(x, y) = f_{xx}f_{yy} - (f_{xy})^2 = (2)(8) - (-4)^2 = 16 - 16 = 0.$$

So $D = 0$ at *every* point, in particular at every critical point. The second derivative test is therefore inconclusive here.

(c) **Nature of the critical points.** We rewrite f by completing the square:

$$f(x, y) = x^2 - 4xy + 4y^2 + 2 = (x - 2y)^2 + 2.$$

Since $(x - 2y)^2 \geq 0$ for all (x, y) , we obtain

$$f(x, y) \geq 2 \quad \text{for all } (x, y),$$

with equality if and only if $x - 2y = 0$, i.e. $x = 2y$.

Therefore every point with $x = 2y$ is a point where $f(x, y) = 2$, the global minimum value of f . Thus each critical point on the line $x = 2y$ is both a local and an absolute minimum.

Problem 2.

We have

$$f(x, y) = x, \quad g(x, y) = y^2 + x^4 - x^3.$$

(a) **Lagrange multiplier equations.** The gradients are

$$\nabla f(x, y) = (1, 0), \quad \nabla g(x, y) = (4x^3 - 3x^2, 2y).$$

For regular points of the constraint curve ($\nabla g \neq 0$), a constrained extremum should satisfy

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y), \quad \text{together with } g(x, y) = 0,$$

that is

$$(1, 0) = \lambda(4x^3 - 3x^2, 2y) \quad \text{and} \quad y^2 + x^4 - x^3 = 0.$$

From the second component we get

$$0 = 2\lambda y.$$

So either $\lambda = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Case 1: $\lambda = 0$. Then the first component gives $1 = 0$, impossible. Hence $\lambda \neq 0$ and we must have $y = 0$.

With $y = 0$, the constraint becomes

$$0 = y^2 + x^4 - x^3 = x^3(x - 1),$$

so $x = 0$ or $x = 1$.

At $(1, 0)$ we have

$$\nabla g(1, 0) = (4 - 3, 0) = (1, 0),$$

and the Lagrange equation

$$(1, 0) = \lambda(1, 0)$$

is satisfied with $\lambda = 1$. At $(0, 0)$ we have $\nabla g(0, 0) = (0, 0)$, so the Lagrange equation

$$(1, 0) = \lambda(0, 0)$$

has no solution. Thus the only point on the curve detected by the Lagrange multiplier system is $(1, 0)$, which has $x = 1$ and is in fact a maximum for f on the curve, not a minimum.

(b) True minimum and failure of the condition. On the constraint $g(x, y) = 0$ we have

$$y^2 = x^3(1 - x).$$

Since $y^2 \geq 0$, this implies $x^3(1 - x) \geq 0$, so

$$0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Along the curve, $f(x, y) = x$, so f takes values in the interval $[0, 1]$. Thus the minimum value is

$$\min f = 0,$$

attained at $x = 0$, i.e. at the point $(0, 0)$.

But at $(0, 0)$,

$$\nabla f(0, 0) = (1, 0), \quad \nabla g(0, 0) = (0, 0),$$

and there is no real number λ such that

$$(1, 0) = \lambda(0, 0).$$

Therefore the Lagrange multiplier condition fails at the true minimum point.

(c) Why Lagrange multipliers fail here. The standard Lagrange multiplier theorem assumes that the constraint curve/surface is smooth at the extremum point, i.e. that $\nabla g(x, y) \neq 0$ there. In this problem, the minimum occurs at $(0, 0)$, where

$$\nabla g(0, 0) = (0, 0),$$

so the constraint has a singular point (a cusp of the piriform) at the minimum. Because the regularity condition fails, the method of Lagrange multipliers does not detect this minimum.