

The Impact of Air Connectivity on International Travel: Evidence from Cross-border Card Payments*

Chun-Yu Ho[†] Tingting Peng[‡] Haruka Takayama[§] Li Xu[¶]

November 13, 2023

[Click here to view most recent version.](#)

Abstract

We investigate the impact of direct flight connections on international travel, the largest tradable service sector. A novel dataset on card payments made by Chinese travelers through point-of-sale (POS) terminals enables us to analyze the bilateral flow of international travel. We instrument for the frequency of direct flights between Chinese cities and foreign countries by exploiting overseas airport expansions as exogenous shocks. Our IV estimates indicate that a 1% increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights leads to a 1.8% increase in cross-border card transaction value. While improving air connectivity promotes international travel, we find that negative shocks to consumer preferences for destination countries, such as boycotts, diminish this effect. Our research sheds light on the role of air connectivity in shaping trade in travel services and its interaction with consumer preferences.

Keywords: Cross-Border Travel, Bilateral Trade, Air Transportation, and Trade Cost

JEL Classification: F10, F14

*We thank Maggie Chen, Kerem Coşar, Anca Cristea, Peter Egger, Brett Fischer, Simon Fuchs, Inga Heiland, Ebehi Iyoha, Hayato Kato, Shicheng Ni, Veronica Rappoport, Georg Schaur, Wei Shi, Bengt Söderlund, Yoichi Sugita, Haochen Zhang, Tom Zylkin, and seminar/conference participants at Keio University, Kyushu University, the Midwest Trade conference, the Southern Economic Association, the Asian Meeting of the Econometric Society, the China Economics Annual conference, and the Chinese Economists Society, for comments and suggestions. All errors are our own.

[†]Department of Economics, University at Albany, State University of New York, cho@albany.edu

[‡]Department of Economics, University at Albany, State University of New York, tpeng2@albany.edu

[§]Department of Economics, University at Albany, State University of New York, htakayama@albany.edu

[¶]Antai College of Economics and Management, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, shirleyxu@sjtu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

International travel, such as tourism and business trips, accounts for a plurality of overall traded services and contributes substantially to the global economy.¹ For instance, international tourism has steadily grown for over six decades, contributing, on average, 4.4% to GDP (OECD 2020). Furthermore, international tourism ranks as the world’s third-largest export category, following fuels and chemicals, and surpassing automotive products and food (UNWTO 2021). Given its importance, policymakers are actively involved in the development of their travel and tourism sectors, including investments in air transportation infrastructure and the expansion of air connectivity. However, despite the economic significance of international travel, there is limited evidence on the extent to which the development of air transportation promotes international travel, which hinders the evaluation of related policy initiatives.

Addressing this gap, this paper presents the first attempt to examine the effect of air connectivity on trade in travel services. We collect a unique dataset containing aggregated Chinese consumer card transactions made in foreign countries. In particular, we observe how much is spent and how many transactions are made by consumers from a given Chinese city (hereafter, origin city) in a given foreign country (hereafter, destination country). Notably, our dataset provides the amount of spending by Chinese travelers, a crucial factor for analyzing the economic impact of international travel, rather than the number of travelers. We combine these transaction data with data on all flights between Chinese cities and foreign countries, which allows us to measure air connectivity between two locations with the frequency of direct flights between them. We construct a yearly origin city-destination country panel spanning 2011-16.

China presents a useful case study for understanding the relationship between air connectivity and trade in travel services. First, China has made the largest amount of spending in international travel, accounting for one-fifth of global travel spending, followed by the US (UNWTO 2021). Second, not only the large demand in international travel, but the Chinese aviation network has also dramatically developed, making China the world’s second-largest

¹Trade in services has been expanding rapidly, accounting for 25% of global trade in 2019. The share of trade in travel service is around 25% in the total service trade. (UNCTAD 2021).

air transportation market since 2013 (Gibbons and Wu 2020). For example, the number of foreign countries connected with Chinese cities by air increased by around 70% from 2000 to 2016.² These rapid expansions of cross-border travel and air connectivity provide meaningful variation for our estimation.

We develop a gravity-type model to explain the bilateral flow of trade in travel service, following Farber and Gaubert (2019) and Head et al. (2008). Our model accounts for consumer decision-making when choosing among various travel destinations, with the attractiveness of foreign destinations and travel costs (i.e., air connectivity). We derive a gravity equation from the model, which enables us to identify the effects of air connectivity on international travel with three-way fixed effects (FEs): Chinese city-foreign country, Chinese city-time, and foreign country-time FEs.

A potential threat to identification is the reverse causality from cross-border travel to air connectivity: when demand for travel from a Chinese city to a particular country increases, airlines are more likely to connect to that city-country pair with a direct flight. To address this concern, we instrument for air connectivity using overseas airport expansions as exogenous shocks. In particular, our instrument is the share of global flights departing in the destination country (representing that country’s comparative advantage in air transportation) combined with the inverse of the geographic distance between a Chinese city-foreign country pair (distant locations are less connected by flights; Cristea 2023). Our instrument is akin to shift-share instruments (Bartik 1991).³ The main identifying assumption is that investment in air flight capacity made by foreign governments is uncorrelated with demand shocks for Chinese travelers to that country.

Our IV estimates indicate that a 1% increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights between a city-country pair can lead to a 1.8% increase in the value of card transactions in the destination country. This result is robust to different specifications and sample restrictions. Further, we use the political conflict against Japan in 2012 as an exogenous variation for a

²According to the *Statistical Data on Civil Aviation of China 2017*, the number of foreign countries connected with Chinese cities by air is 33 in 2000 and 56 in 2016. The number of Chinese cities with airports doubled, from 126 cities in 2000 to 214 cities in 2016.

³For instance, our IV is analogous to that of Autor et al. (2013), who use cross-industry and across-time variation in Chinese comparative advantage and trade costs to instrument for Chinese import exposure in the US.

robustness check. This alternative identification strategy also shows that the improvement of air connectivity leads to an increase in the card transaction value.

Improvement in air connectivity not only impacts trade in travel services but also exerts an influence on trade in goods. For instance, passenger planes transport air freight, and consumers who experience new products in their travel abroad may develop a preference for those items, leading to increased imports. Building on this premise, we further analyze how improvements in air connectivity affect Chinese imports using customs data. We find that a higher frequency of weekly direct flights increases the value of imported consumer products that are typically transported by air, such as food and pharmaceuticals.

Our model shows that the attractiveness of travel destinations is an important factor in consumers’ travel decisions. Such destination characteristic is unique for travel service trade, but not for trade in goods. To explore this model’s implications, we look at how consumer tastes and preferences toward foreign countries (i.e., one example of the destinations’ attractiveness) affect our findings by exploiting political conflicts as exogenous adverse shocks. We find that negative sentiment towards foreign countries diminishes the positive effect of air connectivity on cross-border travel—that is, fewer Chinese consumers take advantage of direct air connections when public sentiment shifts against destination countries. However, we do not observe analogous effects of shifts in public opinion on trade in goods. This suggests that the impact of air connectivity on travel service trade is influenced by consumer preferences towards specific destinations, while trade in goods may not be as sensitive to such sentiments.

This paper contributes to a growing body of empirical work that looks at the effects of international air transportation on economic development (Hovhannisyan and Keller 2015; Campante and Yanagizawa-Drott 2017; Cristea 2023), international trade (Cristea 2011; Alderighi and Gaggero 2017; Wang et al. 2021; Söderlund 2022), foreign investment (Campante and Yanagizawa-Drott 2017; Fageda 2017; Tanaka 2019), and cross-border mergers and acquisitions (Zhang et al. 2021). Our work extends the literature by looking into the effects of international air transportation on trade in services and goods in a unified setting.

Our study is also related to the literature on cross-border travel, which identifies various

determinants for consumers traveling to shop in another country.⁴ Asplund et al. (2007) and Friberg et al. (2022) use data of Sweden and Denmark, while Chandra et al. (2014) and Baggs et al. (2018) look into cross-border travel between Canada and the US.⁵ Unlike these papers, we study cross-border travel between non-contiguous countries, which has been becoming more common as air transportation becomes more affordable.

The outline of the paper is as follows. We introduce data and stylized facts in Section 2 and the model in Section 3. Section 4 presents the empirical strategy. We report the main results with cross-border card transaction data in Section 5. Section 6 shows further analyses using customs data. Section 7 concludes.

2 Data and Stylized Facts

We use a unique dataset of Chinese card transactions made in foreign countries. We merge the card transaction data with worldwide international flight schedules to examine the impact of air connectivity on Chinese overseas travel spending. We also use supplementary data sources, including Chinese customs data and the number of casualties during the Second Sino-Japanese War. Our novel data show that China has experienced the evolution of air transport networks between Chinese cities and foreign countries, and this air transportation development is positively correlated with the value of cross-border card transactions.

2.1 Data Sources

(i) Chinese overseas card transactions

A unique dataset of Chinese on-site card transactions enables us to analyze the spending by

⁴Another strand of the literature concerning cross-border travel investigates the welfare impact of tourism on local residents. For example, Allen et al. (2021) use card transaction data in the city of Barcelona, and Faber and Gaubert (2018) focus on the welfare of Mexican locals using micro datasets. In contrast to these studies, our research examines the imports of travel services (i.e., Chinese consumers traveling abroad) instead of the exports (i.e., foreign travelers consuming local goods and services in destination countries).

⁵These papers show that travel costs (proxied by distance) and price differential drive cross-border travel. For example, Chandra et al. (2014) find that a stronger Canadian dollar against the US dollar (proxies for a lower foreign price for Canadians) motivates cross-border travel, and the responses of cross-border travel to currency fluctuations are mitigated by distance to the border. Baggs et al. (2018) show similar results for consumers as Chandra et al. (2014) but also show results on how the cross-border travel of Canadians to the US hurts Canadian retailers.

Chinese travelers overseas. We collect a dataset on card transactions between 2011 and 2016 from a Chinese consumer card provider. The data include transactions made by Chinese cardholders outside China, excluding online transactions.⁶ For each transaction, we observe the cardholder’s city of residence, the country where the transaction was made, and the time and value of the transaction.⁷ The travel destinations in the data are the countries of the Belt and Road Initiative in Eurasia, Japan, and EU countries.⁸ For confidentiality purposes, our data provider aggregates the data at the year-city of residence-destination country level.

(ii) Worldwide flight schedules

Our air connectivity data comes from OAG Analyser, which provides worldwide flight schedules. This dataset includes information such as the name of the departure and arrival airports, departure and arrival time, elapsed time, travel distance, and the number of stops, covering the period from 2011 to 2016. We add the names of Chinese cities served by airports using the correspondence tables provided by OAG.⁹ Our primary measure of air connectivity is the weekly frequency of direct flights between a Chinese city and a destination country.¹⁰

(iii) Chinese import data

Chinese import data are collected by China’s General Administration of Customs (CGAC) for the period of 2011-2016. For each import transaction, we observe the company name, company code, city of the company’s location, product name, product code (at the HS 8-

⁶Our data contain the transactions made through domestic payment cards, but not foreign payment cards such as Visa and Mastercard. This limitation does not obviously bias our analyses because most Chinese residents use domestic payment cards. (reference: *Payment methods report 2019* on page 21, [link to the report](#) (last access on November 6, 2022))

⁷The data provider imputes cities of residence using past card transactions, assuming that a cardholder lives in the city with the highest number of transactions.

⁸A list of countries within the Belt and Road Initiative can be found [here](#) (last accessed on November 6, 2022). Egypt is not in Eurasia but is included in the list. Lithuania is a member of the EU, and Yemen is in Eurasia, but they are not on the list.

⁹The following airports serve two cities: Yangzhou Taizhou International Airport (serving Taizhou and Yangzhou), Xining Caojiabao International Airport (serving Haidong and Xining), Xi’an Xianyang International Airport (serving Xianyang and Xi’an), and Lhasa Kongga International Airport (serving Shannan and Lhasa).

¹⁰Some flights have one or two stopovers at domestic airports before departing for foreign countries. For example, a flight route from Chongqing to Japan connects through Shanghai. We focus solely on direct flights connecting a Chinese city with a foreign country (e.g., flights from Shanghai to Japan), and therefore we exclude domestic stopover flights (e.g., flights from Chongqing to Japan).

digit level), country of origin, time (year and month), and transaction value.¹¹

(iv) Number of casualties during the Second Sino-Japanese War

The number of casualties during the Second Sino-Japanese War comes from Chi (1987).¹² This source provides information on the number of individuals who suffered minor or major injuries, as well as those who lost their lives during the war, at the provincial level. We estimate the number of casualties at the city level, using the share of the pre-war city population in the total province-level population.¹³ The city population data for 1934 is obtained from the China City and County Land and Population Census.¹⁴

2.2 Descriptive Statistics

We merge the two main datasets, the Chinese card transaction data and the flight data. The final dataset includes 192 unique Chinese cities (origins) and 72 unique foreign countries (destinations), resulting in a total of 58,932 origin-destination pairs.¹⁵ The destination countries are listed in Table A.1.

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of our estimation sample. We measure the size of overseas card transactions using the total value of transactions as well as the total number of transactions. On average, Chinese travelers spend 9.3 million Renminbi (RMB) and conduct around 5,000 card transactions in foreign countries per year. The distributions of the value and the number of transactions are skewed to the right since the mean value is larger than the median value. Similar to the transaction value and number, the distribution of the weekly frequency is also right-skewed, likely because some cities have larger airports

¹¹We do not have information on the firm location (city) for the year 2016. We identify the company location using a concordance table ([link](#)). For the transaction value, we treat the missing observations as zero.

¹²This dataset is publically available ([link](#)).

¹³We do not observe both the number of population and the number of casualties for some cities in our data sample. We assume that there were no casualties in those cities during the war.

¹⁴The Department of the Interior, Statistical Division published this dataset in the year 1935. In this dataset, we observe the province name, city name, and population.

¹⁵We focus on the cities in mainland China. There are 336 Chinese cities in the card transaction data, but the cities without airports (during our sample period) have not been matched with the flight data. Additionally, countries without airports (The State of Palestine, Liechtenstein, and Vatican City State) cannot be matched with flight data.

that attract more direct flights. On average, each origin city-destination country pair has 0.45 weekly flights.

2.3 Stylized Facts

We introduce the three stylized facts that motivate us to empirically investigate the effect of international direct flights on overseas travel spending by Chinese residents.

Fact 1: Regional differences in transaction value

Figure 1 shows the value of transactions on a map of mainland China, highlighting the variation across cities. We observe that some cities have experienced substantial increases in transaction value, while others have not. Interestingly, the large growth of the transaction values can be observed not only in the cities in Eastern China but also in inland regions. For example, the total overseas transactions in Wuhan (an inland city in Hubei Province) have increased by around 421%, from 441 million to more than 2 billion RMB.

We also observe the difference in the change in the transaction value across destination countries. Japan is the country that receives the largest amount of card transactions (Figure 2). The total flow from China to Japan amounted to around 2.6 billion RMB in 2011 and soared to around 29 billion RMB in 2016. Countries further from China also experienced sizable growth in transactions. For example, around 7 billion RMB in transactions occurred in France through on-site card payments in 2016.

Fact 2: Increased connectivity of Chinese cities through direct flights

Figure 3 shows the distributions of the numbers of international direct flights across Chinese cities in 2011 (Panel (a)) and 2016 (Panel (b)). We observe a higher frequency (greater heights with most of the bars) in 2016 than in 2011, which implies more cities have international direct flights in 2016.

The world map with international flight routes also gives us a clear picture of the improvement in Chinese aviation networks. Figure 4 reveals that there were more direct flight routes connecting Chinese cities with foreign countries in 2016 (Panel (b)) than in 2011 (Panel (a)). Several inland cities, including Lijiang and Yichang, got new direct flights to

overseas destinations. In fact, 24 cities did not have direct flights to any foreign countries in 2011 but got direct flight connections by 2016.

Fact 3: Positive relationship between air connectivity and card transaction values

Figure 5 indicates a positive correlation between the value of card transactions (y-axis) and the number of direct flights among Chinese cities (x-axis). In Panel (a), we observe that major cities such as Shanghai, Beijing, and Guangzhou have a larger number of direct flights (e.g., more than 500 direct flights per week) compared to other Chinese cities. Even when focusing on the other Chinese cities with fewer than 500 direct flights (Panel (b)), a positive relationship between the weekly frequency of direct flights and card transaction values is evident. In the subsequent sections, we empirically investigate these positive relationships.¹⁶

3 Model

We develop a model to explain the flow of tourism and travel-related services from Chinese cities to foreign countries. The model is based on Eaton and Kortum (2002). Each foreign country offers amenities for travelers, and a consumer makes a discrete choice among her possible destinations based on her preferences. We refer to Farber and Gaubert (2019) to set up consumers' utility for tourism and travel-related services. We also rely on Head et al. (2008) who introduce a model for bilateral service trade to derive a gravity-type equation for trade in the travel service sector.

3.1 Consumer Preferences

A representative consumer who lives in a Chinese city, i , receives the following utility through the consumption of goods and services in sector $\omega \in \{0, 1, \dots, \Omega\}$:

$$U_i = \sum_{\omega=0}^{\Omega} \beta_i^{\omega} \ln C^{\omega},$$

¹⁶Omitting the top origin-destination pairs does not affect our descriptive results (see Table A.2). Put differently, our findings are not driven by the extensive travel between Beijing and Shanghai and nearby countries (Japan and South Korea).

where $\sum_{\omega=0}^{\Omega} \beta_i^{\omega} = 1$ and $\beta_i^{\omega} \geq 0$.

We have a timing assumption to consider in the consumer's choice problem. First, a consumer sets her budget for goods and services in each sector, and next she decides on the detailed types of products she wishes to consume. We assume one of the ω s denotes the index for the tourism and travel-related services sector, and we omit that indicator in the following equations. The Cobb-Douglas utility function implies that a consumer in i spends $X_i = \beta_i Y_i$ for their travel services. Y_i is the aggregate income of a Chinese city, i . Given this budget for travel, a consumer decides her destination and travels there to consume tourism-related services.

A consumer in city i receives the following utility when she visits country j :

$$\ln C_{ij} = \ln \frac{a_j q_{ij}}{\tau_{ij}},$$

where a_j is the amenity that each destination provides to a consumer, q_{ij} is the quantity of travel services, and τ_{ij} is the iceberg travel costs. The quantity of consumption is $q_{ij} = X_i/p_j = \beta_i Y_i/p_j$, and p_j is the price of travel service in the destination, j . We restate the utility from travel:

$$\ln C_{ij} = \ln \frac{a_j \beta_i Y_i}{\tau_{ij} p_j} = \ln a_j + \ln \beta_i + \ln Y_i - \ln \tau_{ij} - \ln p_j. \quad (1)$$

3.2 Tourism Service Technology

There are J foreign countries, and each country offers a different level of amenity, a_j , to each traveler. We assume that a_j has a Fréchet distribution with the cumulative distribution function (CDF):

$$G_j(a) = \exp(-(a/A_j)^{-\theta}),$$

where A_j is a country-specific attractiveness as a tourism destination, and θ is a dispersion parameter that is common to all destinations. If a_j is distributed Fréchet, $\ln a_j$ has the Gumbel distribution (the type-I generalized extreme value distribution), and its CDF is $\hat{G}_j(\ln a) = \exp[-\exp(-\theta(\ln a - \ln A_j))]$. Assume there are N_j locations to visit in each

country j . Each traveler draws her idiosyncratic preference shock for each location and decides which location she visits as the main destination in country j . The maximum of N draws from the the Gumbel distribution, $\hat{G}_j(\ln a)$, has the double exponential distribution: $\exp[-\exp(-\theta(\ln a - \ln A_j - (1/\theta) \ln N_j))]$. Using equation (1), the expected utility through traveling to country j from city i is:

$$E[\ln C_{ij}] = \ln A_j + (1/\theta) \ln N_j + \ln \beta_i + \ln Y_i - \ln \tau_{ij} - \ln p_j + \epsilon_{ij},$$

where ϵ_{ij} is i.i.d. with the Gumbel distribution and its CDF is $\exp(-\exp(-\theta\epsilon))$. According to Anderson, De Palma, and Thisse (1992, p.39), the choice probability takes the multinomial logit formula¹⁷:

$$\pi_{ij} = \frac{\exp[\ln N_j + \theta(\ln A_j + \ln \beta_i + \ln Y_i - \ln \tau_{ij} - \ln p_j)]}{\sum_{j=1}^J \exp[\ln N_j + \theta(\ln A_j + \ln \beta_i + \ln Y_i - \ln \tau_{ij} - \ln p_j)]}.$$

This choice probability shows that the fraction of consumers in i that travel to j increases in the size of Chinese cities and destinations, Y_i and N_j , and also in the attractiveness of travel destination j , A_j . Conversely, the probability decreases in the travel costs, τ_{ij} , and the price in the destination, p_j .

3.3 Bilateral Flow of Trade in Travel Services

The expected bilateral flow of transactions by consumers from city i to destination j is

$$X_{ij} = \pi_{ij} X_i,$$

where X_i is the aggregate expenses on travel service trade in city i such that $X_i = \sum_{j=1}^J X_{ij}$. Using $X_i = \beta_i Y_i$ and adding a year subscript, t , the expected travel service flow from city i to destination j in year t is

$$X_{ijt} = N_{jt} A_{jt}^\theta (\beta_{it} Y_{it})^{1+\theta} (\tau_{ijt} p_{jt})^{-\theta} \Phi_{it}^\theta, \quad (2)$$

¹⁷It is because the probability that a consumer in city i chooses j as her travel destination will converge by the law of large numbers, as the number of foreign countries, J , is sufficiently large

where $\Phi_{it} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^J N_{jt} \left(\frac{\tau_{ijt} p_{jt}}{A_{jt} \beta_{it} Y_{it}} \right)^{-\theta} \right]^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}$.

3.4 Air Connectivity

There are two types of costs for consumers to travel to their destination countries: one is time-varying—the degree of air flight connectivity between Chinese city i and foreign country j —while the other is time-invariant—characteristics that are common to i and j , such as cultural relationships. We can express the total trade costs, τ_{ijt} , as

$$\tau_{ijt} = D_{ijt} e^{\alpha_{ij}}, \quad (3)$$

where D_{ijt} is air flight connectivity at t , and α_{ij} is common characteristics between i and j .

Taking logs of equation (2) and using equation (3), we obtain the equation that represents the log of the expected trade flow in travel services from Chinese city i to country j in year t :

$$\ln X_{ijt} = \underbrace{(1 + \theta) \ln \beta_{it} + (1 + \theta) \ln Y_{it} + \theta \ln \Phi_{it}}_{\text{Chinese city effects}} + \underbrace{\theta \ln A_{jt} - \theta \ln p_{jt} + \ln N_{jt}}_{\text{destination effects}} - \underbrace{\theta \ln D_{ijt} - \theta \alpha_{ij}}_{\text{city-destination effects}}. \quad (4)$$

This equation shows that the travel service flow in year t depends on effects specific to Chinese city i , effects specific to foreign destination j , and the origin-destination effects of travel costs.

4 Empirical Strategy

4.1 Model Implementation: OLS Estimation

Equation (4) represents the expected transactions made by travelers from Chinese city i to foreign country j . We add an error term, ϵ_{ijt} , that captures measurement error in card transactions to equation (4), and use the resulting equation to estimate the actual relationship between card transactions and air connectivity.

A notable challenge in our data is the presence of substantial zero values in transaction values X_{ijt} and weekly frequency of direct flight D_{ijt} . We apply the inverse hyperbolic sine (or arcsinh) transformation to both variables to address this issue.¹⁸ This transformation approximates the natural logarithm of the variables while allowing for zero observations.

Our baseline regression specification is

$$\tilde{X}_{ijt} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \tilde{D}_{ijt} + \delta_{ij} + \eta_{it} + \kappa_{jt} + \epsilon_{ijt}, \quad (5)$$

where \tilde{X}_{ijt} denotes the value of total card transactions by Chinese travelers from city i in country j , and \tilde{D}_{ijt} is the level of air connectivity (i.e., number of weekly direct flights between i and j). The inverse hyperbolic sine transformation has been applied to both variables. The estimated coefficient can be approximated to the elasticity, which is the ratio between the percentage change in the transaction value and the corresponding percentage change in the weekly frequency of direct flights (Bellemare and Wichman 2022).¹⁹

We include city-country fixed effects δ_{ij} to capture time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity that induces consumers in i to visit j , including cultural and business relationships. Origin-city time-varying fixed effects η_{it} account for origin-specific time-variant factors, such as city income. Additionally, destination-country time-varying fixed effects κ_{jt} control for the inward multilateral resistance and unobserved destination-specific time-variant factors, such as tourist attractions and the price of travel services.

We expect that new direct flights will increase the number of travelers, and thus the value of card transactions will rise as well. In other words, we expect the coefficient of interest, γ_1 , to be positive. In all our regressions, we cluster standard errors at the city-country level.

¹⁸The formula for the inverse hyperbolic sine transformation is $\tilde{x} = \text{arcsinh}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1})$. Alternatively, we could employ the Poisson Pseudo Maximum Likelihood (PPML) regression to address zero values. See footnote 27 for further details.

¹⁹Using Monte Carlo simulations, Bellemare and Wichman (2022) show that the estimated coefficient becomes more stable as the sizes of dependent and independent variables get larger. Although the size of our explanatory variable, the weekly frequency of direct flights, might be small, their simulation results also indicate that any bias in the estimated coefficient is negligible and does not significantly affect our findings.

4.2 Endogeneity and IV Approach

Our goal is to identify the effect of flight connectivity on the spending of Chinese consumers in foreign countries. However, the OLS estimator, γ_1 , from equation (5) is likely endogenous. Direct flights to a foreign country are not randomly assigned to Chinese cities. Rather, air connectivity is likely greater between city-country pairs that have pre-existing high travel demand and would have had a greater demand for travel services and higher levels of card transactions even without an air connection. This raises a reverse causality concern—a larger value of transactions might improve flight connectivity, instead of better flight connectivity increasing the value of transactions.

4.2.1 Designing an Instrumental Variable

We introduce a Bartik-style instrumental variable (IV) to overcome this endogeneity concern. Our instrument exploits plausibly exogenous variation in air connectivity in destination countries as a predictor of the direct flights between a city-country pair. Formally, the IV is

$$Z_{ijt} = \lambda_{jt} \times \ln dist_{ij}, \quad (6)$$

where λ_{jt} is the share of total global flights (excluding China) for which country j is the origin ($\lambda_{jt} = \frac{flight_{jt}}{\sum_j flight_{jt}}$), and $\ln dist_{ij}$ is the natural logarithm of the geographical distance between Chinese city i and country j .²⁰ This IV is analogous to the one developed by Autor et al. (2013), who instrument for US imports from China using other countries' imports from China (i.e., China's comparative advantage in productivity) and trade costs. We instrument for Chinese air connectivity using other countries' air connectivity (i.e., their comparative advantage in air transportation technology) and trade costs (i.e., distance).

Our instrument is expected to be negatively correlated to the frequency of flights, \tilde{D}_{ijt} : a country with a comparative advantage in air connectivity is more likely to have direct flights, while city-country pairs that are further apart likely have fewer direct flights con-

²⁰In constructing the value λ_{jt} , we exclude flights to China and use outbound flights from foreign countries, rather than inbound flights to foreign countries, as our explanatory variables (i.e., the weekly frequency of direct flights) are inbound flights from China. Using outbound flights can provide a more exogenous instrument.

necting them. The negative relationship between distance and air connectivity reflects the higher trade costs (in services) between more distant markets.²¹ We assume that distance affects our dependent variable (value of card transactions) only through air connectivity (our endogenous variable).²² Multiplying the distance between city i and country j , $dist_{ij}$, by the country-time level share λ_{jt} , gives the city-country-year level variation for our instrumental variable.

4.2.2 Identification Assumption

Our key identifying assumption is that the share of the flights coming to a country j , λ_{jt} , is uncorrelated with demand shocks in a particular Chinese city for travel to a country in year t . We argue that the relevant exclusion restriction holds because foreign governments—not Chinese city governments—develop destination countries’ levels of air connectivity. As such, the degree of a foreign country’s air connectivity is plausibly exogenous with respect to characteristics of Chinese origin cities that might influence demand for travel services, except insofar as greater air connectivity in a destination country increases the probability that a given Chinese city is connected to that foreign country.

To illustrate the logic of our IV, consider the example of the United Arab Emirates (UAE). The UAE government paid increasing attention to air transportation as one of its major sources of economic development (The United Arab Emirates 2017).²³ The country opened the world’s largest airline terminal in Dubai in 2008. Since then, its share of global international direct flights (i.e., the first term in our instrumental variable) has increased substantially. Appendix A.3 shows the change in the number of outbound flights from the UAE between 2011 and 2016. The number of flights arriving in the UAE increased by 52.7% during our data period. This and similar government efforts to attract direct flights depend on investment decisions by local governments, not shocks to travel demand in particular Chinese cities.

Similar airport expansion and improvements in air connectivity have occurred around

²¹In airline markets in particular, regulations stipulate how long pilots can work on flights, which increases the costs of long-distance air connections (Campante and Yanagizawa-Drott 2017).

²²There are origin-destination fixed effects, δ_{ij} , in our main regression, which should address other concerns for our identification strategy.

²³Source: Statistical Yearbook of Abu Dhabi 2017, [link to the article](#) (last access on November 5, 2022)

the world during our sample period, including the UK, Turkey, Spain, and Saudi Arabia (Appendix A.3). These variations in air connectivity are plausibly exogenous to demand shocks from Chinese cities and thus serve as valid instruments for our analysis.²⁴

4.3 2SLS Specification

Using our IV, we estimate the following two-stage least squares (2SLS) system to obtain the causal effect of air connectivity on Chinese card transactions in a foreign market:

$$\tilde{D}_{ijt} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{ijt} + \delta_{ij} + \eta_{it} + \kappa_{jt} + \xi_{ijt} \quad (\text{first stage}) \quad (7)$$

$$\tilde{X}_{ijt} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \tilde{D}_{ijt} + \delta_{ij} + \eta_{it} + \kappa_{jt} + \epsilon_{ijt}. \quad (\text{second stage}), \quad (8)$$

where we define Z_{ijt} in equation (6). Our first stage coefficient, α_1 , captures the relationship between the share of global flights arriving in foreign country j , as well as the distance between j and Chinese city i (together making up our IV, Z), and the degree of air connectivity between city i and country j , \tilde{D} . In these terms, the exclusion restriction we describe above holds if our IV— Z —is uncorrelated with other unobserved determinants of card transaction values between i and j , ϵ . Our second stage coefficient of interest, γ_1 , delivers the causal impact of air connectivity on card transactions made by consumers from city i in destination country j .

5 Results: Trade in Travel Services

We estimate the impact of air connectivity on trade in travel services using our linked flight-Chinese card transaction data and our IV framework. We first report 2SLS results, using the equation we define in Section 4.3, that show how direct air routes affect trade in travel services. We then consider the robustness of our estimates to different specifications and definitions of air connectivity.

²⁴We provide evidence in Appendix A.4 that the shares of flights from China to these countries have not increased significantly despite their airport expansions. This indicates that the goal of expanding airports was to attract travelers from all over the world, rather than specifically targeting Chinese travelers.

5.1 Main Results

In our main specification, we analyze the impact of the improvement in the weekly frequency of direct flights on card transaction values. Column 2 of Table 2 shows the regression result with the inclusion of three types of fixed effects (FEs): origin-specific time-varying FEs, destination-specific time-varying FEs, and city-country pair FEs. The IV coefficient on the frequency of direct flights is positive and significant. Specifically, a 1% increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights leads to a 1.82% increase in cross-border travel spending. We report first-stage results at the bottom of the table. The coefficient on the IV is negative and highly significant. More importantly, the first-stage F statistic is 24.57, which suggests that we can reject the null of a weak instrument.²⁵

The IV coefficient is larger than the OLS coefficient reported in column 1. This downward bias does not preclude potential reverse causality, but it does suggest there is a stronger negative force diminishing the relationship between air connectivity and the value of card transactions. The difference between our 2SLS and OLS coefficients underscores the distinction between the “treatment” in our OLS and 2SLS specifications, and their effects on demand for travel services. Our 2SLS estimator captures the local average treatment effect (LATE) of a *new* direct flight on card transactions; the OLS estimator captures the correlation between an *existing* direct flight, one that may have been operated for many years, on card transactions. A new flight likely causes a spike in demand, which is the object of interest for us, but that effect may wear off over time—hence, the average existing flight has less of an influence on demand for travel services than a brand new flight. For our setting, the time variation of IV for a given city-country pair relies on an exogenous variation of the destination country in its world share of international direct flights (the share λ_{jt} in equation 6).

In columns 3 and 4, we limit and expand our sample size to check if the results differ substantially based on our sample selection. One potential issue is that most Chinese international travelers are from Shanghai and Beijing, and therefore our estimate may be largely

²⁵The IV satisfies another test for verification. The Kleibergen-Paap LM statistic rejects the null that the model is unidentified.

driven by the travelers from these two cities.²⁶ We drop the city-country pairs that include Shanghai or Beijing and re-estimate our 2SLS specifications to see whether our findings hold in this restricted sample. Column 3 of Table 2 shows that the 2SLS coefficients with the restricted sample are very similar to the ones with the full sample (shown in columns 2 of Table 2) in terms of size, significance, and sign. These results suggest that our estimates are not specific to the two largest Chinese cities.

We also examine how our results change when we expand the scope of Chinese cities in our sample. We have so far focused on cities that had airports during our sample period and analyzed the effect of the number of weekly direct flights on overseas travel spending. Here, we include the additional group of Chinese cities—cities that do not have airports. If we include those cities in our sample, we expect that the size of the coefficient of interest will be larger than our main result because our baseline group would be cities without access to air transportation, instead of cities without access to international flights. Our results after including cities without airports appear in column 4 of Table 2. The coefficient of the 2SLS estimate is positive and significant, and as expected, the size is larger than the coefficient in our main result (shown in column 2 of Table 2) because it includes the variation of air connectivity due to an establishment of airports.

5.2 Robustness Checks

Our IV regressions show that an increase in the number of weekly direct flights from a city to a country positively affects the value of card transactions between that city-country pair. We test whether our main results (column 2 of Table 2) are robust using alternative measures of air connectivity and travel spending.²⁷ We also consider an alternative identification approach for causal inference.

²⁶Table A.2 shows that the largest flows originated from the largest Chinese city (i.e., Shanghai and Beijing) to the largest economies with closer proximity (i.e., Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan).

²⁷ One of the possible robustness checks is conducting a Poisson Pseudo Maximum Likelihood (PPML) regression. The result from a Poisson Pseudo-Maximum-Likelihood (PPML) regression is similar to the one in our OLS result (column 1 of Table 2). However, when we apply PPML regression with our IV and fixed effects using the control function method, the coefficient of our interest loses significance. In the control function method, we initially regress our dependent variable, i.e., the value of transactions, on our IV, and then regress the error term generated from the first regression on the weekly frequency of direct flights. Running PPML regression using the control function method proves challenging in our case, as the three fixed effects absorb most of the endogeneity in the error term.

5.2.1 Alternative Variables

One of the robustness checks is to employ alternative specifications of our dependent variable. First, we replace the value of card transactions with the number of card transactions. Column 1 of Table 3 reports that a 1% increase in weekly direct flights leads to a 0.30% increase in the number of card transactions. The positive and statistically significant result is similar to the main result using the value of transactions.

We also take into account that Chinese travelers possibly visit other countries close to their first arrival countries. This concern is especially relevant to travel in European countries, where multiple destinations can be easily accessible. To address this issue, we group European countries into four geographical regions and measure the frequency of direct flights for each European region.²⁸ Column 2 of Table 3 shows the result of this alternative regression, and the coefficient closely aligns with our main regression result.

In addition, we consider a scenario where Chinese travelers have the option to use airports located in neighboring cities. We assume that travelers are willing to drive up to 200 km to access an airport and consider flights departing from airports within a 200 km radius. In our main specification, Chinese travelers access only the international direct flights departing from their residing cities. However, in the alternative specification, travelers can benefit from additional international direct flights departing from nearby cities, which may bring a larger impact of a new international flight route. The regression result supports this assumption, as we observe a larger coefficient on the weekly frequency of direct flights (column 3 of Table 3).

5.2.2 A Quasi-Experiment for Causal Inference

We employ an alternative identification strategy that relies on a plausible quasi-natural experiment. Specifically, we exploit the political conflict on the Senkaku (Diaoyu) Islands between China and Japan in 2012 as an exogenous shock to the relationship between China and Japan. Heilmann (2016) finds that there was a disruption in imports from Japan to China as a result of the Senkaku conflict. Such conflict should also affect trade in travel

²⁸According to the European Union, the regions are defined as follows: Central and Eastern Europe, Northern Europe, Southern Europe, and Western Europe.

services.

The degree of anti-Japanese sentiment varies across Chinese cities, and it relates to the impact caused by the Second Sino-Japanese War in 1937-44. We refer to Che et al. (2015) and use the number of casualties (the number of civilians who were injured or died due to the Japanese invasion in a region) as an exogenous city-level variation on the effect of the political conflict. The time variation of political shock and the city variation in causality allows us to use a model in the spirit of the difference-in-differences approach. We expect consumers in the cities with a larger number of casualties will be more hostile to Japan and hence less likely to travel to Japan during the period of the political conflict. More significant anti-Japanese sentiment can reduce the air connectivity between a Chinese city and Japan. We use the city-level impact of the political conflict as an instrumental variable to our air connectivity measure (i.e., the weekly frequency of direct flights).

We define the alternative IV as follows:

$$V_{it} = \ln Casualties_i \times Boycott_t,$$

where $\ln Casualties_i$ is the natural logarithm of the number of casualties in city i and $Boycott_t$ equals 1 in the year 2012, and 0 otherwise.²⁹ In our main specification (equation 7), we use this alternative IV, V_{it} , instead of the IV we previously used, Z_{ijt} . Here, since we consider Japan as the only destination country, the variation in our data is at the city-year level (i.e., destination $j = Japan$).

Column 4 of Table 3 shows the result with our new IV measure, V_{it} . The coefficient on the log of weekly frequency (our air connectivity measure) is negative and significant in the first stage of the regression. We find the positive and significant coefficient on the log of frequency in the second stage, which is consistent with our main results. This result indicates that a 1% increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights leads to a 0.94% increase in card transaction value made by Chinese travelers in Japan.

²⁹We add one to $Casualties_i$ before taking logs because there are no casualties in some cities.

6 Further Analyses

We showed that the development of direct flight connections leads to an increase in cross-border card transaction values. We extend our analysis and look at the effect of air connectivity on trade in goods. We also study how shocks to consumer tastes and preferences toward destination countries affect our findings.

6.1 Trade in Goods

Improvement in air transportation networks affects not only consumer travel but also trade in goods. One may assume that an increase in travel services would have a positive spillover effect on trade in goods, particularly in the context of consumer goods. Travelers experience new products in countries they visit and may start purchasing the products after their travels. Thus, more direct flight connections increase the number of travelers (i.e., increase imports of travel services), which in turn leads to an increase in imports of goods from the countries with more frequent flight connections.³⁰ Moreover, some freight is shipped by passenger flights along with passengers and their baggage, although the amount of shipment is small compared to cargo flights.³¹ A increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights can affect trade in goods directly (by increasing freight capacity) and indirectly (by providing travelers with more exposure to foreign products).

Building upon this idea, we extend our analysis to estimate the effect of air connectivity on imports of goods from country j to Chinese city i by running the IV regression introduced in Section 4.3. Specifically, we use the value of import as a dependent variable instead of the card transaction value (i.e., \tilde{X}_{ijt} in equation 8).

We begin our analysis by examining the impact of air connectivity on the value of total imports, but the coefficient does not show statistical significance (column 1 of Table 4). Therefore, we further explore the effect on the imports of consumer products, which are more relevant to consumption by travelers, in various industries. Specifically, we refer to

³⁰Similarly, Söderlund (2022) shows that a decline in the costs of business travel (i.e., air travel time) increases trade volume using the liberalization of the Soviet airspace in 1985 as a natural experiment.

³¹For example, a Boeing 747-400, one of the largest passenger planes, can transport 5,330 cubic feet of cargo (the same amount can be transported by two semi-truck trailers) together with 416 passengers (reference: Alaska Air Forwarding [link to the article](#), last access on January 21, 2023).

UNCTAD’s categorization for consumer products and run regressions using the value of imported consumer products in each industry at the one-digit level of the SITC Revision 4.

While the coefficient on the regression with total consumer goods imports is not statistically significant (column 2 of Table 4), the results exhibit heterogeneity across different industries, as illustrated in Figure 6. Interestingly, we observe that the imports of food/beverage and pharmaceutical/cosmetic products increase by around 1% with a 1% increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights.³² It is worth noting that these products are mostly transported by air. For instance, food/beverage are perishable and time-sensitive products (Djankov et al. 2010). Similarly, pharmaceuticals have higher unit values compared to other products and are primarily shipped using a fast and expensive mode of transportation (Harrigan 2010).³³

6.2 Effects of Political Conflicts

Unlike trade in goods, our model considers that costs to travel depend not only on trade costs (that we measure by air connectivity) but also on the amenity that each destination country offers. The uniqueness of travel service trade lies in the attractiveness of travel destinations, which is a factor beyond trade costs typically considered in the model of goods trade. To explore the interaction between these two factors—trade costs and destinations’ attractiveness—in travel service trade, we exploit political conflicts between the destination country and China as an exogenous shock to consumer preferences toward destination countries. We expect that a more hostile sentiment towards a particular destination may attenuate the effects of air connectivity on cross-border travel, while not significantly affecting the effects of air connectivity on trade in goods.

During the data period, there are four notable conflicts between China and Japan, the Philippines, South Korea, and Norway.³⁴ First, there was a political conflict over the Senkaku (Diaoyu) Islands between China and Japan in 2012, which resulted in a series of anti-Japanese

³²Conversely, we find negative coefficients on mineral fuels/lubricants and machinery/transport equipment, although the coefficients are insignificant. These products are often bulky and transported by sea.

³³According to Harrigan (2010), 65% of medical and pharmaceutical products are imported by air to the US in 2003.

³⁴Recent studies show an adverse effect of political conflict on trade between China and Japan (Heilmann 2016), Philippine (Luo et al. 2021), South Korea (Kim and Lee 2021), and Norway (Kolstad 2020).

demonstrations, including consumer boycotts of Japanese products across many Chinese cities. Second, China and the Philippines had increasing tension over Huangyan Island in 2012. As a result, China released a document to strengthen the inspection and quarantine of fruits imported from the Philippines. Third, in 2016, the South Korean and U.S. governments announced that they had agreed to deploy the Terminal High-Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) in the Korean peninsula.³⁵ China opposed the plan and imposed sanctions on travel and trade with South Korea. Fourth, the Norwegian Nobel Committee awarded the Nobel peace prize to Chinese human rights activist, Liu Xiaobo. The award was announced in October 2010 and awarded in December 2010. The Chinese government strongly denounced the award and introduced political and economic sanctions against Norway.

We study the effect of these four political conflicts on imports of travel services and goods. First, we create an indicator of boycotts, $\mathcal{I}[Boycott_t]$, that takes one for a country under the conflict in the year of each event. Specifically, the indicator equals one for Japan in 2012, one for the Philippines in 2012, one for South Korea in 2016, and one for Norway in 2011.³⁶ Second, we add the interaction term between the weekly frequency of direct flights (\tilde{D}_{ijt} in equations 7 and 8) and $\mathcal{I}[Boycott_t]$ to the 2SLS specification introduced in Section 4.3.

The results are presented in Table 5. We find a negative and significant coefficient on the interaction term between air connectivity and boycott (column 1). This result indicates that the effect of air connectivity on travel service trade decreases when there is a political conflict between China and a destination country. Interestingly, the coefficient on the interaction term is not statistically significant concerning the imports of consumer goods (columns 2). We continue to see statistically insignificant results when considering only imported consumer goods in the food/beverages and pharmaceuticals/cosmetics industries. These industries experience an increase in the value of imports due to the development of air connectivity. Overall, our findings suggest that a rise in political conflicts—an adverse shock of consumer preferences towards destination countries—can offset the promoting effect of air connectivity

³⁵it is a defense system designed to shoot down ballistic missiles, which can be used as a defensive measure against North Korea’s nuclear and missile threat.

³⁶There are no direct air flight schedules from Chinese cities to Norway during our data sample. It is common to start trips from Finland for travelers from China to Nordic countries ([link](#), last access on January 23, 2023). We use air flights to Finland instead.

on cross-border travel but not on trade in goods.

7 Conclusion

This paper investigates the impact of air connectivity on trade in travel services, leveraging unique data on card transactions from Chinese cities to foreign countries. Using a novel instrument for air connectivity based on the destination’s comparative advantage in air transportation, the 2SLS estimate reveals that a 1% increase in the weekly frequency of direct flights between a Chinese city and a destination country results in a 1.8% rise in the value of on-site card payment in the newly connected country. Our results are robust to alternative definitions of variables and sample sizes.

Moreover, we extend our analyses to examine the effect of air connectivity on trade in goods. The result indicates that the enhancement of air transportation networks raises the value of imports of products that are mostly transported by air, such as food/beverages and pharmaceuticals/cosmetics. Additionally, using political conflicts as exogenous shocks, we show that the attractiveness of travel destinations significantly influences the flow of travel service trade, but not the bilateral flow of goods trade.

This study provides insight into the relationship between investment in air connectivity—via improvements in airports, for example—and trade in services and goods, which could inform policies meant to promote economic relationships with foreign countries. To precisely gauge the effect of investment in air connectivity on cross-border travel, policymakers need to be aware of the mediating role of local consumer preferences (such as cultural ties and sentiments towards destinations). Our results suggest that encouraging cultural exchanges with and creating welcoming sentiments towards foreign countries are useful to boost the impact of air connectivity on cross-border travel.

Reference

Alderighi, Marco, and Alberto A. Gaggero. “Fly and trade: Evidence from the Italian manufacturing industry.” *Economics of transportation* 9 (2017): 51-60.

Allen, Treb, Simon Fuchs, Sharat Ganapati, Alberto Graziano, Rocio Madera, and Judit Montoriol-Garriga. “Urban welfare: Tourism in Barcelona.” Working paper (2021).

Anderson, Simon P., Andre De Palma, and Jacques-Francois Thisse. *Discrete choice theory of product differentiation*. MIT press, 1992.

Arkolakis, Costas, Arnaud Costinot, and Andrés Rodríguez-Clare. “New trade models, same old gains?.” *American Economic Review* 102, no. 1 (2012): 94-130.

Asplund, Marcus, Richard Friberg, and Fredrik Wilander. “Demand and distance: Evidence on cross-border shopping.” *Journal of public Economics* 91, no. 1-2 (2007): 141-157.

Autor, David H., David Dorn, and Gordon H. Hanson. “The China syndrome: Local labor market effects of import competition in the United States.” *American economic review* 103, no. 6 (2013): 2121-2168.

Baggs, Jen, Loretta Fung, and Beverly Lapham. “Exchange rates, cross-border travel, and retailers: Theory and empirics.” *Journal of International Economics* 115 (2018): 59-79.

Bartik, Timothy J. “Who benefits from state and local economic development policies?.” (1991).

Bellemare, Marc F., and Casey J. Wichman. “Elasticities and the inverse hyperbolic sine transformation.” *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 82, no. 1 (2020): 50-61.

Campante, Filipe, and David Yanagizawa-Drott. “Long-range growth: economic development in the global network of air links.” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 133, no. 3 (2018): 1395-1458.

Chandra, Ambarish, Keith Head, and Mariano Tappata. “The economics of cross-border travel.” *Review of Economics and Statistics* 96, no. 4 (2014): 648-661.

Che, Yi, Julan Du, Yi Lu, and Zhigang Tao. “Once an enemy, forever an enemy? The long-run impact of the Japanese invasion of China from 1937 to 1945 on trade and investment.” *Journal of International Economics* 96, no. 1 (2015): 182-198.

Chi, Jingde. ”A history of the investigation of China’s losses during World War II.”

(1987).

Cristea, Anca D. “Buyer-seller relationships in international trade: Evidence from US States’ exports and business-class travel.” *Journal of international economics* 84, no. 2 (2011): 207-220.

Cristea, A. D. “The role of aviation networks for urban development.” *Journal of Regional Science* (2023): 1–34.

Djankov, Simeon, Caroline Freund, and Cong S. Pham. “Trading on time.” *The review of Economics and Statistics* 92, no. 1 (2010): 166-173.

Eaton, Jonathan, and Samuel Kortum. “Technology, geography, and trade.” *Econometrica* 70, no. 5 (2002): 1741-1779.

Faber, Benjamin, and Cecile Gaubert. “Tourism and economic development: Evidence from Mexico’s coastline.” *American Economic Review* 109, no. 6 (2019): 2245-2293.

Fageda, Xavier. “International air travel and FDI flows: Evidence from Barcelona.” *Journal of Regional Science* 57, no. 5 (2017): 858-883.

Friberg, Richard, Frode Steen, and Simen A. Ulsaker. “Hump-shaped cross-price effects and the extensive margin in cross-border shopping.” *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 14, no. 2 (2022): 408-438.

Gibbons, Stephen, and Wenjie Wu. “Airports, access and local economic performance: Evidence from China.” *Journal of Economic Geography* 20, no. 4 (2020): 903-937.

Harrigan, James. “Airplanes and comparative advantage.” *Journal of International Economics* 82, no. 2 (2010): 181-194.

Head, Keith, Thierry Mayer, and John Ries. “How remote is the offshoring threat?.” *European Economic Review* 53, no. 4 (2009): 429-444.

Heilmann, Kilian. “Does political conflict hurt trade? Evidence from consumer boycotts.” *Journal of International Economics* 99 (2016): 179-191.

Hovhannisyan, Nune, and Wolfgang Keller. “International business travel: an engine of innovation?.” *Journal of Economic Growth* 20 (2015): 75-104.

Kim, Hyejin, and Jungmin Lee. “The Economic Costs of Diplomatic Conflict: Evidence from the South Korea–China THAAD Dispute.” *Korean Economic Review* 37, no. 2 (2021): 225-262.

Kolstad, Ivar. “Too big to fault? Effects of the 2010 Nobel Peace Prize on Norwegian exports to China and foreign policy.” *International Political Science Review* 41, no. 2 (2020): 207-223.

Luo, Changyuan, Shiyi Sun, and Guanghua Wan. “The impact of political relations on international trade: China–Philippines island dispute as a quasi-natural experiment.” *The World Economy* 44, no. 11 (2021): 3422-3441.

OECD. “OECD Tourism Trends and Policies 2020.” (2020).

Söderlund, Bengt. “The importance of business travel for trade: Evidence from the liberalization of the soviet airspace.” *Available at SSRN 4220701* (2022).

Tanaka, Kiyoyasu. “Do international flights promote FDI? The role of face-to-face communication.” *Review of International Economics* 27, no. 5 (2019): 1609-1632.

UNCTAD. “Key statistics and trends in international trade 2021.” (2021).

UNWTO (United Nations World Tourism Organization). “International Tourism Highlights, 2020 Edition.” (2021).

Wang, Feicheng, Zheng Wang, and Zhuo Zhou. “Business flies: The trade promoting effect of air connectivity.” (2021).

Zhang, Chi, Ivan T. Kandilov, and Mark D. Walker. “Direct flights and cross-border mergers & acquisitions.” *Journal of Corporate Finance* 70 (2021): 102063.

Figures




Figure 1: Chinese Cities With Overseas Transaction Values in 2011 and 2016

Notes: The unit of values are in millions RMB. We plot the value of card transactions on the maps of mainland China with cities. All overseas transactions are made by Chinese residents. We use the values in 2011 and 2016 on Panels (a) and (b), respectively. We use only the cities in our

final dataset.




Figure 2: Travel Destinations With Card Transaction Values in 2011 and 2016

Note: The unit of values are in millions RMB. We plot the value of card transactions on the maps of foreign destinations. All transactions are made by Chinese residents. We use the values in 2011 and 2016 on Panels (a) and (b), respectively. We use only the countries in our final dataset.






Figure 3: Distribution of the Number of Direct Flights By Chinese Cities

Notes: The figures show the distributions of the number of international direct flights (weekly) in each city in 2011 (Panel (a)) and in 2016 (Panel (b)). Only the cities with international direct flights are shown in the figures. The size of the bin is 10 weekly direct flights. Each bar represents the number of Chinese cities.




(a) 2011




(b) 2016

Figure 4: Direct Flight Routes in 2011 and 2016

Notes: The blue lines show the direct flight routes from Chinese cities (with red dots) to the destination countries (with black dots). Panels (a) and (b) show the international routes in 2011 and 2016, respectively. We use the air routes in our data sample.



(a)



(b)

Figure 5: Air Connectivity and the City-Level Card Transactions

Notes: In Panel (a), the y-axis represents the value of card transactions, and the x-axis displays the number of weekly international direct flights across Chinese cities. The transaction values and the number of flights are calculated as averages across the year and destination countries. For Panel (b), we excluded Shanghai, Beijing, and Guangzhou to focus on the other Chinese cities.




Figure 6: Estimates on Consumer Goods Imports by Industries

Notes: This figure shows the estimates of air connectivity on consumer goods imports from 2011 to 2016 by industries at the Chinese city-destination country level. Live animals are excluded from the food/beverages/tobacco industry.

Tables

Table 1: Summary Statistics

Variables	Mean	P(50)	Min	Max	SD	Observations
Main Data						
<i>Card transactions</i>						
Value (millions RMB)	9.32	0.035	0	9,626.68	99.56	58,932
Number of transactions	5,246.67	16.00	0	5,399,033	78,527.36	58,932
<i>Direct flights</i>						
Weekly frequency	0.45	0	0	473.56	5.72	58,932
Supporting Data						
<i>Imports</i>						
Value (millions RMB)	92.27	0.001	0	42071.6	10.60	58,932
<i>Second Sino-Japanese war</i>						
Number of casualties	29,047.81	969.467	0	436,683.10	56,595.07	192

Notes: For variables we use in regressions, we report the mean, the median, the minimum and the maximum values, the standard deviations, and the number of observations.

Table 2: Baseline Results

	Value of transactions			
	OLS (1)	2SLS (2)	2SLS (3)	2SLS (4)
Weekly Frequency	0.075*** (0.022)	1.822*** (0.436)	1.874*** (0.436)	3.760*** (1.007)
Origin city-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Foreign country-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Origin city-foreign country FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	58,932	58,932	58,080	100,380
First Stage				
IV		−39.419*** (7.952)	−40.253*** (7.998)	−22.393*** (5.621)
KP Wald rk F -statistic		24.573	25.332	15.870
KP LM statistic		24.831	25.623	16.061
KP LM p -value		0.000	0.000	0.000
AR Wald test p -value		0.000	0.000	0.000

Notes: Columns 1 and 2 show the main results. In column 3, we drop the city-country pairs that include Shanghai or Beijing. In column 4, we include cities without airports. Standard errors, clustered at the city-country level, are in parentheses. Significance levels are $*p < 0.1$, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$. KP: Kleibergen-Paap, AR: Anderson-Rubin.

Table 3: Robustness Checks

	Number	Value of transactions		
	(1)	European regions	City groups	Quasi-experiment
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Weekly Frequency	0.301*** (0.077)	1.730*** (0.396)	1.575*** (0.315)	0.954** (0.449)
Origin city-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Foreign country-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Origin city-foreign country FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Origin city FEs	No	No	No	Yes
Year FEs	No	No	No	Yes
Observations	58,932	58,932	100,380	1,152
First Stage				
IV	-39.419*** (7.952)	-41.516*** (7.921)	-53.451*** (10.227)	
Casualties \times Boycott				-0.012*** (0.003)
KP Wald rk F -statistic	24.573	27.470	27.314	11.633
KP LM statistic	24.831	27.600	27.762	10.742
KP LM p -value	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.001
AR Wald test p -value	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012

Notes: The regression in column 1 uses the number of transactions as a dependent variable instead of the value of transactions. In column 2, we group European countries into four regions (Central and Eastern Europe, Northern Europe, Southern Europe, and Western Europe) and measure the frequency of direct flights for each European region. In column 3, we consider airports located within 200 km of each Chinese city. Column 4 shows the results for the quasi-experiment explained in Section 5.2.2. We add one to the alternative IV, Casualties \times Boycott, and take a log of the value. Standard errors, clustered at the city-country level, are in parentheses. Significance levels are $*p < 0.1$, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$. KP: Kleibergen-Paap, AR: Anderson-Rubin.

Table 4: Effect of Air Connectivity on Goods Imports

	Value of import		
	Full Sample (1)	Consumer goods (2)	Non-consumer goods (3)
Weekly Frequency	−0.267 (0.502)	0.141 (0.366)	−0.278 (0.496)
Origin city-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes
Foreign country-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes
Origin city-foreign country FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	58,932	58,932	58,932

Notes: This table presents the results of IV regressions with the value of imports. We do not report the first stage regression result as they are the same as that in Table 2. The categorization for consumer goods is from the UNCTAD and was obtained from the World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS) website. Standard errors, clustered at the city-country level, are in parentheses. Significance levels are $*p < 0.1$, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$.

Table 5: Boycott and Cultural Shock

	Values			
	Card transactions (1)	Consumer goods (2)	Food (3)	Pharma+Cosmetics (4)
Weekly Frequency	1.979*** (0.485)	0.130 (0.360)	1.079*** (0.316)	0.810*** (0.267)
Weekly Frequency \times Boycott	-0.208*** (0.052)	0.000 (0.038)	-0.042 (0.035)	0.059 (0.038)
Origin city-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Foreign country-year FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Origin city-foreign country FEs	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	58,932	58,932	58,932	58,932

Notes: This table presents the results of IV regressions examining the impact of political conflicts on the effects of air connectivity on bilateral trade. We use the value of card transactions as the dependent variable in column 1, and the value of consumer goods imports in column 2. In column 3, we specifically focus on consumer goods in the food, beverages, and tobacco industries, while in column 4, we analyze the pharmaceutical and cosmetics industries. The political conflicts affecting Chinese consumers include the boycott of South Korea in 2016, the boycott of Japan in 2012, the boycott of the Philippines in 2012, and the boycott of Norway in 2011. The F statistics in the first stage regression is 22.02. Standard errors, clustered at the city-country level, are in parentheses. Significance levels are $*p < 0.1$, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$.

Appendix A Appendix Tables

A.1 Destination Countries

There are 72 unique foreign countries in our final dataset. The travel destinations in the data are mainly the countries of the Belt and Road Initiative in Eurasia, Japan, and EU countries.

Table A.1: List of Cross-Border Travel Destination

Afghanistan	Austria	Azerbaijan	Bahrain
Bangladesh	Belarus	Belgium	Brunei
Bulgaria	Cambodia	Czech Rep	Denmark
Egypt	Estonia	Finland	France
Georgia	Germany	Greece	Hungary
Iceland	India	Indonesia	Iraq
Ireland	Israel	Italy	Japan
Jordan	Kazakhstan	Kuwait	Kyrgyzstan
Laos, PDR	Latvia	Lebanon	Luxembourg
Malaysia	Maldives	Malta	Monaco
Mongolia	Myanmar	Nepal	Netherlands
Norway	Oman	Pakistan	Philippines
Poland	Portugal	Qatar	Romania
Russian Federation	Saudi Arabia	Singapore	Slovakia
Slovenia	South Korea	Spain	Sri Lanka
Sweden	Switzerland	Syrian Arab Rep	Tajikistan
Taiwan	Thailand	Timor-leste	Turkey
United Arab Emirates	United Kingdom	Uzbekistan	Vietnam

Note: The table lists the travel destinations in our data. See Section 2.1 for details.

A.2 City-Country Pairs with Largest Value of Transactions

The two biggest Chinese cities, Beijing and Shanghai, have the largest numbers of direct flights and the highest value (or number) of card transactions. One of our concerns is that the values (or numbers) of transactions were concentrated so much between these two cities and a particular foreign destination. Table A.2 shows the Chinese city-foreign country pairs with the five largest mean transaction values and numbers. The largest flows originated from the largest Chinese city (i.e., Shanghai and Beijing) to the largest economies with closer proximity (i.e., Japan, South Korea, and Taiwan). However, the shares of the values in these city-country pairs are very small. For example, the flow from Shanghai to Japan accounts for 4.7% on average. This implies that the transaction values are not concentrated in a handful of city-country pairs. We observe the same for the number of transactions in Panel (b) of Table A.2.

Table A.2: City-Country Pairs With the Five Largest Transactions

City	Country	Average (yearly)	Share
Value of transactions (in million RMB):			
Shanghai	Japan	4,330.70	0.047
Shanghai	South Korea	2,807.33	0.031
Beijing	Japan	2,679.53	0.029
Beijing	South Korea	2,622.70	0.029
Shanghai	Taiwan	2,054.25	0.022
Number of transactions (in million):			
Shanghai	Japan	2.41	0.047
Shanghai	South Korea	2.20	0.043
Beijing	South Korea	1.91	0.037
Beijing	Japan	1.47	0.029
Chengdu	Netherlands	1.29	0.025

Note: This table shows the Chinese city-foreign country pairs with the five largest average transaction values and the five largest average numbers of transactions. Averages of the values and the numbers of card transactions are means over the sample period. The shares are the average values (or numbers) of transactions over the total average values (or numbers). The total average value is 91,532.64 million RMB. The total average number of transactions is 51.51 million.

A.3 Air Connectivity in 2011 and 2016

One of the components of our IV is the share of the number of flights departing a foreign country to total direct flights across the world. In Table A.3, we list the countries with the number of total outbound flights in 2011 and 2016. The countries with larger changes in that number contribute to variations in our IV.

Table A.3: Number of Total Outbound Flights in 2011 and 2016

Country	2011	2016	Change	Percentage Change
United Arab Emirates	204,239	311,963	107,724	52.7%
United Kingdom	652,308	757,293	104,985	16.1%
Turkey	152,501	242,752	90,251	59.2%
Spain	411,373	484,070	72,697	17.7%
Saudi Arabia	81,558	148,373	66,815	81.9%
Japan	121,180	183,392	62,212	51.3%
South Korea	86,861	135,877	49,016	56.4%
Qatar	65,012	110,774	45,762	70.4%
Thailand	105,348	149,051	43,703	41.5%
Taiwan	66,535	105,451	38,916	58.5%
India	124,020	161,777	37,757	30.4%
Italy	353,339	390,640	37,301	10.6%
Netherlands	210,521	247,306	36,785	17.5%
Greece	77,035	108,384	31,349	40.7%
Malaysia	101,772	132,454	30,682	30.1%
Poland	87,968	118,135	30,167	34.3%
Germany	649,054	678,885	29,831	4.6%
Portugal	99,329	126,971	27,642	27.8%
Ireland	92,931	119,556	26,625	28.7%
France	457,523	483,516	25,993	5.7%

Note: This table lists 20 countries with the largest change in the number of outbound flights from 2011 to 2016. All countries are in our data sample. The second and third columns report the number of total outbound flights (excluding China) from the countries in 2011 and 2016, respectively. The fourth column shows the change in total outbound flights (excluding China) from 2011 to 2016. The last column reports the percentage change in outbound flights in each country.

A.4 Share of Flights from China

This table presents the share of flights from China to the countries that experienced the largest change in the number of inbound flights during our sample period (countries listed in Table A.3). The data shows that the share of flights from China has not increased significantly, especially in the countries that underwent the most substantial changes in outbound flights, such as Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, Turkey, Taiwan, Japan, and South Korea. This observation suggests that the expansion of airports aimed to attract travelers from various regions globally, rather than specifically targeting Chinese travelers.

Table A.4: Share of Inbound Flights from China in 2011 and 2016

Country	Share 2011	Share 2016
United Arab Emirates	0.0159	0.0143
United Kingdom	0.0024	0.0040
Turkey	0.0060	0.0047
Spain	0.0006	0.0010
Saudi Arabia	0.0016	0.0021
Japan	0.2131	0.2285
South Korea	0.2873	0.3153
Qatar	0.0141	0.0144
Thailand	0.0861	0.2618
Taiwan	0.2368	0.2465
Italy	0.0028	0.0047
India	0.0134	0.0129
Netherlands	0.0088	0.0094
Malaysia	0.0675	0.0887
Poland	0	0.0017
Germany	0.0059	0.0067
France	0.0056	0.0083

Note: This table lists the share of inbound flights from China of 20 countries with the largest change in the number of inbound flights from 2011 to 2016. The countries are listed in the same order as in Table A.3. Flights from China do not exist in Greece, Portugal, and Ireland in both 2011 and 2016.