



---

# Full text of "Voynich Manuscript: An Elegant Enigma"

[See other formats](#)

The Voynich Manuscript:  
An Elegant Enigma

M. E. D’Imperio

1978

National Security Agency/Central Security Service  
Fail Georfe G. Meade. Maryland

jJsTrZ Ul r Z\’, COm iTl ‘ nd ? 4k ‘ S “ \* ran ‘ tht inclusion. but Jots nor make rbt conclusion c  
eZJ.Z TtZ/° ”7 “\* “\* ° n ,ht ,nUut,on °f tnah - \*\*\*\*\* \*\*>\* \*\*\*\*\* discovers „ by rbt path of  
experience Therefore reasoning Joes not suffice, but experience Joes.

Roger Bacon. Opus M\*|us ( Burke ■

I

Contents

Pape

List of Figures - - - - \*

Foreword - – ----- vii

Introduction . ... ----- - - ls

1 The Known Facts . .. ----- \*

1.1 The Manuscript As Found – ----- 1

1.2 The Known History of the Manuscript \* - -

2 Avenue\* of Attack on the Problem: A Survey ----- ■ - :i

2.1 Conjectures Concerning the History of the Manuscript 3

2.2 Authorship and Purpose - - . - r>

2.2.1 A Hoax, a Forgery\* or Nonsense? , ■ - s

2.2.2 Who Wrote It. and Why? « _ _ 6	
2.3 Provenience and Underlying Language –	
2.4 Date of Origin - . *	
3 Avenues of Attack: The Drawings II	
3.1 Relationship of the Drawings to the Teat 11	
3.2 Nature and Characteristics of the Drawings .. , 11	
3.2.1 Provenience and Style _ .... 12	
3.2.2 Pigments and Inks 12	
3.2.3 Relationships to Some Other Illustrated Manuscripts 12	
3.3 Content of Specific Classes of Drawings 14	
3.3.1 Herbal Drawings . _ ..... 14	
3.3.2 Pharmaceutical Drawings .... 16	
3.3.3 Astrological and Astronomical Drawings ..... . .. . 16	
3.3.4 Cosmological or Meteorological Drawings . ... IT	
3.3.5 Drawings Featuring Human Figures . . . 20	
3.3.6 Network of Rosettes, Folios 85-66 , , - . . . ■ 21	
3.3.7 Small Marginal Designs _ 21	
3.4 Meaning of the Collection of Drawings as a Whole ..... . 22	
4 Avenues of Attack: The Text _ * _ ... 23	
4.1 Nature and Characteristics of the Voynich Script 23	
4.1.1 Provenience and Style . . 23	
4.1.2 Relationships to Known Scripts and Character Sets * _ 23	
4.1.3 Attempts to Decompose the Symbols into Elements _ ... 23	
4.1.4 Variant and Embellished Forms of Symbols .* ..... . 24	
4.2 Other Scripts and Hands _ _ _ 25	
4.3 Linear Sequences that Look Like "M Keys" .... 26	

## 4.4 CryptanaJyric and Stylistic Attacks on the Text 27

### 4.4.1 Phenomena in the Text Which Musi be Accounted for by Any Theory ..... 2?

### 4.4.2 Cryptanalytic Hypothesis .. 25

## 5 Major Claims of Deciphermen t 33

### 5.1 Sewbotd . 33

#### 5.1.1 The Latin Text Cipher 33

#### 5.1.2 The Shorthand Cipher .... 34

### 5.2 Feeiy 35

### 5.3 Strong 36

### 5.4 Brumbaugh 37

## 6 History of Other Substantial Analytic Efforts 39

### 6.1 The Forms in Which the Manuscript Has Been Studied 39

iii

## Page

### 6 .2 First Voynich Manuscript Study Group, 1944-1946 .. .. \* - - 40

### 6.3 Theodore C. Petersen . \* - - - 41

### 6.4 Second Voynich Manuscript Study Group, 1962-1963 . . .... 41

### 6.5 William F. Friedman \_ - » -- 42

### 6.6 John H. Tiltman \* --- - - 42

### 6.7 Jeffrey Kmcher ..... --- 44

### 6.8 Prescott Currier . . . \_ - . - - - 45

### 6.9 Some Comments Regarding Computer Methods .... - - - . 45

## 7 Collateral Research; Roger Bacon - ----- 47

### 7.1 Works By and About Roger Bacon ---. - \* \* - - - 47

### 7.2 Bacon's Life and Works . - - 46

### 7 .3 Survival and Significance of Bacon s Work in Later Times - . . - - 49

7.4 Wae Roger Bacon Associated with the Voynich Manuscript? - . * - - - . - ...	50
6 Collateral Research: Medieval and Renaissance Cosmology and Iconography ...	53
8.1 Am Memorativa: The Art of Memory *	... 53
8-2 The Hermetic Tradition . . - . . - -	55
8.3 Astrology and Astronomy , ... --	56
8.4 Magical Systems . _ ... - - , -	56
8.4.1 Picatrix - - .	57
8.4.2 Solomotiian Magical Tradition -	57
8.4.3 Abramelinian Magical System , - ...	57
8.4.4 John Dees System of Spiritual Magic ..... * ..... ...	5fl
8.5 The Galenic Medical Tradition - . . - - - - -	58
8.6 Art Notoria: Demonic and Angelic Magic	59
8.7 Cabala .... -	59
8.8 Alchemy.- ..	GO
8.9 The Roeicrucian Movement and John Dee *	- 61
8.10 The History of the Hindu -Arabic Numerals * . ... * - - -	63
8.11 Medieval and Renaissance Costume - - ... .	64
9 Collateral Research: Artificial and Secret Languages . , _	Go
9.1 Brachygraphy: The History of Shorthand	65
9. 1. 1 Characters (Thomas Bright, circa 1588)	65
9.L2 Brachygraphie (Peter Bales, circa 1590) 1 ■ -	66
9.1.3 Stenographic (John Willis, 1602) - . . . . , -	66
9.2 Steganography: The Early History of Cryptology - ....	66
9.3 Pasigraphy : Universal and Synthetic Languages - - . - . - -	68
9.4 Magical and Religious Languages and Alphabets .. --~	69
9.4.1 Magical Languages .	6\$

9.4.2 Alchemical, Medical, and Astrological Symbols „ .	- 69
9.4.3 Mystical and Religious Languages . . .	- 70
9.4.4 The Enochian Language of John Dee *	, 70
10 Collateral Research: Early Herbs Is and Materia Med ica *	. . . 73
1 1 Concluding Remarks: Some Suggestion* for Further Research - *	- 77
II , 1 Paleographic and Other Studies of the Manuscript	- 77
11.2 Uncovering More of the Manuscripts History * *	- ----- 77
11.3 Collateral Research ....	- - - - 77
11-4 A Comprehensive Machine File of the Text	- 78
11.5 Scientific Hypothesis Formation and Testing - - -	78
Bibliography .... ** **..*_.	, - 124
Index - - ..	- ----- 133.

iv

## List of Figures

Fig\* No. Page

1 Entry for the Voynich Manuscript from H. F, Kraus Catalogue *	- . ~9
2 Letter Found with the Manuscript * ^	
3 Translation of Letter .....	^
4 List of Folio Numbers and Apparent Subject Matter . _ *	-
5 Some Details from Herbal and Pharmaceutical Folios	
6 More Details from Herbal and Pharmaceutical Folios . . .	- * ^
7 Details from Herbal Folios .	35
8 More Details from Herbal Folios - .	- 36
9 Details from Herbal and Pharmaceutical Folios^ . .	- 37
10 Some Zodiac Medallions and Mtrnth Names ...	33

U Groupings of Human Figures in Astrological Drawings -	39
12 Groupings of Elements in Astrological and Cosmological Folios	90
13 Groupings of Elements in Human Figure Folios	91
14 Some Medieval Tables of Correspondences: Ones, Twos, Threes	92
15 Details from Pharmaceutical and "Human Figure" Folios	
16 Comparison of Voynich Symbols and Early Arabic Numerals .....	94
17 Comparison of Voynich Symbols and Latin Abbreviations	9^
18 Some Compound and Ligatured Forms . .	96
19 Transcription Alphabets of Several Researchers . . . . .	97
20 Some Embellished and Variant Forms of Voynich Symbols .	98
21 Details Showing Fragments of Writing in Extraneous Scripts	99
22 Folio Gatherings HX)	
23 ' Some Different Readings of Folio L16v	101
24 "Key"* Like Sequences .. . -----	102
25 Feely's Initial "Clews" and Cipher Alphabet	103
26 Brumbaugh's Results ,.	104
27 Tiltman's Division of Common Words into "Roots" and "Suffixes" *	105
28 Monographic Frequency Counts of Some Symbols *	106
29 Names of Fifteen Fixed Stars .....	107
30 Stations of the Moon ... :	108
31 Names of the Thirty-Six Decans	109
32 Some Magical Seals and Talismans _ * * , . . .	110
33 Some Demon and Angel Names .....	111
34 Elements of Galenic Medicine ... .	112
35 Some Elements of Cabala	113
36 Two Alchemical Drawings	114

37	Some Costume Elements in Voynich Manuscript Drawings .....	115
36	Early Shorthand Systems . .	116
39	Some Early Italian Cryptographic Systems	1 1 7
40	Jakob Silvesters Code	118
41	Some Magical Spells and Invocations	119
42	Some Alchemy Symbols .....	120
43	Two Mystical Religious Languages .....	121
44	A Sample of Enochian Text	122
45	Another Sample of Enochian Text	123

v

## Foreword

The history of my connection with the Voynich manuscript is as follows: in 1951 Mr. William F. Friedman brought me to the manuscript and I spent my spare time in studying the combinations of the most common symbols. I wrote a report of my work for Mr. Friedman, I should mention that the only part of the manuscript I saw at the time was the twenty pages at the end which contain no illustrations. In fact he deliberately kept me out of control – he told me nothing other than the information about the manuscript contained in the book *Bacon by Newbold*. On the strength of this study I came to the rather definite conclusion that the manuscript was arrived at merely by the substitution of single symbols for letters whatever the language involved.

Subsequently about twelve years ago I read a paper to the Bainmore Bibliophiles covering the history of the manuscript and some of the attempts to decipher it. This paper, almost unaltered, was printed in an internal bulletin.

In the fall of 1975 I read a paper on the subject to a group of colleagues. As this occasion was not within the organization, it attracted quite a large audience and the attention of some of those who were studying the manuscript.

From the time when Mr. Friedman's health began to fail I have acted as a sort of unofficial coordinator of some of the people who have been working on the problem, and when Miss Mary DImperio told me of her work I suggested that she should assume this responsibility.

She has written a far more comprehensive and more scholarly survey of the problem than mine and it will become the definitive background of future work in this field.

To my knowledge there have been three rather extensive analyses of the script of the manuscript, by Friedman, by me, and by Captain Prescott Currier. Of these, I believe Captain Currier's to be far the most complete. I have reached similar conclusions at any rate in some aspects, and I find myself quite unable to accept any other view. It takes account of these analyses.



V11

## Introduction

The reader may well wonder. "Why still another paper on the Voynich manuscript?" So much has been on that most studied, most curious, and most mysterious manuscript upon which so many researchers faculties in vain. Perhaps a few words of explanation might be useful in setting the stage for the motivation for this monograph.

As a relatively recent newcomer to the ranks of Voynich manuscript students. I have unwittingly re my predecessors, rediscovering their sources, repeating their experiments, growing excited over them, and learning only later that all these things had already been tried and had failed, no wish to imply that I regret any of my efforts. In fact, I little suspected, when I was first in Voynich manuscript at Brigadier Tiltman's lecture in November 1975, that I would spend all my spare time on an intellectual and spiritual journey spanning so many centuries and ranging over so many a philosophy, and philology, I have thoroughly enjoyed every moment of my investigations, and would price.

The fact remains that, in spite of all the papers that others have written about the manuscript, there is no complete survey of all the approaches, ideas, background information and analytic studies that have been written either to advance or to refute a particular theory, providing in passing a brief glance at sweep them out of the way. Some presentations provide good treatments of some aspects of the problem (Voynich 4 1921), Newbold (1928), Tiltman (1968), and Krischke (1969). Much vital information, however, is only in unpublished notes and papers inaccessible to most students. I have felt that it would be useful to present information I could obtain from all the sources I have examined, and to present it in an orderly fashion. The resulting survey will provide a firm basis upon which other students may build their work, whether to continue the text or simply to learn more about the problem.

This monograph will be arranged in four main sections. First I will present a survey of all the basic facts as they are given. Second, I will try to cover all the possible avenues of attack and the information about the external characteristics of the manuscript itself, the drawings, and the text. Third. I will summarize the decipherment and other substantial analytic work carried out by various researchers. Fourth. I will discuss collateral and background topics which seem likely to be useful. An extensive bibliography is included. The bibliography includes papers on the Voynich manuscript itself and on a variety of related topics.

I wish to express my appreciation for the generous aid of John H. Tiltman, without whose encouragement this monograph would never have been completed. I wish also to thank Stuart Buck, Edw. S. Spiegelhalter, and who proofread my manuscript and offered many helpful criticisms and suggestions.

ix

Chapter 1

## The Known Facts

### 1 A The Manuscript As Found

It seems important first of all to distinguish clearly between the givens – the incontrovertible facts of the manuscript – and the lush growth of conjecture that has accumulated around the few meagre and dear physical description of the codex itself is provided by several authors. The entry in the catalogue (antiquarian bookdealer and owner of the manuscript for a number of years) provides an excellent\* figure 1 S. In brief, the mysterious manuscript consists -in a quarto volume, with leaves of nine by six inches, some multiply folded. Most pages contain, in addition to copious text in the uncalled the “Voynich script” throughout this paper), colored pictures of considerable variety, whose conjecture. Most appear to represent plants, astrological or cosmological material, and pharmaceutical show human figures surrounded by bizarre objects in scenes of undetermined import. The text and drawings in considerable detail in Chapters 3 and 4.

The manuscript has no cover; the first page contains only four brief paragraphs of text without apparent crude attempt at rubrication by means of enlarged and embellished initial characters in a few lines of writing near the top. In a different script or mixture of scripts than the bulk of the symbols from the Voynich script, and a scattering of sketchy drawings of animals, people, and other the upper left corner. Some leaves in the body of the manuscript also contain jottings (largely illegible apparently differing from the majority of the text. These atypical scraps of writing will be dealt

We have one other bit of concrete data to exploit: a letter, found between the pages of the manuscript Vovruch. Figure 2 shows this letter, and figure 3 provides its translation from Latin as prepared by him (1921, p. 27). The letter was written by Joannas Marcus Marci in Prague to accompany his gift Athanasius Kircher, S. J., in Rome. The letter adds the following solid facts to our knowledge of the of Vovruch, which he describes in interesting detail in the work cited above ) :

The manuscript was in the hands of Joannus Marcus Marci (A.D. 1595-1667) official physician to the King of Bohemia (A. D. 1352-1612). in the year 1665 or 1666.

It had previously been in the possession of one or more other persons, otherwise unidentified, probably the court of Rudolph II.

It passed from the possession of Marci to Athanasius Kircher in 1665 or 1666. and remained in his hands for an unknown period of time.

It had been sold to Rudolph by an unidentified person at an unstated time for the large sum of 600 florins. Information provided to Marci by a Dr. Raphael Missowsky (A.D. 1580-1644), who was a familiar at the court of Rudolph and his successors.

Another nugget of information was wrested from the enigmatic pages of the manuscript itself as a result of an accident. A mishap during photographic reproduction of the manuscript revealed a partially erased page. Examined under infra-red light\* this signature was found to be “Jacobi Tepenece”, that of Voynich as Jacobus Hordckv de Tepenecz (d. 1622), This man was director of Rudolph's botanical garden and laboratory. He did not acquire the patent of nobility with the title “de Tepenecz until after 1608. An additional fact: the manuscript was in the hands of another familiar at Rudolph's court at some time between 1608 to 1622.

The last bit of concrete evidence we have is the place where the manuscript was found by Voynich in 1912. It was kept secret for some years, in the expectation that Voynich might wish to return and purchase

was ultimate! v revealed to be the Villa Mondragone, in Italy not far from Rome. The following is concerning Mondragone. gathered by John Tiltman:

■A villa in Frascati near Rome, built by Cardinal Aliemps jeboué 1570, In 1 Pope Gregory XIII tried to reform the calendar. The villa apparently continued in the 17th century. It was given as a library to the Vatican Library. In 1865 the villa became a Jesuit College which finally closed in 1900.

1

This, then, is all we really know for certain about the enigmatic codex: what observant students have themselves, and the letter that accompanied it when found. (So far as I can discover, no scientific studies have been carried out on the inks, pigments, or parchment; and no attempt has been made to examine the pages for hidden writing.) Upon this meagre foundation of fact, an imposing edifice of deduction and guesswork has been built through creative research and persistent scholarship, first by Wilfrid Voynich, and then by a succession of others. Later sections of this paper will deal in fuller detail with that conjectures, many of which seem to have no value to future students of the manuscript.

## L2 The Known History of the Manuscript

A set of solid benchmarks can be assembled from the sources described above, and summarized as follows:

The manuscript was in the hands of some unknown person who brought it to Rudolph's court some time between 1580 and 1600.

It was in the possession of Jacobus de Tepenecz for some time after 1608 and before his death in 1630.

It was held for some time by another person, unidentified, who willed it to Joannus Marcus Marci senior or 1666.

It was sent by Marci to Prague, during 1665 or 1666, to his old teacher, Athanasius Kircher. In 1678 it was in the possession of Kircher.

It did not re-enter recorded history until it was discovered by Wilfrid Voynich at the Villa Maubert in 1912.

After the death of Voynich in 1930, the manuscript remained in the estate of his widow (author of a book, *The Gadfly*, which enjoyed great popularity in the Soviet Union). Mrs. Voynich died in July 1960. Her friend and companion of Mrs. Voynich over many years, was co-owner of the manuscript.

It was purchased on July 12\* 1961, by Hans P Kraus, New York antiquarian bookseller, for \$24,500.

Kraus valued the manuscript at \$100,000, and later at \$160,000; he tried repeatedly to find a buyer. Finally, in 1960, he presented it to the Beinecke Rare Book Library of Yale University, where it is now kept. The manuscript is 408 pages long, and valued at \$125,000 to \$500,000, according to different sources. (Information concerning the history of the manuscript was obtained from Tiltman 1968 and from unpublished notes kept by Miss N. Mr. and Mrs. Voynich.)

## Chapter 2

### Avenues of Attack on the Problem: A Survey

In this chapter I will attempt to cover as much as possible of the great variety of conjecture, re-investigation that has been carried out by a wide range of scholars, from Voynich down to those of arranged this material under a selection of topics relating to important characteristics of the material, original language, authorship, etc., which have excited the curiosity and exercised the ingenuity of students. I can lay claim to a knowledge of only a small part of the work that may now be in progress done in the recent past; many people have undoubtedly carried on their work alone, and their ideas known only to their immediate colleagues and acquaintances. Any day now, a new announcement of success upon the world from one of these students. I hope that the present summary, however incomplete, may together more information about the manuscript and its researchers than has hitherto been available.

## 2.1 Conjectures Concerning the History of the Manuscript

Soon after his discovery of the manuscript, Voynich undertook a very competent and thorough investigation. He turned up a wealth of interesting data, and succeeded in piecing together a plausible sequence of the blank spots between the known benchmarks. He traced the origin of the manuscript to Roger Bacon, learned Franciscan scholar and philosopher, renowned in later times for his occult powers. Of Roger, as he said below (see Sections 2.2, 3.1 and Chapter 7), Voynich stated that he had fastened upon Bacon as a candidate for authorship by a process of elimination, assuming, as he did, a thirteenth-century date before he saw the letter from Marci mentioning the similar belief held by someone at the court of the statement of his reasoning while examining the manuscript at the castle where he found it is worth

Even a net 'Uirih' briefly in the veil upon which it was written, the calligrapher. The date of its origin the latter part of the thirteenth century. The drawings indicated not to be of the 15th century. The question of authorship of the work and the manner of its writing have written on such a variety of subjects occurred to me: first, Albertus Magnus, whom it at once seems to me to be a political person was such that it could not have been necessary for him to second in the Franciscan Friar, Roger Bacon, an infinite of greater scholar, who had been personally discovered had been misrepresented as black magic. Moreover, for many years he had been and he himself referred in his works to the necessity of hiding his great secrets in cipher. | 1021

Voynich continues, relating his discovery of the Marci letter as follows:

It was not until some time after the manuscript came into my hands that I read the document bearing was attached to the front cover. Because of this I had regarded it at first of no consequence, a examination of the manuscript. | P 416.

He must have been gratified indeed to find his conjectural attribution of the manuscript to Bacon corroborated.

Next, Voynich turned his attention to teasing as much additional information as he could from the manuscript. He uncovered a quantity of fascinating detail concerning the personages mentioned in the letter and who had been associated with the manuscript, many of them familiars of Rudolph II and members of his court. Rudolph, the scientific and pseudo-scientific movements that grew up around him and the astonishing spies, charlatans, and other flamboyant personalities that converged upon Prague during Rudolph's reign, a valuable area for study. The work published on this topic by Bolton (1904) is quite out of date, and fails to do justice to the subject in the light of today's scholarship. Evans (1973) provides a detailed account of Rudolph and the elaborate and interesting culture surrounding his court. Evans makes a detailed study of the Voynich manuscript, but does not add anything to our knowledge of its origin.

Here, in brief, is my chronological outline of the hypotheses Voynich put forward to fill the gaps in the manuscript, and to suggest further lines of investigation to complete the picture (all information from Voynich 1921).

Latter half of the thirteenth century. The manuscript was penned by Roger Bacon, as a record of his science or magic.

– 1538. The manuscript rested in some monastic library in England until the dissolution of the religious life of the Reformation; this destruction began in 1538.

– 1547? Many Bacon manuscripts (some say as many as 1200 all told) were collected by Dr. John Dee, mathematician and astrologer (of whom more will be said below in Chapter 8). He obtained these through his association with John Dudley, Duke of Northumberland, who amassed a large fortune through spoliation of religious houses during the Reformation. Our manuscript could have come into Dee's hands according to Voynich. While it was in Dee's possession, he made vigorous attempts to decipher it. In a much later letter (dated 1675) quoting Arthur Dee, John Dee's son, to the effect that he had seen much time over a book "all in hieroglyphicks" (on this matter, see also Section 8.9 below),

1584-1586. John Dee, failing in his attempts to decipher it, carried the manuscript to Prague on Rudolf's court between 1584 and 1588. It was, then, to Dee or someone representing him that Rudolf offered the manuscript which was his price for the manuscript. It was probably also Dee who convinced Rudolf of Roger Bacon's authorship; Dee was to a considerable degree obsessed with Bacon throughout his life. Dee had a large part in disseminating knowledge of Bacon's work and refurbishing the reputation of the text condemned by the Church and his contemporaries to centuries of neglect. Dee even claimed to be a descendant (whose real name, Dee claimed, had been "David Dee" and not Roger Bacon at all).

– 1608? Rudolf made various attempts to get the manuscript decrypted by his stable of scholars. In an endeavor, he may have committed the manuscript, for working purposes, into the keeping of Jacobus de Tepenecz, whose name was written on it, and who may have kept it after Rudolf's abdication in 1611 and the subsequent dissolution of the Emperor's extensive museum and collections. Since de Tepenecz was ennobled in 1608, his name written on the manuscript in the form we see before that date.

– 1622. de Tepenecz died in 1622, and we have no evidence for the history of the manuscript between his death and its appearance in the hands of its next known owner, Marri.

– 1644? According to the Marri letter, the manuscript was in the possession of an unknown owner, Marci and Kircher, for some unknown period; indeed, it may have passed through several hands during that time. It may have come into Marri's possession sometime before 1644, since Marri was able to discuss it with Kircher that year. Voynich suggests (p. 419) that "research into the Bohemian State Archives will lead to the intimate friend of Marri and also of Kircher who had the manuscript between 1622 and 1644."

– 1665/6. During the time between 1644 and 1665 or 1666, we are reasonably certain that the manuscript was in the possession of Joannus Marcus Marci, and that it then passed into the hands of Athanasius Kircher. We do not know what he did with it while they had it. We do not know.

– 1912. Voynich says, "my own impression is that Kircher left the manuscript to someone at the court where he had patrons and friends, and it probably remained in the possession of a member of the Farnese family. When the manuscripts, it was removed to the collection in which I found it." (p. 430.)

Later researchers have added only a few details to this chronology so ingeniously ferreted out by Voynich. (1975, p. 347) suggests that Kircher himself may have deposited the manuscript directly into the Vatican Library.

John Manly (1921b, p. 188) claims that "it is dear that Marri did not possess the manuscript in 16 Kircher in Rome", since he would naturally have given it to Kircher then. He also reports that Mar work entitled "Idearum Operatirium Idea", mentions as his mother-in-law one Laura, daughter of Dio who became director of Rudolph's Imperial Museum. Manly implies that Misserone could have been the who bequeathed the manuscript to Marri. Finally, Manly provides the interesting bit of information Rudolph's payment for the manuscript, would be the equivalent of \$14,000 in 1921. and he contributed regarding de Tepenecz: this scientist was obliged to flee the country during disturbances that too well have parted with the manuscript then, since it apparently remained in Prague.

Robert Steele, an eminent historian and Baconian scholar who has edited many of Roger Bacon's work (1909-1940), concurs with Voynich in connecting the manuscript with John Dee. He says, "Mr. Voynich is right in his conjecture that it was sold by Dee to the Emperor Rudolph at the close of the sixteenth century. Roger Bacon, and that it was probably the book containing nothing but hieroglyphics' of which Dee' Thos. Browne \* (Steele 1928b, p 563.)

4

## 2.2 Authorship and Purpose

### 2\*2,1 A Hoax, a Forgery, or Nonsense\*

Many students have had, at times, an uncomfortable suspicion that the mysterious codex upon which effort had been spent might be a fabrication, its text representing nothing meaningful or orderly decipherment and transit non Wilfrid Voynich seems to have felt that the manuscript was unquestionable production of a thirteenth-century author, and specifically of Roger Bacon. Dr. Albert H. Carter (senior historian of the Army Security Agency) states the opinion shared by most students who have grappled with it when he says. 'So much time and so much expense in vellum of excellent quality went into it. it can be conceived the work of a wealthy and learned, if deranged\* person, but not a hoax' (Carter 1946, p. I ) Tiltman, one of the most faithful and thoroughgoing of the manuscript's students, expresses his confidence in its authenticity: "I do not believe the manuscript is completely meaningless, the ravings or doodlings of a psychotic. I believe it is just a hoax – it is too elaborate and consistent for either. . . , About the worst text I have seen for forgery for gain. . . , I regard this as rather improbable- -V <1951, p. 1 h

In a more recent presentation, Tiltman reiterates these judgements, refusing to accept suggestions that the manuscript contains only "meaningless doodlings". He continues\* "There is more sense to the idea that the work is highly unlikely\* especially if Captain Currier's ideas are correct " (Tiltman 1975 ; the concern? his findings of multiple "hands" in the text, for which see Section 6.8 below. ) Erwin Panofsky, of medieval and Renaissance studies, added the weight of his learning to this view: I should like

that the Voynich manuscript, whichever its place of origin, date and purpose, is certainly a perfect forgery (1954. p 3). Finally, Elizabeth Friedman, wife of William Friedman (prominent cryptologist and student of the manuscript) and a distinguished scholar and cryptologist in her own right, expresses a similar opinion

competent to judge the manuscript . . . were – and still are – agreed that it is definitely not a psychotic but is a homogeneous, creative work of a serious scholar who had something to convey " (

At least one recent researcher has spoken out in favor of an opposing view, stating that the manuscript may contain a considerable quantity of meaningless "dummy" text intended merely to fill it out. Robert Brumbaugh (1974, 1975, 1976) claims that the book was expressly and calculatedly designed by a sixteenth-century opportunist in order to fool the Emperor Rudolph into parting with the large sum of money

spend to obtain it. To this end, the text was provided with a wealth of apparently easy "keys", a ptherable material on the last page to convince Rudolph's experts that it would prove to be readable a reasonable amount of effort. Faked "evidence" was also planted on the last page, according to Br secret book closely to Roger Bacon – that exciting and mysterious possessor of impressive scientific whom John Dee had been busily raising interest to a fevered pitch at Rudolph's court.

In spite of all this, Brumbaugh shares the view that the manuscript is not totally meaningless. He underlying text . . . , and sooner or later, by collaborative work\* it will be read. There is no way it could be anything from a standard botany textbook to formulae for the Elixir of Life deriving from p. 354). Father Theodore C. Petersen, another dedicated long-term student of the manuscript who possessed a background of learning in history and philology, expresses his view thus: "There is agreement that manuscript obeys uniform rules which are constant and unchanging throughout the whole 246 extant pages of writing – indicating that the script contained an intelligible meaning for its writer" (1953. 1 )-

Newbold, Feely, and Strong, the three other principal claimants (besides Brumbaugh) to some degree deciphering the manuscript, all accepted it as a genuine and serious production either of the thirteenth century. William Friedman also, while not to my knowledge associating the manuscript with any specific person as a valid document with some content capable of being deciphered and read.

Some students of the manuscript, and others who disclaim any interest in it, have advanced the view that it has no value for science or for the study of human thought. Tiltman. in his early report to Friedman. case imagine there is anything historically or scientifically important contained in the manuscript. Friedman of his deep and long -continued interest in the problem and his firm rejection of the theory that the manuscript was meaningless or fraudulent. Elizebeth Friedman indicates that the lack of serious interest in the manuscript by scholars was, on at least one occasion, a cause of disappointment to her husband in his research: many serious-minded academics, who are apt to scoff at the idea that its solution would be of any value for learning – as did a great foundation to which Friedman once applied for a grant for the detailed study of the opinion of the board, a solution would not advance human knowledge. The manuscript probably cost the board said." ( 1962)

5

I must confess that I can see little justice in the reasoning of those academics' who dismiss the Voynich manuscript, after what can only be the most superficial attention, Even if it is, in fact, a fabrication. I have an understanding of who wrote it. its passage from one to another of Rudolph's family. In the remarkable congeries of religious and political associations at Prague in those times could provide a key to the history of thought, it is not the intrinsic importance of a work that matters so much as its place in events and meanings. If the manuscript is a compilation, however "deranged" or idiosyncratic, drawn from alchemical, or medical works, it has at least as much intrinsic interest and "scientific" import as do other similar manuscripts which are readable, and concern only one topic (i.e.. they are alchemical, or medical). Reputable scholars apparently see no want of a man studying plaintext manuscripts and may spend much of their lives so occupied

The Voynich manuscript appears to be unusual in that it combines in one book at least four different systems apparently with some attempt to integrate them into a single system. If read, it could provide a hypothesis or theory or doctrine interrelating all these disciplines, at least in the beliefs or practices of one even if the text is totally meaningless (a possibility that seems to me highly unlikely), a deciphering manner per mining an understanding of the code, cipher, or other concealment system employed should be of interest for the history of cryptography. and perhaps also for the study of alphabets and writing systems. In finding that the manuscript was a hoax or a forgery: I might also accept the presence of a large amount of text, to pad out the length of the document or to act as "cover" text within which a shorter message

however, see any justification for dismissal of the manuscript as trivial or unworthy of careful assessment of its value for human knowledge only after we have read it. or at least learned quite a lot more

### 2.2.2 Who Wrote It, and Why?

Roger Bacon (A.D. 1214/-1292/) as Author. Voynich, as we have seen above, was certain of Bacon's as the author. His reasoning, presented above (Section 2.1) need not be recapitulated here. William Reber, the decipherer of the secret book, maintained that Bacon wrote it. as a diary of novel scientific researches. Church. He intended the book, according to Newbold, for his favorite pupil John, or for some other person, providing the recipient with an oral key subsequently lost. The first chapter of the book describing Bacon presents an excellent sketch of Roger Bacon's life, writings, and thought, indicating that he had been a thirteenth-century friar and his works from 1292. pp. 1-28). J. Malcolm Bird (1921) accepts Newbold's attribution to Bacon, in favor of which he provides a lengthy justification.

At least two other objective and painstaking researchers agree that there is no conclusive evidence of the authorship of the manuscript by Bacon (whether it is in his autograph hand or represents a later copy). Manly (prominent literary scholar who later refuted Newbold's solution) expressed his opinion thus: "That the manuscript is Bacon's or even that it dates from the thirteenth century, cannot then be proved by any evidence, but there is no evidence against this tradition, and the appearance of the manuscript in 1893. Tiltman concurs with this view: "There is as yet no solid evidence that the manuscript is not a copy of a work by him" (1968, p. 13). A number of prominent Baconian scholars accepted, indeed have accepted Newbold's claim to have proven that Bacon was the author (Canon 1929; Gilson 1928). For further discussion of this question, see Chapter 7 below.

Roger Bacon Not the Author. Others are just emphatic in their rejection of Bacon either as the author or even a comrade in the manuscript. The objections of some revolve around their rejection of an early date for the manuscript, and their apparent unwillingness to consider it as a later copy of Bacon's work. They cite opinions of experts around 1300, and therefore much too late to have been a work by Bacon, or even likely to have been a work by Bacon's works that have come down to us were made in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries). Still others reject authorship not, apparently, in general, but specifically as a part of their emphatic rejection of Newbold's attribution of the manuscript to Bacon, along with such impossibly anachronistic activities as the compound microscope and telescope, and their use to observe events within a frame of reference common to Bacon's times. Erwin Panofsky has stated flatly that "The Roger Bacon theory is in my opinion at variance with all available facts and has been convincingly disproved by Mr. Manly" (i.e., in Manly's articles demolishing the theories) (1954, p. 2). Dr. Charles Singer, eminent historian of science, said in a letter to Tiltman that he came to the conclusion that all suggestion of a knowledge of the microscope [again referring to Newbold's theory]

6

was simply nonsense." Finally, V. Lynn Thorndike has, with characteristic emphasis, stated his opinion that there is not one chance in fifty that Roger Bacon had any connection with the production of the Voynich manuscript.

Anthony Askham as Author. Dr. Leonard C. Strong (whose claims to a decipherment of the manuscript are discussed in Section 5.) insisted that the author was a sixteen-century physician named Anthony Askham who had published several almanacs, astrological works, and an herbal. (Tiltman has ferreted out references to Askham in early printed books: see Askham 134Ba, 1548b, 1530, 1552, and 1553.) Strong claimed, further, to have found Askham's name on folio 93 of the manuscript. No other student has accepted this theory, and Strong's theory of the text have been emphatically rejected.

Other General Suggestions Regarding Authorship. Dr. Carter claimed to see evidence of "a copyist and



1 h He mentions duplication among the zodiac diagrams, there being in fact two leaves showing the showing the Bull, Taurus, (These diagrams are, in actuality quite different when examined carefully ' duplications" are only superficial; the pairing of diagrams for these two zodiac signs clearly known only to the author of the manuscript) J. H. Singer, in a letter to Tiltman of 12 November, 1957, states that the origin of the manuscript was somehow related to Rudolph's court and to John Dee. While he specifies the nature of the connection, one gains the impression that he may have had in mind an idea discussed above. Panofsky states the following view; "My idea always was that the manuscript was written by a quack trying to impart what he considered secret knowledge to his son or heir" (1954, p. 21).

### 2.3 Provenience and Underlying Language

England. Medieval Latin. Voynich, as we have seen, traced the manuscript to Roger Bacon, in the thirteenth century. He probably also, therefore, assumed the underlying 'plaintext' to be the medieval Latin used by Bacon in all his surviving works. Newbold (1928, p. 44) also gives the manuscript an English origin. His opinion on "the judgement of experts" not further identified, based on the parchment, ink and proposed decipherment produced a form of medieval Latin. The language which Feely (1943) claimed the manuscript was also Latin, but in a system of abbreviated forms not considered acceptable by those who unanimously rejected his readings of the text.

England. Medieval English. Leonet Strong (1945) maintained that he had deciphered the text as medieval English. We will see in Section 5.3 below, other students have rejected his theory and the plaintext he produced as medieval English and as a correct decipherment of the Voynich text.

Unspecified European, Latin. Elizebeth Friedman (1962) states that her husband, William Friedman, qualified experts that "the country of origin is definitely European; it might be England, France, Germany." She adds, further, that "the text is based upon a written language that is probably Latin, learned and scientific discourses of that period, but may be medieval English, French, Italian, or some other language." This leaves us with a discouragingly wide choice, indicating that the 'experts' could fix upon a narrow area of their search.

Italy. Hellmuth Lehmann-Haupt. Bibliographical Consultant to H. P. Kraus (owner of the manuscript since 1969), suggested in a letter to John Tiltman dated 11 November, 1963 that Italy was a likely country of origin. "While both palaeographically and historically speaking, Italy is as likely a place of origin as anywhere there is no evidence that the manuscript must have been made in Venice, or elsewhere in Northern Italy. If it comes from Central or Southern Italy the soil is open, and this could very well mean exposure to the sun so that Arabic should be considered as a candidate for the underlying language. Robert Steele suggests on the last page may be "perhaps in a North Italian hand" (1928b, p. 564). Brumbaugh draws evidence from some of the drawings for his theory of a relatively late date and a European provenience. Thus, in the circular diagrams, he says "Sagittarius wears a fifteenth-century Florentine archer's hat in his retouched over the month name)" (1975, p. 349).

Germany or Eastern Europe. Charles Singer, in a letter to Tiltman dated 12 November, 1957, states the manuscript is "of Germanic origin", and "connected with John Dee and that sort of movement." He gives his statement of this view in another letter to Dr. G. M. J. Flemming, undated but obviously written after 1957. "The judgement that I formed upon the manuscript was that it was of the sixteenth century, of Southern Europe possibly related to Prague and John Dee." Singer also suggests that Czech, Polish, or some other European language should be considered to underlie the text. Fortunately for students of the manuscripts, with

I

sufficient! v burdensome, he considers Magyar "highly unlikely."

Doth Singer (in the letter to Flemming) and Panofsky (1954. p. 2), mention a reading of some scatt Last page as High German; this reading was proposed, apparently in a private communication, by Ric Kenyon College. Dr. Salomon suggests that a portion of the text in a mixture of scripts should be o ', representing a medieval prescription meaning "(If such and such a condition prevails), then t This "'prescription", which breaks off in mid -sentence, Salomon sees as continuous with the prece suggests an interpretation in German also for the brief words found on folio 66t. near a figure of if sick or dead, and surrounded by several ambiguous objects. He reads the text as "der muistei]", endowment of a widow with household goods on her husband s death.

## 2.4 Date of Origin

Thirteenth or Fourteenth Centurv. Voynich (1921, p 415) assigned the manuscript to the latter half century, as we have seen above. New bold stated thar in the judgement of experts." a study of parc drawings placed the manuscript in die thirteenth century. (1928. p. 44). Petersen says, "I agree w juxtaposition of a herbal with (he kind of astrological tables found here indicates a fairly early thirteenth centurv manuscripts of St. Hildegardt of Bingen show drawings illustrating the influenc and elementary celestial forces upon the vegetative and animate life of the earth. The fourteenth 1906 has somewhat similar astronomical drawings' (1955, p 2). Steele provides the following intere the benefit of his expen knowledge and personal familiarity with medieval manuscripts (and in part Bacon): 'The usual methods of dating a manuscript (mil us. the writing cannot be placed, the vellu thirteenth century, but not impossible, the ink is good. Only the drawings remain, and owing to th style the difficulty of dating is but increased. It is strange that the draftsman should have so c or Renaissance influence" (1928b, p. 565).

Fifteenth Century. Hugh O'Neill, a prominent American botanist, published an identification of cer New World species: "The most startling identification. . was folio 93. which is quite plainly the Helianthus Annuus L. Six botanists have agreed with me on this deter nu nation. This immediately r when the seeds of this plant were brought to Europe for the first time (by Columbus on his return Again folio 10 Iv shows a drawing which does not resemble any native European fruit, but suggests genus strictly American in origin, known in Europe onlv after the above date. . , . It seems neces manuscript as having been wrinen after 1493" (1944. p. 126) Other scholars, however, completely re .identification of the sunflower and p ep p er plant, and ire as emphatic in their claim that none manuscript are of New World origin. Helmut Lehmann- Haupt (bibliographical consultant to H. P. Kra to Tiltman dated 1 November. 1963, that "there is a near agreement on the date of the CIPHER manus little after, the vear 140G."

Sixteenth Century. Panofsky adds his voice to these suggesting a late date for the origin of the m not for the sunflower [as identified by O'Neill] . . .I should have thought that it was executed a However, since the style of the drawings is fairly provincial, a somewhat later date, even the fir century, would not seem to be excluded. I should not go lower than ea. 151 0-1320 because no influ Renaissance style is evident. The above date is based on the character of the script, the style of as are in evidence on certain pages, for example folio 72 recto | probably referring to the costum representations]." (1954. p. 1). Eliaebeth Friedman states the consensus of expert opinion at the "Paleographic experts agree that the nature of the drawings, the writing, the ink and vellum, etc. manuscript is certainly of later origin than the thirteenth century. The female figures, for examp characteristic of that period but are of a later, rotund, period. Some experts suggest that the pr wrinen was 1500, plus or minus twenty years" (1962),

A. H. Carter reports the similar judgement of Miss Nil! (a friend of Mrs. Voynich who accompanied examined the manuscript in (1946): "The style of the drawings, especially the conventions of the 1

women, suggest to Miss Nili, quite properly, that the manuscript is far later than the thirteenth. There is nothing Gothic' or angular about them. They are fac and rotund and suggest in their style

8

realism of a later period. The coloring of the illustrations may well support a later date than the

P-»-

Among those agreeing on a sixteenth century date for the manuscript is Dr. Charles Singer, who in 1957 (12 November, 1957), "The date of the manuscript would\* in my opinion\* be somewhere in the 1320 or perhaps a little later. . . We have already seen that he connects the origin of the manuscript with Prague. Leonell Strong makes an interesting suggestion, that "The format and use of certain peculiarities of the Italian d or di and eh respectively) are evidences that the author was probably familiar with Leonardo da Vinci's 'Anatomy' (written about 1510)" (1945. p. 608)\* Strong's identification of the author of the manuscript also leads him to place it in the sixteenth century, since Askham is known from 1525 on.

Robert Brumbaugh presents perhaps the most detailed and specific evidence for a sixteenth century

plain to me from the outset that this is not a thirteenth century manuscript, and I doubted whether experts ever had accepted it as an autograph. Detail after detail pointed to a date later than 1500. \* , .Sagittarius wears a fifteenth century Florentine archer's hat in his medallion (the month name). A clock, tucked away in folio 85 l has a short hour and long minute hand\* a style not of the fifteenth century. . . In short, this manuscript is at earliest a compilation of about 1500" (1975) points Brumbaugh employs to bolster his argument depend upon his own decipherment and associated symbols of the symbols with numerals\* etc.; I have omitted these, retaining only his more objectively based discussion of the "clock", see 33\*6.)

Finally, Jeffrey Krischer obtained, in the course of his research, the opinions of a number of experts concerning the date and provenience of the manuscript (see Section 6.7). He reports their judgements

Professor G. I. C. W. (professor of medieval history, Harvard University), in looking over the manuscript, suggested that it might be a form of private language, a powerful document from the general public. Science in this period represented: power and if one in the plan and the offices and astrological phenomena, then the line of reasoning is quite acceptable. The date in the sixteenth century by Mr. Rodney Deimti (curator of manuscripts in Houghton Library of the Harvard University) identified the script to be in the title of the sixteenth century manuscript. Another dating of the manuscript by Laddert Dr. Ludden determined the date as being in the period 1475 to 1550. His method of dating is based on the drawings; the features of the nude figures; the identification of the botanical drawings/ | Kmdier J 9

In consideration of this review of many pronouncements made by scholars and experts, I have made a summary of their opinions. It is crude\* but it may aid the reader in bringing some order out of the judgements that have accumulated over the years during which the mysterious manuscript has been studied. As shown below, I have arbitrarily assigned a score of "2" to such statements as "in the judgement of consensus of opinion", and a score of "1" to the opinion of a single writer, without attempting to give detail\*

dates score

1250-1399 5

1400-1350 12

To my mind, this summary of expert opinion does, in fact, lend considerable weight to a relatively manuscript.

9

## Chapter 3

### Avenues of Attack: The Drawings

#### 3. 1 Relationship of the Drawings to the Text

It has been suggested by some students\* baffled and exasperated by repeated, futile attempts to make pictures as a way of cribbing into the text\* that there may be no necessary connection between the on any given page. The pictures, some have proposed, may be a "blind", introduced to mislead the viewer further conceal some dangerous secrets of a totally different character. Most serious students of the certain, however, that text and pictures were drawn together and form a related whole. Eixenbech For example. "There can be no question that the same scribe wrote the text and made the drawings, as a would readily agree" (1962).

Dr. A. H. Carter concurs in the above opinion: "Because the same ink and the same kind of penstroke illustrations and because the text forms an integral and unified part of many of the illustrations, same person wrote the text and drew the illustrations" (1946. p. 1). Tikman feels that we have a belongs to the illustrations\* "in the complete absence of evidence to the contrary" (1968. p. 10). I have studied the manuscript with care\* the text seems to be intricately interwoven in and around the to have rendered a close collaboration necessary between scribe and draftsman if they were, in fact cases, text strings are written on parts of pictures (for instance, as labels on the objects called students in folios 99r and 102v2, and in the segments\* and cells of the intricate diagrams on folios astrological and cosmological drawings.

#### 3.2 Nature and Characteristics of the Drawings

The impression made upon the modern viewer first coming upon a photocopy of the manuscript (it has been most frequently met the eye of students), is one of extreme oddity, quaintness, and foreignness – unearthliness. To the reader who has seen pictures of more typical illuminated medieval manuscript different indeed from what he expects to find in such a book. For me, at least, after working with for some weeks\* the initial impression of ' "queerness" lost its prominence and gave way to other, which may be summed up as follows:

Homogeneity of Style. The drawings and text of the entire manuscript seem to me to form a consistent of one school or group of closely related persons if not of a single person.

Craftsmanship and Pragmatism. The scribe (or scribes) seems not to have been motivated by design or more than by what we, today, would consider realism. Many of the plant folios and some cosmological (1 Iv, 16v, 33v\* 41v\* 49r, 68v2, 67 r 1 , 67r2, and 65v1) present a stalwart, bold feeling of composition architectonic in its quality, and (to me) quite pleasing. The impression which I receive is emphatic rather than art.

Structural Regularity. I gain a persistent impression of the presence of rules and relationships, own "logic"\* however erratic and bizarre it might appear when compared to prescm\*dav concepts. The forms in the script and its matter -of- fact, rather austere style all confirm this impression of construction in my mind. As I will try to show below, there appears to be a similar quality in the conventionalized forms are used almost as symbols and combined to build up more complex symbolic s this quality of const ructed ness," there is a persistent tectonic element of style in the drawing dimensional forms\* symmetry, and connectedness of parts.

Idiosyncratic, Individual Quality. As has been noted by others, the manuscript seems to stand tota even remotely comparable documents. No one. to mv knowledge, has so far discovered anvthmg else at the viewer as a very strong and definite statement\* completely independent of any known si vie or deliberate, designed production of an individual or a small group working alone. (This apparent is due simply to our failure to discover the other documents or philosophies related to it, but it se such parallels would have been recognized by the many eminent medieval and Renaissance scholars wh

11

manuscript\*. In Section 3-2-3 I will discuss some other manuscripts that have been mentioned as po Voynich manuscript.

The above ire my own impressions of the visual qualities of the manuscript; we will see bdow how s have reacted to it,

### 3\*2\* / Provenience and Style

Voynich communicates his impression of the contrast between this manuscript and the other, more tv manuscripts wuh which it was found: "It was such an ugly duckling compared with the other manuscri decora Dons in gold and colon, that my interest was aroused at once " (1921. p. 413 k Dr Carter pr description of the man user: pi, with considerable emphasis on the draftsmanship, pigments, and sr as follows: "The illustrations arc done with great care, not with attention to providing a pleasin attention to accuracy of detail. They arc, as Mns Mill pointed out. the kind of drawings that a sc himsd. not illustrations designed to enhance the beauty of the book" f 1 946, p. Ik

Students disagree to some extent on the quality of the drawings as accurate portrayals of their ap There is also considerable disagreement in ot surprisingly) about their esthetic quality. To some, they seem clumsy, inept, and childish. An anonymous author in Scientific American takes a critical "These pictures are crudely drawn in by a person who obviously was somewhat lacking in artistic ab thirteenth -century scribe" (1921, p, 432)\* Again, the same author expresses a similar opinion a f was not a great success as an artist, his efforts sometimes remind us of the crude outlines we pro draftsman what we want and how we want it" (p 439). Charles Singer, in his letter to John Tilunan. expresses a similar contempt for the represen cation\* I and artistic quality of the plant pictures botanical at all but of the kind one makes when doodling or the children make of plants."

As will also be noted in the discussion of the script bdow (4.1,1), while many students have brief the drawings as a factor in their judgements concerning the date and provenience of the manuscript any real faro to back up their remarks beyond a vague reference to "experts" not further identifie Steele remarks, it is strange chat the draftsman should have so completely escaped all medieval an (1928b, p, 563). Carter { 1946) refers to the "rotundity" of the human figures and the lack of "Go for a date later than the thirteenth or fourteenth centuries. Panofsky (1934. p, 1 ) assesses the

provincial" : he also states that there is no evidence of influence from the Italian Renaissance since no one has made or documented a really careful and systematic attempt to contrast and compare the stylized manuscript drawings to other manuscripts of various origins and dates such as could answer some of

### 3.2\*2 Pigments and Inks .

Dr. Carter provides a detailed description of the pigments. This deserves to be quoted in full, in its length, since few students ever get to see the manuscript in any other form except black and white

Some of the colors appear to be colored ink or water color, some a kind of earth tone. and some an opaque There are many colors, the ink is p and uroil brown, there is an amber. like ink. like Bfimb-tan leaf blue ink or water color: an opaque aquamarine, a food strong red. carmine rather thin scarlet or very browns of the sunflower illustration are like those , only a little faded, of the Van Gogh sunflower red that looks like a bloodstain about a week old: a dirty green: an opaque green, a kind of green huts. intuitively. value, and feature, a red that looks like (set rouge in color and texture; a thick scrape with wet finger nail; a red ink just like ordinary red ink today, a blue that looks like any

Some of the colors are flowed on as with a brush: so we have left pigment-bordered contours at where Some may have been blotted (with doth?! Some were applied with strokes of the quill, and some were blotted with a blunt quill which had become furry on the end or a wooden stylus does after repeated use." | Gar

### 3.2\*3 Relationships to Some Other Illustrated Manuscripts .

My sources have disappointingly little to say on this topic. One gains the impression, whether just from the quality of the pictures and the difficulty of identifying with any certainty what they portray, has been familiar with more conventional medieval manuscripts to throw up their hands in disgust after the "herbal" pictures of complete plants and the astrological diagrams associated with recognizable zodiac

12

the most immediate promise for comparisons to other herbal or astrological drawings. Panofsky ( 1936) has the problem as follows: "Manuscripts in plain Language remote from the Voynich manuscript fall into at least four kinds: first, herbals: second, cosmological and astrological treatises; third, medical treatises; the term, fourth, possibly, treatises on alchemy," He suggests that the mystical drawings of a thirteenth-century Opicmus de Canistris. may be worth examining as comparable astrological and cosmological works. Farnham ( p. 2). mentions the visionary writings and drawings of St. Hildegard of Bingen as possibly comparable to the fourteenth-century Vatican manuscript 1906 as similar to some of the astronomical drawings,

Tihman states his considered opinion: "To the best of my knowledge no one has been able to find an example of such a manuscript or early printed book. This is all the stranger because the range of illustration on the subject of the plant world from the early Middle Ages right through into the seventeenth centuries is very limited indeed" ( 1968. p. 111). Elizebeth Friedman expresses her own views when she states flatly, "So far as is known, there is no , . . key or crib," ( 1962) (For those used by cryptanalysts, a "crib " is a parallel or comparable text in a known language that can be used to decipher an unknown text as the three parallel inscriptions in different scripts on the Rosetta Stone were employed to decipher Egyptian hieroglyphs. A crib can also take the form of a guess as to the subject matter, or individual words found at certain places in an unknown text, 1

Opicmus de Canistris (ca. 1296-ca 1336). R Salomon ( 1936) describes the visionary and mystical drawings

monk and shows extensive illustrations of them. Born in Pavia, Italy. Opicinus had a difficult and injured his head as a child, a mishap which may have had a central part in the later episode of illness recorded in the remarkable book of drawings studied by Salomon. The draftsmanship is very delicate artistic quality totally different from that of the Voynich manuscript. The designs are extremely many concentric circles, intersecting arcs and lines, and bands densely packed with tiny sets of numbers show careful hand-drawn human figures with well-drafted maps of the world and other, smaller human figures or interlocking with their outlines.

Maps and architectural plans are a prominent feature of Opicinus' productions, as are Biblical symbols standing for the Four Gospels, and the signs of the zodiac. One drawing shows his entire autobiography for the year 1335 or 1336 (when he drew the pictures), all packed onto one page. They are all closely text, in very tiny, near letters: the text is primarily about Opicinus himself (his feelings, his sins, events in his life, etc.) represented in symbolic ways interwoven with religious symbolism and quotations from patristic writings. The only real similarity to the Voynich manuscript drawings is the encyclopedic many disparate elements symbolically within a structural and semantic unit. The appearance and style of his productions are totally at variance with these of our manuscript: Opicinus was a trained artist and produced an earlier book of beautiful architectural drawings of his native town. Pavia, as well as religious Tracts.

St. Hildegard de Bingen (c. A.D. 1098-1179). St. Hildegard, abbess of a convent in Germany, was given prophetic and mystical vision. She produced several books describing and illustrating these visions, causes and cures of disease. Her drawings appear considerably more like those in our manuscript on relatively provincial and crude." and have none of the delicacy and professional quality of Opicinus. Hildegard's drawings have some of the same symbolic, "constructed" quality as those in the Voynich manuscript. They show rather different elements of content, however: animal heads and recognizable figures of Christ, for example. Some of the drawings appear to have bands of rays, clouds, or flames similar to those on the Voynich folios.

There is little or no text or labelling within any of the illustrations I have seen of Hildegard's drawings explicated in connected text elsewhere in the books. Their symbolism, as explained there, is entirely symbolic. The sun disk ball of flame represents Christ's burning love: three smaller stars above it are the Three people preaching the Gospel or using words to do the work of the devil, etc.). The designs have an abstract quality similar to many Voynich pictures, and some have similar arrangements of small colored bands around a circle. It is amusing to note, after all the pontifical pronouncements of experts about the rotund Gothic style in the Voynich manuscript, that Hildegard's twelfth-century human figures are well-plump, and lively. (For a good discussion of Hildegard's works and reproductions of many drawings see 1-58.)

13

In spite of all the above points regarding general similarities, I cannot see any real close kinship between these and those of the Voynich manuscript. The main import of the comparison with Opicinus and Hildegard is to demonstrate that such individualized, encyclopedic\* symbolic works were by no means uncommon in the thirteenth-century astrological manuscript (Vatican 1906) referred to by Petersen is not really very similar to the Voynich manuscript. A recent study of the numerous illustrations of this and other similar manuscripts (in Sax 1915) shows parallels to the cosmological or astrological diagrams in our manuscript. Most such medieval astrological human figures\* figures of animals, and other clearly recognizable graphic elements which are much more abstract style of the Voynich drawings.

At the risk of boring some readers, I will go into the appearance of the drawings in some detail in paragraphs: for various reasons, it is not possible to reproduce many of these folios for inclusion. Description must suffice to convey some idea of their content to the reader who cannot obtain access to the manuscript. None of the sources I have studied has accorded much attention to most of these drawings. Content in any way, excepting for a few passing mentions of details on this or that folio which so useful or suggestive in connection with a particular theory of his own. Therefore, I hope the reader will go through the following somewhat lengthy discussion of individual drawings, and my attempt to come to specific content and detail. Figure 4 provides an overview and classification of the folios according to matter.

### 33\* 1 Herbal Drawings.

At first glance\* the numerous illustrations of whole plants, usually accompanied by one or more parts, to offer the best hope of a successful attack on the enigma. Other students have bent their effort relating some, at least, of these drawings to known plants or to illustrations in other herbals, which were described as disappointingly vague and ambiguous. Elizabeth Friedman summarizes the most substantial attempts as follows: 'Although a well-known American botanist, Dr. Hugh O'Neill, believes that the American plants in (the illustrations, no other scholar has corroborated this, all agreeing that none are indigenous to America. Sixteen plants, however, have been indisputably identified as European by T. Holm. The remainder are composite: i.e., the root system belongs to one plant, the stem system to another, and the flowers to still others. A few show imaginary root or flower structures' (1962). Unfortunately\* since it appeared in a newspaper, there was no citation of the reference to Holm's substantial discoveries; to turn up a published source for this information. Petersen appears to have obtained a detailed list from some source, and noted many of them on his transcript. In spite of Mrs. Friedman's emphatic acceptance of Holm's findings\* later writers such as Tiltman (1968, 1975) do not seem to accept them as any more than O'Neill's.

Many scholars seem to question O'Neill's dramatic identification of the sunflower plant on folio 93. There are good reasons\* also\* for questioning his "capsicum" or pepper-plant identification; the picture among the small\* sketchy drawings arranged in rows near to a pharmaceutical jar"\* possibly represents a herbal mixture. (For a discussion of these "pharmaceutical" drawings\* see Section 3-3-2 below.) The pepper fruits could as easily be leaves, drawn according to the curious, blocky convention habitual in the manuscript, to be discussed further below. This impression is supported by the fact that they are red. The "pepper" identification was exploited by Brumbaugh in his decipherment; he suggests that "pepper" green rather than red was a matter of deliberate concealment (1974\* p. 546). Many students identifying the plant pictures; they are probably the most closely-studied drawings in the manuscript. The identifications compiled by Petersen in his hand transcript includes identifications he attributes to O'Neill\* and Holm (Petersen 1966)\*

At this point\* I would like to pursue a brief digression concerning the idiosyncrasies of style in the drawings shown in the herbal folios. For what they are worth. I will present my own subjective, and admit the hope that they may stimulate others to examine these drawings more closely and reach their own conclusions. The parts frequently have a curious blocky, chunky, rough-hewn look\* with platform-like structures su-



had been molded out of plastic: see, for example, the root crowns in folios 44v, 45r, 45v, 37v, 2 and many others too numerous to list. They seem to be provided with one or several circular platform inverted cones with flat, disk-like tops, from which the stems protrude, often encircled by a nag their point of emergence (see figures 5-7 for some typical details from these drawings).

An analogous structural peculiarity may be seen in the leaves of folios 15r, 8Sr, 100r, 10iv2 (some 'pharmaceutical' rather than 'herbal' drawings): they seem to end in similar platform - and -gask root structures of folios 3v, 22v, 45r, 45v, 54v, 65r. and others, rubers are shown strung along block v arrangement, like sea ionic pipe finned together. In folio 53r, they even seem rectangular blocks (figures 5~7 show some examples of these forms). I cannot guess at the significance which massive element of style, but an understanding of it may well be important in interpreting the drawings. The same stylized convention is apparent in the "pipes," "tubes," and cloudlike structures in the human figures (folios 75r and following), also, discussed more fully in 3.3.5 below,

A somewhat similar blocky, rough appearance is seen in some herbal drawings in other manuscripts, copied over and over again from some much earlier source by successive scribes. This is the case, Anglo-Saxon medical manuscripts based on the drawings of Dioscorides. Illustrations I have seen of herbal attributed to Arnaldus of Villanova, entitled "Tractatus de Virtutibus Herbarum". have the same of the Voynich manuscript folios fcf also (Tiltman 1968, figure 6). If, as this would imply, our copies are removed from some earlier source, we should still be able to recognize them by their the page and their structure (number of stems, fruits or flowers, rough shape of leaves and roots. Tiltman pointed out (1968, p. II), the different sets of illustrations for early herbals were related pictures were used again and again over many centuries by successive compilers,

I think, rather, that this angular quality is a feature of the scribe's personal style, and may even significance. It is executed quite boldly and uncompromisingly, and does not seem to be an unintentional or clumsiness: the scribe definitely intended the plant parts to appear as he showed them. I offer draftsman of these pictures was more accustomed to, and interested in, making mechanical or structural illustrating natural objects.

Another point should be raised here\* concerning the presence of animals and human faces attached to the roots of some plants: for animals, see folios 25v, 49r; for faces, see 33r, 55v, 89r. Some roots appearance of animal or human bodies, with the main plant stem emerging where the neck would be: see 89v (lions,\*), and 46v (a bird with spread wings: an eagle/). Some roots resemble the foot or feet and toes (e.g., 89r). There are known parallels to this practice in a number of early herbals. For supposed to provide an antidote to or protection from the bite of some venomous creature, the animal near the plant, almost as a mnemonic device to emphasize the association. The Voynich manuscript for similar purpose, except that in many cases the animal seems to be eating, hanging from, or burrowing happily to be a target for its ill effects. Perhaps the intent is horticultural, implying that the found with the plant, and feeds on it. Alternatively, and most probably (to my mind), the meaning common in alchemical manuscripts. (For examples of animal forms, see figures 8 and 9. ]

The faces attached to some plant roots (see 33r, 89r ). and the suggestions of eyes, horns, snout (see 38r, 28r, and figure 9 for examples), are considerably harder to explain. Tiltman (1968) cite barnacle goose and the mandrake, well known to all students of early herbals. Some such personification mingling of plant and animal life into one form, may be involved in the Voynich manuscript. The plant engender or nourish an animal, or to possess some animal or human qualities like those imputed to case, I would like to suggest that these two signal oddities – the curious sculptural modelling of animal and human forms among plants parts – should receive more systematic study in comparison in known herbal and alchemical manuscripts (an interesting parallel in an alchemical manuscript of the 16th century will be noted in Section 8.8 below).

Another curious structural feature of many plant folios is the rigidly and mechanically symmetrical stems and leaves. For example, the stems rising from the root crowns in folios 5r, 22r, 35 v, 4Gr. arrangement of the main roots in folios 2r, Hr. 1 lv, 14r. 14v, 22v, 45 v. (and others) all exhibit crossing one another or twining together in a curious knot-like manner (see figures 5 and 7). Leaf a rhythmically symmetrical pattern, for example in folios 3r, 13v, 22v. 29r. 41r, etc., which seem

Id

mechanical, in harmony with the architectonic quality exhibited elsewhere. This quality is present in the plants that grow from these strange molded -plastic plants; the flower on folio 00 v 1, for example, metal spikes, rigidly fixed together; flowers in folios 3v. 6r. 56v. 90r2. and 00r look like the heads of nails, (Again, some striking parallels will be mentioned in the alchemical manuscript discussed in Section 3.3-2).

### 3.3-2 Pharmaceutical Drawings.

The pages in this section of the manuscript show rows of small, sketchy plants or plant parts, which structure – roots or leaves – at the expense of the remainder. They are so abbreviated as to appear shorthand symbols referring to plants already illustrated more fully in other folios, or to plants described by scribe and his colleagues. A determined effort by several students to relate these sketches to the text was very successful, however.

The other salient feature of these pages is the presence of objects that have been said to resemble drug containers. On some folios (e.g., 99r and 102 v 21 the jars are 'labelled' with phrases or words unfortunately almost illegible in the photocopy at my disposal because the pigment filling the bowl tends to obscure the writing. In other cases, a 'label' seems to appear near the jar which probably indicates the recipe it stands for. A similar 'label' appears near each small plant sketch in the rows; it is likely that one of several neighboring plants is meant by each 'label'. One or more paragraphs of text are present between the pictures. The jar is usually at the left margin of each such row, immediately suggesting that the contents are used to make up the compound prescription symbolized by that jar. The design of the jars is very varied, many having cylindrical sections decorated by geometric designs, fancy handles around the middle, and elaborate handles or handles on the top (some of the latter resembling, to the irreverent modern eye, an automobile hood ornament see figure 15. The ornamentation and the 'pipe-section' structure is similar to that of the cans + from which some figures emerge on astrological folios (see below, 33-31 and to some of the structures in the folios featuring human figures (see 33-5 J).

### 33 Astrological and Astronomical Drawings,

Prominent among the drawings are a series of circular designs apparently clearly related to the months, each provided with a central medallion showing a zodiac symbol. A recognizable, if oddly-spelled, month is written in what most students agree is a different and later hand than that of the Voynich script. These month names. The page for January and February (Aquarius and Capricorn) is missing, having been found by Voynich. The student's first hope of finding anywhere through the known months or zodiac signs is soon disappointed, since there is apparently little connection in the diagrams associated with conventional astrological diagrams and horoscopes.

Most of the diagrams have approximately thirty female figures shown around the periphery in one, though some of the figures are free-standing, while others appear to emerge from vertical or horizontal bands, some of which are decorated with a variety of heraldic-looking devices. Some of the figures are nude or fully clothed; the clothing visible on some of the figures includes veils, hats, crowns, and elaborate headgear, which should be traceable to a particular place and time with a little research. A few

Petersen on his hand transcripts, may well be male rather than female. A careful study of the appa distinctive designs on their "cans" mav provide a due to identification of the beings, or permit c on different diagrams. Some of the 'cans" have crencllarions like castle battlements. Figure 1 1 s numbers of figures on the different rows in each diagram; these arrangements mav correspond to som days of the month important for medical practice; for example, the "Egyptian days" or "critical da

The months of April and May with zodiac signs Aries and Taurus, stand out in contrast to the rest two circular medallions (folios 70vl. 7 lr. 7Lv. and 72r 1 ). and each has only fifteen figures, a same month were intended somehow to complement each other, an idea supported bv the fact that the colored in one case and dark-colored in the other. An amusing matter for iperial note is the fact is enjovmg a meal. Aries is dining with evident relish on the leaves of a small shrub, and Taurus equal determination to the conrents of a son of manger or feed box carefully and realistically pla details, in my view, support a horticultural, medical, or agricultural context rather than a magic

16

this can be anlv an impression. Ac anv rate. I find it a pleasing indication of the sen be s praem approach 10 bis subject matter, whatever its meaning mav one dav prove to be

A number of other drawings in which the sun, moon, and stars are prominent! v featured mav be prov astronomical. J will attempt to present, in the following paragraphs, a sketch of the principal st these, since it is impossible to reproduce most of them in this paper. Figure 12 provides a summar elements in these diagrams along with the 'cosmological\*' diagrams to be discussed in the next sec

Folio 61r1 shows a central face, probably representing the moon, surrounded by a rwdve-poimed star rav is decorated with stars, the other filled in with solid pigment. I n the contnuationof the pai raw single words or phrases in the Vovaith script alternate with groups of one or two small stars. text surround the whole, with a decorative marker indicating what mav be a starting position Folio somewhat similar plan, showing a widely -smiling sun face in the center of a system of seventeen d phrases of text alternate with groups of from one to four small stars. A single outer ring of text separators.

Folio 61t2 is a complex circular design based on twelve-major divisions. In its center is an eight bv a ring of eight words. A dashed line indicates a starting point (. 'I, Twelve moon faces, all fa next ring outside the central area; each is accompanied bv a text string. Twelve pie-shaped segmen from each of the twelve moon faces. Seven of these contain additional words, and all contain parag segment contains a phrase, apparently written in darker or heavier fashion, in its outer extremity three hres, (of which the middle one appears to be in heavier ink), is seen beneath the circular d

Folio 65r1 shows a roughly circular field of stars, with words or phrases in the Vovmch script wri rop is a larger circular medallion with a sun face, surrounded by a ring of text: a similar, balan face, also surrounded bv text, appears at the bottom There are at least twenty -eight stars with l cut off in the photocopy). Some of the stars also seem Larger or differently-colored than others, some significance in the doctrine of the scribe. Folio 68r2 appears to show a related or companion circular field of stars; in this case, however, only the twentv-four stats in a central cluster ar bottom, the moon face at the top of the star field in this diagram. Attempts to cross-match the ri moon, or the labels of individual stars on the two folios have so far been fruitless. Folio 68v 1 sun. with a diadem or headband, surrounded bv small flames or ravv. A set of sixteen large double central face, one side dark and the other filled with small stars. This seems similar in form to f to it in the sun-moon pairing that seems to form a basic theme in the cosmological or alchemical d

manuscript. The continuations of the thirty-two separate segments containing the rays contain alternate fields of small stars. Two outer rings of text surround the whole, with starting positions indicated.

Folio 65v2 shows an eight-pointed, sun-like center surrounded by eight petal-shaped rays; beyond separated by four centrifugal lines of text. There is a further subdivision into eight segments, so centrifugal text lines emerging from the points of the central 'petals.' Four fields of small star segments. A single text ring surrounds the whole, its starting point shown by a vertical line.

Finally, folio 68r3 displays a moon face within a system of eight major pie-shaped radiating segments alternating fields of small stars and centrifugal lines of text, separated by further subsidiary lines that of 68v2 just described. A single ring of text surrounds the periphery, in which no starting

It should be apparent that there is a systematic content of some sort in these diagrams. It may be night and day, times or events governed by different phases of stars, or effects of the sun and moon, seasons, ages of man, winds, directions, etc. (to name some of the entities that are grouped by 'figures and medicine') A group of seven small stars together in one segment of 68r3 has been noted also by others to represent the Pleiades. Surely a careful and determined analysis of this wealth of structured content and study of medieval doctrines should turn up something of use to us in interpreting the meaning of these

### 5.3.4 Cosmological or Meteorological Drawings.

There remain many diagrams based on a fundamentally circular plan which show radiating segments, petals, elements, cloud and vapor dusters, and a central star-like or sun-like medallion. Text words and numbers are written along many of the cells and rays, and in concentric circular bands around them, with starting points in some cases, by vertical lines or decorative markers. Figure 12 shows a survey of the numbers of medallions in the astronomical diagrams, it seems likely that a systematic attempt to correlate numbers of medallions

IT

1

interesting parallels among known medieval cosmological systems. Number in itself had a magical significance in medieval and Renaissance philosophy, probably originating in Pythagorean doctrines. Medieval magic includes elaborate parallel tables of "correspondences" comprising lists of like-numbered things such as colors, numbers, three, four, up to eights and twelves. In the Pythagorean philosophy of sacred or magical numbers, seven, nine, and twelve were considered especially important. Figure 14 shows some sets of elements from Agrippa (1531); figure 35 shows elements important in the Cabala (see Section 8.7), and figure 34 lists of elements from Galenic medicine.

One very curious, and also (to my eye) very attractive diagram on folios 85-86r2 (a portion of the multiply folded page) shows a central sun face surrounded by four major segments. A line of text with arrows indicating a starting place runs around the central sun. This is in turn surrounded by a sort of scroll. In the four human figures may be seen; these figures seem clearly to represent a child, a boy, a man, and an old man. Over his cane. Over the head of each figure is a copious paragraph of text. The four main segments contain spouts of vapor that emerge beyond an outer circular border containing a ring of text, and recurve back to the left of their point of emergence. This drawing seems likely to be related to the four humors, the four humors, etc., as shown in figure 34; it appears that these associations might provide

text within its four sections-

The general plan of the "four ages" diagram just described is highly reminiscent of a figure from medical rainiiscrinc (Caius College\* Cambridge\* MS. 428\* fo\* 50\* Grattan 1952\* p. 941. The Anglo-S four human figures holding jars from which four spouts fall toward the center of the circular meda four mam segments. A small central arde shows another human figure receiving the effects of these rmg of text in very dumsy and illiterate Latin\* illegible tn the illustration. An outer ring of te contains another Laboriously copied Latin sentence\* Quartuor humores bishina partes liquores effun sic michrochosmi/' On either side of the four large figures are more Latin words\* some illegible\* humors\* properties, and elemenp Tcolera rubta\* " "caUdiii," "sicca\*" "'sanguis\*" "ealidm\*" "humidu "humida;' V'terra\*" "frigida\*" "sicca")\* Figures of this sort are very common in medieval astrolog manuscripts, and refer to the central doctrine of the 'microcosm'' or "small world" of the human b recapitulate in numarurc the elements and relations of the larger universe or " macrocosm." The us diagrams shows i human figure with lines conneuing its parts with ocher words or pictures supposed affecting them in the stars\* weather\* etc. (cfSaxl 1915 and 1927; &ober 19481\*

Another very remarkable diagram on folio 67 v 2 seems to stand in a class mil bv itself\* unlike an manuscripts, It suggests a meteorological theme, based on four major divisions that may be the sea rush in from the four corners, half- concealing for. perhaps\* giving birth to or supporting.') two (Newbold interpreted one or more of these features as a "solar eclipse ") A dotted line extends in sun on the upper left perhaps indicating the starting point of the chronology or story\* A sun wirh occupies the center\* More vapor puffs squirt out centrifugal! v between the four outer ones\* and L bands leading to both sets\* Strangest of ail\* the four outer corners are occupied by roughly circu balloon-shaped objects strung along pipes or bands to form simple\* angular, geometric figures lan these forms\* m the lower left corner of the page, shows four balloon-faces in a U-Uke arrangement superimposed on a arde with three segments colored blue, green, and red; as we will see below\* thi occurs elsewhere in the manuscript, and may represent a conventionalized map of the inhabited worl interpretation that suggests itself for these geometric figures is that of cruual conjunctions of figures, associated with the four seasons\* directions\* winds\* ages of man. or other important even doctrine beinp expounded in this enigmatic work. The stringing of circles or dots (although not fa geometrical arrangements is seen in Picamx (Ritter and Plessncr 19621\* where the intent is to show constellations to be employed as magical characters I see 8.4). Somewhat similar characters made u on lines are seen in alchemical manuscripts as well as m some magical alphabets (see 8.8 and 9\*4. Another unique diagram\* folio 57v. shows five concentric circles of text with a fiindv -indicated the upper left. In the center are four human figures\* shown from the waist up; four bands of text the figures from a central scalloped medallion, and four more text lines are disposed between che their raised hands seem to point at. grasp, or support these. The structure of eight bands of text similar to chat of many other diagrams in che manuscript. This, too, is the diagram chat contains

enigmatic svmbol\* repealed four times around the second of its concentric text rings. It is one of repeating lists anywhere in the text, and has been subjected to much attention bv students as a po Folio 68v3 is the drawing referred to bv Newbold as a spiral nebula/' A central circle is divided through the center; the upper half ts again bisected by a line from top to center This plan resemb figure in the center of folio 85-86v3 (for which see below). A word or phrase is written in each o longer paragraph in the lower semicircle. A ring of text surrounds this figure, with a starting po major outer segments are separated bv gracefully-curving bands of text, within these are watery or fields containing curving rows of stars on the same spiral plan. From the top center of each waw o text bands spiral ourward. in the same plan of two sets of four elements we have seen so frequentl

outer ring of text surrounds the whole, its start clearly marked by a decorative sign. This design, structure, may also refer to the seasons, ages, humors, or the like. It may also have a geographical theme. The ^ symbol occurs elsewhere in medieval iconography as a form of symbolic map of the inhabited world.

Folio 70r shows a six-pointed star with words of, text between its points. It is surrounded by eight carefully-drawn cell-like objects, alternately empty and occupied by pairs of dots, and a ring of foam-like spouts emerge from a watery field surrounding the inner circle. Nine bands of text arc from the interstices of these waves. Three concentric rings of text surround the whole. There is little understanding of this drawing other than a possible focus on water as an element or moisture as a promoter of health, and the numbers six, nine, and fifty-eight.

Folio 69r also shows a central six-pointed star; five single characters and one digraph are placed in a ring of text surrounds this central medallion. Beyond are four pipe-like, elongated rays clove heavier lines separating them into irregular groups of one, two, and three rays. Text lines are written on one of these rays, and there is a ring of text surrounding all. Folio 69v is somewhat similar, with small stars between its points. Twenty-eight pipe-like things emerge radially from the center, each with a phrase written above the mouth of each as though issuing from it. Three rings of text run around (

A small moon face occupies the central field of folio 85-86v4; five frothy or bubbly concentric rings of waves run around the center. The heads, arms, and shoulders of four human figures rise from the middle. Their arms are raised, and their hands are holding indistinguishable objects, one of which may be the sun. The whole is surrounded by a clearly-shown starting point on the left.

Folio 85-86v3 contains a very strange drawing dominated by four complex structures shaped roughly like cones emerging from the corners of the page and extending inward toward the center. The upper left cone is filled with grapes, clouds, or cells; from its tip, directed toward the center, a spout of some substance issues. A human figure emerges from the duster beside it. The upper right structure is like a broad tube made of waves in crosswise rows: from it a large gush of vapor or wind emerges toward the center, and waves vigorously. The two lower objects are more elongated in form and seem to be made up of layers of intersecting crosswise rows of cells. One gives forth a large jet of specks like snow or rain aimed at the center with a human figure half revealed as if peering around one side of the jet and flinging out a small object with an outstretched right hand. The remaining cone, in the lower right corner, emits no jets of vapor, but has a bird on its apex, as if on a nest; bending over the seated bird are three branch-like structures on which several small figures occupy the four sides of the page between the large spouts, and a fifth paragraph is placed in the

It seems possible that the four jets may represent the Four Winds converging upon the earth, and that several others of this section, may be concerned with the seasons and the weather. The nesting bird, migrating, bird would be explicable within this frame of reference. A scribbled diagram of a circle, like that in folio 68v3, occupies the otherwise empty center of the page; next to it and below is a disorderly scribbling that resembles rather less! v-written Arabic script. This scribble is close to the left center of folio 66v, where it also seems to be associated with a crudely-formed geometric figure. Some of these scribbled phrases , )

Finally, folio 70r2 shows a central face, probably a sun, surrounded by eight large segments containing text. A small ring of text runs around the center, and four more lines of text surround the whole. The outer pairs: the outer pair has a common starting point indicated by a double vertical, while the inner pair is shown by a single vertical. A paragraph of text accompanies the design on the upper right corner.

The above lengthy, but still very incomplete discussion of these interesting cosmological diagrams is given in justice to the amount of information available in them for the student willing to accord to them the

careful and meticulous examination. I believe it has been too readily assumed by most students that the Voynich manuscript were too weird and nonsensical to warrant this attention. The research must await someone who has access to as many as I do have\* 10 a large number of medieval manuscripts, or facsimile thorough investigation, pursuing some of the striking iconographical elements in the drawings, and parallels that could provide an understanding of the text,

### 3. The Drawings of Maturing Human Figures,

The drawings on folios 75 r and v and folios 6v through 8v are probably the most mysterious and bizarre enigmas with which the Voynich manuscript confronts us. They show sequences of human figures, male and female, and as has been very frequently and somewhat accurately noted by other students a quite peculiar form. Most of them have distended abdomens and bulging hips: they certainly do not present an appealing beauty to the modern American eye. The impression is rather one of agricultural fertility, maternal nourishment, or something on a similar pragmatic plane. Many of the figures seem to have long hair veils in spite of their otherwise complete lack of clothing. Their poses are lively, expressive, and

The female figures are shown variously sitting, standing, kneeling, or otherwise disposed in or on curbstones, pipes, pedestals, seed pods, or platforms. These objects are drawn in the same characteristic architectural style as was noted above in connection with the plants. In fact, some of them look like seed pods, and root or stem structures of these very plant drawings. Note, for example, the two somewhat resembling mines or bombs trailing fuses, crossed on folio 83v, to make one. They close vertically on the plant in folio 90r. A structure on folio 79v of three pipes surrounding a larger central one of the same plant on folio 90r. Similarly, a tripartite structure on folio 77v made up of three pipes connected by pipes, with three tuber-like objects hanging from the central swelling, looks to me with three main stems connected by underground roots or stolons (see figure 15 for examples).

Some of the female figures seem to be holding spindle-shaped objects that could be fruits or seed structures that coil around the figures (and into which, or from which, they appear to be transmitting or liquid) could well represent plant parts such as roots or stems in schematic form. As to be reminiscent of dusts, puffs and sprays of vapor emerging from the numerous vents of these pipes, and the substance liquid in which groups of female figures seem to be sitting, standing, or moving about. Some form of moisture, or sap seems to be of primary importance in the doctrine expressed by these pictures. In the left of a descending line of figures: 82v. at top right and also two more below, center), arc openings in some of the little scenes. These look a great deal like rainbows, although without seeing them I can only guess: most of the arcs seem to have four or five separate concentric segments with a dark center. In a discussion of an alchemical drawing containing a pipe with multiple vents emitting vapor, in a series of these folios, see Section 8.8).

Another important detail to be noted in several of the drawings of this section is a small cross with, for example, at the top of folio 75 r. serving as a focus for diverging rays: on 75 v to the right with a grape- or cloud-like duster at upper left; and on 79v, top, at the focus of the head of a figure who also holds a cross in her hand). These symbols are quite small and unobtrusive but form a central focus or origin for rays descending upon the female figures. The obvious interpretation is of illumination or influence promoting the fecundating, nourishing, or healing virtues of the humors represented by the female figures. The crosses provide an unmistakably Christian frame of reference expounded by the scribe of the manuscript – a point not specifically remarked upon by other students.

What are we to make of these strange drawings? A possibility that immediately occurs to me is that the doctrines of Galenic humoral medicine, with its four "digestions" and various byproducts at different

nourishing or curative properties of the plants or prescriptions of the herbal and pharmaceutical a system of therapeutic baths; this was a common feature of medieval medicine: warmth and moisture in themselves, healing forces. It is amusing to note in this connection that Roger Bacon, in his *m Accidntum Senectutis* (Bacon 192Ba), recommends perfumed oils, warm effusions, and the application of 'occulta' such as lign-aioes, "heart bone of a stag." and viper's flesh. (This medical work was a compilation of earlier medical sources such as Galen, Pseudo-Aristotle, and numerous Arabic writers and exploited by later physicians; little in it, however, was original with Bacon.)

20

Brumbaugh (1975) has seen in these pictures a recipe for the 'Elixir of Life/' designed to look like Bacon's medical treatise, his work entitled *De Mistrabilis Potestate Artis et Naturae*, and versions of his alchemical writings were the only fragments of his writings well-known in the sixteenth century. Singer, in his Letter to TiUman, 12 November 1957, puts forward a suggestion: "My own feeling – again very vague – about the little figures of nude organs of the body is that they are somehow connected with the 'archaei' of the Faracisan or Spafit in well with my suggestion about John Dee and Bohemia." Note that Singer sees the tubes, pulpi figures sit as "organs of the body, rather than as the plant parts they recall to me. Figure 13 shows numbers and grouping of female and male figures on the folios of this section.

### 3.3.6 Network of Rosettes and Folios 85-86ri~4 to vl-2 .

This elaborate array of circular medallions covers several segments of a large, multiply-folded page no study or mention by students: this may be partly because of its complexity and bizarre character but also overburdened by the "queerness" to the modern eye of so much else in the manuscript. The failure to draw much attention to these designs is also probably due to the poor quality of the photocopy available. The photocopy made from Father Petersen's original copy is so dark, and the numerous scraps of text were hard to read, that it is almost unusable,

A photostatic copy which I recently obtained from the Beinecke Library reveals the details of this very clearly. There are nine elaborate circular designs, in three rows of three each. The central design is larger than the others, and contains six pharmaceutical "jars" arranged in an oval pattern with six of the medallions are veils of cell-like or fibrous structures that link each circle to its immediate neighbor. The central design shows a structure like a castle and other small buildings around its periphery; the castle has a hall and a central tower. The center of this figure contains a circular field of stars and a spiral arrangement. In the outer corner of the page, is a small circle containing a diagram with Voymdi text "words" within. In the opposite corner of the page is the small "clock-face" mentioned by Brumbaugh about which more will be said. The other two corners are sun faces surrounded by wavy rays. Some of the medallions have petal-like shapes filled with stars, recalling features of the cosmological and astronomical folios discussed previously. The designs are provided with curious structures like bundles of pipes or gunbarrels clustered around the peripheral outlines. This complex assemblage of symbols deserves far more attention than it has so far received. It could provide some enlightening synthesis or frame of reference for individual diagrams elsewhere.

A mention should be made here of Brumbaugh's identification of a "clock face" among these diagrams. It is a circle, surrounded by eight designs vaguely resembling Roman numerals, and what may be a small triangle on the extreme left side of the structure. In the center of this circle is a triangular arrangement of two small spheres strung on them, at their free ends and at their intersection. While it is true that the superficial resemblance to a clock face, it seems possible to me that it may also represent a star and the similar alchemical characters mentioned above Section 3.3.4. The two "hands" look to me



be of equal length, and the hands" are not centered on the "clock face" as one would expect. but the entire triangular structure is centered in the circle. An exactly similar triangular symbol with the point frequently among the star spells of Picatrix . and was used by alchemists to mean arsenic, orpiment. 1922. Tables IV. XXXXHL XXXXVh

### 3-3\*7 Small Marginal Designs.

There are small drawings of people, animals, and other less easily identifiable objects on some pages already noted, contains a drawing of a man lying on his back clutching his stomach as if sick by various indeterminate small objects. The last page, 116v, has several sketches of people, animal shapes in its upper left corner. Most of the pages filled with text (folios 103 and following) have extensions like tabs, to the left of each paragraph. These paragraphs, as has been pointed out, probably comprised approximately 365 originally, thereby providing one "star reap" for each day of astrological predictions or prescriptions.

21

### 3-4 Meaning of the Collection of Drawings as a Whole

Voynich stated his impression on first seeing the manuscript, that "the drawing] indicated it to be natural philosophy" (1921, p. I). Eliabeach Friedman says: "The 'botanical' and largest section of pages) is probably herbal in character, and the manuscript may constitute what is now called a Panofsky provides another clear summary: "So far as can be made out before the manuscript has been would comprise: first, a general cosmological philosophy explaining the medical properties of terrestrial plants, by celestial influences transmitted by astral radiation and those spirits' which were frequent occult powers of the stars to the earth; second, a kind of herbal describing the individual plants conceivably, magical purposes, third, a description of such compounds as may be produced by various ways" (1954, p. 1). He confesses that he is unable to suggest any known medieval parallel to doctrines into one compact book. (There were, in fact, a number of very large encyclopedic works which covered a somewhat similar range of topics: an obvious example that comes to mind is the work of Albert the Great contemporary of Roger Bacon.)

Petersen provides a similar view of the manuscript as a whole: The illustrations in the manuscript certain that the text deals with medicinal plants and their use in medieval remedies. The drawings illustrate astrological matters, and possibly the medieval theory of vital spirits functioning as small nude figures)'. . . . Might not the 324 separate short paragraphs or sentences (folios 103-subject index or table of contents or list of recipes/" 1953. p. 1 ) Brumbaugh sees the manuscript of Life ", designed to interest the Emperor Rudolph II by a forger who wished to make it appear to Bacon. An "encyclopedia of drugs", possibly compiled from a variety of earlier manuscripts astrological lore; the folios featuring nude female figures may deal. Brumbaugh thinks, with "the the theology of psychic reincarnation, or the topical application of the elixir". ( 1975. pp, 348-

In studying the drawings in the different sections of the manuscript, I have come to feel strongly symbolic, artificial, and conventionalized graphic or mnemonic "language" that uses the same recall to mind particular key concepts on different folios and in various combinations with one another. "alphabet" or shorthand seems in many ways closely similar in its philosophy to the interesting script (to be dealt with in Chapter 4). For this reason, I believe that a careful, painstaking, analysis of the drawings and their component graphic elements, indexing and cross-matching all the forms, might be involved. An experiment using modern computer CRT terminals with graphics capabilities so perform worthwhile, if carried out within a carefully reasoned theoretical framework (see. to pursue and in

theories previously developed by the student concerning meaningful relations among the forms!. More regarding the use of computer techniques in studying the manuscript.

## Chapter 4

### Avenues of Attack: The Text

#### 4.1 Nature and Characteristics of the Voynich Script

However complex and interesting the drawings are, the script in which the bulk of the manuscript is undoubtedly the most intriguing part of the elegant enigma. It has a deceptively flowing, rhythmic practice and familiarity on the part of the scribe or scribes. The script seems like a reasonable, system of writing, with a look of ease and natural flow. On closer inspection, the surface appears and a still more seductive and- captivating character emerges in the form of an intricate but striking ligaturing or compounding of simple forms to build up more complex outlines. Whatever else may be the value of the manuscript as a whole to science, I am convinced that an understanding of the construction cannot fail to be of great interest in the study of human thought. It appears to be a tour de force

#### 4\* LI Provenience and Style.

Unfortunately, although many students mention the style, calligraphy, and appearance of the script in their judgements of the date and origin of the manuscript, they provide little real evidence or detail to support their claims. Nowhere among the sources I have examined have I seen any really factual or complete discussion of the sources mentioned, in passing, the possible derivation of the Voynich symbols from "Roman minuscule" (p. 48). 'The text is written in a beautifully symmetrical script that slightly resembles the Voynich script' (p. 48).

#### 4. L2 Relationships to Known Scripts and Character Sets.

Attempts to link the origin of the Voynich symbols to other systems of writing have been many and the study of known alphabetic, syllabic, or ideographic scripts has turned up nothing remotely similar. Some symbols have distant parallels in some compendia. Several symbols resemble early forms of Arabic numerals pointed out by more than one student of the manuscript, for example, by A. W. Exell (of the Botanical Natural History Museum), in a letter to Tiltman, 30 August 1957, and by Robert Brumbaugh (1974, 19). Figure 17 shows a comparison of some Voynich symbols and various forms of early Arabic numerals extracted from (p. 1915) that look similar in my opinion. (See also Section 8.10 for a discussion of the history of the Voynich script. Some form of substitution cipher may be involved, of course; thus, the fact that a given Voynich symbol has the form of "7" or "4", for example, need not imply that it actually stands for that number in the text. Arabic numerals were often employed in a wide variety of codes and ciphers, as we will see in Chapter 9.

Similarities are also clearly apparent between some Voynich symbols and certain Latin abbreviations common during the Middle Ages. These relationships have been investigated and exploited by several students. Figure 17 shows a selection of Latin abbreviations extracted from Cappelli (1949) and some that resemble them in my opinion. A general similarity was apparent to me, and was also noted, independently, by Tiltman, between certain commonly-occurring looped symbols standing above the line and the decorative letters with tall stems in the top line of a manuscript illustrated in Cappelli (Table IV). Some of the various kinds that might throw some light on the Voynich script will be discussed in Chapter 9.

#### 4. L 3 Attempts to Decompose the Symbols into Elements.

It has been concluded by most students that the Voynich script includes at least some compound symbols

have been made to arrive at a rationale to explain the ligatures and resolve them consistently into students have proposed that the symbols may have been built up from elementary strokes in a manner upon which they supposed that the Chinese writing system was based. Tiltman suggested that mission East, who had studied the Chinese system, might have brought back a description of it which then in the fifteenth- or sixteenth -century scholar redesign the Voynich script (unpublished notes) A. W. Exe

23

Tiltman. 50 August 19V\* refers to a theory (not further specified) that early Arabic numerals were three, four or more strokes in a similar Oriental manner: he suggests a sketch \ and incomplete copy of Voynich symbols and conventional numerals along these lines. No one has\* to my knowledge, worked out of this kind in sufficient detail to test it out as a hypothesis.

In this connection, it is interesting to note that Roger Bacon provided extensive information concerning a highly interesting section of the Opus Majus on geography and the customs of foreign peoples\* He still closely questioned several missionaries and travellers recently returned from visits to these far-manv foreign peoples and customs are clearly recognizable, although some are fabulous and distorted. A clear description of Buddhist monks at worship, even including a garbled version of Om mane pad me. A particular l v striking. The following is his description of writing in China. The people in Cathay use the same instrument with which punters palm, forming in one character groups of letters\* each group represents this method characters are formed with many letters together, whence reasonable and natural characters of letters, and have the meaning of sentences.' (Bacon 1928b. p. 389.1

The compound Voynich symbols are not easy to 'take apart' in any consistent and unambiguous way: they are smoothly blended to form a single flowing outline. Figure 18 shows some examples of apparently compound suggestions regarding their decomposition. Some symbols which appear to be simple at first sight may be compounds: for example, may be made up of r l and \* \ and may be a combination of ^ . me

^ My own feeling is that we need not go as far afield as the Orient to explain these complex outlines. Latin abbreviations in common use throughout the Middle Ages has the same character. An abbreviated word preserves one or two letters of a word and distorts or combines them to form a single sinuous, continuous line. Some of the parts of such a compound form may then be partially disconnected and used in abbreviations of similar words. The distorted and truncated scripts of words are usually combined with over lines, short tails\* and slant lines which mark the form as an abbreviation\* or stand for a set of missing letter features. This has a counterpart in the Voynich script: a horizontal stroke seems to connect many symbols. A mark often appears above certain symbols, and characters are frequently shown standing above or in infixes or superfixes; long tails curve up or slant down from letters at the ends of words and lines.

It is my feeling that we need not look beyond the system of Latin abbreviations, familiar to all the Middle Ages and Renaissance throughout Europe, combined with early forms of Arabic numerals and some common astrological symbols\* to find the inspiration for the design of the Voynich script. Unfortunately, the manuscript has exhibited a truly remarkable ingenuity in blending and distorting these elements so as to make the writing system\* fundamentally independent of and distinct from any of its sources, so that our recognition of known symbols has not helped us to unlock the secret of the script. It is interesting to note that superfixes or infixes with other ligatured characters may also occur next to them in ordinary sequences. The following shown ligature must, therefore\* provide some distinct element of meaning. (For example, is C How does vr differ from " « V Is " 5ft equivalent to Tf cr m crV or neither.')

Most cryptanalysts in the 11 y -oriented students of the manuscript have put considerable effort into attempting to devise a working transcription alphabet for use in cryptanalysis and computer studies.

adopted different theories regarding the decomposition of the symbols into elements\* and the identity of a single symbol\* Some\* Uke Tiltman and the First Voynich Study Group\* arrived at a relatively simple basic symbols, regarding all the rest as secondary compounds. At the other extreme\* Currier\* Krisc Group included a number of obvious compounds in their working alphabet to produce a considerably longer Currier 's alphabet and the others based on it embody a theory about the symbol " k " and its occurrence two. or three immediately preceding certain ending symbols ' and own transcription alphabet

includes an attempt to allow for some relatively rare ligatured elements in addition to those in the Figure 19 shows several different transcription alphabets.

#### 4-L4 Variant and Embellished Forms of Symbols.

While all have agreed that a relationship of some sort exists among certain families of symbols, they have associated them differently depending on their theories regarding the exact nature of the kinship. Considerable interest has centered on the four looped symbols " ^ M t ff \* \* -4^ " that are all found

as superfixes over the symbol ' CT " as well as alone. An interesting bit of evidence for the identity of these, by analogy\* the other pair T and as well as may be seen on folio 57r\* where a sequence of seven

24

repeated four times around a circular band. It is so rare to find any sequence in the Voynich manuscript of itself that this example is almost unique. Figure 24 shows the four repeated segments and four instances, the symbol " with only one loop, occurs in the ninth place, while in the other two. we

find clear loops in the corresponding position. Since all the other symbols appear identical, the conclusion is that the single- and double -looped forms are functionally the same. Countervailing against this conclusion is that symbols are always made quite clear and distinctly, with either one or two loops; there are rare marginal forms with vestigial or careless! v- formed loops. In any case, there is an obvious family among the four looped symbols, as shown by their similarity of form, their entering into similar combinations, assuming a similar function and positions in the structure of text words.

Embellishments are relatively few in the Voynich text. Figure 20 shows some variant and decorative forms; various students have tentatively identified them; many of the assumed identifications are merely decorative extensions and flourishes which are quite attractive in a bizarre and idiosyncratic way. Small hatching along lines, dots arranged in rows and exaggeration or prolongation of loops are frequent embellishments. For the most part, highly restrained, and not at all the extravagant, disorderly excess of a deranged mind. It should be noted also that the ornamental extensions rarely, if ever, cross writing or drawings nearby, and that it is rare in general for writing or drawings to cross over text, except in a controlled and orderly manner.

The curious embellishments appear to exhibit the same rhythmic, pragmatic, and compact character as the rest of the style throughout the manuscript. A particularly notable and amusing decorative flourish is the disconnecting of the two loops of the character " Jf ", so that one stem and loop is translated into the neighboring word, sometimes with several intervening curlicues: figure 20 provides a number of examples. In some cases, the intent may be to combine two separate occurrences of " ' into one decorative flourish. It may be some element of meaning in the practice, although it is scarcely frequent enough, especially in the middle of paragraphs, to support such a conclusion.

#### 4.2 Other Scripts. and Hands

On certain pages of the manuscript are found isolated phrases and sentences in scripts and bands which may be different from, and probably later than, the bulk of the text (although none of the sources I have found definitive evidence supporting a different date or authorship for these scattered text strings) Peter (a friend of Mrs. Vovnich) had made a thorough examination of all the apparently extraneous passages. Miss Nill has listed all words or passages which appear to be written in different ink from the main text and the drawings throughout the manuscript. (She noted also that the original text seems to show some deletion and correction anywhere,) Miss Nill declares that the last page is written in the same ink as the first (p. 1), Unfortunately, no copy of Miss Nill's list has survived in the material to which I have access. The following summary from my own examination of the photocopy available to me.

Folio 1r. There are very faint and barely legible traces of alphabetic sequences in the left and right margins visible at all in the photocopy I have studied, but Petersen shows them clearly in his hand transcription of those of the ordinary ABC script, with some slightly distorted or odd forms. The two sequences appear to be in a fragmentary state, it is hard to tell whether they are consistently associated with the lines of text in the center of the page.

Folio 17r. A line of writing in a very small, crabbed hand crosses the top center of the page. In my eye, the letters resemble Greek symbols. The writing becomes fainter and harder to read toward the right and fades out completely. In the upper right corner, there is a faint, scribbled symbol like a shield crossed with lines. It is interesting to note that John Dee liked to use Greek letters to conceal his personal diary; the symbols on this page, however, do not seem to spell anything that might be an

Folio 66r. A small scattering of letters, which again look to me like Greek symbols, are to be found in the upper left corner of the page near a small picture of a man lying on his back. Above the "Greek" letters is a line of Vovnich script. Prof. Richard Salomon of Kenyon College has suggested a High German interpretation of the symbols, claiming that they stand for "der musz del", or the mussteil, referring to an obligation to deliver goods from a man to his widow'.

Folio 66v. In the lower half of this page (which shows a plant drawing accompanied by three text blocks) is a scribble or doodle that slants downward toward the left. A rough oblong figure sits to the right of the scribble. The markings here resemble a similar scribble in the center of folio 85-S6v3. Below some of the markings is the appearance of Arabic script.

Folio 85-S6v3. In the center of this cosmological diagram there is another doodle similar to that on folio 66v. The circle is bisected by a horizontal line, and the upper half bisected again by a perpendicular; a line of something like Arabic script crosses part of this circle and extends to the left of it.

Folio 87r. To the left of the lower leaves of the plant drawing is a crude star-like doodle of ink.

Folio 16v. The several lines of text in a mixture of symbols on the last page of the manuscript studied by many researchers as a possible "key" to the text. Figure 23 shows several transcription attempts by different students along with a reproduction (admittedly poor!) of the photocopy at my disposal. The handwriting is crabbed, and faint. It is interesting to note the differences among different students' interpretations. The numerous ambiguities and obscurities have not prevented several students from basing extensive rather arbitrary readings of the tiny, distorted letters.

Folio gatherings. In the lower corners of certain pages are numbers added in what appears to be a later hand. These numbers correspond roughly to sets of eight pages. Those discernible in the photocopy I have are shown in figure 22, with the page number associated with each. The numerals are interesting in themselves, and their forms; they are accompanied by symbols for Latin abbreviations, one of which, ^ " for \*us exact! v

common symbol in the Voynich script.

Folio numbering. At some point during the eventful history of this manuscript, someone added numbers in the hand corner of the pages. These numbers agree with the present order of the pages, and show gaps which apparently have been lost since the numbering was done but before the finding of the manuscript by Voynich. He dated the folio numbers to the sixteenth or seventeenth century; they may well have been added by the court. The forms of the numbers do not differ significantly from modern forms.

Month names in astrological diagrams. The name of a month has been written into the central medallion diagram associated with a recognizable zodiac sign. These month names are considered by most students to be written in a different ink and hand than that of the main text. Figure 10 shows details of these medallions and a word in the Voynich script is seen next to the two scaly fishes of the Pisces medallion (folio 70r). No word with the month name or zodiac sign have so far been fruitless. No one has made any progress, determined attempt, to identify the language or provenience of the month names, despite the fact that there are a few clearly recognizable and comprehensible bits of text in the entire manuscript.

#### 43 Linear Sequences that Look Like "Keys"

Several pages of the manuscript are provided with columns or circles of single symbols or short words arranged in some sequence that may be an index or key. Brumbaugh has exploited these sequences extensively for decipherment (see Section 5.4); according to him, the multiplicity of "keys", although associated with an attempt at mystification on the part of the scribe, still provide some valid and useful information. A list of these, insofar as I can identify them; some of the "key" sequences are also mentioned above.

Folio 1r. The two parallel alphabetic sequences in the left and right margins, described above, may function as keys; a suspicion enters my mind, however, that they are the result of some later work. It is surprising, considering the number of people who must have attempted to read the manuscript elsewhere, that there are not far more doodled numbers, letters, and lines on its pages.

Folio 49v. A clearly discernible vertical list of twenty-six Voynich symbols runs down the left margin accompanying a particularly decorative "herbal" folio showing a cyclamen-like plant. Figure 24 shows exhibits a partial repetition in three cycles.

Folio 57 v. Seventeen symbols, some quite complex or unusual in form, are repeated four times around a concentric circle from the outside in a cosmological diagram. The four sequences are shown in parallel in a rare instance of sequences repeating almost exactly in the manuscript; in fact, I believe it is the

Folio 66r. In the left margin is a rather complex sequence of single symbols associated with isolated lines of a text paragraph, all in the Voynich script. Brumbaugh employed these sequences as an "equation" of correspondence between the letters and the words (see 5.4 below). As is frequently the case in this

horizontal association of the scattered letters and single words is not very accurate, and neither is it related to the lines of the paragraph.

Folio 69r. Between the points of a central star are six Voynich symbols.

Folio 76r. A string of nine Voynich symbols is seen in the upper left margin, spaced out vertically with certain lines of a text paragraph.

To my knowledge, no one other than Brumbaugh has directed much attention to these sequences. No color or numeric order can be traced from one to the next. They may be conventional abbreviations standing for objects known to the scribe or scribes. Their presence as a salient feature of the text indicates the scribe is capable of employing single symbols or pairs of symbols to stand for some sets of concepts. See figure 1 for many of these "key" sequences

#### 4-4 Cryptanalytic and Stylistic Attacks on the Text

Students who have approached the Voynich text from the point of view of the professional cryptanalyst at first by a deceptive surface appearance of simplicity\* only to bog down sooner or later in an endless series of paradoxes and enigmas that reveal themselves one by one as analysis proceeds. Elizebeth Friedman has concisely summarized the frustrations awaiting the cryptanalyst in the Voynich manuscript. I cannot improve on the conciseness and succinctness of her remarks, and so will quote them at length in the following paragraph.

"What if I find myself in the position of a professional cipher expert to the manuscript? At first I expect to solve it. I become impatient to be in word ten minutes and word repetitions are all over the place."

A single frequent\* table would be made at once of a portion of text. Just as Friedman did in the Gold digraphs, but in the manuscript, and this is neither simple nor easy. A single symbol often appears to be a composite made up of perhaps two or three symbols.

If a frequency table is made for a piece of text amounting to about 500 consecutive words" which presents the character in the rough appearance of a frequency table for a simple substitution cipher; a few have a very low frequency; the rest are of varying but medium frequencies. Besides there are also many repeated sequences of two, three, or more words.

The first impression, therefore, is that here is a simple substitution cipher. However, the deciphering has no solution based on the idea that the cipher is reached by trials in Latin, Greek, German, Italian, etc. in a simple substitution.

"But then the possibility of transposition, of combined substitution-transposition, or of multiple transposition for the reason that there is entirely too much repetition. We find thousands of repetitions of the same word throughout the text.

For example, in nineteen lines of text, a certain three-character group appears nearly 100 times. A word in the whole manuscript is quite homogeneous, the words in all sections are very much alike.

"Indeed, sometimes, and not too rarely, one finds the same word" appearing three times in succession. Gertrude Stem's motto is a ruse is a ruse, , ." Also, there are thousands of cases in which, two words differ from each other by only one character, as in English, the words strike and stroke, wore and wore.

There have been several attempts to analyze the Voynich text using computers. Unfortunately, for a long time progress has resulted from these efforts, with the sole exception (to my knowledge) of the digraphs (see Section 6.8). Cryptanalytic studies have included monographic, digraphic, and trigraphic frequency samples of various sizes, based on several different transcription alphabets. Reverse alphabetic soundings of words, and word indexes have provided an analysis of different occurrences of the same word, a comparison of their contexts. The difficulties of arriving at an alphabet, transcribing a sufficient amount of text, and getting access to enough computer time have hampered students in their efforts over the years. Most computer studies were never carried far enough to result in any solid gain in knowledge. More will be said regarding certain specific computer studies and some methodological considerations relating to the

general.

While relatively few have had access to computers, many students have made extensive hand studies first described the apparent precedence order of characters within words, and demonstrated the presence of symbols, in certain combinations, for the beginning, middle, or ending portions of words. Petersen made a complete manual concordance of the text, and studied occurrences of ligatured and compound forms of

4\*4\*1 Phenomena in the Text Which Must be Accounted for by Any Theory ,

The following list of characteristics to be explained by any good cryptanalytic theory summarizes the observations of researchers, notably the Friedmans and Tiltman: it includes also some observations which I have added from the text.

f1) The basic alphabet of frequently occurring symbols is small (as few as fifteen according to some estimates) probably no more than twenty-five.

(2) The basic forms are compounded or ligatured to create a large variety of complex symbols.

(3) The symbols are grouped into words separated by spaces (although some researchers have expressed doubt about the consistency of this spacing).

(4) The number of different words seems surprisingly limited.

(5) The words are short, averaging around four or five symbols in length, words over seven or eight symbols are rare, as are also words consisting of a single symbol. Even two-letter words are relatively uncommon. It is pointed out that normal English text also presents an average word-length of about five characters; there are many one- and two-letter words, and a great many words of ten to fifteen characters in length, but in a different pattern from that seen in the Voynich text.)

(6) The same word is frequently repeated two, three, or more times in immediate succession.

(7) Many 'words' differ from each other by only one or two symbols, and such 'words' often occur in succession.

(8) Certain symbols occur characteristically at the beginnings, middles, and ends of words and sequences.

(9) Certain symbols appear very rarely, and only on certain pages, indicating some special function.

(10) There are very few doublets (repetition of the same letter twice in succession), and these few symbols " " and " \* v \* " occasionally also " ^ ", and "0' ". ^

(11) Very few symbols occur singly (as one-letter words) in running text; these are primarily " C

U2I "Prefix\*", like elements are tacked in front of certain 'words' that also occur commonly with prefixed elements are \ and " 9 \*

(12) The symbol 4^\* occurs almost invariably followed by 0", and joined to it by an extension of the " " ; the resulting compound symbol is rarely seen elsewhere than at the beginning of words



( 14 1 On most herbal folios, the first line of the first paragraph begins with a very small set of  
- ff •- and " these are usually immediately followed by " CT ^ ", " @ '\* 9

^ or sr No trace can be found of the alpha bencitv that would be expected if the herbal paragraphs  
with the names . ot plants in alphabetical order as was usual in many early herbals.

(13) Single 'words' occurring as labels next to stars, "drug containers ", plant sketches, or other  
various drawings very rarely begin with the four looped symbol; instead, they often start with " O

and occasionally \*\* " and " <T V

#### 4\*4\*2 Cryptanalytic Hypotheses,

In the Voynich manuscript, we are confronted by a situation with many unknowns. In spite of the di-  
efforts of many talented researchers over the half-century since its discovery, we still have very  
the large area of uncertainty defined by these unknowns. We still are ignorant of the underlying  
no due to the nature of the cipher, code, or writing system: we do not know when, where, or by who  
written; we cannot even be certain of the subject matter, or the purpose for which it was compiled  
paragraphs, I will attempt to list, as completely as possible, the hypotheses that a conscientious  
regarding the nature of the Voynich script. In some cases, information turned up by researchers can  
some of these hypotheses, as Elizebeth Friedman has suggested in the passage quoted above. Some are  
capable than others of explaining the phenomena observed in the text, A systematic consideration of

On the matter of repeated words, my colleague has pointed out (\*■ me that the repetition of  
words in the Voynich manuscript and in other, much Eastern European This is due in part to the lack of the  
prepositions, and the fact that in these instances and in part to methods of word building and com-  
position

28

serve as a good foundation for the discussion of solution attempts in Chapters 5 and 6 Such a survey  
picture of the true magnitude of the problem which this enigmatic manuscript presents to the crypt-

The cryptanalytic possibilities to be dealt with are related to three principal factors, which I will  
letters: P. the nature of the underlying plain text; E. the correspondence or substitution between  
Voynich script elements; and T, other various reformations that might have been carried out on the plain  
substitution of Voynich symbols. In the following paragraphs, several possibilities will be listed  
factors; each such individual hypothesis will be designated by the letter P. E. or T) followed by  
assume that the reader is familiar with certain basic terminology and concepts of cryptology, such  
code and cipher, substitution and transposition. These concepts have been clearly defined and explained  
obtainable general works on cryptanalysis.

P. The Nature of the Plain Text.

P.1 Normal Latin text.

P.2 Normal text in some other natural language.

P.3 Code or synthetic language with a mixture of ideographic and natural language characteristics endings added to code symbols i.

PA A purely ideographic system like pictographs. with virtually no features of natural language present.  
E. The Nature of the Substitution.

J:. i One plain text symbol is replaced by one Voynich symbol.

E.2 One plain text symbol is replaced by two or three Voynich symbols, but always by the same number

E.3 Two (or three), but always the same number of plain text symbols are replaced by one Voynich symbol

E.4 Two (three\* plain text symbols are replaced by two (three) Voynich symbols.

E.5 Mixed length units (i.e., one, two, and three letter strings) are involved in either or both script,

E.6 Each plain text unit has a set of variant or alternative Voynich symbol counterparts, from which to choose at will,

E.7 Whole words or concepts are represented by single Voynich symbols or by mixed length Voynich shorthand ),

E.8 Polyalphabetic substitution, or the cyclic use of a series of substitution alphabets according to a key.  
T Transformations Other Than Substitution.

T. 1 No plain text letters dropped, added, or moved.

T.2 Vowels dropped.

T.3 Words abbreviated arbitrarily, and represented only by certain letters.

T.4 "Dummy" characters, or nulls inserted into the text.'

T.5 Letters or syllables transposed within words (as in Pig Latin).

T.6 Letters anagrammed or transposed over longer stretches of text,

T.7 Plain text concealed in a much longer "dummy" or "cover" text, most of which is meaningless.

T.8 A Thuthian or Baconian system, involving the use of some binary or ternary characteristic (dots, letters, tails up or tails down; ligaturing or lacing of it; etc..) as the true message carrying features and "dashes" of Morse code, applied to a "cover" text or "carrier" text which is meaningless. As will be shown in Chapter 9, all of the above possibilities were known and used by early practitioners well within the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. Roger Bacon mentions a number of them in his work entitled "De Mirabili Potestate Artis et Naturae" (Bacon 1859) The methods he lists include magical geometric figures combined with dots, shorthand (Ars notionalis or Thuthian Hand), and dropping vowels from plaintext. In alchemy treatises attributed to him. Bacon is also thought by some to have employed substitution (one plain text character to one cipher character), and concealment of a short message in a meaningless "cover" text.

Using the scheme of individual hypotheses designated by letters and numbers presented above, we can

number of compound hypotheses embodying various choices in various combinations. I will not attempt

In notes by Mils, the companion of Mrs. Vorndi, she reports that John Manly had expressed his opinion on March 20, 1920 that the text of the manuscript represents a simple cipher disguised by the use of about the unit date. Manly stated that according to Miss Nitli that frequent vowels counts he had made based on a simple cipher disguised by extensive use of nulls\*

29

Urge series of possibilities; instead. I will mention a few that seem to be ruled out by the evidence unlikely, and a few others that seem more consistent with what we know of the text and thus more worthy

#### Hypotheses Rendered Unlikely by the Evidence.

Simple Substitution on an Otherwise Unaltered Natural Language Text. As Elizebeth Friedman and others have observed, the text probably does not represent ordinary Latin or any other natural language enciphered by simple substitution of Voynich symbols for single letters (that is, in terms of our scheme, P.1 or P.2 and not P.3). The many sequential repetitions, the rarity of one- or two-letter words, the rarity of double-letter words militate against simple substitution. So also does the strange lack of parallel context surrounding "same" word as shown by word indexes. In the words of several researchers, 'the text just doesn't

work as an Ideographic or Symbolic Representational Scheme. At the other extreme, a system involving our hypothetical purely ideographic or pictographic system, preserving no trace of endings, grammatical forms, or any other alphabetic strings) is equally unlikely. This possibility is ruled out by the salient beginning, as demonstrated by Tiltman and since repeatedly confirmed. The prefix-like *en Does* and the obvious similarity of *en Does* also indicate that there is some degree of language-like structure, involving units smaller than whole words in Voynich text.

Polyalphabetic Substitution. Hypotheses involving E.S. (the cyclic use of several different subsets of the alphabet to some rule) is ruled out, as noted by Elizebeth Friedman, because there is far too much structure in the text. Polyalphabetic systems, like the well-known Vigenere table, are explicitly designed to obscure letter repetitions in natural text which provide helpful breaks in patterns for the would-be decipherer. The occurrences of Voynich characters throughout a sample of text are also too "rough" – that is, some characters while others are very common – for a polyalphabetic system, which obviously, with its many alphabets, would give the frequency distribution for the text as a whole.

Transposition Systems. Systems involving anagramming or transposing letters over arbitrary sequences are also unlikely for a number of reasons; first, the many repetitions of similar strings of characters

-JoYr\*c9 +ofl\~r<j “ lnd re «««\*• the numerous short

words used as labels or captions; and third, the difficulty, ambiguity, and tedium of such methods of concealment. Text, together with the difficulty of reading and deciphering what was probably a reference work to be used by more than one person.

Some Hypotheses Worthy of Further Consideration. Having narrowed the field somewhat by setting aside the possibilities as unlikely, we can concentrate our attention on certain others that seem more promising. They suggest certain general considerations that appear relevant to the nature of the Voynich system in that the method of concealment used would have had to be relatively easy to employ and to remember. The text (estimated at 250,000 characters) militates against any elaborate, multi-stage process such as that

The ease and naturalness and the cursive quality of the writing also argues against any tedious and enciphering operations (unless, of course, we assume that the entire manuscript had been copied from

The recent research of Prescon Currier (see Section 6.5 below) indicates quite clearly that there were scribes or scholars who worked on different folios of the manuscript. This implies that the system of its joint use by several persons – a very important new bit of information. As has apparently been by most students, the script was almost certainly written from left to right; this is shown by the circular diagrams, the presence of starting markers on the left, the slant of the writing around circular lines on a page. Finally, it seems reasonable to me that there must have been other documents written on one or more code books or dictionaries in use among the small secret society of scholars who employed always a chance that such materials will turn up some day to throw some new light on the enigma. Consider factors and what is known about the behavior of characters in the text, the hypotheses below seem to repay further investigation.

Latin Text With Vowels Dropped. Dropping vowels from Latin produces text having very different characteristics from those of normal Latin text. Single Latin letters may be represented by single Voynich symbols, or by length units; possibly variants a choice of more than one Voynich symbol to stand for a given Latin letter included, as well as nulls (dummy, meaningless letters chosen from a small set of alternatives and used throughout the text). Such a concealment system may be represented in our scheme of hypotheses as H.5 and possibly also H.6 and T.4. These combined operations could all be carried out easily

by a scribe after some practice and familiarity with the system. The resulting text would be very difficult to decipher, unfamiliar with the method, and relatively easy for the initiate. A problem arises in dropping vowels from many important small words like "de" and "ad" – "ef and "ut" – 'sif and 'est' – become indistinguishable consisting only of a single vowel disappear entirely. This might not be a serious problem for a reader who knows what the text was about and were closely familiar with it.

Abbreviated Latin Words, Conventional Latin abbreviations, represented by mixed-length Voynich characters – like entities, possibly with the added complications of variants and nulls, presents another possibility (H.5 and E.6 + 5 or E.7; optionally also H.6 and T.4). This, too, would be easy to learn and to remember, known to the initiate within the secret circle, but highly difficult for anyone outside it to penetrate,

Latin Text. Enciphered by Simple Substitution. Concealed in a Longer Dummy Message. This hypothesis (H.7 and T.7) would explain the many strange repetitions of highly similar words in close succession; only a part of the actual message, while the rest are nonsense sequences made up, like meaningless babble, to conceal the true cipher string. The scribe, faced with the task of thinking up a large number of substitutions, would naturally tend to repeat parts of neighboring strings with various small changes and additions to form the next message-bearing word or phrase. This theory would also explain the frequent illogicality and sequential structure in stretches of text which has so frustrated investigators.

A Synthetic Language or Code (H.3 and E.7; optionally also H.5 and H.6 and T.4). The most likely hypothesis involves a simple code based on a small glossary of a few hundred Latin words related to astronomy, weather, and other topics of interest to the scribes of the manuscript. The root or base word is represented by one, two, or three Voynich symbols standing for a page number or column number on a page, a philosophical subject category as was usual in early universal or artificial languages. (See Section 6.5) Grammatical forms could then be represented by the strings of symbols in certain preferred orders and by other symbols at the ends of words. This, too, was a common feature of early synthetic languages. The addition of variants for bases and affixes, and the insertion of nulls, all common practices in early codes would provide a complex concealment system exceedingly hard to penetrate for the outsider, while simple enough for the initiate to use. With some practice, it could be memorized almost like a natural language, especially if the vocabulary was as small as seems likely from the evidence,

A system of this kind would require one or more copies of a code book or dictionary to be consulted in the language. In Section 9.2, an early Vatican code (Silvester 1526) which exactly fits the above description, some detail. Currier's findings concerning the differences in certain character frequencies and composition of text in two different "hands" are highly significant in this regard. A possible explanation is variants in preference to others, or employed the system of endings a little differently, in contrast to scribe. These and other hypotheses will be discussed further from various points of view in Chapter

31

## Chapter 5

### Major Claims of Decipherment

The survey to be presented here will be quite brief\* except in the case of the most recent claim, by Yale University. The solutions put forward by Newbold\* Feeiv. and Strong have been thoroughly dealt in treatments published in relatively accessible -source\*. I will -provide only a rapid sketch of work\* for the sake of completeness, for students new to the problem\* and for methodological reasons

#### 5.1 Newbold

Prof. William R. Newbold was among the first scholars to whom Wilfrid Voynich gave copies of the manuscript after its discovery, in the hope of getting it deciphered and translated. Newbold\* a student of medicine, published his first presentation in 1921. He worked on the manuscript and on other alchemical works of Roger Bacon for several more years before his sudden death. Worksheets and notes of his research were given by his friend and literary executor, Prof. Roland G. Kent (Newbold and Kent 1928). Newbold was familiar with esoteric mystical philosophy developed by the medieval Jews in Spain and known as the Cabala (one of the sentences in a mixture of scripts on folio 116v, and was immediately struck by a phrase "mich mult as \* \* . portas" (as he read it), which he translated 'Thou wait giving me many gates', (Folio 116v, see figure 23) - The word "gates" (Latin "portae" or "portas") was used in the Cabala to refer to all possible combinations of the letters of the Hebrew alphabet, taken two at a time. Assuming following Voynich, that Roger Bacon was the manuscript's author. Newbold brought to bear evidence familiar with certain aspects of Cabalistic lore; he cites references in Bacon's Greek Grammar and on Hebrew (Bacon 1902) as well as his comments concerning concealed writing (for which see Section on evidence of this familiarity).

Starting with this clue\* Newbold examined some other works on the subject of alchemy attributed to Roger Bacon to have discovered a cipher used by Bacon for concealing messages within innocent-seeming Latin text (designated T.7 in Chapter 4). He maintained that a variant of this method had been employed in the Voynich manuscript. Thus\* Newbold ascribes two different, but related\* cipher systems to Bacon: first\* a 'Latin alchemical treatises, and second, a more complex "shorthand cipher" used in the Voynich manuscript.

#### 5.1 / The Latin Text Cipher.

In the Latin alchemical manuscripts, a message was hidden, according to Newbold, within Latin words arranged as to appear to be a treatise on alchemy or on a related topic. Alchemical texts were always obscure and nonsensical to the uninitiated (and, one suspects\* to many would -be initiates as well) : such an ideal cover " for a secret message. Each pair of visible Latin letters in the cover text stood, in Cabalistic "gates" for a single underlying plaintext letter. In this system, 484 letter-pairs (22 x 22) were generated, so that each of the twenty-two letters of the plaintext alphabet could be represented

two variants"\* or alternative cipher pain. A restriction was placed by Newbold on this large number that pairs chosen to substitute for a plaintext letter in a word must have the first member of one member of the preceding pair. For example, if "uni us" were to be enciphered, it might be represented the doubled letters would then be dropped, giving oritur"\* a good Latin word (see Newbold and Kent Manly 1931 p. 34 ff for a fuller explanation)\* Added complexities were introduced to provide a cover be acceptable Latin and would not (at least in an alchemy text) arouse suspicion. These added step substitution\* and on top of that, a rearrangement or anagramming of letters within passages of fifteen characters of text (our method T.6 L

33

## 5 . L2 The Shorthand Cipher,

As described by Newbold (Newbold and Kent 1928, p. 106) there were six steps to be followed in deciphering Vornith text;

1 Transliteration identifying the shorthand characters, and transliterating them in order.

2 Syllabification: doubling each but the first and last characters and arranging the resulting string member of each the same as the last member of the preceding pair

3- Commutation: In any pair where the second member is one of the ' commuting set ' C O. N\ M. L . change the first member according to a "conversion alphabet\* provided by Newbold. Where the first commuting letter, change the second by a \* reversion alphabet\* provided: where both are commuting each by the indicated alphabet.

4. Translation; assigning to the commuted pairs their alphabetic values (by lookup in a table)

3. Reversion: Changing ' Alphabetic, values! m' phonetic values" (the exact nature of this step is

6 Recomposition: Anagramming the letters to produce meaningful text.

The shorthand \* referred to in step 1 was supposedly based on an ancient Greek system of abbreviation applied to each character of the Vornith script as inspected under a reading glass and broken up into curves and lines. Extensive tables are provided on the back of the book to enable the student to carry out reversions, conversions, translations, and so forth.

Newbold and Kent provide good illustrations of a number of folios from the manuscript, chosen from drawings: decipherments of the text on these folios are also presented\* which bear little or no resemblance, for example, a tale concerning two ancient Romans to read on a page with an astrological drawing (folio 75 ff) are read as describing procreative or gynecological matters, with at least some apparent jumbles, spermatozoa, etc.) in the drawings. This seems to be a frequent reaction on the part of modern female figures on folios 75 ff. Other drawings are taken as recording the appearance of a comet (folio 68v31. and an annular eclipse (folio 67v2).

The claims of Newbold were hailed with great enthusiasm by Vornith and many others, who wrote numerous and commentaries (Bird 1921. Garland 1921, McKeon 1928). Roger Bacon enjoyed a spectacular, if brief, sun. while he was credited with the invention of the compound microscope and telescope, and the nineteenth-century scientific discoveries. Catholic writers exulted in triumph on the one hand over vindication of medieval scholastic philosophy, and fought over one another on the other hand in their

excuse, and minimize the persecution and neglect inflicted upon the thirteenth-century "forerunner" of his superiors in the Franciscan Order (Reville 1921; Walsh 1921). Even a number of prominent Bacon specialists in medieval philosophy accepted Newbold's claims uncritically, and manfully strove to anachronisms into their knowledge of Bacon's work and thought (Carton 1929; Gilson 1928). Some, however, were taking a harder look at Newbold's theories, and expressing their doubts (Steele 1928; Thorndike 1934; Salomon 1934).

At the same time another scholar\* Prof. John M. Manly, a professor of English at the University of Cambridge, interested himself in the manuscript, and had been (according to his own words I 'dabbling' with it) for some time. Manly was a friend of Newbold's, and had corresponded with him: Newbold had discussed his work with Manly over some time. In 1921, Manly published articles in *Harpers Monthly Magazine* (1921b) and *Review of Renews* (1921a), expressing a mildly favorable or neutral reaction, but also giving voice to cautions. After Newbold's death in 1926, and the posthumous publication of his work in the book edited by Manly, he published another, much more outspoken article in *Speculum* (1931), emphatically disproving and rejecting Newbold's theories.

This is how Manly expresses his views in the *Speculum* article: "The more I studied the nature and system attributed to Bacon, the more clearly did I see that it was incapable of being used as a method. It was indeed not Bacon's work but the subconscious creation of Professor Newbold's enthusiasm and in Professor Newbold my conclusions and gave my reasons for them in several letters\* , , /" (1931, p. 10). He explains that, while he would not have chosen to make a point of attacking his late friend's work, to set the record straight in view of the unquestioning acceptance accorded to the theory by so many. He says, "One of the most eminent philosophers of France, Professor Gilson, though bewildered by the theory, accepted the results; Professor Raoul Carton, the well-known Baconian specialist, in two long arti-

34

and results with enthusiasm; and American chemists and biologists have been similarly impressed. The truth therefore demand a careful examination of the claims of the Newbold cipher" (p. 347\*). (See Carton 1928\*)

Manly' makes the following flat statement at the outset: "In my opinion\* the Newbold claims are erroneous and should be definitely and absolutely rejected" (p. 347)\*. He explains that the tiny lines and curves of the Greek shorthand symbols were due simply to cracking of the ink on the rough surface of the parchment used in Newbold's method. A second telling attack is focussed by Manly on the sixth and final step, involving the use of letters in stretches of fifty-five or one hundred and ten text characters. He demonstrates the absurdity of the method, even including rhyming poetry, that can be generated from a single short passage by analyzing the letters in one of the aforesaid treatises attributed to Bacon: "in pium quaedam carecum sun responsionibus et e". \*\* From this sentence, Newbold had obtained the following: "Despioc mixta prinapia lumejnj"- Since each letter of the original sentence, in Newbold's 'Latin', has a number of alternative equivalents, a huge number of possibilities present themselves for selection and anagramming begins. This is the sentence for which William F. Friedman, working in cooperation with Newbold's theory, obtained the anagram "Paris is lured with loving Vestals. . . /", simply by choosing different equivalents and a different arrangement among the many possibilities. For a full discussion of the method and the aforesaid theory, see Manly 1931, pp. 330 ff and Friedman and Friedman 1959.

Manly's article in *Speculum* succeeded in laying to rest Newbold's theories, and Friar Bacon returned to his accustomed scholastic obscurity\* consigned to even deeper darkness in an over-reaction on the part of the academic world against his illusory role as originator of twentieth-century scientific instruments, and observer of the gynecological secrets 600 years in advance of their appointed time. (Note, in particular\* the sava-

"debunking " attitude toward Bacon expressed by Thorndike 1916 and 1923-1955) It seems probable all controversy over Newbold's work, the amount of publicity it received, and its complete destruction its uncritical acceptance by many prominent experts who presumably should have known better, cause wash their hands of the manuscript and to steer clear of any serious involvement with the problem. Newbold's impressive reputation and knowledge of medieval philosophy could be made to appear so dead after so many years of painstaking effort, it is easy to understand the reluctance of other scholars and peace of mind on the problem.

## 5-2 Feely

Elisabeth Friedman (1962) describes Feely and his claim to a solution of the manuscript as follows: 'Rochester lawyer. Joseph Martin Feely' published a book entitled *Roger Bacon's Cipher: The Right the author of Shakespeare's *Maze* to Deciphering Shakespeare, and other items catalogued in the Friedman heading Cryptologic Follies.* " However unacceptable his results may have been, he started his manner, according to his description of them in his book: coming upon the manuscript through the *Perkins* book\* he did frequency' counts on Roger Bacon's Latin in several works, including *De Perspectiva* and *Compositio Naturarum* (concerning natural science).

Feely noted that the leaders" (by which he apparently meant the highest-frequency letters) in Bacon the letters "E, I, T, A, N, U, S", and he attempted to make a parallel analysis of letter frequency assumption of simple substitution four hypothesis (P. 1 and E. I and T. 1). From these studies he made at cribbing" various words that might be related to the drawings and their accompanying text in the remarks with obvious exasperation that the Latin in Bacon's manuscripts was highly abbreviated: he have been reduced in length by thirty-five percent through this practice. He comments, also with the differences between medieval and classical Latin. These difficulties apparently frustrated and researchers to a considerable extent, and perhaps drove him to the much easier and less demanding possible "cribs" in the text.

Feely's attempts at cribbing apparently met with some success. On folio 78r, shown in Newbold and Kent (1928), Feely found his first break into the text. This page is one of those showing nude female figures in liquid. Feely assumed that two cloud- or grape -cluster objects at the top corners of the page (see of these > were ovaries" and that the channels leading down from them and joining in the middle of transmitting "ova " into the two "sacks " below. In the "sacks," according to Feely, the "ova " was standing in the liquid. There are "labels" in the Voynich script next to each cluster, the section

35

stream of mysterious Jubilances from them, and the pool into which they pour. Feely obtained his first to call the results of his cribbing) by a study of these labels and an attempt to assume various labels represent. Figure 25 shows the results he obtained from these initial researches,

His initial "dews" provided Feely with a number of letter substitutions for common symbols in the text. He then employed in an effort to puzzle out the remainder of the text on the same page. It should be noted that he had access to a complete photocopy of the manuscript; he carried out all his work on the illustration in 1928. The plaintext which he obtained was a crude, abbreviated pseudo-Latin, which he translated on gynecological topics for folio 78r. On folio 68v (Newbold and Kent 1928. Plate XXII). he claimed to have deciphered a mysterious reference to a statue of Memnon (Feely 1943. p. 37). Feely claimed to have found the personal diary of a scientist observing living cells under magnifying "jottings" of an early researcher, hidden in cipher from the hostile eyes of religious authorities. Although he hedged a bit at coming out flatly in favor of Roger Bacon as author of this scientific



that his decipherment tended to support and confirm Bacon's authorship. Figure 25 shows the alpha result of his studies (probably by successively cribbing and then guessing at letters to fill in until he produced something like Linn. etc., in a cut-and-try fashion). Like many other students as containing many compound symbols built up from simpler forms Unfortunately for Feely, however, accepted his solution as valid. Tiitman, summing up the general opinion, dismisses Feely's efforts unmethodical method produced text in unacceptable medieval Latin, in unauthentic abbreviated form

### 5.3 Strong

Professor Leonell C Strong, a highly respected medical scientist in the field of cancer research, was interested in the Voynich manuscript when he saw O'Neill's article (1944) dating the manuscript the riddle of the enigmatic book in the context of a long-enduring interest in Renaissance literature. He attempted without success to obtain copies of the text for study. He was forced, finally, to do the same way as Feely had, on the basis of illustrations of individual folios in published works. In the course, he published a brief article claiming a solution to the mystery (1945). His decipherment was termed a "peculiar double system of arithmetical progressions of a multiple alphabet, indicating manuscript author was familiar with ciphers described by Trithemius, Porta, and Seleni" (McCaig 1962).

Strong's decipherment resulted in what he claimed to be a form of medieval English; he attributed Anthony Ascham, brother of the better-known Roger Ascham or Askham, a tutor to the children of the Tudor in the sixteenth century. Anthony was a physician and astrologer; he published several almanacs, an astronomy, and an herbal (Ascham 1548a, 1548b, 1550, 1552, 1553). As described by McCaig (1962), efforts produced text presenting "an extremely candid discussion of women's ailments and practical medicine – you might call it a sixteenth-century equivalent of the Kinsey Report". He identified and gave recipes, and ran a laboratory experiment to test the effectiveness of the prescription for that purpose comprised pitch from the nit bark of pine trees, honey, and "oil of spindle." Strong claimed that in his experiment to have caused spermatozoa to lose their motility, thereby verifying its effectiveness of the contraceptive (Strong and McCauley 1947, p. 900). The details of his cryptographic work and decipherment, however, have apparently never been explained, and remain problematical.

Strong's plaintext, of which he provides several examples in his articles (Strong 1945), has been rejected by other scholars as completely unacceptable for medieval English. The reader may arrive at conclusions from the following sample: "When skuge of run e-bag rip. sco uogon kum sli of sc mosur bent, stokked kimbo-elbow crawknoi. This astonishing string of letters is translated by Strong thus: 'the veins rip (or tear the membranes) the child comes slyly from the mother issuing with the leg whik the arms, bent at the elbow, are knotted (above the head) like the legs of a crawfish.'" (Strong 1945, p. 10). In mind, at least, this seems a highly unlikely thing for any writer of any age to have said, whether strange to me, also, that so many students have become obsessively preoccupied with gynecological aspects of the text. The presence of the scattering of quite unexceptionable maternal little nude figure seems to me an entirely insufficient justification for this obsession.

Nothing further has been heard from Dr. Strong in support of his theories, to my knowledge, even though the manuscript has now been accessible to scholars at Strong's own University, Yale, for a number of years. Elzabeth Friedman, "experts said that what he produced was not medieval English. As for his cipher about it, but what he did say made no sense to cryptologists" (1962).

### 5.4 Brumbaugh

Robert S. Brumbaugh, a professor of medieval philosophy at Yale University, became interested in the

manuscript during the thirties, and when it was donated by H. P. Kraus to Yale, he was drawn by an attempt to look at it (Brumbaugh 1975. p. 348). He was also struck by O' Neill's identification of America (1944). Brumbaugh published an article in *Speculum* (1974) announcing that he had solved the mystery of some labels on plant pictures in the pharmaceutical folios as well as what he refers to as star maps (p. 348). He also states that he has deciphered the name of Roger Bacon in the "key" sentences on the manuscript as a deliberate forgery for the purpose of fooling Emperor Rudolph II of Bohemia in return for the sum of money he paid for it.

Scarcely that the complete solution will take a lot more study. Brumbaugh still claims that extensive work on astrology, with some botanical and frequency studies of samples throughout the text show that my (1975. p. 348). He makes tons of connections between like sequences of symbols in the margins of folios 66r and 76r. and in the second ring of 57 v. as well as the sentences on 116v; these sequences, while misleading, still provide aid in penetrating the cipher, according to Brumbaugh. The text on folio 116v appears to be enciphered using what he calls, without further explanation, a standard thirteenth century cipher.

He sees confirmation for this in the paired sequences in left and right margins of folio 116r. in which substitution of two normal alphabets, with "a" of one set against "d" of the other. Using this cipher rearrangement of syllables, Brumbaugh obtains "RODGD BACON" from a portion of folio 116v which he reads as "MICHI CON OLADA BA" (note that this is the beginning of the same text string that Newbold read as "DAB AS MULT AS . . PORT AS") He suggests that the name was "planted" in such a manner as to be easily accepted by Rudolph's experts and thus to attract and delude them into accepting the authenticity of the manuscript.

On folio 66r. Brumbaugh sees a set of "formulae in the words and letters scattered down the right margin. These formulae, he suggests, serve to equate symbols to other symbols by a sort of "cryptarithmic" of word examples (1975. pp 350-351). I must confess that, while those he explains are convincing enough, the "formulae" remain somewhat mysterious to me in the absence of further clarification. Using these recovered labels for plants (which he "cribbed" by exploiting word patterns with repeating letters like "pepper." "pa" in "papaver." etc.), he sets up a four-by-nine table of correspondences: he says that a standard alchemist or astrologer's cipher, well known in the trade" (1975, p. 351), and he finds the words "quadronomix" which he sees as referring to this four-by-nine structure. Figure 2b shows Brumbaugh's recovered table.

All the Voynich symbols. Brumbaugh suggests, stand for forms of the numerals zero through nine for the function of zero; if any is not made clear in his presentation). The encipherment, as he sees it, which first replaced letters by numerals using the four-by-nine box, collapsing the letters of the alphabet and then substituted choices among several different fanciful designs for each numeral in order to disguise designs chosen from modern and archaic numeral forms. Greek and Latin letters, and several cursive forms (p. 353). It will be noted that this process involves multiple variants in both the Voynich script and involves first recognizing the numeral underlying one of its variant forms in the Voynich script, then, for each, two, three, or four possible choices of plaintext correspondences, when this has been done for a word or sequence of letters is selected from among the choices.

An example of the application of this method to a portion of folio 116v will serve as an illustration. Brumbaugh singles out a sequence of eight Voynich symbols from the mixed text on this page. He reads it as High German: "valsch ubren so rum ga nichr" and translates as "the above is false so do not believe." Identifying the eight Voynich symbols with numerals according to the correspondences he has set up (he explains anywhere in his papers except in very fragmentary form), he obtains the digits "0 2 0 2 7 7 7 7". Using their multiple plaintext equivalents from the four-by-nine box, he produces the following:

0 202 733 9

A B A B G C C I

J K j K P L L .

V R V R Y W W -US

37

He selects among the few pronounceable alternatives \* AKABYLLUS. ARAKYLLUS, AKARYCCUS. L r RUBYLLL ARABYCCUS, etc..) the word ' ARABYCCUS". which he sees as a reference to the Arabic numerals under In his first article f 1974), he presents a number of other examples of his method drawn from plan folios. In most cases, the choice among pronounceable possibilities ts quite limited, a phenomenon theory.

The plaintext produced by Brumbaugh s decipherment is described by him as 'an artificial language, not very firmly based there: its spelling is phonetically impressionistic: some sample passages se To add to the decipherer s problems, 'the upper cipher key changes slightly every eight pages ' 1 asserts, plausibly enough, that such ambiguities, while rendering « cipher system unsuitable for m customary and expected in magical, astrological and alchemical texts of the times in question.

Tiitman (1975) makes these critical comments regarding Brumbaugh s theories: '"The idea that the m forgery is not original to him. I suggested it as an uncomfortable possibility tn 19)1 . ... He cl the script are really digits in variant forms and that the kry is a fro\* providing single digit su digit represents two or three letters .... All this is so ambiguous that it can only be justified of confirmatory evidence, but he supplies hardly any evidence at all aid 1 remain quite unconvinced alone in assuming the symbols to be numbers in various forms. This has been suggested several time

Mv opinion on a careful study of Brumbaugh"! two published papers is chat his theories are quire p such evidence as lie presents. His proposals are based in. and explain, more of the observed pheno what is known of its history than chose of anv other decipherer. I have made two painstaking attem as possible of the variant forms for numerals he mentions in his articles, tn so far as l can gues frequentK cryptic references. From the fragmentary set of correspondences I havt thus obtained. J decipherments of other plant labels and isolated text strings wuh mixed results. A lot of them are sec, and some are suggestive of Latin or pseudo-Larin words; many are very similar (as would be ex repetitiveness of the text). There is fust enough plausibility in the process to lead one on. but satisfied. Figure 26 shows my very conjectural attempt to reconstruct Brumbaugh's variants with th rune-by-four matrix, and a sample of his decipherments of plant labels.

A new article by Brumbaugh has recently appeared in the jottmtl of she I mnd Cavnatdd \nsmutts\* Un London ( 1976). In this article. Brumbaugh up that his recent research has convinced him even more rectness of ha decipherment

## Chapter 6

### History of Other Substantial Analytic Efforts

#### 6.1 The Forms in Which the Manuscript Has Been Studied

The Voynich manuscript was for a long time held in private hands, first by its discoverer, Wilfrid widow, and finally by H. P. Kraus. Because of its great financial value, its owners were understan

unlimited access to it or reproduction of it;\* although they 4rrqu end y cooperated with serious s  
mystery In the first few years after his discovery of the manuscript, Voynich made vigorous and re  
students in it. and Newbold was introduced to the problem through his efforts. It is possible that  
Newbold's researches, and the disappointment occasioned by their failure may have resulted in an a  
and of greater restriction on the pan of the owners in providing access to the manuscript in subse

As we have seen in the previous chapter, Feelv and Strong were able to study the text on lv throug  
published works of Newbold and others. The manuscript has come before the eyes of many other stude  
form of phocostatic copies. The copies used bv Friedman, Tiltman. Krischer. and Currier, and the c  
derive ultimately from a photocopy made by Father Petersen of Catholic University on April 29, 19}  
photostats provided by Mrs. Voynich. Tiltman fin a report of Petersen's work made in conjunction w  
papers after his death in 1966), states that ' virtually all copies of the manuscript in private h  
Petersen's photostats/' The pages I have studied are, in fact, copies of copies at four or five re  
accompanying the copy m the Friedman collection) provides this interesting account of the photocop  
at char rime, and how they came into existence:

On 2 1 Mav 1944 W| illiam J Ft . | Fjricdmin j wrote a lertcr ro the widow of Dr. Wilfrid M Vuvmch  
famous manuscript, requesting a phofosrenc copv The requeir was granted and a complete copv was ma  
provided bv Mrs Vormch. In her kner dated 31 May 1944, she stated tbit photostanc copies were exrr  
York Public Librarv, another is in the British Museum . another was given to Dr Petersen of Carbol  
scholar whom Mrs. Vormch did not idenufv: fmallv Mrs. Vormch hersell had a copv. With the copv tn  
appear to be in all si a copies in the world

In general, (he photocopies I have seen provide a degree of definition and clarity' which is quire  
penstrokes, guidelines on diagrams, and other fine details show up very well, and the text is dear  
everywhere. Certain deficiencies should, however, be mentioned, since they may have had a definite  
effect, however slight, on the research carried out by many students. First, the complete lack of  
copies inevitably results in a loss of some meaningful information. This may be important not only  
in understanding the meaning of other drawings, but even in isolating some details against a dark  
everything is seen only in shades of grey, writing or small designs within colored fields are some  
same difficulty can anse in cases where the photocopy is very dark, so that the grev background ob

A second defect of the photocopies available to me applies primarily to the large, multiply -folde  
had to be made in pieces, their over -a II relationship to form a whole is often very difficult to  
see the complete system of drawings as they appeared in the original form. Worse vet. in some case  
been obscured by being out of focus around the edges of a page, or has been partly cut off, so tha  
that was on some pages in the original. This is notably the case for the large, intricately folded  
complex system of inter -related circular diagrams.

Another feature of the photostats 1 have studied, while not constituting as much of a hindrance to  
problems already mentioned, is annoying and at times confusing to the student. There are numerous  
underlines, and other |omngs and scribbJmgs of modern researchers on many pages. Among these are c

I informed b\ Mr James GiUoglv. who has studied ihu copi. ihaT it is incomplete, comprising onlv a  
made up primarily of plant folios.

of rhc reset, and legends such as start here . omit punch' . and punch just this." in some cases, cross the text and drawings in such a way as to obscure or confuse some features of the original. G have indulged their characteristic and apparently irresistible habit of underlining patterns and triumphantly noted their guesses about the meaning of the diagrams I "the four ages of man/' "the "Sagittarius – archer"), While one can empathize with the momentary joys and sorrows of one s pred struggled with the enigma, most of these jottings are trivial at best, and at their worst serve on difficult of the task I, for one, would prefer to see nothing more on the pages than what Wilfrid viewed them in 1912,

A final unavoidable disadvantage of working with copies is the inability of the student to venfv o concerning the faint, parti all v-erased writing in other scripts and hands discussed in Section 4 examination of the original, perhaps aided bv special chemical or photographic techniques to reveal writing more fully, we cannot make the most of the opportunity they provide for a crack in the smo So little "crib" information is available; the scribe or scribes were so consistent in "encipherin leaving no clues "in the dear", that we need every precious bit of added information we can glean atypical scribbling\*, whatever their source.

Such, then are the photocopies with which mosr of the students have worked whose researches will b chapter The first problem facing the analyst has been the attempt to arrive at a firm set of eleme alphabet" for the Voynich text. We have seen in Section 4,1 and figure 19 the wide differences bet alphabets adopted bv different students. Armed with a list of svmbols that satisfies him at least has then set about the task of making counts, indexes, concordances, and other anal vies, cither b fortunate as to have access to computers, bv machine Some students have copied or transcribed larg hand; this is a good way to get the "feel" of the text, and to become familiar with the svmbob and remainder of this chapter, several major analytic efforts will be reviewed. These studies, while n derisive break-in or decipherment. have in manv cases added substantial I v to our knowledge about informative also from a methodological standpoint, and deserve the attention of anv serious studen from the work of his predecessors rather than blind Lv repeating it.

## 6,2 First Voynich Manuscript Study Group, 1944-46

Afrer the debunking and rejection bv scholars of the three major solutions claimed bv Newbold. Fee William F . Friedman decided to mount a large-scale effort against the manuscript with the aid of well -constituted team of researchers. This group, made up of scholars engaged in war work in Wash i according to Elixabeth Friedman 1962) "specialists in philologv, paleography, ancient, classical Egyptologists, mathematicians, and authorities on other sciencesdepined in the manuscript." Awaiti dose of their service to the Government during World War 11, thev agreed to get together after wor Friedman s direction and focus char talents on the mvstenous manuscript.

The group was called together by Friedman in Mav of 1944, On the rwenth-sixth of May. sixteen peop meeting of what was termed an extracurricular undertaking. Friedman provided an outline of the man and previous solution attempts, and the attendees examined the photocopy lent to them bv Dr. Peter copy were distributed to those present, and plans were made to work up a standard list of the svmb alphabet in Roman letters with some digits and special characters {punctuation, etc.) for processi accounting equipment. Figure 19 shows the list of svmbols and English equivalents thev arrived at. approximately biweekly intervab through June; transcription of text and study of the script contin background topics (Athanasius Kircher s work. John Dee s activities, studies of medieval Latin, et discussed.

Meetings seem to have been somewhat less frequent and regular thereafter, or at least considerably m the manures I have seen. Nevertheless, in September 1944 an "IBM run" had been made (on tabulati machines, since no programmed computers were in general use at that time) In subsequent months, mo

transliterated and machined, in December 1944. meetings were resumed." implying that a hiatus of some time had elapsed during which the group had not been meeting. A new enthusiasm was communicated to the attendees by the impetus provided to their efforts (according to the minutes) by William Friedman's presentation of

40

synthetic language developed by Wilkins' See 6.6 and 9.3 below for further details. Studies of the word beginnings and endings, letter frequencies, number of different symbols, and word lengths were found in the Voynich text.

During January and February, the group continued to work on IBM runs and frequent tabulations. Unfortunately, no record of their work after this time in the materials available to me. although continued sporadically into 1945 and 1946. It is hard to tell in the absence of any summary of the work they succeeded in processing by machine and what analyses they performed on it. Judging by the papers which were preserved in our records, they transcribed and keypunched an impressive amount of text—about 1663 thirty-character lines. The tabulations of results and any report of the analysis from the file, if they ever existed in final form. Subsequent students have had to repeat, over and over again, transcription and machine preparation, as if it had never been done by others.

Eileen Friedman presents the following perspective on the outcome of the First Voynich Manuscript Project: "Because the preliminary work of transcribing the text into, machine-processable symbols could not be done in hours, demobilization was practically complete before the manuscript was ready for final study. The project was disbanded and returned to their universities or research projects. Their considered opinion as to the general nature of the manuscripts, based on their extracurricular work, are still valid today in 1990."

### 63 Theodore C Petersen

Father Petersen (1883-1966) was a teacher and priest at St. Paul's College and Catholic University. The details are largely drawn from unpublished biographical notes and a survey of Petersen's work on the manuscript by Tiitman after Petersen's death in 1966.<sup>1</sup> He had one hundred and twenty-two sheets of photostats made in 1931 from Mrs. Voynich's copy at a cost of \$25.00. Thereafter he spent considerable time, especially in the time of his death, in a painstaking and thorough study of the manuscript. His work included a transcript corrected by reference to the original, which he examined in the New York Guarantee Trust safe deposit box kept until Mrs. Voynich's death. A note on the front page of this transcript attests to the fact that in 1944. Tiitman (1975) reports that the task of copying the approximately 250,000 characters of text was completed.

Petersen was a scholar of wide learning in ancient languages and history, and compiled a quantity of interesting information about religious, astrological, and mystical manuscripts and other sources. He also directed considerable attention toward identifying the plants depicted in the Voynich manuscript. The pages of his transcript are copiously annotated with these gleanings and commentaries. In addition, Petersen made (also by hand) a laborious and complete concordance of the entire manuscript, showing the reference to all the pages where it occurred and several words preceding and following each occurrence. In the absence of a complete computer index, this concordance can be of great value to students of

in his scholarly and wide-ranging background research. Petersen studied the works of Ramon Lull and Heinrich Bingen, magical manuscripts such as Picatrix, astrological, alchemical, and herbal writings, and the works of Magnus and Roger Bacon. There is, unfortunately, nowhere in the material available to me any report of what he may have held, or conclusions he may have reached concerning the decipherment of the manuscript. Any such information was given to William Friedman; they were inventoried at Friedman's request by Tiitman. and are now

Friedman collection at the Marshall Library in Lexington. Virginia.

#### 6.4 Second Voynich Manuscript Study Group , 1962- 1963

In 1962, Friedman succeeded in interesting computer specialists at the Radio Corporation of America in an effort to study the entire manuscript by computer. The first meeting of a new study group was held according to the minutes. Mrs. Friedman presented background data on the history of previous work and information on the manuscript. Mr. Friedman then gave a presentation on the 'Salient External Features and Characteristics of the Manuscript.' The group worked together, again "extracurricularly" and worked over the next several months. A small team of 'dedicated wives' (as they were described by a paper) were hard at work transcribing and keypunching a quantity of text, using facilities provided by RCA.

41

Ambitious plans were laid for an impressive set of computer runs, intended to involve, according to the study, at least 2000 thirty-three character records, or upward of 66,000 characters of text. The specifications, and all the other paraphernalia of a full-scale computer attack, which (had it been) have provided students with a powerful tool for research. The computer runs planned included studying sequences (of n-graphs) from one to six letters in length; single words and sequences of words in terms of occurrence of letters at different positions within words; words in different positions within sentences called "letter permutations" whose nature is not dear to me from the documentation. This plan was for a complete computational- linguistic analysis of the Voynich text.

I cannot determine how many characters of text were actually machined, and whether any processing was done. There is clear evidence in the records that programs had been written to generate the computer file for processing, and that detailed specifications had been set up for performing the sorts and tabulating plans were still being pursued to complete transcription and machining of text. Figure 19 shows the code used by the RCA group to represent the Voynich script characters. Unfortunately, the second midway through the project as the first: higher management at RCA decided to terminate even the minimal "extracurricular" resources, and the group was forced to disband before any definitive results could be obtained.

#### 6.5 William F. Friedman

A specialist in mathematics and biology who became one of the world's foremost cryptologists, Friedman was a student of the Voynich manuscript from the early twenties on. He worked with John M. Maubly in refuting Newbold's claims. Elizebeth Friedman (1962) provides an amusing account of the sport she, her husband, and others together in demonstrating other "decipherments" that could be had from Newbold's text using his different arbitrary and subjective choices and arrangements of letters at certain stages of the process.

In 1944, as we have seen earlier in this chapter, Friedman brought together the gathering of war-formed the First Voynich Manuscript Study Group. Their work, unfortunately cut short before it could have been described, Elizebeth Friedman has this to say concerning her husband's enduring interest in the manuscript never flagged up to the time of his death in November, 1969: "Through the years since 1921 Friedman's interest scholars and cryptologic experts in the problem, besides giving it what spare time he could find as a writer. Friedman's studies have produced a theory which constitutes a logical basis for an attempt at solution of this baffling manuscript" (1962).

Friedman published a statement of his theory, in the form of an anagram, in a footnote to an article on a topic in the January 1959 issue of the *Philological Quarterly* (Friedman and Friedman 1959). At the time he deposited a statement in clear English in the archives of the *Quarterly's* editor. He did this in order

claim to the idea, which he could not yet work out in detail and prove sufficiently to publish. This appeared in the footnote: PUT NO TRUST IN ANAGRAMMATIC ACROSTIC CYPHERS. FOR THEY ARE OF

LITTLE REAL VALUE – A WASTE– AND MAY PROVE NOTHING. – FINIS." (Friedman and Friedman 1959, p 19). In his article\* he states that an anagram of this length is possible, though extremely difficult one would have to know something of what it said. In this way, Friedman planned to have a cryptographer thus triumph, even from the grave, over any later discoverer of the same idea.

The theory which Friedman concealed in the anagram has since become known to a number of students, to be no further real secrecy concerning its nature. Tiltman had later independently reached the same (see 6.6 below), namely that the text of the manuscript was written in a synthetic language built up on classes of words with coded endings or other affixes, Friedman's and Tiltman's researches into this have been mentioned above, and more will be said on the topic in 6.6 and in Chapter 9.

\* 6.6 John H. Tiltman

Brigadier Tiltman, a professional cryptologist of long and distinguished experience, was introduced to the Voynich manuscript in 1950 by William Friedman, who provided him with copies of several folio sections of the manuscript, consisting of text without drawings. Tiltman quickly carried out, by his statistical studies on the text, concentrating his efforts on the most frequent symbols and their order, demonstrating a "precedence" structure of symbols within words and the orderly behavior of characters

42

"middle/ and 'coders' of words, has remained one of the most solid and useful findings gleaned by the manuscript during many years of study. In 1951, Tiltman prepared an informal report in the form of a communication to his friend William Friedman, in which he summed up his work (Tiltman 1951k). The next paragraphs will briefly review some of the salient points in that report.

Tiltman directed his attention toward the behavior of the seventeen commonest symbols in the manuscript, showing his transcription alphabet. He notes the ordering of characters within words in such a way as to identify entities like stems and affixes. Certain symbols most often begin words, and others cluster there with certain exhibit a preference for the ends of words, where they cluster in certain arrangements with other structure of repeated "V and "C" symbols after and "0". and before "if\*", A table of these beginnings

as found by Tiltman, is shown in figure 27. He mentions also the frequent sequential repetitions of phrases such as 1 ft? \*? "■"5? •?«£ . etc., repeating the suggestion of a friend of his that these

repeated groups might stand for Roman numerals. (for example, "t^" might be "iij", and J might

While mentioning this idea as an interesting possibility, Tiltman points out that it does not work out. It leaves us with too many unsolved problems. In any case, the ordering of symbols within words, as Tiltman, and since confirmed by others, presents us with a phenomenon which must be satisfactorily explained by a decipherment theory.

As he stated in his 1951 report to Friedman, Tiltman had independently arrived at the same theory underlying the Voynich script that Friedman himself had earlier developed. He states this theory to have formed the opinion, which you held much earlier than [that there was no cipher involved at all (in the sense of the word) and that the manuscript was more likely to be a very primitive form of synthetic universal developed in the form of a philosophical classification of ideas by Bishop Wilkins in 1667" (1951.



convinced, from his study of the behavior of symbols within words and words within lines of text, not be explained by any simple substitution system. In pursuit of confirmation for his theory, he search to trace back the concept of 'universal 1' and "synthetic" languages to a time that might of the Vovnich manuscript (1550 or earlier).

Friedman. as we have seen above, had turned up two interesting synthetic language systems: one devised by John Wilkins (1641, 1668a, 1668b). and another of somewhat later date devised by George Dalgarno (1668). Tiitman studied these two languages carefully, looking for structural and statistical similarities to systems were probably of too late a date to have been used by the author of the manuscript, they may have been based upon, an earlier system that could have been so employed. Tiitman concluded that both Wilkins' and Dalgarno's languages were much too systematic to account for the phenomena in the Voynich text. He postulated that it employed a "highly illogical mixture of different kinds of substitution" (1951, p. 2).

Looking back further in history for a still earlier form of 'universal language', Tiitman discovered "Universal Character", devised by one Caspar Beck (Beck 1657). This system looked somewhat promising, but hardly early enough in date; it was certainly 'illogical' and "mixed" in its methods. The words of the system were assigned numbers from one to 3999, in rough alphabetical order, creating a crude four-digit code for the language. A subset of about one hundred and seventy-five common words could also be represented by three-digit code groups in addition to the basic four-digit code groups, constituting, in effect, a set of variant trigraphs all began with Y or 'Y\

Code groups representing nouns in Beck's system were preceded by the letter V\ and adjectival groups by the letter W\ . Synonyms (e.g. T1 "to think" and "to cogitate") had the same four-digit group assigned to them. Plurals were indicated by 'Y' or sometimes, an "8" after the digit-group. Verbs might have up to three letters prefixed to certain forms. The digit-groups themselves could be written also in letters, each digit being represented by a consonant-vowel, vowel-consonant, or consonant-vowel-consonant. This variation, intended to provide pronounceable forms for the code words, constitutes from a cryptographic point of view a substitution of letters for the digits, to provide a set of variants. Finally, because of the arbitrarily mixed letters, a separator was required to show where one word ended and the next began. Tiitman points out that the group »r in the Voynich text could stand for a plural "s" followed by a word separator as in Beck's system.

Tiitman discovered another, still older "synthetic language" proposal by a man named Johnston, in the direction of a Bishop Bedell about 1641. No detailed description of this system has survived, but more will be said about synthetic and universal languages in general. I will also present, in Section

43

I

findings in tracing the evidence for the existence of similar synthetic languages or codes back as well into the fifteenth or at least into the early sixteenth century.

In later reports (1967, 1968, 1975). Tiitman describes his other principal line of research on the Voynich manuscript, which he spent some time in England in 1957 consulting experts on early herbals and medical manuscripts, and on the origin of the plant illustrations. He presents an excellent overview of the history of early plant illustrations (1967, 1968). Summing up his own and others' failure to discover any clear parallels in the Voynich manuscript, he says. To the best of my knowledge no one has been able to find any point of connection between the Voynich manuscript or early printed book. This is all the stranger because the range of writing in the Voynich manuscript covers the entire range of the plant world from the early Middle Ages right through into the sixteenth and even seventeenth centuries.

limited indeed. ... In general, the illustrations in the early printed herbah are limited to two or three woodcuts copied over and over again in more and more degenerate form" ( 1968. p. 11).

Aside from the substantive contributions Tiitmans research has made to our knowledge of the manuscript, an important result of his work should be mentioned. Over the many years of his association with the manuscript, he has acted as a coordinator and contact point for students interested in the manuscript and desiring information on it by others. His papers and presentations have provided many researchers with the subject, and have motivated a number of students to take up an interest in the manuscript. It is to the reader who has persevered this far in reading this lengthy monograph that the puzzle of the Voynich complex challenge, and can best be approached by cooperative research, building on the earlier findings of an orderly scientific enterprise. Tikman's publications and communications have provided such a foundation upon which newer students can advance, without being forced to exhaust their resources needlessly repeat what others have already accomplished.

## 6.7 Jeffrey Krischer

Krischer, a man of very broad interests and talents comprising mathematics, computer science, medicine, etc., became interested in the manuscript and made a computer analysis of the text as a research project at Harvard University. This research was described in a paper which received a limited circulation among the students of the manuscript (Krischer 1969). In Part I of his paper, Krischer provides a brief sketch of the claims by Newbold, Feely, and Strong, and reviews some general information about the history and the manuscript. In Part II, "Statistical Analysis," he presents an interesting discussion of the problem of transcription alphabet and a description of the alphabets used by Newbold, Currier, and Tikman. He also discusses several statistical techniques which might usefully be applied to the Voynich text.

Krischer's approach to the computer study of the manuscript is unique and interesting because he has used programs developed for machine processing of Chinese characters on the Digital Equipment Corporation computer. As Krischer states, this set of programs was general enough to permit its application to the symbols (following Currier's alphabet) were drawn on a cathode ray tube "scope" display attached to a computer. The text "could then be transcribed by pointing with a light pen to the corresponding character of the script" (Krischer 1969, p. 4). This method of transcription was more direct and less laborious than hand copying and keypunching required by other computer studies. The PDF-1 system also permitted editing and correction of the transcribed text from the scope. The output of computer runs could be fed into a Stromberg-Carlson 4020 equipment to produce a graphic reproduction of the Voynich characters, thus avoiding the cumbersome and distorting artificial Romanization that all other students have had to resort to. The text was fed directly into the computer, where it could be subjected to any desired manipulation or statistical analysis. Two percent, or 5500 out of the 250,000 characters in the manuscript, were machined by Krischer in his own statement (p. 53). His frequency counts are shown in figure 28: it may be noted that they show a discrepancy for which I can find no explanation.

In Section III of his monograph, Krischer discusses some statistical tools for comparing different languages. He selects three such techniques as potentially useful in comparing the Voynich to other languages. These statistical tools are: 1) a statistic or "characteristic"  $\chi^2$ , describing the deviation of the sequences of characters in the text; 2) a statistic representing the "entropy" or degree of randomness of the text, having a characteristic value for each natural language; and 3) Markovian analysis, a way of measuring the probability that any particular letter will be a successor to any other particular letter in a string of text. These measures, which have proven effective in other statistical researches, may be useful in helping

underlying language of the Voynich text. (In this approach, he assumes first, that the method of encipherment has not obscured any of the characteristics of natural language plaintext, and, second, that natural language does, in fact, underlie the text. As we have seen in Section 4.4 above, neither of these assumptions is taken for granted, and in fact, they are both counter-indicated by much of the evidence, as noted by Friedman, and others. I

The "k" statistic and the entropy measure were computed by Kinscher for characters and for words in the sample he machined. He states, however, that these are of no use without parallel measures for Latin language text for comparison. He also considers his own text sample much too small for the useful "Markovian Analysis" method, which would, he states, require at least five times as much text, at the time of writing his paper. Kinscher planned to carry out further studies; I cannot find any record of this promising and interesting computer project, which pointed out a way of testing some ideas about the text. It seems to have been terminated, like so many of the others, before it came close to

## 6.8 Prescott Carrier

Captain Carrier\* a prominent professional cryptologist and close associate of Friedman and Tiltman researched and became an enthusiastic student of the puzzle. Tiltman in 1971 sums up Carrier's remarks as follows: "Since his retirement - . seven years ago Captain Carrier has spent a great deal of his own time on the manuscript. He holds the view that there are at least two different handwriting systems in every case the two sides of a leaf recto and verso are in one and the same hand. Further his analysis shows significant differences in their content\* as in the frequency of symbols associated with one or the other. I came to prepare this lecture. I saw at once one difference between the content of the A and B pages in his account of suffixes following a number of the common roots the suffix 8G longj ^ 1 occurs eight times in twenty-five A pages and 334 times in twenty-five B pages. . . My own feeling is that the two 'languages' expressed by two scribes of the same rather loose set of rules to similar text \

Carrier was able\* in 1973\* to have computer studies made comparing two carefully chosen matched samples of hand A and the other in hand B\* both selected from the herbal folios. The results of the study clearly showed significant differences between the samples. In the course of subsequent hand studies\* Carrier has reached further conclusions regarding the contrast between material in hands A and B. and he is still pursuing his investigation. He has extended his studies to other sections of the manuscript in addition to the hands A and B documented in four unpublished papers (Carrier 1970-1976\* D'Impino 1976k

## 6.9 Some Comments Regarding Computer Methods

The subject of computers as tools in humanistic research\* and specifically in the attack on the Voynich manuscript holds a special interest for me since I am a computer programmer by profession and my academic background is in classical philology. There are several ways the computer can aid in the study of the Voynich manuscript: 1) processing undertakings. These are: 1) a data processing function, permitting the manipulation of larger and more significant sample sizes than can be dealt with by hand\* 2) an exploratory data analysis allowing us to apply various indexes\* counts\* and other selection\* display, summarizing and tabulating functions to explore the data and show up any patterns or regularities it may contain as an aid to hypothesis testing\* 3) a hypothesis -testing function, for investigating various specific theories we may have developed as a result of exploratory hand and machine studies.

Most of the use of computers by students of the manuscript falls in the first (data processing and reduction) categories. While these are both useful and necessary in their place\* the third use of computers - hypothesis -testing, seems in my opinion to be the most powerful and the most likely to produce significant contributions to our knowledge of the problem. A significant example of this effective use of computers is the recent study of hands A and B\* discussed in the previous section. Gurnet had developed his idea about the manuscript before he came to the computer specialists to seek their aid\* He had

I will presume to paraphrase as follows: "If in fact there is a real and significant difference between pages that look different to me, then they will have different distributions and clusterings of requested only certain carefully-planned machine runs, to be made only on two matched samples of other variables constant in so far as was possible. The computer runs have nearly confirmed his theory

45

differences he had postulated between the two samples: a result that might never have been obtained by machine processing applied indiscriminately to masses of unselected text.

In my opinion, this is the best way the computer can serve us at this stage in our research on the obvious and easier data processing and data reduction displays have been made again and again by very disappointing results. It seems evident that, if anything new is to be learned from computer runs, more carefully-planned selection of the data, or some more specific and sophisticated manipulation of concealed patterns in the internal structure of words and sentences, in response to a particular text or cryptologic nature of the text, or some theory about its possible content or provenience. It is almost machining more and more data in very general ways, with no guiding principle for selection and intent to process data by machine today frequently far outrun our planning and imaginative capabilities. Often with many feet of printouts that tell us little or nothing, since we still have no meaningful most demanding aspects of scientific work is the framing of useful questions, and the design of effective useful answers. We need to apply this scientific approach to our study of the manuscript, and especially computers. In hand studies, the limitations of patience and a time on the part of the investigator and the more wasteful activities, or at least prevent their assuming wasteful proportions, but the computer these limitations and, alas, to carry out wasteful activities on a grand scale.

46

## Chapter 7

### Collateral Research: Roger Bacon (A.D. 1214?- 1292?)

The necessarily brief and sketchy review in this chapter cannot approach an appropriate treatment of the thirteenth-century scholar whose name has so frequently been associated with the Voynich manuscript. In the discussion of Bacon's possible authorship of the manuscript in Section 2.2.2 above, there is no supporting or denying his connection with the work, however indirect. Nevertheless, anyone interested in finding, indeed, anyone who cares about the history of Western thought) should learn as much as possible only because he was so evidently a man worthy of closer acquaintance. He is especially appealing to us (as he would be, if his works were made more accessible) in that he has told us, in a forthright and ingenuously about himself in his own writings; in fact, almost all that is known about him today originates in contemporaries rarely, if ever, mentioned him in surviving records. Bacon's own voluminous writing has varied specialized studies of his life and work made by scholars of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. His insight into those problematical relationships between wisdom and science, God and Nature, human technology, which still confront us today, however we may attempt to disguise them by recasting them

#### 7.1 Works By and About Roger Bacon

Bacon's life and works have been described and analyzed in a number of major studies, though I believe I say that, up to the present, no truly complete and definitive treatment has been attempted. Few of

translated into any modern language; much remains unedited and unpublished even in the original Latin. Bacon exacerbated the problem by reworking and re-using his writings over and over again, so that it is many fragmentary works that survive are copies or revisions of parts of other works, and which are The condemnation of his doctrines by the Franciscan Order, and the resulting suspicion and fear on contributed to the confusion, since many scholars quoted or copied his works without daring to men consequence of these many obscurities and difficulties. Bacon's works are not all accessible to the sole exception of a translation into English of the *Opus Majus* (Bacon 1928b).

Scholarly studies of Bacon's writings have been carried out primarily from very specialized and on one extreme, historians of science have been interested in Bacon as a part of their search for pre experimental methods: at the other extreme. Catholic philosophers and scholars have examined his p various technical points concerning medieval Scholastic philosophy. Emile Charles (1861), despite provides a remarkably clear, fair, but sympathetic general presentation, expressed in elegant scho by a quahtv of learning formidable in its thoroughness and dedication. A careful reading of this e recommended as a starting point for anyone interested in Bacon. Later writers are indebted to Char information presented in their volumes and for much of its interpretation as well. A much more rec Easton (1952) is also to be recommended unreservedly; his approach is remarkable in its imaginativ analysis and its creative extrapolation from the few available facts to develop a striking picture clear perspective on his thought. James Blish (the well-known Science Fiction writer prominent in Trek series) has written a very fine fictional biography (1971), based primarily on Easton's study recommend to the interested reader,

I have attempted to obtain and read every serious work concerning Roger Bacon which I could find, fuller understanding of his contribution to knowledge and his possible association with the Voynic bibliography appended to this monograph, (while it cannot claim to be exhaustive, and does not eve have examined, since some appear likely to be of little value to the reader primarily interested i should provide access to most of the major works on Bacon in English as well as many in other West

47

## 7.2 Bacon's Life and Works

Bacon spent most, if not all, of his adult life as a scholar or teacher. He studied and then\* having Am Decree, taught at the Universities of Oxford and Paris in the 1230 s and 1240 s. The newly rediscovered natural philosophy by Aristotle occupied a central focus of intellectual excitement at the time. A preserved among Mohammedans along with other sources of Greek learning\* while they were forgotten immersed in the barbarism of the Dark Ages and the obscurantism of the early Church: translated in accompanied by a wealth of commentary by Mohammedan and Jewish philosophers\* these new wellsprings science brought about an intellectual revolution in thirteenth-century Europe. The task of attempting differences between the philosophy of Aristotle and his pagan commentators, on the one hand, and the other-worldly viewpoint of the Church Fathers forming an integral part of Christian doctrine, on the other, preoccupied the attention and strained the resources of thirteenth-century thinkers.

Bacon was one of the first scholars capable of lecturing on the newly revealed Aristotelian Natural commentaries. He was evidently a good teacher, and must have enjoyed his years at the Universities manuscript, apparently representing a student's long-term collection of notes or transcripts of Bacon's works of Aristotle, covering several years, has been edited by Steele (Bacon 1909-1940). Another manuscript described by Steele (1933). represents notes by a student in other, much more elementary courses on and similar topics given by Bacon.

At some point in his University studies. Bacon suddenly seems to have changed the course of his th from the promising and rather successful career he had been making for himself as a teacher, he ap course of self-study, seeking out obscure scholars interested in the 'natural science" of the day astrology He became particularly preoccupied with " expert memurn": an approach to nature that inv systematic comparison and analysis of other s reports on natural phenomena\* along with a son of in and -error investigation of phenomena in order to understand them better. The "sdentia experimenta not at all like our modern, controlled laboratory experimentation, with its vast armament of equip models: nevertheless\* is had the same fundamental orientation toward the external, objective world in open-minded curiosity. Bacon also began so place great emphasis on knowledge of languages other particular Greek. Hebrew, Arabic, and other original languages of the Bible and the Greek and Arab bv Bacon as the sources of wisdom revealed bv God.

Bacon wrote extensively on a variety of topics, notably on optics and the transmission of light: g astrology; language, translation, and Biblical criticism; the reform of the calendar and of educat A prominent feature of his works was an emphasis on the utility of these arts and sciences for the good of the Church. He was. first and foremost, a "mission oriented' thinker, and constantly reite of any knowledge without a moral goal and frame of reference. For him. rhe motivation of science a found in the mission of the Church. He asserted the methodological unity of science, philosophv. a interested, to a degree unusual for his time, in methodology as such. It is interesting to note, a and as insistentlv of the "beauty " of philosophv and science as of their utility f for example, i phrase quoted bv Frankowska ( 1971. p. 36), from Bacon s CommunU Naruruha . he says he wishes to c Perspective quia hcc est pukhrrior alii\*. . . because it is more beautiful" than other sciences)

Some rime in the 1 240's Bacon decided to join the Franciscan Order, for reasons he never discusse scientifically-oriented modern writers have speculated about this course of action, which appears distant land often irreligious) viewpoint, to have been a fatal mistake on his part. He never seem well with his superiors, and incurred some degree of discipline or confinement on at least two occ severity of these punishments, sec Fcrei 18911. In 1267. he was asked bv Pope Clement IV to send c philosophical writings to Rome, and in response, produced the Opus Maps. Opus Minus, and Opus Trrt known works). Clement s death in 1268 destroyed anv hopes Bacon might have had of achieving recogn his educational and intellectual reforms, although he apparently made several subsequent attempts PnrtcjpaU. or encyclopedic work on human knowledge, that was probably never completed. Again impri restricted bv his Order in 1278. he produced little further until his death in 1292 lor\* some clai extant writings and fuller treatments of his biography mav be found in Charles ( 1861 ). Easton { 1914).

### 7.3 Survival and Significance of Bacon's Work in Later Times

The thirteenth -century Friar Roger, a: has been noted bv jevera! writers, has been overshadowed a far ^rearer acclaim accorded bv our age to his namesake, Francis Bacon, who is credited with the i scientific method. Roger Bacon seems to have been regarded by manv recent writers as a sort of exa stubbornly refuses to be stuffed into any of their favorite pigeonholes. Scientific writers are im science" because he did not provide diagrams and specifications of his constructions and laborator day scientist would be expected to do. Students of Scholastic philosophy find him an indifferent p omitted entirely from a number of modern surveys; in others he is passed over with a few ambiguous \ 1930) provides a dear and not overiv favorable examination of Bacon's positions on various typic comparison with a number of his other, more conventional, contemporaries, Manv writers seem unable

Bacon was a religious mystic on the one hand, or an iconoclastic positivist and empiricist on the

Roger Bacon's main difficulty was undoubtedly his inability to be a "team player" he did not allow school of thought accepted in his time, and in fact launched violent and outspoken attacks upon most contemporaries. He frequently referred to them as a "stupid crowd" and castigated them for their this uncompromising combativeness was probably the real cause of his condemnation, however it may be rationalized. He was apparently trying to articulate ideas for which his own age had no words, no understanding; our age has dearly swung so far to the opposite, positivistic pole that we have even less comprehension for the synthesis he was trying to form. Bacon went his own way, building his own anatomy, philology, and natural philosophy based on Greek, Arabic, and Jewish writings and borrowing from a living colleagues (Robert Grosseteste, Adam de Marisco, Peter de Maricourt). He rejected the Scholasticism of Peter Abelard, in favor of his "scientia experimentalis" and he minimized the importance of logic disputation, so dearly loved by his contemporaries. On the other hand, Bacon's "experimentum" included reported "experiences" of the Greek and Arab philosophers, comprising fables and superstitions concerning the virtues of viper's flesh, the influences of the stars, and flying dragons; stranger still to the "experimentum" included Divine illumination and mystical insight from God. Thus, Bacon succeeded in alienating all of his colleagues in his own time, and in confounding all of his would-be admirers in

Condemned by his Order and prevented from writing or teaching. Roger Bacon was marked out for obloquy by superiors and fellow scholars. His voluminous works were apparently ignored, but exploited indirectly by his immediate successors who feared to mention him by name. His name was apparently even erased from his works by the end of the fourteenth century, however. Bacon began to enjoy a gradual revival of his work on medicine (Bacon 1928a) was transparently pirated and plagiarized to good effect by some later writers. This, together with his *Epistola de Mirabilibus Potestatibus Artis et Naturae* (Bacon 1859), and several alchemical works (Bacon 1603; Singer 1932) were quite popular, and served to provide the Franciscan a formidable reputation for vast occult powers, John Dee was a devoted disciple of Roger Bacon, and a new Renaissance of his reputation and writings. It has been suggested that Francis Bacon was interested in Mortlake. Dee's home, through the extensive library of Bacon's writings Dee had lovingly and as have even gone so far as to suggest that Francis was far more indebted to "a certain monk in a cell

From the late 1800s on into the early twentieth century. Bacon had another revival, being hailed as forerunner of modern experimental science and technology. Much was made of his predilection for "emphatic rejection of the ideas and methods of his contemporaries. Newbold's claim to have deciphered a manuscript, and to have discovered evidence there of Bacon's invention of the telescope and microscope, this wave and added briefly to its momentum. Catholic writers hailed the Newbold theory as a "vindication of thirteenth-century science" (Reverend He 1921; Walsh 1921). Rudyard Kipling wrote an interesting short story in which Roger Bacon was a central figure (Kipling 1926; I am indebted to Brigadier Tiltman for pointing me). Typical of the effusions of some considerably less gifted writers is an article by Grove Wilson, *Great Men of Science in the Middle Ages* (1942); overflowing with pathos for the persecutions visited upon Bacon's "witch-hunting Church, this embarrassingly dreadful dose of purple prose even credits Bacon with the steam engine in his "laboratory,"

Predictably enough, the pendulum swung rapidly to the other extreme, aided considerably by the debunking theory by Manly and Friedman (1916, 1921, 1929, 1923-58) went further than most in divesting Roger Bacon of any claim to respect as a philosopher or a scientist. In Thorndike's monument

Wittgenstein's 'and Experimental Science 1923-58'. he dismisses Bacon as a superstitious medieval monk, completely devoid of any trace of the modern scientific outlook, and thus not worth a word of the attention

While he deals almost as harshly with all the medieval writers he discusses in his work. Thorndike seems to be a shade more savage and thoroughgoing. Undoubtedly in an over-reaction to the effusions of Bacon by some earlier writers

Steele (1921) provides what seems to me to be a very fair estimate of Bacon's place in history; he qualified to assess Bacon's works, having edited more of them than most other Baconian scholars. His perspective, based on Bacon's stated plans for his unfinished *Scriptum Frinapm* "In estimating Bacon men of his own time it is important to remember, first of all, the complete originality of his scheme, unfinished though it most probably was . . . , was as distinct in kind as in form from the works of his contemporaries. Bacon's schematic arrangement was not only unparalleled among the writers of his time

absolutely new. Nothing like it had been devised since the time of Aristotle. . . The whole scheme of recast, . . . It may be that the framework of his scheme owed something to Al Farabi's *De Scientia* (1913, pp. 11-142). conception and execution its originality is manifest" (pp. 11-142).

A very interesting recent study by a Polish author, Malgorzata Frankowska (1971), presents a very documented and supported assessment of Roger Bacon's contributions to knowledge and his influence on modern thought. She provides several detailed examples of Bacon's approach to empirical science; his discussion of rainbows in the *Opus Majus*, for example, clearly supports a conclusion that he fully shared the analytic mental habits of the modern scientist (Frankowska 1971, pp. 85-87; cf Bacon 1928b, pp. 55-56). Despite the fact that his equipment, the data and the sources at his command were woefully deficient, he used the reports of carefully-planned observations in a disciplined, orderly manner to eliminate various competing hypotheses and to build up confirmatory evidence for one particular explanation of the observed and reported rainbow phenomenon.

It is interesting to note that, in spite of his later explicit rejection of the Scholastic Method, he continued to use it in his earlier lectures on Aristotle, and he was evidently a sophisticated user of the developed form of analytic disputation (see Steele 1933). At the heart of the Scholastic Method was the dialectical method (constituted typically of quotations from Biblical and Patristic authorities and from Greek and Arabic sources favoring and those opposing a given point at issue were matched in an orderly way, followed by a 'resolution' attempting to reach a conclusion from all the evidence. This method, when skillfully and sagaciously used, is a powerful tool of analysis, and differed essentially from modern scientific thought only in its source of authority (quotations from "authorities" rather than empirical measurements) and its purpose (the resolution of philosophical rather than technical and empirical questions). In his analysis of the rainbow, Bacon put to good use the Scholastic Method as applied to the strongest and best data he could obtain.

Roger Bacon's principal contribution to knowledge, according to Frankowska, involved the nature and method of science. Rejecting the presentations of other writers which she regards as one-sided (even in the case of Bacon she sees as overemphasizing the religious and mystical side of his nature), she assesses the following as a more considered tribute: "Bacon was the first to consider in such a large way the theoretical foundations of science; with science, he was also the first who had the vision of the future of science based on the scientific method. Moreover, he was the first to originate theoretical reflections concerning the nature and aims of science—reflections which were to find mature expression much later in the time of Francis Bacon (1561-1626) and Descartes (1596-1650)". She concludes that "The thought of Roger Bacon lies at the source of both the empiricism of Bacon and the mathematical method of Descartes (p. 136) and recommends as have other scholars before her, a serious study to demonstrate and prove the influence of Roger Bacon's writings on the better-known later scientific thought."

Until his works have been edited, translated, and systematically studied as a whole, on their own background of his known sources and contemporary thought, no definitive evaluation of Bacon's contributions to knowledge is possible. He remains, for most moderns as for his own contemporaries, an enigmatic and elusive figure who determinedly refuses to be filed away in any convenient cubby-hole.

7 A Was Roger Bacon Associated With the Voynich Manuscript ?



Coming now to the question of Bacon's possible authorship of, or connection with, the Voynich manuscript, can we conclude I feel, although I cannot support my view with any definite evidence\* that it is highly unlikely, nor only because of the great disparity of dates between Bacon's life in the thirteenth century and the probable origin of the manuscript in the fifteenth or sixteenth century. I base my opinion also on

50

gained from a careful study of what is known about his life and his writings, including an attempt (which I feel is inadequate) to sample his own published works in the original Latin. I feel, in sum, that Bacon was not likely to have produced a work such as the Voynich manuscript, even during his periods of imprisonment or exile.

Far from being a rebel or iconoclast in any modern sense, Bacon was clearly a deeply, even passionately, religious man who accepted the beliefs of his Church. He chose to become a member of the Franciscan Order, and chose to remain in it for the rest of his life, in spite of repeated harassment\* and disappointments. He claimed repeatedly that the purpose of human knowledge was to serve God, uphold the Catholic Faith, convert unbelievers, and defeat the evil empire (the technology!) of Antichrist. He was also fascinated, as we have seen, by mathematics, methodology, and the natural sciences, but however inadequate the data and techniques available to him may have been.

Bacon, in short, does not seem to me to be the sort of man to have created a magical manuscript, so mysterious and ambiguous as the puzzle before us. Almost all of his authentic writings that have come down to us are scholarly treatises in medieval Latin, quite uncompromising in their forthright and rational quality, and drafted by trained assistants in the computation and drawing up of tables and diagrams. In his scientific work there is no indication of a real personal interest in biology or botany, although he praised, in his practical work, agriculture and husbandry. His medical work was a faithful and complete compilation of information drawn from other authorities, and not original with him. His approach to astronomy, astrology, and magic was conventional, oriented toward methodology and terminology; it provides no frame of reference with which to understand the Voynich manuscript's idiosyncratic Zodiac diagrams and other drawings decorated with symbolic pipes, "cans." and tubs.

It seems to me much more likely that the Voynich manuscript is a product of the sixteenth century, a time when alchemy, and perhaps, as suggested by Brumbaugh, ascribed to Bacon because of his reputation for occultism, or otherwise unidentified, mysterious manuscript was apt, in the past, to be attributed to Bacon, especially for alchemy and was provided with bizarre diagrams.) Rather than ascribing such a work as this to a conservative, and learned man such as Roger Bacon, I can far more easily imagine a small heretical sect of adepts and illuminati, perhaps in Germany or Eastern Europe, concealing their strange and probably secret book of the kind we see in the Voynich manuscript, I urge the interested reader to explore Bacon listed in the bibliography at the end of this monograph, and, especially, to read some of Bacon's Opus Majus, the sole work accessible in English I know, and thus reach his own conclusions.

51

t

Chapter 8

Collateral Research: Medieval and Renaissance Cosmology and

## Iconography

The main aim: chapters in this monograph are intended to provide a very broad 'brush survey of some that may be relevant to the problem of the Voynich manuscript. As we have seen in Chapter 2, it seems of many students that the manuscript can be dated to late medieval or early Renaissance times, and provenience, it seems, therefore, that any serious student should gain some understanding of the methods of representation, and other features of those periods that can put into proper context: the manuscript itself, and perhaps give us some leads toward an interpretation of the drawings and the work as a whole. I urge the reader to consider the present sketch of treatment as a mere approximation. Very beautiful and curious products of human art and wisdom that have survived the iconoclasm and reaction on the one hand, and scientific positivism on the other.

## 8. / Ars Memorativa : The Art of Memory

Probably the best and most general treatment of the Art of Memory is that of Yates (1906). Much of what follows is taken from that excellent study, and I recommend the book to any reader who wishes to learn before pencil and paper became the trusted and abundant companions of every scholar and bureaucrat, found to organize and remember the details of complex presentations such as legal cases and public speeches, philosophers, lawyers, and statesmen of ancient Greece and Rome prided themselves on their highly trained memories, which were so cultivated and emphasized as to be virtually eidetic in character. An important tradition for the Middle Ages was the *Art of Memory*, attributed by medieval writers to Cicero (106). Cicero described a mnemonic system supposed to have been devised by Simonides of Ceos (496-436 B.C.) and regarded as a vital

part of Rhetoric.' itself an essential feature of ancient and medieval education.

In the memory system ascribed to Simonides, the orator went to a quiet, well-lit place such as a forum, or some other structure provided with a series of distinct niches, columns, stairs, or other scenic elements. He walked about there, systematically rehearsing the ideas of his presentation, associating upon the successive scenic units so as to associate with each a key word or sentence of his speech. Vivid, striking, and colorful visual images that would serve to remind him of the ideas later in the presentation. Images were to be chosen from such sources as Greek and Roman mythology and legend.

This system of place-memory gave us our modern word 'topic' from the *topoi* or places constituting the feature, (The medieval Stations of the Cross which have survived into current Catholic usage today place-memory system associated with vivid visual imagery). Greek and Roman orators boasted of their artificial memories and competed to see who could remember the longest series of words or ideas – hundreds and thousands – by means of such mnemonic methods. In addition to the *Art of Memory*, another Cicero, *De Oratore*, described a similar memory system. A work by Quintilian, dating from the first century A.D., gives directions for choosing Memory "places" and constructing images to be stored in them and associated with what one wished to memorize.

With the advent of Christianity, the Memory Art became a major resource for preachers and religious teachers spreading the Christian Faith. Of the two great mendicant Orders of the Middle Ages – the Dominicans and Franciscans – each had its own favored Memory Art for preachers. The Dominicans employed the class system above, with colorful images drawn from pagan mythology and other barbaric foreign sources – in a manner that seems to us startlingly and amusingly inappropriate as mnemonic tags for Christian teachings.

The Franciscans followed a different tradition instituted by Ramon Lull (c. 1235-1315), a flamboyant personality whose life and works are well worth studying for their own intrinsic interest (see Peirce and 1966 pp. 173-198; Rossi 1961). Instead of using images, Lull's art employed a set of revolving

od

I

simple geometric figures marked with letters of the alphabet, which were manipulated in a combination or other elements were rotated against each other to produce all possible combinations of the letters stand for ideas such as L 'God' \ ' Evil'. € 'Man' \ "the Soul \*; for lists of sins and virtues: or for elements one wished to remember and meditate upon in sequence Lull, a 'native of Majorca, was probably a mystic of the Jewish tradition of the Cabala (see 8.7 below) and also by the Mohammedan mystical philosophy. It is interesting to note that Lull's combinatorial method of systematically listing and considering all few basic elements is a very powerful and valuable mental tool. Shorn of its medieval and religious overtones, modern logic and science, and is useful to computer programmers, for example, in analyzing events. I made use of it for the scheme of cryptographic hypotheses in Section 4,4,2. It also applies to cryptographic devices involving rotating discs.

The great Divina Commedia of Dante, and the iconography of medieval cathedrals with their 'sermons' striking embodiments of the encyclopedic Memory Art. still valued by and familiar to educated people. In the Renaissance there was a great efflorescence of richly elaborated mnemonic systems, Giulio Camillo built a wooden memory "theatre" embellished with colorful images and provided with drawers in which books and other papers could be filed, using a "place" system of memory, the images represented such things as the Cabalistic "Sephiroth." names of angels, and other magical and mythological elements. Giordano Bruno (1576-1600) had entered the Dominican Order and studied their Memory Art: leaving the Order later to pursue a career as a Hermetic Magus in which led ultimately to his death at the stake. He continued to be interested in mnemonics and taught his own elaborate mnemonic system to wealthy patrons as a way of earning a living. (reconstructed by Yates 1966, pp. 199-230) from Bruno's work *De Umbris idearum* (Bruno 1582), involve a memory wheel which had thirty main segments, each subdivided into five smaller ones, the whole arranged like Lull's figures so that rings within it rotated independently.

The main segments of Bruno's wheel were labelled with twenty-three Roman, four Greek, and three Hebrew letters, total of thirty. Each of these could be combined with, or subdivided among, segments for the five combinations Aa, Ae, At, Ao, Au. Ba, Be, etc. Images shown within the segments and associated with each of the wheel represented elements such as the thirty-six decans (see 8.3 below), the seven planets, the moon, plants, birds, animals, stones, metals, etc., in a vast and all-embracing synthesis. This was to be merely a memory device: it was basically a system to permit the operator to attain encyclopedic knowledge coupled with the magical powers of a Hermetic Demiurge. Bruno founded a mystical sect in Genoa, the Giordanoists: their beliefs were probably akin to those of the later Rosicrucians and Freemasons. One aspect of Bruno's philosophy, which was in many ways similar to his own. The mnemonic system had a last magnificent work of Leibniz, in his design of a set of "notae" for use in a "universal calculus. The medieval Memory Art undoubtedly formed the conceptual foundation and precedent for the synthetic and artificial language of the fashionable Renaissance and later times (see 9.3)

An interesting detail concerning a lost Art of Memory attributed to Roger Bacon is mentioned by Yates (1966) and by Hajdu (1936, pp. 69-70). Yates says. "There is a rumour that Roger Bacon wrote an *ars memoriae* but this has not so far been traced," Hajdu refers to a work by C. O. Revendow (1843, p. 41), which is an older work by Von Aretin (1806). which latter I have, unfortunately, been unable to crack down. It may be summarized as follows: Bacon had written a Treatise on the Art of Memory to be found in a manuscript, never printed, has not so far been discovered. While Bacon was not known as a teacher, it was reported by Aretin to have employed a method based on that of "the classical authors (presumably

Quintilian J.

Weuacocot f 1953. p 92) provides another very tantalizing reference to this lost mnemonic art of Ro magical method employed by him to teach the elements of Greek and Hebrew grammar Bacon claimed on occasions that he could teach the essentials of Greek and Hebrew to the first comer within three d student to read and understand foreign words in scriptural texts. Characteristically, Bacon backed forthright and combative statement. "Dabo caput meum si deficiam" f T will forfeit mv head if I fa unable so far to discover the sourer to which Westacon refers: a work, supposedly in preparation i and Evelyn Jaffe. to be published in the Medieval and Renaissance Studies of the Warburg Institute the magical art of language teaching employed by the Admirable Doctor.

Encyclopedic mnemonic systems such as those described above constituted, in effect, a sort of univ language, associated with single letters and clusters of tenets from a mixture of alphabets, and u

54

represent a variety of subject categories This is che primary source of their relevance to our pre Vovmch manuscript. Some such svvrem might well underlie the code-Uke structure of words demonstrat Vovmch text. Manv of the circular diagrams in the manuscript, with their rows of cells in concentr pictures or labels or bits of text, are also reminiscent of the diagrams of LuJL CamiUo. Bruno, an

## 8.2 The Hermetic Tradition

A set of philosophical and mystical doctrines of great conceptual richness and beaurv. the Hermeti primary importance during the late Middle Ages and rhe Renaissance. The besr single general treatm again, by Frances Yates f 1964). Another good clear overview, from a less sympathetic but still fa Shumaker (1972). The Hermetic writings, composed by various anonvmous Hellenistic authors around A represented an eclectic amalgam of Platonism-. Stoic ism. Jewish and Persian philosophy, and a cer Egyptian religious elements The doctrines became known to the Middle Ages when a monk named Leonar brought to Florence a Greek manuscript of what came to be called the Corpus Htrmettcum , It was tr command of Cosimo de' Medici during the years 1 462 – 63 by Marsilio Fictno iwho was himself to be considerable prominence through his magten- medical system of astrological images and doctrines). Corpus Hffmtttcum, published in 147 L was explosive in its popularity and influence, and founded a which was to be of central importance in European thought.

The Htrmtnc a las the entire collection of Hermetic wrinngs is called 1 were attributed to Hermes legendary ancient Egyptian seer or god (identical with the Egyptian god of wisdom. Thoth). regarde channel of Divine illumination, and a contemporary or predecessor of Moses. Festugiere (1944-541 p considered the most scholarly edition and commentary on the Hermencu: Scott (1924-361 gives an Eng although Yates apparently does not consider it accurate ( 1964. p. 22 fnl. The Hermetic Tradition frame of reference for astrology, magic, alchemy, and all the occult sciences which held a predomi thought for manv centuries: this philosophy, as it was interpreted by Renaissance thinkers, probab science and technology as well. The Hermetic doctrines frequently emphasized the almost limitless mind, as partaking of the Divine Mind or Nous, It seems probable that the present albencom passing science may be traced in pan to an origin in the Promethean doctrines of Hermeticism. regarding ma Demiurge, capable of standing beside God as co-regent of the natural universe John Dee. Cornelius Bruno, Marsilio Ficino. Giovanni Pico Della Mirandola. Giovanni Battista Porta, Trithermui – these figures of late Medieval and Renaissance philosophy drew their inspiration from the springs of the What was the nature of these philosophical and mystical doctrines, that gave them their power over

during some of the most creative centuries of Western history.' Modern scientifically-oriented writers find it hard to understand their appeal. It is amusing to note that Shumaker, in his Preface, frankly bewilderment at the enthusiasm of his young students, who rush up to the podium to question him each hour. In a highly interesting personal confession, he discusses his own adverse reaction to this difficulty in comprehending the "irrational" point of view on reality embodied in them, and his identification with the positivistic attitudes of modern science with which he is so much more comfortable.

So that the reader unfamiliar with them may gain an idea of the impact and beauty of these writing paragraphs of an excerpt translated by Yates (1964, pp. 23-24) drawn from an account of the creation of man in the *Platonic* (one of the books of the *Corpus Hermeticum*).

(The will of God first brought forth a second creative power, or Nous-Demiurge, who in turn fashioned the visible world with their sphere\*. ) Now the Nous, Father of all being\*, being life and himself, whom he loved as his own child. For the Man was beautiful, reproducing the image of his Father. Form that God fell in love and gave over to him all his works. Now, when he saw the creation which he had made, the Man wished also to produce a work, and for man on to do this was given him by the Father the sphere, in which he had full power, the Man did the works of his brother, and the Governors tell in a parable in their own rule. Then, having learned their essence and having received participation in the periphery of the circles and we know the power of Him who reigns above the trees

Then Man, who had full power over the works of mortal men and of animals, learned across the atmosphere through their envelopes, and showed to the Nature below the beautiful form of God. When she saw that he was a living and intelligent being, the Governors, joined in the form of God, Nature joined with love,

55

in the image of the form of Man, reflected on the water and his shadow on the earth. And he, having seen in Nature, reflected in the water, he loved her and wished to dwell with her. The moment he wished to inhabit the irrational form. Then Nature having received her loved one, embraced him, and they loved.

### 83 Astrology and Astronomy

Such a vast and complex area of symbolism is covered by the medieval and Renaissance disciplines of astronomy that only the briefest possible summary can be presented in these paragraphs, I will consider salient matters of possible relevance to the Voynich manuscript and in particular upon certain set symbols that might conceivably underlie some of the sequences of text strings in cells of the astrological diagrams. Good general discussions of the subject may be found in Shumaker (1972), Wedel (1920), Boll and Brzold (1931) Allen (1941), and Duhem (1913-1959), A detailed catalogue (with numerous illustrations) of Latin astrological manuscripts of the Middle Ages may be found, in Saxl (1915 and 1927).

The twelve months of the year, the houses\* of the zodiac signs\* the association of these with the celestial spheres and the 'Sephiroth', names of angels and demons, etc\*, all form sequences of twelve. Another set of astrological symbols is that of the fifteen major fixed stars that enter into the zodiacal path of the sun across the sky [see figure 29]. The star names are of obviously Arabic origin (learned by the Arab commentators on Greek works such as the *Almagest* of Ptolemy). A twenty-eight element sequence of relevance to the Voynich manuscript is that of the stations or "mansions" of the moon. Figure 30 shows these stations taken from two major sources.

An important series of thirty-six symbols is that of the "decans" or "prosopoi" or 'faces' of the zodiac.

of which each sign has three. had their origin in ancient Egyptian sidereal gods of time, associated with the route of the sun among certain constellations and stars. These beings were regarded as powerful deities who ruled over the celestial spheres; they were often called the "horoscopes." Each exercised powers of astrology, medicine, and each was associated with one of the "nomes" or geopolitical divisions of Egypt (Gifford 1936) and Seznek (1953) provide a detailed summary of the history of the names, images, thirty-six celestial beings, from Egyptian times through classical antiquity into the Middle Ages and ultimately into the Renaissance and into modern astrology. Each decan. following Egyptian practice, has a vivid graphic image; these colorful symbols were often depicted in Renaissance mosaics and frescoes as memory images in the richly embellished artificial memories of Renaissance magi such as Giordano Bruno. Seznek collected and studied the Coptic decan names with a view to their possible relevance to the zodiacal manuscript. Unfortunately, there seem to be no cases of thirty-six elements in these diagrams, or in the astronomical diagrams (see figures II and 12), and the decan images bear little relation, either in Egyptian or later Renaissance forms, to the nude female figures in the manuscript.

#### 8.4 Magical Systems

I have not found any single work that covers all of the systems in a scholarly manner, though several of the major traditions. Shumaker (1972) provides a good survey of Renaissance systems under the heading White Magic. Thorndike (1923-58) presents extremely detailed (if also rather brusque and unorganized) individual summaries of the magical philosophies of many ancient and medieval writers. Walker (1958) gives coverage of some late medieval and Renaissance systems. Yates (1964) deals thoroughly with Giordano Bruno and other philosophers of magic. Ritter and Plessner (1962) cover the Picatrix magical writings with great detail. Seligmann (1948) and De Givry (1971) make available numerous illustrations of magic alphabets, diagrams, talismans, etc. Mathers (1974) covers the Solomonic and Mathers (1975) the Abramelinian schools of magic. It is amusing to note that many of these works have recently been reissued in paperback to meet the enthusiastic surge of public interest in the occult. The following paragraphs will include only a few systems, with an indication of their character and possible relevance to the Voynich manuscript.

-56

#### 8.4.1 Picatrix.

A comprehensive compendium of astral and sympathetic magic. Picatrix was influential from the fifteenth century in European thought. Probably of Hellenistic and Arabic origin, it was translated from Arabic into Spanish by Alfonso the Wise, in 1256, but did not become available in a Latin version until the fifteenth century. The conglomeration of images, seals, characters, and incarnations based on astral and planetary demons is a medieval garbling of an Arabic name. According to Ritter and Plessner (1962), it is a medieval garbling of an Arabic name. But it may be derived from the Greek "Hippocrates." The work includes hymns, prayers, and incantations to celestial bodies; charms for all manner of purposes (to chase away mice and flies, prevent a sweetener from spoiling, discover hidden treasure, cause people to quarrel or to make up, etc.). Many of the "characters" are referred to as "Indian" or "Egyptian". In fact, hieratic or hieroglyphic symbols are recognizable in some cases, as are Egyptian elements in spells shown in Roman letters in some figures.

I have been unable to find, in a careful study of Ritter and Plessner's translation, anything that resembles a diagram or symbol in the Voynich manuscript, with one interesting exception. The "astral" or planetary form of geometric figures made up of line segments interspersed with circles or dots representing planets, reminiscent of the odd geometrical figures adorned with faces on folio 67v2. As we will see below, this form is common in alchemical works as well and may have had a common origin in astral magic.

#### 8.4\*2 Solomonian Magical Tradition.

The Jewish historian Josephus, in the first century AD. mentioned a book of incantations for Solomon to King Solomon. A book called the "Testament of Solomon" refers to a magic ring given to Solomon conferred upon him power over various demons (whose names and functions are listed in Medieval writ books of Solomon, and a Clavicula Salomonis and SigiUum Sahmorsts (Key and Seal of Solomons are men pamphlet written in 1456. The version translated by Mathers (1974) is said to date from the fifteenth century. Solomonian magical tradition was the best known of all medieval magical systems. S. L. MacGregor Mathers of this and the Abramelin writings as well as in 1975 was an interesting figure in his own right: a magician and head of the Rosicrucian Order of the Golden Dawn at the end of the nineteenth century. The system depended heavily on Jewish Cabalistic sources, it features Hebrew characters and other symbols some of those in Ptolemy and arranged in similar circular "seals" or magical diagrams. Like most magic, it involved purifications, a devout religious frame of reference seeking power and guidance from angels, and elaborate ceremonials with incense, robes, a special room or 'oratory and special furniture to be little in this apparatus that even suggests any diagram or symbol in the Voynich manuscript.

#### 8.4-3 Abramelinian Magical System .

The magical books of Abramelin were translated by Mathers (1975) from a French manuscript in the Arsenal dating from the seventeenth or eighteenth century. This, in turn, claims to have been translated from a Hebrew manuscript dated 1458. One Abraham the Jew, born 1362. is supposed to have obtained the magical system presented is said to be based on, but not identical to Cabala, Abraham wrote the description of this philosophy for his younger son. having presented his compendium of the loftier and more highly-regarded Cabalistic tradition. The Abramelinian system is concerned with ceremonials, purifications, incenses, draperies, etc., as well as in its general character, to the briefly above. The seals and charms, however, are considerably more verbal and abstract, and more esoteric in appearance; instead of circles and pentades. they consist entirely in "magic squares" containing Runic or Hebrew -sounding words. Long lists of demons and their functions are provided, along with detailed instructions and working with these demonic powers.

The pragmatism of some of the advice is remarkable, even startling to the unsuspecting modern reader. In his writings for the first time, I cannot resist quoting some examples; "It is not necessary to observe any special way to send away the Spirits, because they themselves are only too glad to be far away from you. (Mathers) "Communicate unto them the evil spirits) also the Form in the which you wish them to appear. .You must before to have demanded this from your Guardian Angel, who knoweth better than you your nature and who understandeth the forms which can terrify you. and those of which you can support the sight if

once again in my opinion on The absolute necessity in occult work of being courteous, even to the Evil Spirit. An insolent and overbearing will speedily lead him open to obsession by a Spirit of like nature. his ultimate downfall.' (p. 102)

Four familiar spirits were assigned to each operator in constantly rotating six-hour shifts, he could and is advised to keep them busy and out of mischief. He can, however, also give them time off when they can do. "The familiar spirits are very prompt, and they are able to execute in most minute detail any mechanical nature, with the which therefore it is well to occupy (them: as historical painting: in the use of weapons; , . /\* if, 362h There is an irresistible realism and psychological sophistication about the forces upon the reader the belief that the magical operator was interacting with an actual force or power within his own mind. In fact, the accepted modern theory of magic, on which present-day magicians base their operations, locates the powers being tapped by the magician in the depths of his own subconscious.

In spite of the great intrinsic interest possessed by this magical tradition, it too seems, unfortunately,

related to the drawings and general character of the Vovruch manuscript.

#### 8 - 4-4 John Dee's System of Spiritual Magic \*

John Dee, with his server Edmund Kelley, developed an elaborate magical apparatus involving communication with, angels or good spirits. Since, as we have seen, some students feel that Dee's connection with the origin of the manuscript, his magical philosophy should be of particular relevance, regarded his magic as a devout religious undertaking that would bring him into closer contact with more equivocal personality, mentally unstable, of a violent and avaricious temperament, and avidly means to get wealth and power. His main interest seems to have been in alchemy, and in a life-long the secret of making gold. To what extent Kelley victimized and deceived Dee cannot be guessed, but considerable, since all of the "angelic" messages were received by, and transmitted by Kelley. Dee confessed, no ability whatever to see the visions in his crystal or hear the angel voices, and was on Kelley. On the other hand, some writers have suggested that Dee was subtly exploiting Kelley for tolerated his treachery and his ill-natured outbursts for this reason it is hard to imagine, in any men could have invented so elaborate and remarkable a system without the knowing cooperation of the

Dee's angel names are reminiscent of Cabala, and have a strong Hebrew flavor: his magical system as said by Deacon (1968) to be quite distinct from any other well-known Cabalistic or Hermetic traditional synthetic language of great complexity, in which large volumes of text were communicated to Dee and angels, and which employed an invented alphabet: this language and alphabet may be of relevance to Voynich manuscript. They will be described, along with the practices and circumstances accompanying Dee and Kelley, in Section 9.4 below. Dee's connection with the Rosicrucian movement, his philosophical nature of the "hieroglyphic" manuscript in his possession will be discussed in Section 8.9. For more on Dee's angelic magic, see Casaubon (1659), Deacon (1968), Dee (1963, 1968), Fell-Smith (1904), Foster (1965).

#### 8.5 The Galenic Medical Tradition

Galen, according to Thorndike (1923-58), wrote a voluminous medical encyclopedia of twenty books or each) about A.D. 129. These works are not well known to modern readers, and are described by Thorndike as "inaccessible". The humoral system of medicine, ascribed originally to Hippocrates, was elaborated by Arabic commentators such as Haly ben Rhodan, Rhazes, Haly Abbas, and Avicenna. The tradition was in Europe over a long period of time, and survived in some form up until quite recently: it continues in concealed forms, in much modern "folk" medicine. Good general treatments of early medical history and Underwood (1962). Singer (1928, 1959) and Taylor (1921).

In the Galenic system, food was processed by the human body through four stages or "dispositions", and produced a nourishing product to be passed on to the next stage, and a waste product to be excreted. Yellow (or ruddy) bile, black bile, and phlegm – were the excreta of certain stages of digestion.

choleric," phlegmatic," and sanguine which still survive in our language to describe temperament or survivals of the names of the four humors. Each of the humors had certain "natural qualities", which

the human body, temperament, and mind. These were combinations of cold, warm, wet, and dry. Depending on the balance among the four humors in the constitution of a particular individual, he was said to have



Disease arose, according to the Galenic theory, from a serious imbalance among the humors and their qualities. Similarly changes in this balance accounted for the different constitutions of men, women, and children; differences also with the seasons, and in the constitutions of the sexes; different foods, herbs, and medicines had important effects on the balance of the humors and their qualities, and were considered to have their own. The celestial bodies each had a crucial influence on the organs of the human body, the other elements of the theory. The "microcosm" or "small world" of the human body was held to reflect the relations and influences at work within the macrocosm or universe as a whole.

The medical treatments employed by the Galenic physician took careful cognizance of the positions of the humors, and certain "critical days" were singled out, on which certain treatments could not safely be given. Purgative and cathartic expedients acting upon particular humors were an important part of therapy. For example, mercury was supposed to draw and purge phlegm and water; rhubarb acted on choleric < yellow bile!; and opium on melancholy (black bile). Blood was purged by the obvious method of opening a vein and bleeding the patient ("phlebotomy"). Thus, the Galenic physician was a skilled practitioner of "cathartic and phlebotomy."

Heat and moisture were highly important in the Galenic therapies. Heat was the principle of life. If it was early in life, it was thought to become gradually exhausted and cooled with advancing age. Old age is characterized by coldness and dryness, so that warm baths and applications of warm oils and unguents were recommended. Another sovereign remedy for the bad effects of old age was the contact or embrace of a young person. The aged person to regain some of his lost heat and moisture by contagion from the superabundance of the young. The royal road to health could lead, thus, to a warm puppy, or better still, a youthful maiden. Astrology was obviously also of great importance in Galenic therapy; the physician almost had to be an astrologer. The "medical month" consisted of twenty-eight days (a number which recurs in the diagrams of the manuscript), and the influence of the moon was of considerable importance through its effect on the humors.

Roger Bacon, in his medicinal work (Bacon 1928a), provides an extremely complete, clear, and detailed account of astrology as it related to medicine (and Waddington, in his preface to the work, gives an excellent summary of Galenic doctrines and Bacon's contributions and sources as well). Figure M shows some salient features of the "fours"; some of the terms may well underlie the labels and text strings in certain cosmological diagrams in the manuscript, and possibly in the zodiac diagrams also. They may be involved in the "human figure." The omnipresent puffs of vapor or foam could well represent the humor or qualities, the digestions, the degrees of coldness, warmth, wetness, and dryness may even be concealed in the text of herbal folios mentioned in ancient and medieval herbals as properties of medicinal plants.

## 8,6 Ars Notoria; Demonic and Angelic Magic

I have found relatively little material directly concerning this topic, although it is mentioned in the works cited in Section 8.1 above. Yates (1966) describes it as a magical art of memory, using symbols and regarded as a very black kind of magic. Walker (1958) discusses certain systems of "spiritual magic." In detail Thorndike (1923-58) characterizes Ars Notoria as an art designed to gain knowledge of and to control God by the invocation of angels, using mystical characters and prayers; he also dismisses all the "jumbles of diagrams and magic words" without telling us much more about it. The essence of the Ars Notoria has been the use of angels and demons' names, and an attempt to exploit these intermediaries as channels of power from God. In the thirteenth century (Siegfried 1606), the Solomonian and Abramelinian magical systems,

John Dee's magical practices all made heavy use of invocations directed to demons and spirits. Figure 32 provides some examples of the seals, talismans, and diagrams used to control these beings. The spirits were intricately connected with the four directions, the elements, and other cosmological entities, and so may have been named on some of the Voynich manuscript folios.

## 8,7 Cabala

The mystical Jewish philosophy known as Cabala or Kabbalah developed in Spain during the Middle Ages. A famous book called the Zohar, originating in Spain, was an important source of Cabalistic lore for

59

depended heavily on manipulation of the letters of the Hebrew alphabet and lists of sacred words, verbal and abstract in character, in contrast to the iconic, visual quality of many other magical systems and of angels and the Hebrew letters were employed in ways strongly suggesting to us today. In fact, the manipulations of the Cabala may have inspired at least some early cryptographic devices. A prominent feature of the system, Ten basic elements called the 'Sephiroth' were essential to the system, supposed to represent the powers or attributes of God, and were associated with other entities (e.g. etc.) in a typical medieval table of correspondences (see figure 35.1). The Hebrew letters were all numerical values and a Cabalistic method called 'Gematria' permitted alternative words having the same value to be substituted for senseless names such as the Sephiroth. Another Cabalistic art called 'Temurah' involved sacred words.

Most of the major magical systems of later times made at least some use of Cabala. Hebrew letters and alphabet were regarded, because of their Biblical association, as especially holy. The imagery and "feel" of the Voynich manuscript does not seem very close to the dry, abstract atmosphere of Cabala, the importance of the doctrine and of the Hebrew words originating in it to make it worthwhile for a student of the manuscript to be at least superficially familiar with it. Newbold attempted to use a Cabalistic principle involving all combinations of the letters of the alphabet as a part of his decipherment method. This, in itself, seems to have been an enormous and rather far-fetched hypothesis, however mistaken it has turned out to have been. General coverage of Cabala may be found in Mathers (1950), and Jastrow (1929).

## 8.8 Alchemy

The topic of alchemy has been dealt with by many writers in many different ways. Shumaker (1922) and Matheson (1953) present good general treatments, and Thorndike (1925-58) discusses alchemy in passing as one of various ancient and medieval practitioners. Singer (1928-31) provides a comprehensive catalogue of alchemical manuscripts, and an equally comprehensive listing of alchemical terms and symbols may be found in Ashmole (1962). Ashmole presents a large and valuable collection of old manuscripts, permitting the reader to get a feeling for the nature and style of their texts and illustrations.

The origin of alchemy apparently cannot be traced back to any one source with any certainty. It was a mixture of Egyptian, Babylonian, Jewish, and perhaps even to the Hindus and Chinese. Medieval writers ascribe its origin to Hermes Trismegistus, and much of the alchemical lore that came down to the Middle Ages probably had its source in Alexandrian Greeks in the early Christian era. It was transmitted to Europe from the Arab world through the works of the 11th century of a work entitled 'Book of the Composition of Alchemy'. Interest in alchemy was long-lived, continuing into the seventeenth century when it began to decline; the eighteenth century is regarded as the end of its heyday. Ashmole (1617-1693), founder in 1683 of the Ashmolean Museum in Oxford, the first public museum in the British Isles, was perhaps the last prominent enthusiast for alchemy.

The doctrines of alchemy covered a very broad range of technical practices and natural phenomena; it encompassed its intimate intermingling of Galenic medicine, philosophical and religious mysticism, mythology, astrology, botany, zoology, mineralogy and primitive chemistry. It was an all-embracing philosophy as well as a more or less operational set of techniques. There were two main forms of alchemy: one was the actual attempt to create new compounds or substances by chemical operations, and prominent

attempt to produce or multiply gold. It arose, in all probability, from early metal-working and spread through the ages from early man in the Near East. Theoretical alchemy, on the other hand, was a philosophy about the nature of the universe and of matter: an eclectic amalgam of Gnosticism, Neo-Platonism, doctrines, and pagan mythology. There was no hard-and-fast line drawn between these two branches of each practitioner of alchemy struck his own preferred balance between the smoke, smells, and gadgetry of the quiet of the study or the oratory of the magus.

It was customary for an adept in alchemy, especially one who claimed to have attained some practical "son" or heir to whom he would pass on his wisdom at his death. Elias Ashmole was "adopted" in this alchemist named William Backhouse: Ashmole himself apparently never attempted the laboratory operations but contented himself with reading and collecting manuscripts and studying the symbols and alchemical. Almost all alchemical writings were routinely couched in a highly mysterious, deliberate metaphorical language, codes and ciphers were commonly employed in the manuscripts, and extreme se-

60

In essence, as far as modern writers have been able to guess from the convoluted secret writings that early alchemy was based on a theory involving a fundamental constituent of all nature called the first individual objects gained their characteristic identities that made them what they were instead of the addition of qualities such as the cold\* moisture, dryness and heat of Galenic medicine. In order to transform one object into another object, one must remove the "qualities" of one nature, get back to the neutral first, and then add the "qualities" of the desired nature (usually those of gold). This process involved elaborate work in the alchemist's "laboratory" that might occupy months or years, employ the services of many helpers, and require incredible amounts of money and effort. Practical alchemy was a feasible hobby for only the rich.

The laboratory operations included a long list of activities which are variously named, needless to say, in the many alchemical treatises. They are described by terms such as calcination\* solution, putrefaction, fermentation, exaltation, and projection. The products of these processes and their appearance and the vessels or glassware used were described in wild metaphorical ways (a black residue was "the raven", corrosive acid was "the green lion", other substances were called the snow swan, the toad, the dragon, etc.). Substances were referred to as "medicine", "menstrual fluid", "blood", etc., or parts of the human body. Metaphors were taken from human social life ("marriage" or wedding, "coitus" and "burial"), and religion ("the passion of Christ", "resurrection, purification, redemption"). In fact, any natural or artificial object or process could appear as a cover-word for some alchemical

It is my own opinion that the Voynich manuscript could well be, at least in part, an alchemical treatise. This hypothesis explains the secrecy and mysteriousness of its form, the difficulty of deciphering it, and its similarity to conventional herbal or astrological illustrations of the times, and the apparent encyclopedic nature of the text. In fact, the only two drawings I have found that have any close kinship in style or treatment to those illustrations in Ashmole's *Theatrum Chemicum Britannicum* (1652). These are: a drawing of a plane, and a symbolic representation of an alchemical operation on p. 350. Both of these are in a group of illustrations which are identified, alas, only as "anonymous". The text, in paired lines of Old English, consists of Christian mystical platitudes, astrological matters, etc. in the usual wildly heterogeneous conglomerate of much farther toward the "theoretical" or philosophical end of the spectrum than the practical.

The plant figure has many of the odd stylistic features of the Voynich manuscript: 5 herbal folios; stylized arrangements of leaves and flowers; the "molded plastic", blocky or sculptural forms; the plant having a "cut out" look on which the plant is sitting, very similar in style to some root forms on plant folios.

The other figure has elements resembling some of those in the folios showing nude human figures in doud-like form at the top. from which conventionalized ra vs emanate, represents God. immediately man or angel breathes into rhe mouth of a bulbous alchemical vessel, his breath is clearly indicat the vapors or liquids are shown passing through the elaborate "plumbing ' on the Vovmeh manuscript are a sun [with a face) above and within a crescent moon: from each of these, vapors or emanations through the vessel. The round botiom of the vessel is provided with seven spouts, spaced around it and the vapor emerges from all ot these and trickles down over two nude, plump human figures locki hands; these figures, while bener drawn than the Vovmeh manuscript nudes, are short- legged and "h tummies, in a verv similar stvle. Two dragons standing on their heads and a toad complete the comp seven spouts on the vessel is so close to that of similar spouts and vents on the pipe-iike forms almost indistinguishable, and the symbolic use of conventionalized forms to create a new synthetic meaning also seems closely akin to the methods ot the Vovmeh manuscript s scribe or scribes While identified onlv as anonymous in Ashmole s collection, 1 have discovered some highly similar figure thev are associated with the writings of George Ripley, a fifteenth -cent urv alchemist who produc strong Christian flavor (Philalethes 1678, Rrplev 159L E756L De Rola (1973. figure 64 1 shows a fi second described above, citing us source as De Errorrbuj\* bv John Dastin f British Museum. Eg ere

In anv case, k seems likeiv that a thorough examination of alchemical manuscripts and their illust repav the efforts of am - student who could gam access to them.

### 83 The Rosicruda n Movement and John Dee

While Dr John Dec has already been mentioned quite frequently in this monograph, it remains to pro discussion of his thought, his writings, and his connection with the Rosicrucian movement, a philo

61

mav. itself, have some bearing on the Vovmch manuscript There are a number of good treatments of J thought, nocablv Deacon (1968). Fell-Smith (1904). and French (1972). Yates (1972) covers the earl movement verv thoroughly, and deals with Dee in that context. Dee s private diary (Dee 1842) and a m his large collection \ James 1921 ) are of considerable (though leu general ) interest.

The Rou crucian movement, centering m the Palatinate region of Germany but having wide-ranging rep other European countries, was essentially an attempt to liberalize religious and philosophical thi heritage of the Hermetic tradition with Christian mysticism and a generous admixture of alehcmv. C medicine The Rosicrucians were fanatically secretive. The authors of the original Ron crucian 'man the Confeino, both reproduced in translation in Yates 1972) never revealed their identities. Thev ' brotherhood/' and appeared to invite new adherents; all attempts on the part of would-be recruit founders seem to have been fruitless and certainly received no open response (although there may h concealed contacts and acn vines behind the icenesh

The Rosknidan doctrines, like those of alchemy to which they are dosciv akin, manifested a highly convoluted use of symbols and imagery. To the amalgam of devices familiar tn alchemy, the Rosicruc symbolism related to the prominent conflict between Protestant nations and leaders, organized arou Palatine of the Rhine, and married to Princess Elizabeth, daughter of James 1 of England) and the house of Habsburg These quasi -political symbols with religious and mvstical overtones included th Palatine lion, the red rose, images related to the Order of the Garter/' and svmboh taken from or Dee s writings, especially his Monas Hieroglyphic\* (Dee 1564. 1964 L

John Dee. according to Yates, 'belonged emphatically to the Renaissance Hermetic tradition, brough

new developments, and which he further expanded in original and important directions' ( 1972. p. x page, she describes Dee's contributions as follows: 'In the lower elemental world he studied number applied sciences. . . . in the celestial world, his study of number was related to astrology and alchemy. Hieroglyphica he believed he had discovered a formula for a combined cabalistic, alchemical and mathematical would enable its possessor to move up and down the scale of being from the lowest to the highest supercelestial sphere Dee believed that he had found the secret of conjuring angels by numerical tradition /'

Dee's influence was earned to the European continent, where he made extensive visits from 1583 on to Yates, very active in stirring up new movements in Central Europe, though his work there has been less than his life in England. It would seem that Dee was somewhat of an intellectual leader in Bohemia but in a religious reform movement, the nature of which has not yet been investigated and explained. As discussed in Yates' treatment of Dee and the Rosicrucians probably look place after the Voynich manuscript's existence. It seems to me very likely, however, that there is some kinship between the philosophy and the Rosicrucian tradition. Because of the known association of the manuscript with Rudolph's court with Dee, and the obvious similarity of its secretive, synthetic symbolism to that of the Rosicrucians it scarcely affords to ignore any of this highly interesting material.

A brief word should be said concerning the "hieroglyphic manuscript" which Dee was reputed to have in possession, and which some writers have identified with the Voynich manuscript. The letter written by Browne to Elias Ashmole, and reporting the words of Arthur Dee, John Dee's son, concerning this manuscript is quoted by Fell-Smith (1904) as follows: "The transmutation [to gold] was made by a powder they had found in some old place, and a book lying by it containing nothing but hieroglyphic; which book he bestowed much time upon, but I could not hear that he could make it out." (p. 311). Arthur Dee, born in 1593, was eight years old at the time he saw the events he describes.

Another history related by Fell-Smith probably records the origin of the manuscript and the powder. It has been wandering in Wales. . . when he stumbled upon an old alchemical manuscript and two caskets containing a mysterious red and white powder/' (p. 77). It was Kelley, in any case, who brought the powder and the manuscript when they first became acquainted. In fact, one gains the definite impression that Kelley's original purpose (under an assumed name at first) was to gain his assistance, and probably his monetary backing, in working out the meaning of the manuscript and to use the powders to make gold.

Dee's diary, as edited by Halliwell (Dee 1842) provides no further information concerning the manuscript. However, in a highly interesting recent article (U965J), describes a portion of the diary as a source separate from the remainder; this excerpt does, indeed, contain considerable information on

62

great detail an incident during the time when Dee and Kelley were engaged in communication with the spirits. He instructed them, through Kelley, to destroy all their precious books and occults, including the hieroglyphic powder. This sacrificial act, intended to be a test of their high purity of purpose and submission, consisted in their placing the objects into a furnace (undoubtedly a pan of the furnishings of their alchemical workshop) to be consumed by the fire.

This ceremony or bit of sleight of hand (for it was apparently an elaborate deception, either worked for some purpose known only to his unbalanced and unscrupulous mind, or else perpetrated by both men for a common purpose upon a third party) was duly accomplished: the next day, all the "destroyed" arcana reappeared, to be rediscovered whole and undamaged by Kelley in the ashes of the furnace. The description of the ceremonial burning includes a tantalizing glimpse of the hieroglyphic manuscript itself, which is

written in letters "larger" than those of usual writing, and to have been stored in a velvet bag or

On his break with Dec in Prague. Kelley kept most of the magic powder; what ultimately became of it is reported in any of the sources I have consulted. It seems likely that Kelley kept that also (since from the beginning) and subsequently sold or relinquished it to Rudolph. Unfortunately, the mere claim of a book as being "in hieroglyphics" is not enough to warrant a secure identification with the Voynich manuscript, if not most, alchemical treatises were couched in secret characters. It was more usual, however, for a manuscript to be written with Latin or some other more familiar letters after the fashion of a rebus. It also seems likely that Kelley had been familiar with the alchemical symbols, and would have had no trouble in making some sense of the little success he may have attained in making gold according to their instructions. Section 9.4 provides a discussion of alchemical symbols, and figure 42 shows some examples.

#### 8.10 The History of the Hindu\* Arabic Numerals

In view of the strong possibility that some, at least, of the Voynich symbols may be early forms of numerals, it should be said about the origin and development of these numerals in Europe. Figure 16 shows a sample of numeral forms that bear a resemblance to some Voynich script characters. Two good general studies of the numerals are Hill (1915) and Smith and Karpinski (1911). The original birthplace of the numerals is uncertain; they could have come from Egypt, Persia, China, or Mesopotamia. Their history can, however, be traced then in their very gradual adoption in Europe. The Hindu system of numerals, including place value "zero", was transmitted to the Arabs at a relatively early date. Smith and Karpinski trace the first use of the numerals to a visit A.D. 771 by a Hindu astrologer to the court of the Caliph, where his astronomical knowledge was introduced into Arabic. Other Arab mathematicians (among them Al-Khwarazmi, who gave his name, in the form of "algorithm" to arithmetical calculation using the new numerals, and ultimately to our modern algorithms and computations on that translated work.

Arab writers continued to use the new numbers, consistently referring to them, and the arithmetic "Indian" well into the thirteenth century. The adoption of the numerals into Europe is hard to pin down. Karpinski attributes it to the travels of merchants and traders in Spain, where Arab influence was strong in the tenth century. Numerous visits to the Near and Far East were made by traders and missionaries throughout the Middle Ages: the travels of the Brothers Polari were unusual only in the thoroughness of their documentation, which has aroused interest in modern times. These travelers brought back many bits and pieces of foreign lore, some of which, through the wealth of its detail and vividness of description, the Hindu\* Arabic numerals undoubtedly became known through these accounts. One form of the numerals, employed in conjunction with the abacus, became known under the names "characters" or "apices," and involved unusually bizarre and ornate varieties of

The adoption of the new numbers in Europe was an extremely slow matter. They seem to have been known by some writers for a considerable time before they came into anything like general use. They were used by merchants for the practical calculations of commerce until surprisingly late. Leonardo Fibonacci did much to introduce the numerals to Europeans. His *Liber Abaci*, written in 1202 and rewritten in 1225, introduced the new numbers and used them as they would be employed in the usual computations of business. The method was rejected both by the conservative mercantile class and by university circles, according to Smith. The bankers of Florence were forbidden to use the new numerals in 1299, and "the statutes of the University of Pisa required stationers to keep the price lists of books non per Cifram, sed per litteras Claras", *ibid.* 1

Still the new system made some headway from 1275 on. It is interesting to note that the common folk of European nations like Germany rarely used Arabic numerals before the sixteenth century. The invention of printing, and modern methods of multiplication and division did not come about until quite recently

developments that, according to Smith and Karpmski, really made the new 'algorithm' attractive and use. Before that time, the Arabic numerals were employed primarily on coins, for numbering the pages for dates. They are often found intermingled in bizarre ways with Roman numerals: e.g., "IVGjj" for "NFC^0" for T45G'; and 'M.CCCCSii' for "1482". In the early and transitional phases of their numerals or "ciphers" were regarded as incomprehensible, mysterious, strange, and well-suited for secret writing systems.

## 8.1.1 Medieval and Renaissance Costume

The clothing of some of the human figures on the pages of the Voynich manuscript should afford us some idea of the provenience of the work. Unfortunately, the drawing is so sketchy, and the figures are so in detail that there is disappointingly little to go on. A wide variety of hats and headgear are in evidence; otherwise entirely nude, these include a variety of diadems, tiaras and crowns as well as wide-brimmed shawls, and hats provided with ribbons, veils, or plumes falling over the wearer's shoulder or perhaps also men include a sort of long pleated robe with wide sleeves (see Virgo and one of the very common is a kind of knee-length, pleated tunic belted at the waist (see Sagittarius, figure 10). These were common during the fourteenth, fifteenth, and sixteenth centuries throughout Europe. There seem to be more extreme styles; the tall conical hats or two-horned headgear for women; the exaggeratedly puffed ruffled collars for men in style after about 1550; or the curly-coped shoes, very short tunics over codpieces that were the height of fashion somewhat earlier. The garments shown, however sketchy, in the manuscript folios seem quite simple and restrained on the whole, and provide relatively little detail to me, from an admittedly superficial study, to be consistent with a date between 1450 and 1550 (a well-illustrated treatment of sixteenth-century costume). Some typical hat and dress forms from the manuscript are shown in figures 10 and 17,

64

## Chapter 9

### Collateral Research: Artificial and Secret Languages

Laic medieval and Renaissance philosophy included a vigorous interest in synthetic languages of many variously intended for concealment of secrets, expression of mystical religious ideas, abbreviated text, unequal communication, and an encyclopedic mnemonic representation of human knowledge. Throughout these chapters on collateral research, I can present here only the barest suggestion of what interested reader

#### 9.1 Brachygraphy: The History of Shorthand

The ancient Greeks employed a system of abbreviations called Tironian Hand or Notation, ascribed to Tiro in the first century before Christ (see Rose 1874\* Allen 1889, Boge 1973\*). New bold attempts at abbreviations in his decipherment method, as we saw in Chapter 5. Many later systems of abbreviation in medieval times were inspired by, or based on, this early Greek system. Figure 38 shows an interesting shorthand system derived from the Greek methods: its strokes are made up of parts of the letters and forms of the Hindu-Arabic numerals. This system, called "Notana Aristoteleis" by its author, an Englishman of the thirteenth century\* is of interest because of the resemblance of some of its symbols to the Voynich script, in my opinion, due to the derivation of both from early numeral forms. These symbols acted as bases to which were added to form words, Roger Bacon was reported by John of Salisbury in 1294, p. 34 to have been familiar with Notation, which he called 'ars notandi'.

Cappcih 11949) presides a summary of the history of Latin abbreviation systems and their development to medieval times, The Roman system made use of several devices single letters could stand for entire words could also be truncated or contracted\* usually being provided with a mark or symbol showing omitted in a tail or curlicue extending upward or downward\* a line or curve above certain letters, shows some Latin abbreviations used in the Middle Ages that resemble characters of the Voynich script works dealing with the history of shorthand and covering the earliest systems are Gmleni ' 1881 and Alston t 1966 j provides a bibliography of works on the subject.

Most early European or English shorthand systems I have examined are designed around simple lines, dots, dashes, circles, hooks\* etc., are attached at various positions to form compound symbols, but not these early systems were not phonetic. " i.e., they made little or no attempt to show the sound or spelling conventions as modern systems do. In fact, the early systems tended more toward an ideographic representation of ideas, although alphabetic elements were also involved. All of the systems were requiring the memorization of vast arrays of arbitrary symbols that were difficult to write accurately. A reader can only wonder how anyone ever managed to learn or remember their large numbers of rules. As the tiny dots and hooks with sufficient precision to permit distinguishing them later in attempting to read the written. These methods certainly seem to have required far more effort than ordinary writing.

Duthie f 1970) provides an interesting comparison of three major systems in existence during the Elizabethan one of them may have been employed to record some of the texts of Shakespeare's plays during actual use must have been usable to some extent. ] will summarize below, in highly abbreviated form. Duthie's systems seem typical of the methods available in the sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries. They were apparently, not simply for transcription of speech as modern systems are employed, but also for use as a concealment method\* and as a sort of elegant, philosophical mode of representing ideas' .

9 \*\*/ Characterie (Thomas Bright\* circa 1558)\*

Figure 38 shows the basic strokes and the subsidiary elements to be added to each in Bright's system. The base symbols consisted of a vertical line with a distinguishing hook, curlicue\* etc., on its top;

65

in four different positions (vertical, horizontal, slanted left, slanted right). In addition, to the twelve additional squiggles could be added, making 864 combined symbols for use to represent common words called "characterall words" 1 Other words not in this basic list were expressed by ' associating the symbols with synonyms to a "characterall word" 11 . and prefixing to it the first-letter base symbol of the action or determinant (see the examples in figure 58 1. As Duthie remarks, this system was primitive and cumbersome on the memory of its user, and producing forms which were very easy to garble and confuse.

9\*1.2 Brachy graphic (Peter Bales\* circa 1590).

Bales' system employed ordinary Roman letters in combination with dots, commas, and accents in combinations which had to be very carefully and accurately placed around the letters to avoid confusion. Bales' system produced symbols for a basic list of common words as in Bright's system. Synonyms and antonyms were shown by using the base-word symbol with an extra stroke on the right or left. The method required the memorizing of over 500 different symbols; great precision in the placement of the symbols was mandatory in order to avoid garbles. It does not seem to have been any more practical than Bright's.

9 - 13 Stenographic (John Willis, 1602).



Duthic finds Sonographic the best of the three, and considers it to be the foundation of modern shorthand. 38 shows the twenty-six basic strokes, called "unchangeable particles". These were partly phonetic and largely suppressed in writing words. A circle added to the foot of a stroke provided an h sound, and clockwise positions around the basic stroke stood for vowels. Abbreviated forms of words were built in a manner somewhat like modern methods. Willis's system is, in fact, very much like the latter which may well have been derived from it. Duthie judges that Stenographic could have been employed for careful speech in condensed form, but not for rapid verbatim reporting. It is interesting to note Steganographie as well as Stenographic, and considered it appropriate for concealment of secrets.

In summary, it seems unlikely that any of these systems or others related to them are closely akin. The only element among the Voynich symbols that bears any resemblance to the dots, dashes, hooks, and early shorthand methods is the hook or curlicue that appears frequently over the double-c character. There seems to be no visible structure of auxiliary marks added to a recurrent set of base symbols. It is considerably more reasonable, in my opinion, to look for relationships between the Voynich characters and abbreviations, with some early numeral forms (see Section 4.1.2 and figures 16, 171-172).

## 9.2 Steganography: The Early History of Cryptology

There are records of ciphers in ancient Egypt and Rome: substitution ciphers of various kinds, some alphabets or geometrical symbols, were known from the early Middle Ages. Roger Bacon was greatly interested in writing, and much has been made (by would-be decipherers of the Voynich manuscript) of Bacon's statement in his *Epistola de Secretis*.<sup>1</sup> Openus Artu et Naturae. He recommends, for the concealment of great and important secrets and to prevent them from being abused by the common herd of mankind, the use of the following expedients; 1) verses (or incantations); 2) fables and enigmas; 3) leaving out certain letters, especially vowel letters; 4) mixing letters of different kinds; 5) employing the letters of other languages; 6) creating characters from one's own imagination (this last being, according to Bacon, a good method, used by Artephius in his *Book of the Secrets of Nature* using geometric figures and signs instead of alphabetic characters; and finally 8) the "notory art," which Bacon thought was the best art of writing as briefly and rapidly as one desires. Bacon claimed to have used some, at least, of these methods in his writings.

This highly interesting and rather complete compendium of early cryptographic devices from the *Potestates Mirabiles* has understandably inspired many students of the Voynich manuscript to seek some or all of the secrets on its pages, and to see in it a result of Bacon's practice of his own recommendations. A considerable list of ciphers attributed to Bacon in alchemical works (Hime 1904, 1914, 1915; Steele 1928a, 1928b; Manly 1929). One anagram, in which Bacon is supposed to have hidden a formula for gunpowder, is explicated variously.

66

debunked by others who dismiss it as a superstitious tale about a split willow branch that magical powers could be read in the careless misreading by an early editor of a sentence in a manuscript.

A variety of cryptographic methods are described by other early writers, Ramon Lull (Yates 1960, R. Trithemius 1564, 1606), Porta (1563), Agrippa (1570), and Athanasius Kircher (Kircher 1631-1633). All are credited with systems which are essentially forms of ciphers and codes or could be used as such in cryptography, and made use of it in his missions for his royal patron, Elizabeth of England, according to Kircher. Many early systems involved substitution ciphers, using inverted or distorted characters, geometrical and astrological symbols, Latin abbreviations, etc., in hybrid conglomerations. There were also

more sophisticated techniques Lists of apparently innocent words all starting with a given letter codewords for that letter, so that an innocuous-appearing sentence consisting of five Latin words word that carried the true message. Correspondents each having a copy of the code book containing words i made-up words, names of angels and demons, stereotyped religious platitudes, etc.) could u means for concealing simple messages in letters isee. for example, Trithemius 1564, pp, 48ff.1, Ra geometric figures marked with letters could be employed to produce digraphs 1 Aa. Ab. Ac. . ♦. Az. could be made to stand for words or concepts, A number of early cryptographic systems employed cip fixed and one rotating alphabet (e,g,. Alberti, m the late fifteenth century, and Silvester and Po Silvester 1526. p 7 : Porta 1563, pp ^3, 79. 83: and Meister 1902, 19061

Ant -cher ear It cryptographic device concealed a message within a much longer "dummy" text bv som bv the correspondents. Alchemy treatises, which were expected to be enigmatic even at best, were i brief message in this wav A related concealment svstem employed groups of rwo or three leners in v the presence or absence of some apparently decorative or accidental characteristic (small and larg underlines, or strokes added to some letters and not to others, shading, etc.). These groups could of a message bv a variety of conventions: for example, in a trilateral system described bv Trithem about 1500. a set of groups AAA, AAB, AAC ABA. ABB. ABC, . . ., CCA. CCB. CCC could provide twenrv- for the letters of the alphabet and a few additional characters. The twenty- seven distinctions co abstractly bv anv three states of three things, arranged m all unique combinations (three differen printing, etc.). The famous cipher of Francis Bacon (about 1600) is of this type, differing from T that if used groups of five 'elements, made up of two distinctions or choices, and employed more s concealing the distinctions m a cover text.

An impressive variety of cryptographic methods, exhibiting a surprising degree of compJextiv and s use at an earlv date in the service of the Papal court and the courts of Italian Princes, A number described in Meister 11902. 1906). Pasini ( 1 87 3 K Sacco ( 1947). and Alberti 11568) Meister ( 1 history of earlv Italian ciphers, the earliest dating to 1 226 from the Venetian Republic and othe during the fourteenth and the fifteenth centuries. Meister (1906) traces to the year 1326 or 1327 device called a ' nomenclator." consisting of a small list of code words or syllables standing for employed in Church or State correspondence {"Pope \ '\* horses'\*, "soldiers' . stereotyped honorifi titles, etc,]. Meister describes a number of remarkably complex and advanced systems in use for Pa the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. These employed variant substitution elements ! manv altern standing for the same plaintext element), often drawn from fanciful, foreign, or invented alphabet made use of "nulls ' U list of alternative dummy symbols having no meaning in themselves but throw conceal patterns, and further confuse the would-be decipherer). All these devices could be employe nomenclator." really a primitive small code, plus an elaborate svstem of monographic, di graphic, with a correspondingly varied set of nulls as well. Figure 39 shows a sampling of some earlv Itali

Of particular interest because of its relatively earlv date is a system described by Jakob Silvest based on a Latin dictionary; a code consisting of Roman numerals was assigned to the columns of wo dictionary. As an alternative, to further confuse the decipherer, a set of digraphs in random orde be used instead of, or intermixed with, the Roman numerals to designate the column. Within each co words, arranged in roughly alphabetical order, were indicated bv Arabic numerals. Latin endings we letters or digraphs The alphabet employed is made up of invented and foreign symbols of great vari large set of choices could be scattered through the text. Figure 40 shows a sketch of the mam feat and two short samples of text enciphered in it Unfortunately, Silvester s book does not provide en

Vovmch text, nor does it provide any loop samples of enciphered text that might be studied statist

The reader who remembers the remarks of Tillman concerning the " beginning -middle -end " structur Voynich text, and the comments of Tiltman and Friedman regarding universal and synthetic languages possibilities of this early code system in accounting for the phenomena they had in mind I sec als above, as well as 9.) and the Appendix below 1. Friedman and Tiltman made strenuous attempts to tr svntheuc languages back to a dace sufficient! v early 10 be contemporary with the Vovmch manuscrip is mv opinion that the earliest history of such languages can indeed be found by searching in two cryptographic systems, and second, in the medieval and Renaissance Ars Memoriae. Yates I 1966. p work of Francis Bacon. Comenius. Bamerfeld. Dalgarno. and Wilkins directed toward the development of u.e., a svstem of signs like Chinese characters, supposed to be directly" related to their referent hieroglyphs, and independent of the spelling or sound of words). She traces this undertaking back ear her tradition of metonymy art. citing the work of Rosu ( 1960 1, A complex cryptographic svstem Silvester could well form the basis of the Vovtuch text. It is interesting to note that a copy of Museum Library, dated 1616. is autographed by. and had presumably been in the possession of John D p 2),

### 9.3 Pasigraphy: Universal and Synthetic Languages

At the time during the late Middle Ages and early Renaissance when Latin was no longer functioning for learned internal communication and the vernacular languages were beginning to be employed more scholars began to be concerned about finding a substitute to fill the need for a universal language travellers, whether merchants or missionaries, were bringing news from the Far East of writing systems employed ideographs and characters that could stand for ideas as wholes, rather than representing through an alphabet. Thus there arose a number of efforts directed toward the development of a universal character' which would in some manner bypass the multiplicity of vernacular tongues and represent the same way for all nations.

This undertaking was not really a wholly new idea, in fact, it was solidly based in the encyclopedia of the Middle Ages Yates ( 1966) examines the work of Francis Bacon and others in the seventeenth century search for a universal language Leibnitz, as Yates shows, was a last great exponent of the ancient of Memory into the creation of the infinitesimal calculus of Yates 1966. pp 378 ff.i.

The early synthetic languages had much in common with cryptographic codes As a foundation, a class was set up for words or ideas to form a framework of what were called " svnearegoremata. " The work of each author according to his own philosophical bent and purposes; while intended to be independent the scheme often involved numbers or codes assigned to the words of a Latin dictionary. Some of the and straightforward, but many others seem forbiddingly abstruse and philosophical to the modern reader devised by an anonymous Spanish Jesuit in 1653 called an "alphabetical nomenclator." a class was set relating to the elements ; this class was assigned Roman numeral 1. Arabic numerals were used to s

within the class, e.g., 1 Fire. 2. Flame, 3. Smoke 6. Wind. 7. Breeze. .... 12. Water, etc., see ff. i. Dalgarno's system involved twenty classes of words or ideas, represented by capital letters of the class "Ens. Res ; H for Spmrus, U for Homo. ' etc. ( Dalgarno. 1661 1.

John Wilkins, inventor of a system of universal character " around the year 1668. set up for twenty classes 1 Transcendental. General 2. Transcendental. Mixed"; .... 5. God. the Creator"; 6 "The World. Creator The Elements . etc These philosophical classes embodied the concepts about the nature of the universe, and deriving from medieval foundations. Under each such class, subcategories were set up for species Differences were shown by vertical and oblique lines attached on the left of the basic sym

species by an adjunct symbol attached on the right. Grammatical information lendings, etc. > was s attached to the compound symbol. Wilkins system had a spoken as well as a written form.

Groves 1 1846\* and Kircher f 1663) provide summaries of a number of early synthetic language systems. Groves gives a very complete treatment of synthetic languages of all types, including religious, cryptograms as well. Dalgarno's system is described in Dalgarno i 166 h. Comenius in Geissler ! 19591. Other systems: Wilkins I 1641. 1668a, 1668b) and Top f 16031. These invented languages are of interest to students of the manuscript for several reasons- First, two dedicated and experienced cryptologists who devoted years of

88

manuscript – Friedman and Tiltman – arrived independently at the hypothesis that a synthetic language underlies the Voynich text. Second, the structure of the early universal languages \s base or root or more characters to single out the species or individual word, and finally characters standing together agrees very well with the "beginning- middle-ending structure found by Tiltman in the words of the manuscript as we have seen in the previous section. the methods employed in some early codes used by the Papas are similar, and date to a time sufficiently early to be contemporaneous with the origin of the manuscript.

#### 9.4 Magical and Religious Languages and Alphabets

There remains for discussion another large group of synthetic languages which may have a bearing on the Voynich manuscript. Under this heading I have lumped together a number of different secret or mystical languages of various types: alchemical or philosophical systems; languages purporting to be revealed by, or used by, God, angels or demons; systems of symbols used in magical incantations, prayers, and spells. Bausam gives an excellent overview of all these made-up languages: including universal languages and the neologisms of schizophrenics and other mentally disturbed persons or persons in temporarily abnormal mental states of ecstasy or inspiration 1. Gessmann i 19 22) lists a large number of the words and symbols employed by magicians, alchemists, and astrologers.

#### 94 - / Magical Languages.

We have already taken some glimpses of magical symbols and writing in the discussion of magical systems. Most such systems included talismans, seals, diagrams, and devices \ daggers, swords, candlesticks with letters in a variety of bizarre alphabets. De Givry (197 1 ) and Seligman ( 1948) provide configurations drawn from a wide range of sources and dates. Many of the alphabets appear to be based on more or less garbled and distorted forms: Mathers (1974\* pi. XV) shows several of these Hebrew writings ("Alphabet of the Magi/ Celestial Writing, ' "Malachim " or "Writing of the Angels." and 'Passing of the Angels'. Some symbols in Pkatrix are called "Indian," and may be distortions of Devanagari or some other Indian script. Other Pkatrix characters are clearly Arabic, and others still are similar to Egyptian Hieroglyphic characters. Egyptian words seem discernible in some of the incantations of the Hermetic writings tFestugiere L' "Osergariach/" in a "true name of Hermes Trismegistus" may contain the words wjr ka re . strong is the Pkatrix also employs the "star picture writing made up of circles strung on lines and curves mentioned in 3.3-3 and 8.4- It is interesting to note that two of the mystical Hebrew alphabets, the Writing of the "River" also consist of small circles strung on lines in this fashion. Figure 4 1 shows some examples from various sources.

While interesting and suggestive, few of the magical symbols discussed above seem to bear any direct relation to anything in the Voynich script or drawings, with perhaps one exception. The Pkatrix "star pictures," "star alphabets," and certain alchemy symbols all are strikingly similar to the strange geometric figures found in the four corners of folio 67v2. It is also possible that the small design which Brumbaugh sees as a "d

character ", which is quite common in the Pkatnx spells and also in the other writing svstems ment

## 94.2 Alchemical \* Medical, and Astrological Symbols.

Gessmann 1 1922) presents a large collection of the svmbols and code words used by medieval alchem scholars and philosophers. Figure 42 shows a selection of these sufficient to indicate their gener includes some that appear similar to certain Vovnich script characters. It was apparent! v a commo employ these svmbols. interspersed in Latin text, as a son of secret shorthand for alchemical prod few of these signs are somewhat similar to Vovnich svmbols. most of them are not, and chev offer d in our task. Of course, if a dear relationship were evident between alchemical svmbols and the Vov Rudolph s court would have had little trouble in deciphering it, and the mvsten would not have per unsolved

The use of prayers and incantations in medical manuscripts is interesting in that manv of the spel foreign to the compilers and users of the recipes; their verv foreignness increased the potency of Another feature of these spells which mav be relevant to our purpose is their repetitiveness; one. often repeated several times in a row . either exactly or with minor differences, in a manner remi

69

nr\* anv stretches of Vovnich text The oldest surviving Anglo-Saxon medical manuscripts exhibit num practices (see Grattan and Singer 1912, Storms 1948). Some of the spells are distortions of Old Ir Irish missionaries (e.g., "Gonomil orgomi) marbumil marbsai ramun. . . a spell against ' black bla Singer 1952. p. 64). Some are garbled bits of Greek liturgy (e.g., Stomen cal cos. Stomen meta fotu patera eae vo cae agion pneuma. . Grattan and Singer 1952, pp. 49-50).

There are some interesting survivals in the Anglo-Saxon manuscripts of pagan Roman prayers. for ex hvnm to the Earth Mother, ' Dea Sanaa Tellus. Rerum Naturae Parens , . . (Grattan and Smger 1952. Numerous relics of pre-Christian Anglo- Saxon religious rites and beliefs are discernible. Names o snatches of Biblical texts were employed as charms. Some spells combined garbled Greek. Hebrew, an impressive -sounding conglomeration that must have had a strong psychological impact on the patien eltheos mur O ineffabiie Omiginan. . . sother sother miserere mci deus mini deus mi Amen AJleluiah bowels' . Grattan and Singer 1952, p. 189). Even the word Abracadabra.' ' which has come down to mo symbol for magical mum bo- jumbo, had a place in Anglo-Saxon medicine (the word "ABRACADABRA\* was written repeatedly on a parchment and applied to the patient Grattan and Singer 1952. p 10).

## 9 - 4-3 Mystical and Religious Languages,

St. Hildegarde ?f Bingen i A.D. 1048-1 179). whose visions have already been examined briefly for the Vovnich manuscript (see Section 3 2 3). was also gifted with the mvstttcal ability of \* speakin have been found preserving a series of "carmina (songs or hvmins) by Hildegarde in an ignota lingua sang or reared such compositions while under the sway of her mvstic visions. An invented alphabet Hildegarde s language: the letters are obviously distortions of Latin letters for the most part. B number of examples of words from Hildegarde s language, preserved in a son of glossary written dow contemporaries. In many cases, associations with German and Latin are apparent, as is the use of i endings. Figure 43 shows the alphabet and some samples of transliterated words.

Bausani (1970) mentions other, similar mystical languages employed by Elizabeth von SchOnau fa con Hildegarde. also m religious life, and a frequent correspondent with her), and Christiana von Tron habit of uttering melodious and incomprehensible words from " between her chest and her throat " w

religious ecstasy. The mystical Sufi sea within Mohammedanism also developed a highly complex syntactic system. Balaibalan." provided with an extensive set of grammatical and syntactical rules and a large lexicon. Some examples of this language. The possibility cannot be ruled out that a made-up language of the Voynich script, devised by an exceptional individual under the power of religious inspiration.

#### 9 - 4-4 The Enochian Language of John Dee.

Deacon (1968) presents a clear and detailed description of the secret language which Dee and Kelley received as a revelation from the angels through the 'scrying glass.' He also provides a highly interesting account of the 'angelic conversations' carried out by Dee and Kelley during the early 1580s (Deacon 1968, pp. 13-14). Deacon (1968) describes these conversations in great detail, in a work based on Dee's diaries and manuscripts by Elias Ashmole. The following account is drawn from these two sources. I strongly urge any interested reader to access to Casaubon's work and read it in full (there is a copy in the Bodleian Collection, Library of the University of Oxford) and the present brief summary can by no means do it justice.

As we have seen above (Sections 8.4.4 and 8.9), John Dee was never able to perceive the visions or hear the angels' voices. For these offices he relied entirely on Kelley, who was evidently a highly unstable personality. How much of what went on in the amazing seances reported in the diaries was invented to make himself indispensable to Dee or to gain a decisive influence over him, is a matter open to question. Dee was using Kelley rather than the other way around, and that both were engaged in cryptographic work for the English Crown under cover of Dee's astrological and demonological activities. In any case, the spirit communications were received and recorded seems so complex and demanding as to be almost unbelievable. Kelley often became impatient with the effort involved, and Dee had to plead with him and implore him to continue: one gains the impression that Kelley was never nearly as interested in the angelic communications as Dee would much have preferred to focus his energies on the making of gold.

70

\

Dunne (the seances of which took place during a visit with the court of the Polish Count Laski to Rudolph's court in Prague), Kelley sat before the crystal and reported what he saw and heard to Dee, who occasionally putting questions to the spirits through Kelley. Kelley often saw the angels themselves as well, often moving through elaborate scenes and visions as on a stage (walking along a river, crossing streams, etc.). He describes their faces, gestures, manner, clothing, and accessories in remarkable detail. Casaubon's account provides extensive information concerning the setting, preparations, apparatus, and results of these sessions, as well as a verbatim account of the visions themselves. From p. 75 on, he reports of a series of cipher matrices or 'tables' shown to Dee and Kelley by the angels. Kelley saw the matrix in a book standing nearby, pointing to its squares with a wand; Kelley then read them off to Dee, who made a record of them. Their own later use of such 'tables' were transmitted by the angels, the set called the "Book of Enoch" comprised forty-nine tables, each having seven rows and forty-nine columns. Ultimately all the books of tables and texts were dictated to Dee and Kelley by the spirits.

Along with the tables, the angels dictated long lists of vocabulary words, each list followed by a paragraph that used the words, much like an everyday elementary language lesson. During this process, Dee often asked penetrating questions concerning affixes, structure, similarities he noted between words or phrases, and obtained repetitions of things he had not heard right or questioned for some reason. Casaubon, recounting this as a genuine linguistic research, for all the world like a series of sessions between linguists and informants.

Deacon (1968) provides the following description of the way running text was dictated "Each of them had in front of him consisted of a large square subdivided into forty-nine by forty-nine small squares of the Enochian alphabet. These letters were in apparently random order. Kellogg would look into the crystal and would call (reading) Dee would find the square in his table and write down the relevant letter. . . The result Enochian written backwards. It is almost impossible to believe that this could be faked, especially there were ninety-eight tables to choose from for memorizing, if one was faking it." (pp 150-151 individual words are clearly shown written backwards I with the last letter first), and the order of the paragraph seems as a unit is also backwards, so that the last word sent is the first word of the paragraph. Figures 43, 44, and 45 show the alphabet and some examples of Enochian text; it may be noted that certain letters of the text are not represented in the alphabet, a fact which is nowhere explained in the sources.)

Enochian, according to Deacon, is unique and different from any other Cabalistic language or magic. To see how it could have been plagiarized from any other secret writings. Robert Hooke, a prominent scientist and a member of the Royal Society held the view that Enochian was essentially a cryptographic device, like a code. Deacon claims that Enochian is a bona fide language, and can be learned with unpublished manuscripts e.g., *Libri Mysteriorum*, Sloane ms. 3188, British Museum!, and from Casaubon. The Rosicrucian Order of the Golden Dawn (England, 1875) adopted Enochian and employed it in their magic. One may verify for himself in the samples shown in figures 44 and 45 that words having a constant meaning with their additions. 'OD' and ; THIS". "are", and ICHISGET arc nor": 'TAL'SGf AT. the earth , CHRISTGOS . let there be . etc. Whatever its relevance to the Voynich manuscript, this amazing achievement in field linguistics among the denizens of the spirit world deserves a careful study by modern psycho-

71

## Chapter 10

### Collateral Research: Early Herbals and Materia Medica

The history of herbals, botany, and materia medica is a major area of study which no student of the subject can afford to ignore. As we have seen in Sections 3-3-1 and 3-3-2 above, many researchers have made a link between the herbal and pharmaceutical drawings to those in other medieval and Renaissance medical works. A number of good general works on early herbals are available to the student: Arber (1953). Rohde (1927) cover the history of early herbals in general, with a strong emphasis on Old English herbals. Rohde provides a large collection of beautiful illustrations of early botanical, magical, and medical drawings. Treatment of these topics. Cockayne (1866) and Grattan (1932) cover the Anglo-Saxon herbals and trace their history and sources. Excellent treatments of the history of medicine may be found in Sarton (1922). and Thorndike (1963). While Thorndike (1923-38) provides extensive detail on the work of many of the great scientists, Tiltman (1968, pp. 11-13) gives a brief but very useful sketch of the early botanical illustration in relation to the study of the Voynich manuscript. The following survey, though highly abbreviated, may serve to introduce the reader to the subject and its literature.

The earliest beginnings of botanical drawing and description are to be found in Greece, as is true of all Greek learning and philosophy. Aristotle was said to have written a treatise on plants: this work was an early date, and was not among the works of Greek learning preserved by the Mohammedans and transmitted by scholars through them. Aristotle's pupil Theophrastus of Eresus, however, produced a work which served the Greek "rhizotomists" ("root-diggers", frequently ignorant and superstitious gatherers of medicinal plants), pharmacists, physicians, and medical suppliers of their day. In the first century B.C. a highly talented member of this class of rhizotomists named Crateus compiled an herbal containing the first

drawings. Crateuaj (132-63 B.C) was physician to Mithridates VI Eupator, King of Pontus in Asia Minor. His work was illustrated with pictures apparently drawn with great care and artistry from life, each accompanied by the medicinal effects and uses of the plant.

While no manuscripts of Crateuaj's work have survived, a revision or extract of it has been preserved in the original drawings, in the *Materia Medica* of Dioscorides Anazarbus, a physician attached to the Roman Army in Asia during the first century A.D. (Dioscorides 1939). Dioscorides' text and many of the drawings reproduced in a beautiful manuscript herbal presented in A.D. 312 to Juliana Augusta, daughter of a Roman emperor. This manuscript, called the *Juliana Augusta Codex*, is preserved in Vienna, and a part of a facsimile may be seen in Tiltman (1968) in the Garden Library of Dumbarton Oaks. Biedermann (1972) and Singer (1927, 1928) have reproduced a number of illustrations of these exquisite drawings, whose lifelike and artistic quality are judged of many, if not most, subsequent herbals well into the Middle Ages. In spite of its early date, this work constitutes a major high point in the history of early herbals, reached by few others for many centuries.

The first known herbal in which plants were described in alphabetical order was that of Pseudo-Dioscorides, A.D. 100. Many early herbals also employed an alternative arrangement dealing with plants in an order according to part to which their medicinal effects pertained, usually starting at the head and finishing at the tail. The *Saturalis Historia* (A.D. 77) compiled a massive encyclopedia comprising thirty-seven books covering a wide range of the day. This collection of magical and superstitious beliefs, Old Wives' tales, myths, and observations on beasts, plants, medicines, metals, minerals, and a host of other topics was greatly influential in the Middle Ages. Based on Dioscorides' long-lived work was compiled by Apuleius (or 'Pseudo-Apuleius', as he is distinguished from the author of *The Golden Ass*) about A.D. 400. This work, *The Herbarium of Apuleius*, became one of the most widely known and copied of the early herbals; it survived in some form into the Middle Ages and Renaissance, and was among the first illustrated printed herbals.

Aside from the above-mentioned "high spots" and a few other influential works, there was little progress in the study of plants, and almost no attempt to study or draw plant life from nature, or to make any objective, scientific observations of the effects of plants in the fashion of the modern scientist. The Greek herbals and their Latin translations, again, their drawings becoming more and more debased and distorted in the process. The names of the plants, originally illustrated, were of course those of the Mediterranean region or of Asia Minor: ancient peoples seem never to have realized or understood that very different plants grew in different places. The

73

dead or moribund ancient languages, and couched in ancient forms that were no longer understood, went along with the drawings.

The monks in English and Continental monasteries did the best they could to match the garbled pictures and their exotic names against the flora of their own monastery gardens and countryside. As a result, many synonyms for plant names in various languages were compiled and attached to the herbals, so that one cannot help wondering how many hapless patients lost their lives through the inevitable mistakes made in the use of medicinal species. Singer (1928, p. 185) sums up the state of affairs in his discussion of the impatient hindsight of the modern scientist, he points to it as an instance of over a thousand years of error applied to 'a futile work with its unrecognizable figures and its incomprehensible vocabulary'.

The Latin and vernacular herbal of the West were thus, for the most part, simply translations of Greek works. A Latin translation of Dioscorides' herbal became the basis for many later medieval herbals. These have been intensively studied by scholars, and are of particular interest because of the manner in which they preserve, in more or less superficially Christianized form. The *Leech Book of Bald* (Royal 1215) is one of the earliest and most interesting of the Old English herbals, dating from the tenth century.



of pagan magical spells and practices. Another early herbal preserving pagan survivals is The Lacn tenth century (Harleian 585, British Museum). A Saxon translation of the Herbarium of Apuleius ext and another Saxon translation of a work of the Salernitan medical tradition in Italv. called Pen D the eleventh cent ; rv, were also highlv influential among early English herbals; see Grattan and i 1866). and Storms ( 1948). and see also the brief discussion in Section 9.4.2 of pagan charms fr

Singer 1 1 928) traces the history of botanical illustration tn some detail. During the Middle Age number of schools or traditions of plant illustration came into existence. Most of the drawings we diagrammatic, produced with little or no rhoughr of observing nature at first hand or even of revi knowledge which must often have contradicted what the compiler saw in the sources he was copying A exceptions provide some relief from the stereotyped rigidity of most plant drawings in medieval he from Bury St. Edmunds in the twelfth century included some naturalistic drawings among a majority The compiler apparently did his best to identify the ancient and garbled figures of foreign plants plants in his garden; where he succeeded, he attached the local plant name to a copied drawing. Wh match for an English plant among the drawings, he made a new one to fill the gap. The stylization an extreme in the thirteenth century, according to Singer, when thev deteriorated into geometrical within a gold frame. Albertus Magnus tA.D. 1206-1 280') included in his encyclopedic works a secti compiled from a Pseudo -Aristotelian work, and Albertus is credited with some first-hand observati with which he dealt.

In preparing herbal as well as other manuscripts, it was the practice of the medieval sen be or co text of each paragraph for a drawing, usually of a shape and lize matching the corresponding pictu copying. The illuminator then supplied the pictures, if the patron or owner of the manuscript had Singer ascribes a major advantage" (from our modern point of view) to the illuminator over the scr was relatively unlearned, and thus freer from the stifling rigidities of tradition binding the scr Singer judges the figures in some medieval herbal\* to be in advance of the text in naturalism and fresher and livelier spirit. The illuminators made some attempt to show local plants rather than c exotic originals in the ancient sources. In some cases, the holes left by the scribe were never fi owner ran out of money before he could hire the services of an illuminator): sometimes thev were f pictures of a different size or shape that did not fit into the spaces very well. It is interestin practice, whereby a scribe left spaces to be filled later and separately bv an illuminator, with t drawings and text in the Voynich manuscript.

After the low point reached during the thirteenth century, herbal illustration increased in natura throughout the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries tar least as fudged by the modern observer). Som are remarkable for the life-like and artistic qualm of rheir illustrations; reproduced bv Singer 1 which insects (a dragonfly, beetles, caterpillar\*, etc.) are shown sitting on the plants, all repr indistinguishable to the casual eve from a good modern drawing. Among the better illustrations arc (made bv Hans Weidm) in Otto Brunfels Herbarium Vi vat Esc one j. compiled in 1530. The text, unfo below the standard set bv the pictures: copied from the durable herbal of Dioscorides. it describe completely inconsistent with the local plants tn the drawings, from the Rhine region in Germany. A

produced in 1542 bv Leonhard Fuchs fA.D 1501-1 566\* called De Histona Stirptum presents a set of r plane identifications and an outstanding senes of woodcuts bv Albrecht Mever based on a study of n modern herbal is judged by Singer to be that of William Turner in 155 1 : it is described as the f in our modern sense. Rembert Dodocns of Holland also produced a fine herbal in 1554; the famous He (1633) was based on Dodoens work, but employed for i u illustrations a magnificent set of 1300 woo in 1590.

As Tiltman and other students of the Voynich manuscript have noted, they have had little success in drawings to any of the limited traditions of plant illustration touched upon above, or indeed to a manuscript. There is a very general similarity of feeling or design in some Voynich manuscript drawings in this herbal or chat one. There is also a superficial similarity of style between some Voynich and some of the very debased, distorted products of successive recopying in early herbals (although Voynich manuscript plants may well be deliberate rather than a result of degradation through copying). They have been notably unsuccessful in discovering any source from which such copies might have come). Their comparisons to convince any student that he has found a counterpart or original for a Voynich manuscript or other herbal manuscript. There is always a possibility; of course, that some manuscript or early print very close to those in the Voynich manuscript may yet be turned up by some diligent researcher. The drawings shown in figure 36 seem, at least to my eye, considerably closer in style and feeling to the plant manuscript than most, if not all. of the herbal illustrations I have seen in my own admittedly limited experience. I am feeling that we should certainly include alchemical works in our investigations, even though they deal with plants as such, but rather as symbols for alchemical entities (the sun, moon, metals, ch

75

## Chapter 1

### Concluding Remarks: Some Suggestions for Further Research

In dosing this monograph on the Voynich manuscript. I would like to suggest some lines along which the problem might profitably be directed. These suggestions include efforts aimed at gathering more data on many unknowns in the problem; and efforts designed to achieve a more rigorous, complete, and scientific approach than we now have.

#### 1.1 Paleographic and Other Scientific Studies of the Manuscript

In my opinion, it is of primary importance that the inks, pigments, and vellum of the manuscript be studied scientifically and compared to those of other manuscripts by paleographers and art historians: and the manuscript be studied under special lighting and otherwise treated to bring up traces of erased, if any, far as I have been able to discover, no such research has ever been carried out. Further, there are no plans of the present owner of the manuscript (the Beinecke Library at Yale) to make any such studies in the near future. Nevertheless, only studies such as these can offer any hope of satisfactory answers to many of our most important questions. It is up to you to bring up crucial new information that might completely alter the complexion of the problem. I hope that some student will be able to arouse interest in a scientific physical study of the manuscript, obtain the necessary wheels in motion to accomplish the research and make its results known to other students. This monograph knows of any such scientific studies already carried out on the manuscript, I hope he will

#### 1.1.2 Uncovering More of the Manuscript's History

As we saw in Chapters 1 and 2, Wilfrid M. Voynich succeeded in ferreting out a considerable quantity of interesting information about the history and previous ownership of the manuscript. In his history he indicated many promising leads for others to pursue. Every known or suspected owner of the manuscript has been researched in depth; renewed attempts should be made to locate correspondence, libraries, and other records pertaining to or belonging to these people, and to track down any references to the manuscript and its history. Someone should certainly try to locate the Villa Mondragone or other places where papers and manuscripts might now be preserved, in the hope of finding additional records relating to the manuscript (either by Athanasius Kircher or by the unknown previous owner who wrote to Kircher about the manuscript). The

Rudolph's Court at Prague should also be a promising source of correspondence or notes concerning the background sleuthing of this nature is certain to provide us with at least a few new nugget of information to transform the problem or, at least, reduce the discouraging number of unknowns that now confront us.

### 1.3 Collateral Research

While all the most obvious sources have apparently been examined, as well as some more obscure ones, possible parallels to the Voynich text and drawings, it still seems worthwhile to keep up the hunt in less accessible sources. I believe that alchemical writings, in particular, deserve closer attention, thoroughly studied by Voynich manuscript researchers as have herbal, medical, and astrological sources. Early cryptographic writings of the fourteenth through the sixteenth centuries might also be rich. I have determined, through a thorough, and painstaking attempt to search through manuscript collections and early papers, that any of the topics sketched in Chapters 8 and 9 of this monograph could still turn up a new and illuminating clue for a student specifically searching for a parallel to the Voynich manuscript. It seems to me highly probable that the manuscript scribe(s) and illuminator(s) never wrote or drew any other work in their lives; there is somewhere a drawing of similar style that might give us a clue to their identity or place of origin, and the Voynich script among someone's papers,

### 1.4 A Comprehensive Machine File of the Text

In Chapter 6, we saw that several abortive attempts were made to carry out computer studies of the Voynich text. Out of the approximately 250,000 characters of text in the manuscript, most students have taken samples ranging from 5,000 to 25,000 characters in length. Currier has probably dealt with the largest sample any student, and his transcription alphabet appears to be the most practical choice for machine processing. My own transcription in favor of Currier's, in spite of the fact that I had already placed some 19 magnetic tape using my own alphabet before I came upon detailed descriptions of his research. A concordance of the entire manuscript, made by hand, is preserved in the Friedman Collection at the University of Virginia, where it is not easily accessible to most students.

It would be of great value, in my opinion, to have a complete machine file of the corpus, including identification of "hand," "language," and the apparent subject matter of herbal, pharmaceutical, and any other property which students have found to be statistically significant. This file would be a wide variety of studies, to help in forming and testing hypotheses concerning the text, and exploring 'hand' and "language phenomena discovered by Currier as well as other matters. Smaller, carefully prepared files should be formed from the entire corpus for any specific purpose.

### 1.5 Scientific Hypothesis Formation and Testing

Hypotheses about the nature of the text should be based on all the known phenomena, and on a careful study of the corpus of text in not just one section or a few pages here and there. The hypotheses should also be designed to explain all the phenomena clearly demonstrated by other researchers (Tillman's "beginning-middle-end" and Currier's "languages" and "hands"; the repetitive patterning of 'words/' etc.). Finally, the hypotheses should be designed to bear some relation to what is known of the nature, background, and history of the manuscript. I think we should entertain not just one hypothesis, but a set of alternative theories that seem capable of explaining a large part of the data. Having set up such a body of reasonable hypotheses, we should design experiments, samples selectively drawn from the entire corpus (all made accessible to computer processing in one way or another as suggested above): samples such that we can attempt to confirm or discern firm each of our theories. This research will, of necessity, also involve parallel studies of text in Latin, in certain other languages of various types.

In the absence of any cribs, parallel texts, or other breaks into the text via external or collateral

success lies in an orderly and cooperative scientific approach to the entire body of text and all way, perhaps we can some day achieve a solution whose satisfying completeness and appropriateness elegant enigma of the Voynich manuscript.

78

"THE MOST MYSTERIOUS MANUSCRIPT IN THE WORLD"

#### THE ROGER BACON CIPHER MANUSCRIPT

(BACON, ROGER ?.) Cipher manuscript on vellum. Text written in a secret script, apparently based on Roman minuscule characters, irregularly disposed on the pages. 102 leaves (of 116; lacks 14 leaves), including 7 double-folio folding leaves; 5 triple folio folding leaves; and one quadruple folio folding leaf. With added signature marks (of the XVth or XVIth century), and foliation (of the XVIth or XVIIth century) 1-11, 15-58, 65-75, 75-90, 95-96, 99-108, 111-116. With about 400 drawings of botanical subjects, including many of full-page size; 55 drawings of astrological or astronomical subjects, plus about 550 single star-figures; and 42 (biological?) drawings, most of which include human figures. The drawings colored in several shades of green, brown, light yellow, blue, and dark red. Large 8vo (c.250 x c. 160 mm.). Old limp vellum covers (now detached). From the libraries of John Dee (?), the Emperor Rudolph II (reigned 1576-1611); Jacobus Horcicky (Sinapius) de Tepenecz; Joannes Marcus Marci of Cronland (1666); Athanasius Kircher, S. J.; and Wilfrid M. Voynich. Accompanied by an Autograph Letter signed by Joannes Marcus, presenting the book to Athanasius Kircher.

No place or date, (XVth century, or earlier?).

An enigmatic mediaeval manuscript, which for over forty years has baffled the scholars and cryptographers who have attempted to wrest its secrets from it. It has been termed by Professor John M. Manly, who made a detailed study of it, "the most mysterious manuscript in the world."

Pig- 1. – Entry for the Voynich Manuscript from H. P. Kraus Catalog

f Reproduced from Tiltmft 1 968 i

79

1 7 ) &

St

r gM h\*j ti /rmtf >r» \*/t m n£'

tvtivm +& 4 mi'ifim\* &•"•' •\*' />>><■•\* /ttfU-r A/.

4 " ""6\* •y' ' \*<7\*6 <4fi plfc> ft/yi  
4 , -"/<J tr ffiitftm ««»\*  
  
» /(« \*C4lV\*4 4 \*f <<vt  
  
. \*1\*9\* \*T\*r.  
  
» ,\*< l&tjrJSt , wtf »\*■\*£#£- \*<  
  
v^Uvt-vi.- \*' \*« \*\* «\*/ /\*«V ArV^X- Mi  
  
% i' t .;< //»« t'-' ■\*’•'- \* i r ~ f\*\*™\*\* -  
  
.r.p /},««\* rtJ-WiW-.^yV' Xf->\* \*7 wtk/wJ  
”\* ^ ^ j c <U4.«»r ""\*•■#" \* y«\* < V ,  
  
- " 44 r \* \*1\m4\*\* A# f . r«A4/ J(\*fr  
  
,4fi/ ^ frfi/\*\*", - r > I -  
  
, '  
  
k:.  
  
},/j f ju«.. ^\*\*4-^. A/«\* <r r 1 '\*\*\*\* \*\*“ A</v -  
  
\*\*(. 2 •\*\*\* \*\* Jw«^» ■ V\* / “•' \*' 7\*\*\*\* ^  
  
||«{f  
  
#,/br>4»»i\* ”  
  
■n  
  
ym  
  
U £\*S\*u  
  
(,1/f ■#•' \*■  
  
\* \* \*

“ }\*af

r

L

K.fL.m.4 (JLt»«

Fig. 2\*- -Letter Found with the Manuscript

fTiltfiun 1 %S1

60

REVEREND AND DISTINGUISHED SIR :

FATHER IN CHRIST:

This book, bequeathed to me by an intimate friend, I destined for you. My very dear Athanasius, as soon as it came into my possession, for I was convinced it could be read by no one except yourself.

The former owner of this book once asked your opinion by letter, copying and sending you a portion of the book from which he believed you would be able to read the remainder, but he at that time refused to send the book itself. To its deciphering he devoted unflagging toil as is apparent from attempts of his which I send you herewith, and he relinquished hope only with his life. But his toil was in vain, for such Sphinxes as these obey no one but their master, Kircher. Accept now this token, such as it is and long overdue though it be, of my affection for you, and burst through its bars, if there are any, with your wonted success.

Dr. Raphael, tutor in the Bohemian language to Ferdinand III, then King of Bohemia, told me the said book had belonged to the Emperor Rudolph and that he presented the bearer who brought him the book 600 ducats. He believed the author was Roger Bacon, the Englishman. On this point I suspend judgement ; it is your place to define for us what view we should take thereon, to whose favor and kindness I unreservedly commit myself and remain

At the command of your Reverence.

JOANNES MARCUS MARCI,  
of Cronland

PRAGUE. 19th August. 1661'

6 "

Fig. 3- "Translation of Letter

fTiInruri l \*>66 i

SI

Folio No\*

Description

Folio No.

Description

Ir

text only; (1) (2)

(74)

l missing)

Iv-11v

herbal

7V.v

human figures

i 12)

(musing\*

76r

text onlr i 1 <

13r-54r

herbal

76v-84v

human figures

Pr

(2)

8 V86r 1

text onlr

49r

t1>

85/86r2

cosmological

S7v

cosmological; ( 1 )

85/86r3

net of rosettes

58r.v

text onh

85/B6r4

net of rosettes

p£)\_64l

( missing '

85/86v1

net of rosettes

t>V\*v

herbal

8V86v2

net of rosettes

Obr



textual\* . i 1 m 2 >

8\*5/860

cosmological

btn

herbal

83/86v4

cosmological

67rLv!

astronomical

8^/86v5.v6

text unh

07r2

astronomical

87r.v

herbal

07 v2

cosmological

88r.v

pharmaceutical

68r 1 .v I

astronomical

89r1.v1

pharmaceutical

0 8r2.v2

astronomical

89r2.v2

pharmaceutical

08r3

astronomical

90r1.v1

herbal

08 v 3

cosmological

90r2.v2

herbal

0%>

cosmological

(91-92)

f missing J

T 0r 1

cosmological

93r-96t

herbal

T 1)v 1

astro1 Aries i dark i

99f- 1 02v2

pharmaceutical

T Ur2

astroL Pisces

103-1 16r

text only, scan

~Lr

astro1 Aries I light i

1 16v

<li t 2>

"tv

astro!.. Taurus 1 light)

'2r1

astro1.: Taurus (dark)

-

-2vt

astro1 . Libra

T 2r2

astro1. Gemini

i 1 » Kev-hke sequences

7 2v2

astro1 . Virgo

-2r3

astro1 Cancer

1 2 > T ext i n extra neous sen pcs

astro1.: Leo

-3r3

astro1 Scorpio

■\*5\*3

astro! Sagittarius

Fig. 4.— Lilt of Folio Numbers and Apparent Subject Matter  
{Foliation of Petersen Photocopy)

82

f •(.#

ioiva.

Fig. 5,— Some Details from Herbal and Pharmaceutical Folios

fKafrawa ftflu a phavcopf )

S3

Fig\* 6, —More Dttub from Herbal and Phtnutenbcti Folios

84

Fig, 7.— Derails from Herbal Folios

|Mm ( « • finxufnfi I

85

86

Fig. 9-- Details from Herbal and Pharmaceutical Folios

( Redrawn from a photocopy)

87

rfv

foiic 70Y1

4«lu 73-0

folio Hr

4rntic 73\*3

■foUo TSv

folio 72\*- J.

-f.l.o 72 vi

12\*2

Fi\* 10\*- Some Zodiac Mtdillioai tad Mootb Niao

i IUdri\*n from ■ plWMy1

88

Folio

Sign

Month

Rings of Figures (From Center)

Sum

First

Second

Third

7 1r

Aries 1 li^htJ

April

5 [ h

all c

10 il>

all c

i\*

70vi

Aries \* dark)

April

5 U ]

n and c

10 III  
n and c

n

“U

Taurus 1 light j

Mav

3 ill  
n and c

10 ill

n and c

is

~2r 1

Taurus t dark >

Mjv

3 111

all c

10 n'

n< hats

is

-It 2

Gemini

June

9 i hi

all n

1 b r 3 (  
4 c. rest n

s 1 3 »

n. hati

30

72r3

Cancer

July

7 <3)

n. hats

1 1 f3>  
n. hats

12 i 3 ■-

n. hats

30

-2y 3

Leo

August

L 2 (3\*

alt n

18 t}t

all ti

30

72v2

Virjfo

September



12 1 3i

all n

18 1 3 -

all n

3o

72v1

Libra

October

10 i 3 1  
n. hats

20 i3)

n. hats

M1

"3r

Scorpio

November

lo 1 3)  
air n

16 fji

all n

■+ 1 3 1

all n

M)

"3v

Sagittarius

December

10 (31

akin

16 1 3)

all n

4 1 3i

all n

Ml

Capricorn

January

missing

n -= naked

c \*= clothed

“4 r

Aquarius

February

missing

7()v2

Pisces

March

10 1 2)

n. hats

19 iU

n. hats

20

\* 1 > vertical cans" 1 2 » horizontal cans

OJ no ' cans"

Fig. 11. – Groupings of Hunan Figures in Astrological Drawings

89

Folio

Elements in Rings (inside Outward)

Central

First

Second

Third

Outermost

VTv

b 1 2 sets of  
4 phrases)

4 phrases

4 paragraphs

68 (4 times  
17 symbols )

4 paragraphs

67r1

moon

24 [ 1 2 double  
ravi )

24 ( 12 double  
ravs >

67 v 1

sun

34 (17 double  
rays)

12 phrases

tw2

6- pointed  
star

8 words

1 2 moons  
and phrases

7 words

1 2 paragraph\*  
1 2 phrases

6"v2

sun in square

4 eencnpe\*  
tal spouts

4 centrifugal  
spouts

fo»rl

none

star field  
29 words

sun at rop  
moon below

&8v1

moon

16(8 double  
ran)

1 6 ( two sets  
of 8 )

68r2

none

irar field  
24 words

moon ar top  
sun below

6Bv2

sun

H (4 double  
ravii

4 radial  
phrases

H phrases

6(fr5

moon

H (4 phrases  
4 star sets'

4 radial  
word pairs

69r

6' pointed  
star

6 letters

45 pipes  
21 phrases

-

6 L A

8- pointed  
star

2b pi pes  
and words

'Or1

6- pointed  
star

6 words

38 cells

9 waves

9 radial  
words

'Or 2

sun1 f)

8 segments

8 subdivi-  
sions

tfV86r2

sun

4 quadrants

4 spouts

85/86' i

4 tones  
from corners

4 paragraphs

H5/86w

moon

5 froth v  
rings

4 human  
figures

Fig. 12. – Groupings of Elements in Astronomical and Cosmological Folios

90

Folio

AU

Fignm

Female

Male

Subgroupings

75r

14

14

—

2 mbs: top. S bottom 6

75v

29

29

—

2 tubs: top 10. bottom 19

76v

5

4

1?

scattered

77r

4

3

u

scattered

77 v

7

7

—

scattered

78r

15

15

—

2 pools: iop7. bottom 8

78v



9

9

—

one big tub wtrh 7 "windows"

79r

7

7

—

scattered

79v

4

4

— 1

scattered; 5 animals also

80r

16

15

1 ?

3 rows: 10.4.2

80v

12

12

—

scattered

Sir

13

13

—

2 tubs: top 7, bottom 6

Slv

16

16

—

one big tub

B2r

15

15

—

4 Uttered ; 11 in large pool

1 82v

7

7

—

scattered

83r

5

5

—

scattered

83v

4

4

—

scattered

84r

33

33

—

3 cubs: 12, 10. 11

84v

15

15

—

2 cubs: top 7, bottom 8

total

230

227

Fig. 13. — Groupings of Elements in Humic Figure Folios

91

Stogie

Dual

Ternary

Archetypical

IOD

I AH

PATER

World

EL

SADAI FI LI US  
SPIRITUS SANCTUS

Intellectual

ANIMA

ANGELUS

INNOCENTES

World

MUNDI

ANIMA

MARTYRES

CONFESSORES

Celestial

SOL

SOL

MOB ILIA

World

LUNA

FIXA

COMMUNIA

Elemental

LAPIS

TERRA

SIMPUC1A

World

PHILOSOPHOS

PHORUM

AQUA

COMPOSITA

DECOMPOSITA

The Minor

COR

COR

CAPUT

World

(Man)

CEREBRUM

PECTUS

VENTER

Infernal

LUCIFER

BEEMOTH

MALEFIC1

World

LEVIATHAN

APOSTATAE

INFIDELES

M\* – Some Medieval Tables of Correspondences: Ones, Twos, Threes

iSrtextm d – d Wr Agnpy L970 ^ IMffl

92

f\*lia Ififr

-f4«e

■^»lie iol ri

^\*Uo Blr

Fig. 1 \$ – Details from Pharmaceutical and "Human Figure" Folios

93

Diftii

1 3th  
century

14th  
century

13th  
century

16th  
century

Similar

Voynich

symbols

1

1 1

\

1

>

i

2

4 \u

i 7

\*

\*\_

tr>

3

Y Y

J

! ?

3

3

■ J

(rare)

4

A. <(3

a >

^ Ua1v1

AT ‘f  
K-fi

A “4

A 4-

5

<i b <1

?

6

0- r«-

(T «-

a~

<r

r- o“

7

-1

f1 0^

r\ ^

CN A

/\ -7

cx

8

2 4

^ d

<5

? 2



&

9

9? ?\*

1

?

?

? 1

0

o 0- ^

C

| \* «>

0

0

Fif 16 . – Comparison of Voynich Symbols and Early Arabic Numerals

tN am nl farmi redrawn from Hill

94

Voynich

symbol

Similar Latin Abbreviation

Voynich

symbol

Similar Latin Abbreviation

r~

CT

r-o

r~n.

7>

C\* cum, con

ft ra, ri, cri

^ co. quo

cx1

r~9 cus

fJL ^ onus ^ cor

r>

P -ur. -tur. -cr

r

^ P -ter

0

t m/,

L ^ ter. m-. im- |

\fi) -um

iA4^ -rum. -mum, -nmm

Z

f cun. con, cum. quon

^ ere, cer. car, cere

l

c\

a

^ t- termi

f ^ l cere

A\*, A cire

7f

1” qu “T

At

-nd. -m-

^ -rum, -mbrus

fj -Of, -turn, as

7 7

( super -  
sen pc)

^ cer

A cn

} , p rae

°,JE one

<1

^ eius

^ -etam, -ent

— (u— \* -nd-

ff

forii, folio  
 (“f fiat

Fr fr

)“C -mbrus

propter

?

^ con. cum. com

^ Cj -us. -os. —IS\* —5

I L\*un tbfarcrutKwii taiwrc (ran CippcLh I94SM

95

Two Elements

Three Elements

Larger

loitiil

Symbol

Final

Symbol

Compound

Added

Symbol

Compound

Compounds

c

rt

c

If, ff

r,\*

rffr

ftifc

C 2 ^

o

nK

r-o

?

if, it

■4P-

d incT  
r24£

tr

tt

¥

r-Tf

Hf, #

«\*\*

;

-©

<P|

r?r 3? ,

v~

c

PC

UK

&,dfc

a

?

%/

y

a

\*>

V

txros

9

>

7

?

9

\_p

9-\*

trtfg~c

4-

unr

-a

c

-rff # -nr

-f

7

<Kr ?

If

/ -

\_r-P

cr

c

4r

0

7

err

0^

7

».1t

If

d>

<£

Miscellaneous Compound Forms:

4' ^ <f -

} .1 . 4 \*. -%>■<£. S\*\*r f

Fig. 18,— Some Compound and Ligatured Form)

96

Tiltmin

First Study  
Group

Second  
Study Group

Kirschcr

Currier

Dlmpcrio

i« ( \*

iT\*

1

?

S

z

\*

c

L

D

e

A A

«,£

D

H

G

8

2

4



0

A

C

1

T

5

L

R

E

DZ

HZ

\*

if

rr

?

a

0

0

rt»)

S

4-

\*

c

nt

A

\

(ft

ft

A

\*

space

ptra

P

F

H

Ø

A

R

K

2

Ø

L

N

M

8

4

E

C

T

5

1

PZ

FZ

HZ

DZ

V

Y

+

o

9

8

2

if

j?

ff

#\*

CT

r\*

c

\*

A

U

"X

lit\*

7

X\*

w?

v)

»0

n0

IwV>

IT

ot

space  
line end

4

0

9

8

2

B

P

V

F

#

8

%

@

S

Z

C

A

E

I

Y

J

U

K

G

Q

D

N

M

W

H

L

R

T

C

J

\*

-ff

Jf

tr 1

W

Hf'

(Iff

iiv>

m3

o

rr

rr

tr

»A

\*

in J

ijf

iff

\*

;

x\*

««

mr

iii{f

/u/f

p

9

ft

ft

a

eft

pra

stare

line

wan

line

end

space

4-

s

?

x

I

e-r

V

V

\*IE

\*

c :

v 1

x< ;

"R. :

\*/

'\*j

«r

mV

0

u)

vx0 :

v\\0

% |

\*

strf j

wi 5 i

«f i

spec j

line ■

end !

■s'

4  
0

5  
9  
2  
E  
R  
S

P

B

F

V

Q

w

X

V  
A

C

J

G

H

I

T

V  
0  
D  
N

M

3



J

K

L

5

6

7

/

para

end

Fig. 1 9- – Transcript ioo Alphabets of Several Researchers

g.F £ f| \* T-f- 1 J 1 \*\* f ^ -v.no 4

■ f\* 'f P

<rr A <?x r^T (-% <r^ c-

Fig. 20. – Some Embellished sod Variant Forms of Voynich Symbols

98

A

. v V”\ ‘

' xS~

‘A-A

• T." ..lirti 'Ff::> C y

-i- nncyVriin cf\*V,! ,.'2 + rc+ ciY creVC\*f -poyrf1P 3 t

r ( - f -.nariX r rnc .■ yc t' W T <\* t-

\*• ft f ^ \

«v\*\* Vfti'wcV vOrfp |o Tn\*m jn: mio o

Folio 17r (Petersen)

Fig. 21. – Details Showing Fragments of Writing in Extraneous Scripts

cn-(-

99

FoUo

Marking

lotcrpretadoD

8v

first (primus )

I6v

2 9

second

2 4v

y?

third

32v

K?

fourth

40v

T?

fifth

48v

6\*f

sixth

56v

A m f

seventh

66v

s u >

eighth

67r1

y \*?

\* \_ \*

ninth

70v1

to"?

tenth

, 72y1

eleventh f

83r

9

j

84 v

»3 ? ,

thirteenth

85/86v3

I\* 4

fourteen ch

90v1

II 9

fifteenth

1 \*

—

sixteenth

96\*

ia'

seventeenth

/ !

» m m

eighteenth

I02v1

r9

nineteenth

I03r

twentieth

\*\*■ 22.— Folio Gathering)

100

MM

-V- ■'■ft' c£Vv' 4 .V >v

v ' ^ VV 1 '"■" e

\$

r --n- t-,

"Key " Sentences. Folio 116v (Photocopy 1

f mtcj^iron -f-VMwrrpd. ■+• rt + ray <rcvc-f-

fur t ^arix +- mon^ j\* vvc T 4«\* r ^

a.'v bu ,# vot-cV\* v(jftp (o -nim joj\*mic^ q

7>ovra^ i-

Petersen's Hand Transcript

witch\* coh olaJo.

\*“ \wilriK nontx •••

o^'W &ccj v\*)scb ubren so «i'm go. h/cJrf a.

Brumbaugh's Reading (Brumbaugh 1975 )

iwubi4o\* t>lai«b<xs mulKs 4fc ftcr cere porfxs  
4i'y ^Udrix meri\* «JiC4 maria,

••• u a Uoi litre\* s\*» m\*n ^af miJ\ o

Ncwbolds First Reading (Newbold 192 \$, p. 73 ]  
c

miebi+o\* olatUba J -f n%/ m Hc \*S -4 -ft f-+c"cr cert -f portal \*1 if"  
S'x ^»jerix ■+ •'o('rx+ v\ x + \*bf \* -t n ^ + ric+ +  
oCo^-t't^o^ aj»r\*h So nim \*, '4f> o

Newbold's Second Reading (Newbold 1928. p, 108)

Fig. 23.^Sonie Different Readings of Folio 116\*

101

0

0

0

o

- ^0Tf^ercfj-

A

S

A

rf

rf

A

rf

f rt \*<«;•\*•

\*■ noMtft.- '

2

\*

?

A

A

A

A

ft C J \* \*\*

0 Screed# \*..

X

X

X

X

/

11A-e? < ...

rf

rf

rf

rf

/

\*4-® rfn

Jf A«Ty\*

f

&

\*

rf

\$

f

\*

V

AxV^\*\*\*

12^

liA

ifa

[>v

0-

V

If

tf

4f

A t?\*£\*\*-

f

?

?

it 2®,0"‘

\*=

V\*

V5-

l^ntj –  
^ ett jrf ...

&

&

J

9

9

7

nc\*A ■\*\*



r

c\*

<r

c\*

\*••

A@ If \*\*\*

r

V'

K

Cvdical

Sequence, Folio 57v

f 3 rfA\*rf\*\*

0 <^e tTn y \* \*•

rr •Tfrxj' -

?

7

r

9

If

2

\*

o

2

7

c

J

?

\*

Folio 76v

oK CoS A\* \*"

A\* If ft J \*\*\*  
rr e?fer ^ \*\*

2-

^•Tfc c e <  
o rr \*rf'

t ■

octoftte-J ■\* \*

^ rfc\*\*?"  
Vet «8 o»£"-

\*ff

@ r~t ®n \* ■\*\*

2 o ■ ••

9 e~t oft<@ •\*\*

C otk •• ■

9 xj-« \*

\$ effttf\*'\*)'--

y 0 fckD"\*

c •'\*

rf cl# A , “

Folio 49v

?4t\*^

2\*jt?

-\*>?

◆ 2\*A-  
? ftk0y-  
A Atfj\*-

^ t\*r«\*i;-

g

o lm#J{\*\*\*

S ^ ^ ^

\*ta

A 1 »<W\*V"  
»<\* a 2- \*\*  
rf

A 0Jl •“

-rf

rf %\*S-

\*\*! I tf~

Trtu>fe-

1\*\*? 4

^ r\*«-

t~ Tf\*\*\*"?-

T ?W<\*"  
^riu»t'-

f\*uD-

i“\*7-

«•<"

8^9

\*

?rc^

\*\*?

If

4\*^

o

u, Y

«•\*-

Folio 66r

Fig. 24.— "JC«y"\*Like Sequence

102

"Ovary \* Label\* (Folio 78r)

O tf cc % 2

F H MM I N

hm jnfunduntur

"lmmisaintur " or ImdsaiUfinir

"Sack" Labcb

0 hf o S ^ Jk y o ^ J

FESTOIN STN UTUT NTR 'Festo m(i>ino

\* utuntur

O If O. ? ^ £

F E S T S N "F«avi sunt"

A

%

L

cr

V

1

ST

c

C

C

M

ND

or

UM

p

D

H

N(UNT)

r

DER

v?

TINC

tf

E

6

OffVBI

V

ER.RE.E

frf

UND

■ 0

F (BVO)

t

P

If

PER

s

UNDR

G.H

n

R

rr

RUM

\*

PERM

H,G

ft.

S

r\* to

rr rr

HUM

EM.ME

!

I

' T

</

ME

&

MER

■If

NE

t

EX

nJ

-M

u?

-N

ctf tc % ft % } o

F E MM 1 N IN O

Upper Tube Label

S ft o 9

] S T S N F UNDU NTR

Lower Tube Label

JcccSft^^

I M M C I S N NTR

Fig. 25.— Feeiy'\* Initial "Clews 11 anti Cipher Alphabet

{Adapted I™ Fed, tW. p? IU4-J1I

103

??

o

\*>

L

C

mr

p

X

A.

0

tk

-7

?

rr

}

1



2

3

A

3

6

7

%

9

A

B

C

D ' ,

E

F

G

H

i

J

K

L

M

N

Ø

P

Q

R

S

T

U

us

V

W

(X)

X

Y

Z

Deciphering Mains

f Vormch Mtmbou in epprr row\* rrronur ward bi tht writer from Bnunbivjrh i im I

Pimm;

I

ABCDEFGHI J

K

L M N O P Q

' Gph«r:

12345 6789 1

2

3 4 5 6 7 8

Flam:

R STUVWXYZUS

u0

5 'S 1

0 < 6 < ec J

! Opher:

2 A 6 8 1 35 799

A R A B Y C C US

Enciphering Alphabet

(an

'1.

P E (P) P E E QfU) ? ? O Q US p

^ « no cr ± ? 9

P A P (E) R ^ US P A L E (V) US

From "Key" Sequence. Folio 1 16v  
<\*) »

A P (A) (V) A Y J S VLCER  
. A A

1 U> -

« o era Sc\* i 0

V R E (V) A PA SPA ft

? « iTf y oi^d, if

Decipher menu of Plant Labels on Folio 1Q0r

\* Fig 26 . – Brumbaugh's Results

rBmnU»i^h 1914)

(Question marks and letters in parentheses indicate places where there is some doubt as to interpretation of the characters by Brumbaugh. Vovnich characters are as seen and transcribed by the writer 1

104

Roots

Suffixes

ott-. rffC

- <X\)

-a^ -av\^ \*&\w

olf- , e¥-

- ^

-ftN? '<\w^ -aav^

-

“0.VV^-1VV^

- ^

rc -

- »E

?

C-t -

- c ?

tc ^ cct^

g-

?-

—

C.C^C> Ct-C-%^

Fig 27-- TiUroan's Division of Common Words into "Roots 1 ' and "Suffixes"

(Tilimu 1911 1

105

" —

Voynich

Symbol

Currier  
Language A  
(Herbal)

Currier  
Language B  
(Herbal)

Krischer  
(fo. 103-116)

DTmperio

(Herbal,

Astronom.)

4

290

257

233

368

0

2249

1373

729

3389

2

884

1250

406

1333

?

1231

1529

464

1893

2

205

151

41

425

X

663

496

250

(all)

1005

?

531

495

201

(all)

971

CT

1315

752

376

1373

415

289

93

557

516

376

187

734

75

108

47

154

if

595

801

267

865

21

63

6

53

&

165

51

13

266

£

42

12

7

49



86

100

15

106

7

9

2

29

900

1085

546

1470

c

769

1390

730

1094

t

16

8

2

! L

216

IX

4

1

0

835

HX

1

0

0

<f

167

MX.

0

0

0

23

1 r>

22

45

35

IL

689

Hf\*.

8

24

11

“t

12

Mr\*

3

2

1

<T~

2

0

38

3

4

0

tP

82

73

38

7

up

UtP

455

18

286

22

153

0

C -

3

36

If

78

99

23

7

13

Iff

6

5

1

tiff

1

1

1

turf

0

0

0

d  
13  
7  
1  
  
Jf  
5  
5  
11  
  
  
  
i  
td \_ 2

Totals  
  
11709  
  
11168  
  
4896  
  
18137

Fig. 28, – Monographic Frequency Counts of Some Students

106  
  
Hermetic (Festugiere 1944-54)  
  
Agrippa (1970)  
  
Hermetic (Festugiere 1944-54)  
  
Aldebaran

Caput Algol

Acharnahar

Alchoraya

Pleiades

Aldebaran

Caput Algol

Aldeboram

Hayok

Alhaiot

Hircus

Ascherhe Aljemaniya

Alhabor

Canis Major

jed Algeuze

Algortieisa

Canis Minor

Rigel Algeuze

Cor Leonis

Cor Leonis

Sohel

Ala Corvi

Cauda Ursae

Ascherhe Asschemaliya

Alchimech Alaazel

Ala Corvi

Cor Leonis

Alchimech Abrameth

Spica

Lion's Tail

Benenays

Alchameth

Alramech

Alfeca

Elpheya

Alahzel

Cor Scorpionis

Cor Scorpionis

Centaur

Vultur Cadens

Vultur Cadens

Vultur Cadens

Cauda Capricorni

Cauda Capricorn!

Mouth of Southern Fish

Fig. 29- – Names of Fifteen Fixed Stars

107

Pica (fix (Riner and Flessner 1962)

Agrippa (1970>

1

Al-Saratmn

Alnath

2

AJ-Butain

Allochaim

3

AJ-Turaija

Athoravc

4

Al-Dabaran

Aldebram

5

Al-Haqa

Alchacava

6

Al-Han a

Alhanna

7

Ai-Dira

Aldimiach

8

Al-Narra

Ainu a

9

Al-Txrfa)

Alcharph

10



Al-Gmbha

Algebh

n

Al-Zubra

Aaobra

12

Al-Sarfa

AJzarpha

1 13

AJ- 'amwa '

AJ Havre

14

Al'Simak

Azimeth

15

AJ-Gafr

Algapha

16

Al-Zubaru

Azubene

17

Al-1klil

Alchil

18

AJ-Qalb

Alfob

19

Al-Saula

Achala

20

Al-NaYaim

Abnahava

21

A] -Baida

Abeda

22

Sa d AJ-Dabih

Sadahacha

25

Sa d Buu

SabadoU

24

Sa d Al-Su'ud

Chadezoad

25

Sa d Al-Ahbija

Sad a la bra

26

- Ai-Far] Al-Muqaddam

Ft hagai Mocadcn

27

Al-Farj Ai-Mo ahhar

Aihaigalmoad

JJ

AJ-Risa'

AJchalh

Fig. 50\* – Stations of the Moon

1

Zodiac

Sign

Egyp 1

(Roman Times)

"Hermetic

(200- 300 BC)

Coptic  
(400 AD)

Aries

1

2

3

Xotu-Har

Xont-Xre

Si-Ket

'fi.e\*T+wr r

XevTyC/»<r  
e rt Ktr

X°VT4/\*e ,  
XovTfWC  
»•\*■\*<•

Taurus

1

2

3

Xau

Arat

Remen- Hare ■

frJj ou

JS V/Htv'WS

Gemini

1

2

3

ThowJk

Uaret

Phu\*Hor

00 «p L y

rrt rr (.\*\*>&

i t n # ' \*

v

ou

daCoft-

Cancer

1

2

3

Sopdet

Sea

Knum

a!if 1.o~ <- T  
Jty ou f •\$„\_

n\*i&L%

<t1T

Xyfev/i\*S

Leo

1

2

3

Xar-Knum

Ha-Tet

Phu-T«

X \*•«/»\* 5  
\*arm

,\_\*our»r

Virgo

1

- 2

3

Tom

Usrc-Bikoc

Apowt

'<00 4\*/

ppo<r\*tts \*

Tid/4

olev-reptcdr

\*- o<r a

Libra

1

2

3

Sobxos

Tra-Xom

Xont-Hmr

$we^r/i(^s$

$X *v-r^r *•$

Scorpio

1

2

3

Spi-Xnc

Sesme

SiSesmc

A4<r

$eWr^{-5}$

. rtr >\* ,

Sagittarius

1 /

2

3

Hrt-Ua

Sesme  
Korn me

<r^V«5

T«w^h\*5

X 6t'<r£.p

^Aout^

rtc/us

K0/V4\*

Capricorn

1

2

3

Smat  
Srat  
St -Seat

Tii?f

5 '/

fc rr t re\*  
£tri\*tv"3

•vUr

#~|\*40

J <

Aquarius

1

2

3

Tra-Xu

Xu

Tra-Biu

j /

co-tf

c- o <r “

^oyoi>/H»0s

rrn\*i/ r

”

rrTLft 10 ^

Pisces

l

1 2

! 3

L ■

Biu

Xont-Har

Tpi-Biu

t\*tvw

j- U/\* «\*J

f\*Coa ,

y^ov T\*ff

rr-nflido

fig . 31<- Names of ibe Thiny-Six Deem ns

IGundet I9>6.pp 77ff I

109

i



u

ft

t

C

L

Ft

ft

1

£

1

M

1

A

1

£

1

A

r\*

u

Q

1

A

u

A ch»rm id cause any  
spirit to appear in the  
form of a serpent

2ft

\

2.4

3

1

2. 0

24

M

\\*

2<\*

a

o

Square for use during  
angelic invocation

A/

&

&

0

T

£

(Z-

A

S

o

6-

A

A.

A

<?

ø

5

A

A

£

r

©

£

£

fJ

A Chaim for divers  
virions

Three Magic Squares from Abrnnelin

(Mk ten 1971 )

I

Fig, 32. – Some Magical Scab and Talismans

Some of John Dee's Angel Names (Deacon 196#)

Spirits of the Hours  
{Agrippa 1970}

Aethyrc

Governors

Seven

Great Angels

0\*7

Night

L. Lil

Occodon

Pascomb

Valgars

Sabathiei

Madinuel

Semeliel

Nogahel

Corabiei

Lavanael

Zedekid

(Governors  
of the

"watch towers"  
or seven  
circles of  
heaven}

Yajrn

ianof

Nafrua

Sales

Sadedali

Thamor

Ourer

Tamic

Neron

layon

Abai

Natalcm

Bcron

Btrol

Thami

Athir

Math on

Rani

Netos

Tafrac

Saffur

Agio

Caierva

SaLam

2. Ain

Doagnii

Piscaina

Diaiiiva

3. Zorn

(etc.)

<30 in all)

Samapha

Virooli

And n pc

(etc.)

(90 in all)

Names of Planetary Spirits

Abramelio (Mothers 1973)

de Giary

(1971J

Pica true

(Ritter\* Pleas oer  
1962)

4 Superior  
Spirits

8Sub-

Pnncej

Saturn

Anrron

Ashtl

Lucifer

As ur oth

Juptrcr

Beth or

Rufija'ii

Leviathan

Magoth

Man

Phaleg

Rubijail

Cata a

Asmodeus

Sun

Och

Bail

oilll 1

Beelzebud

Venus

Hagith

Bin il

BdiaJ

Onem

Mercury

Ophtel

Harqil

Paimon

Moon

Phuel

Saljall

Ariton

Amaymon

Fig. 33. – Some Demon and Angel Names

111

Fig. M'– Elements of Gileaic Medicine

Humor)

Element)

Qualities

Condition)

Tempera\*

menu

Colors

Seatons

Agei

Winds

Zodiac



Signs

Blood

Air

Hot-Moist

Liquid

Sanguine

Bed

Spring

Childhood

S

Ariet

Taurus

Gemini

Yellow Bilt

Fire

Hot-Drjr

Gaseous

Choleric

Yellow

Summer

Youth

E

Cancer

Leo

Virgo

Rlaclt Bilt

Earth

Cold- Dry

Den it

Melancholic

BUck

Autumn

Maturity

N

Libra

Scorpio

Sagittarius

Phlegm

Wsier

Cold 'Moist

Solid

Phlegmatic

White

Winter

Old Age

W

Capricorn

Aquarius

Pisces

Sephiroth

Attributes of God

Spheres

K ether

Hokhmah

Binah

Hood

Gevurah

Rahimin

Netseh

Hod

Yood

Malkuth

The Supreme

Wisdom

Intelligence

Love, Mercy

Power, Writh

Compassion

Eternity

Majesty

Basis

Kingdom. Glory

Pnmum Mobile

Ogdoad (Fixed Scars!

Saturn

Jupiter

Mars

Sol

Venus

Mercury

Luna

Elements

Fig. 35 . – Some Elements of Cabala

113

Fig. 36. – Two Alchemical Drawings

114

Fig- 37 .“Some Costume Elements in Voynich Manuscript Drawings

115

cl f ? or f z Lx

n 3 Z ?

<r / s 3 S 5 7 T 2 T va >

Notana Aristotelis, England, Thirteenth Century

LMh\*vi p M>

r i r

i r i r ? 1

\*t f 1

i r t t r

a b

c d e

f g h i k m

n o

p r s i u

k

i

z v

y

w

Base Characters

J L

J V J L J

t <i b

.4 U

Twelve Auxiliary Marks Added to (he Foot of Base Symbol "A H \*

!

|

: J

abound

about

rT

forget (remember + F)

1

(antonym)

j

d

|

also

— ^ appenaine

•2

abandon f A+ forsake)

1

— ^

anger

(synonym)

-

‘Character a 11 Words \*

Other Words

Thomas Bright's Charaaerie

iDuthir 14701

: A

n “7

C L J +■

>

/

0

u

a

b d

e f g h

\* i\*

k.e.q I m n

(

/ w

— /<=.-

V )

>» Y z. X

\* o

j

p q(u)

r s c u

V w

X v z Ch

] .n

\*n

n <v 0«

b

ba

l

be

bi bo bu

sh

^ A

^ ^ progressive

abound

i -•

rebellion

res pea

words m hill



abbreviated words

John Willis' Stenographic

r

i

f Dithtr 14701

1

~-4-

Fig. 58.— Early Shorthand Systems

116

R S T U X Y

ABCDEFGHIJKLMN OPQ

e i/T4z\*i-f'-r / /? + %X 3 \*■

Nomendator;

PAPA

°T

VENETI

We

CARDINALIS

Q

3P

MONACHUS

an

REX FRANCIE

Is

ANTONIUS PONT IS

p ro

MON5 PESULANUS

«3

FLORENTINI

P e

e+c.

A Cipher of Parma, 1379 iMnn iwe.p itm

A B C D E F G

1 7 7 V i \*

@ ^

\*: 1-

H I L M N Ø P Q

3 J. >0 4 4 ? C\*

X TT -A-  
T

R S T U  
^ H 3 u

f H

X

con

r

Null\*: "F C.D If ip C# /"(? m 0 ^2p @ p °f\* « R

Sample of 1

Cipher text ...

p t o v i d e aturperdompa p a m d c p a cri...

A Venetian Cipher. 1411^ fs\*m> iw. p, »

b”c D E F G H I L M N O P O R S T uT7

r ^ P!1»»3 7 >

=» V +

\* J

quo

A

?

-«- n +

PQ RS I UAJ 17

- , , , + 7T^rt!

4 7 \* «

T 9

r y

Nulls:

■5K\* Q 33 44 T TTT

Doubled:

Syllables:

°3

BB

>= +

CC

DD

FF

b3

GG

43

LL

NN

"3 7 3 ^3

RR SS TT

— B « Xf) Ff 4-0 °

QUA QUE QUI QUO\_ QUU

(Thu system also included a "nomenelitor' . or set of code words)

Code of Urbtno. 1440 (One of 72 similar codes) rsucro iw7, P 6 \

JL

Fig 39- – Some Early Italian Cryptographic Systems

117

Word  
Desif -

Word Matrix or Chan: Column Di

aiguators

tutor

1, BD

11, AF

111. DL |

| HI!. CL

V. AC

VI. BA

j

AUDIO

BONUM

CEDO

D1LIGØ

EXPELLO

FALLO

>1

AMO

BELLUM

CONFERO

DORMIO

EXPUCO

FALSUM

m

ASPIC10

BENEF1CIO

CONCLUD

DONO

EXTOLLO

FALLACIO

m\

AGNOSCO

BIS

COMMENDO COCEO

EXIMO

FRAUS

V

ALEXANDER

. BESTIA

CONSIGNO

DOCTRINA

EMO

FORSAN

vi

AMOR

BELLIGERO

CONDEMNO DOLUS

EMULO

FORIS

APPETO

BACULUS

COMMODO

DOLOR

EQUUS

FORAMINA

etc.

etc

etc.

etc.

etc.

etc.

etc.

Ending Codes  
Nouns:

Cue And N umber Gender

Singular Plural

Nominee A G Masculine

Genitive B H Feminine

Dative C I I Neuttr

Accusative D K

Vocative E L

Abiadve F M

BB

CC

DD

Verbs:

Mood

Indicative

Passive

Imperative/ Optative  
Subjunctive

Infinitive

N

O

P

Q

E

Tense

Present

Imp er fec t

Perfect

Pluperfect

Future

S



T

V

X

Person

1

2sg

5\*&

1 pi

2 pi.

3 pl

Y

B, P

Be

V Y

60, 33

Sample\* of Coded Text:

F & . C • Bnvg , fi\*

Pondfcx l e ro pe? amavit ju to turn.

fit. I . t>L - \*\*\* 0A- n-»\*7j \* CL- ^ty\*. AP. xv.k\*^

Bona cotuiiia faciunt domino\* beato\*

Fig. 40. – Jakob SiKeiier'i Code  
(so™- n». Mjo 2 H-M)

SYMies\*

"Indian" characters to make  
Saturn grant a wish.

iKFrixtrtvFrFZFtn-F \*■ 'XT%tuY  
J l« "b 1 1 <¥>S 1 1 v|vtv|v|vl/nil(

"Efypcian" characters "from Geopatra", to protect one from a king.

A charm to chase away mice.

JC AVkX-WSW

Charm to bring  
a lover.

'C }

LJ\*> '

An "Egyptian" prayer to Venus.

<• 1 ZLi + 'rJc? tt f" X y

Charm to chase  
away wolves.

Some Charms from Picatrii (tm »d

19621

X/SCtT# 2THS/A 3-EK

ANARHETA PIA fOTc>R t>Rio/V 5ARA&  
2AM0A/1 • AL/^RHl ■ OHoDoS\* SCItS

Some Spells from the Keys of Solomon idcCi\*™ 1971. P toai

TA AULA. Ta ALLA o/V AHJD \*VOfftEL  
5UA ALLR TMUQotJ j ft ^Luoti

OU tf-tU-ftTi/V VAHHE-AUA/

AOA STApfldU Allft SuU^f1T1

aua KAHlR

Charm from i Seventeenth Century "Gritnotrc de la Cabale" in the Bibliotheque  
de I \* Arsenal , \ & Gnnr, 1 97 1, p. 11 2 )

Fig. 4L- Some Magical Spells sod Invocations

119

4 4

Jupiter Tin

e

Alum

8

White Arsenic;  
Copper Plate

e 1

Soapstone

Red Ats«ik:  
Mercury; Vitriol

White Arsenic

i v

Potash

Quicklime

I

Burned

Copper

To Distill

,

Orpimeru

Urine

II

f

LCfullU

Month

H\*

Bismuth

o

Oleum Tartan  
Sennerti

\*, \*?

Sail

if

To Prepare

Fig. 42 . – Some Alchemy Symbols

fGiwiii 1«Z1

120

r B 2

a b c

B 9

d e

r 3

? \*

h i

j~ i

k 1

3fi 9

m n

S S 1

r «

L S ^

\*?> W

w\*

o p q

r i

t u

X \*

2 et

est

Aigoux – God

Di vciiz – Devil

lminots – Man

I spam z – Spirit

Viniz – Woman

Luzeica – Light

Ciizia – Church

Gnuimbuz – Cherry Tree

Muximbuz – Nut Tree

Arreien \* – Arch bi sh op

pholianz

St Hildegarde's Alphabet and Ignou Lingua

rMmctr L902.E.LOMH1 19701

>> V 3

13

I

1

\*

\j>

a b

c

d

e

f

%

09 1

<

a

3-

X\*

n

h i

i

m

n

0

P

ir a

"L

j

a

r

?

q T

S

t

u

X

z

John Dee s Enoehtan Alphabet • (

Dam 1968]

Fig. 43.^-Two Mystical Religious Languages

121

M1CMA

Behold.

GOHO

Faith

P1AD

your God

2IR

1 am;

COMSELH

« circle

AZIEN

on whose hands

BIAfi

are

OS LON DOH

12 kingdoms

NØR2

six

CH1S

are

OTHIL

the icau

GI GI PAH

of living breath;

IJNDL

the rest

CHIS

are

TA PU IN

as sharp sickles.

Q MOS P1\_FH

or the horns



TELOCH

of death ;

QUIIN

wherein

TOLTORG

creatures of the earth

CHIS

art.

I CHIS GE

to are not (sic)

(E)M

except

OZIEN

mine own (hand)

DST

which

BURGDA

sleep

OD

and

TORZUL

shall rise.

IU

In the first

EOL

] made you

BALZARG

stewards

OD

and

HAALA

placed you

THILN OS

in seats 1 2

NETAAB

of government:

DLUGA

giving

VOMZARG

unto any one of you

LONSA

power

CAPMIALJ

success; veiy,

VORS

over

CLA

456

HOMIL

the true ages

COCASB

of ome;

FAFEN

to the intent that,

IZIZOP

from the highest vessels

OD

and

Ml IN O AG

the corners

DE

of your governments.

GNETAAB

you might work

VAUN

my power.

NANAEEL

pouring down

PANPIR

the fires of life

MALPIRGI

continuously

CAUSG

on the earth

PILD

Thus

NOAN

you are become

UNALAH

the skirts

BALT

of justice

OD VOOAN

and truth.

DO Ø1 AP

In the name

MAD

of the same, your God

GOHOLOR

lift up,

GOHUS

Isay.

AMIRAN

yourselves

MICMA

Behold

JEHUSOZ

His mercies

CACACOM

flourish

OD DOOAIN

and name

NOAR

is become

MICAOLZ

mighty

AAIOM

amongst us;

CASARMG

in whom

GOHIA

we say

ZODACAR

move.

UNIGLAG

descend

OD

and

IM UA MAR

apply yourselves unto me

PUGO

as unto

PLAPL1

the partaken

ANANAEL

of his secret wudotn

QAAN

in your creation

Fig. 44 – A Sample of Enocbiio Text

[Cuuba !«\*.\*. \*4)

122

YARRY

To the providence

LNIBM

One season

ID OIGO

of him that sitteth

OUCHO

lei it confound

on the HoW Throne

SYMP

another

OD

an d

OD

and

TORZULP

rose up

CHRISTGOS

let there be

1AODAF

in the beginning

AGTOLTORN

no creature

GOHOL

saying

MIRC

upon.

CAUSGA

the earth.

Q

or

TABAORD

let her be governed

TIOBL

within her

SAANIR

by her parts;

L£L

the same.

OD

and

TON

All

CHRISTGOS

let there be

PAOMBD

her members

YRPOIL

division

DILZMO

let them differ

TIOBL

in her

ASPIAN

in their qua lines

BUSDIRTILB

that the glory of

OD

and

her

CHRISTGOS

let there be

NOALN

may be

AGLTOLTORN

no one creature

PAID

always

PARACH

equal

ORSBA

drunken

A SYMP

with another.



OD \* •

and

CORDZIZ

The reasonable crea -

DODRMNI

vexed

cure of the earth.

ZYLNA

in itself.

or man.

BL ZAP TILB

Her course

DODPAL

let them vex

PARM GI

let it run

OD FIFALZ

and weed out

PIRIP SAX

with the Heavens.

LS MNAD

. one another

OD

and

TA

as

QURJST

an handmaid

BOOAPIS

let her serve them.

Fig. 45. – Another Sample of Enoch ian Text

fCmuhon 1619. p 203 1

(The \* teener of V uvd J train the alphabet of fig 4 3 u tux explained ]

123

## Bibliography

[f[ has been suggested to mt bv a udkaguc that 1 should add a note to this bibliography tdling whe found. Most of the books mav be obtained either from the Librarv of Congress i including the Rare Library at Harvard I'niversjtv. or the Main Librarv at Catholic University. Some are recent reprin Bookstore in Washington. D,C The purpose of this bibliography is to make the literature as accessi serums student of the Voviueh manuscript; hence 1 have provided information on currently available simile editions of some older works. Personal communications and other unpublished materials are p of Vovmchiana, and mav be examined bv arrangement with me.]

Agnppa, Henrv Cornelius. 19^0. Opera. London: f 1531. i Reprinted 19^0. <

Acrippu Henrv Cornelius. 1651, Three Books of Occult Philosophy. Translated bv I, French London.

Aguirre v Respaldi/a. Andres. 1 l )^. Rogertor Bacon. Barcelona -Buenos Aires: Editorial Labor s. Alberti. Leon Battista l 568 Opuscolt Morals Edited bv C Bertolli. Venezia,

Alessio. F, 1957. Mi to e Scteriza in Ruggero Bacon\*. Milan; Ceschma.

Alessio. F. 1959, Un Secolodi Studi su Ruggero Bacune i 1848-1957 Revista Crittica Ji Start# Jell# Allen, Don Cameron. 1941, The Star-Crossed Renaissance The Quarrel About Astrology and Its Influen Durham, Nt\*nh Carolina: Duke University Press

Allen, Thomas William 1889 *Sotes on Abbreviations in Creek Manuscripts*. Oxford: Clarendon  
Alston. R (. 19 >0 *Treatises on Short /sand*. Leeds: Printed for the author by E J. Arnold.

Ah ick. Richard D 1950, *The Scholar Adventurers*. New York; Macmillan.

Arber. Agnes, 1953. *Herbals. Their Origin and Evolution*. 1 4^0~1 h^0. Cambridge: The University Press

Ash brook . Joseph. 1966. *Roger Bacon and the Vovnich Manuscript/ Sky and Telescope* t April i. pp.

Ashmole. Elias. 1652. *Theatrum Chemicum Bntanmcum* . London: Nath. Brooke. ( Reprinted Hildesheim 1

Askham. Anthonv. 1548a. *An Almanack\* a Prognosticacyon* . London : W. Powell.

Askham Anthonv. 1548b. *A Pronosttacton Made for the Y ere MOXLVtlh* London: W, Powell.

Askham, Anthonv. 1550, *A Little Herhatl of the Properties of Herhes*. London: W Powell.

Askham, Anthonv, M 552, *A Lytel Treatyse of Astronomy*. London: W, Powell.

Askham. Anthonv 1553- *A Prognostication for the Yere MCCCCLlll*. London: W. Powell

Atwood. Marv Anne. 1969 *Hermetic Philosophy and Alchemy*. New York: Julian Press.

Bacon. Roger. 1659. *De Mirahtli Pot estate Arm et Naturae\* or Friar Bacon His Discovery of the Mir  
and Magick*. London.

Bacon. Roger. 1603. *Sanrons Medtctnae Magntri Rogeri D. Baconts Angli Je Arte Chymiae Scrtpta*, Fra  
Bacon. Roger. 1683. *The Cure of Old Age. and Preservation of Youth*. Translated by Richard Brow ne.  
for Thu. Flesher and Edward Eveis

Bacon. Roger. 1859. *Fr. Roger t Bacon Opera Quaedam Hactenus Inedita*. Edited by John S. Brewer. Lo  
Longman and Roberts.

Bacon. Roger. 1 89.3, *Lettre sur les prodiges de la nature et de Part*. Translated and commented by  
Chamuel.

Bacon. Roger, i 1 897- 1 900 L *The Opus Majus' of Roger Bacon* . Edited by John H Bridges. Oxford:  
i Reprinted Frankfurt/Mam: Minerva-Veriag. 1964 9

Bacon, Roger. 1902. *The Creek Grammar of Roger Bacon and a Fragment of His Hebrew Grammar*. Edited  
Nolan and \$ A. Hirsch. Cambridge: The University Press.

Bacon. Roger. 1909. *Un fragment tnedtt de fopus terimm de Roger Bacon*. With preface by Pierre Du h  
St Bona venture College Press. \*

Bacon, Roger. 1909-1940. *Optra Hactenus Inedita*. Edited by Robert B. Steele. Oxford: Clarendon Pre

Bacon. Roger, 1911 *Compendium Studii Theologtae*. Edited by A. G. Little. Aberdeen: University Pres  
1960.)

Bacon. Roger. 1912. *Pan of the Opus Teritum of Roger Bacon*. Edited by AG. Little. Aberdeen: Univer

Bacon. Roger 1923- Roger Bacon 's Letter Concerning the Marvelous Power of Art and of Nature. Tran L. Davis Easton. Pa The Chemical Publishing Co.

Bacon. Roger. 1928a, *Fratns Rogers Bacon De Retardation\* Acctdenttum Senectutis*. Edited by A G Lit by E Wifhmgcon, Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Bacon. Roger. 1928b. *The Opus Maras of Roger Bacon* Translated by Robert B. Burke. Philadelphia Uni Pennsylvania Press ( Reprinted New York: Russell & Russell. 1962. f

124

B-itumker. Clemtns 1 9 1 6 . Roger Bum m Sat it rphihsophie. Munster 1 . VK '

Balts, Peter, 159T *The Arte of Brachygraphte* London Imprinted b\ G Shawe and R Blower, tor T ( har printed New York: DaCapu Press, 19?2 j

Bardon\* Franz. 1962. *Initiation info Hermettics* . Translated be A, Radspjcier Kettig liber Koblenz:

Bardon. Franz. 1975 *The Practice of Magical Evocation*. Wuppertal: Victor Ruggeberg.

Bauer, H, 196J. *Die wunderbare M'dnch: Leben und Kampf Roger Bacons* . Leipzig: Koehler & AmeLing.

Bausani. Alessandro, 1970. *Geheim und Universalsprachen* , Stuttgart.

Beck. Cave, 1657. *The Universal Character*. London: Primed by Tho, Maxev. lor William Weekiev.

Benoni. Efrem. 1962a. "Ruggero Bacone in Alcune Recenti Pubbltcazione Italiane," *Revista di Fi/o* < 54, p. 3-

Becroni. Efrem. 1962b. San Bonaventura e Ruggero Bacone. " *StuJi Francescani* 59. p I 2.

Bet tom, Efrem. 1960. L Anstoteiismo di Ruggero Bacone/ *Revista di F'tlosofia Seoscolasttca* 5h. p

Bertoni. Efrem, 1967. *La Dotrrma della Conscienza di Ruggero Bacone.*" *Revista di Filosofia Seoscol*

Btedtrmann, Hans. 1972. *Medicina Magica, Me tap by sue be Heilmethoden in spatamiken und mittela l le hrtf ten*. Graz: Akadem. Druck-Verlaganst.

Bigalli, Da vide. 1971. / *Tartan e t'Apocatissi* . *Ricerce suit Escatologia m Ada mo Marsh e Rugger* Nuova Italia.

Bird. Malcolm. 1921. 'The Roger Bacon Manuscript: Investigation inn? its Historv. and the Efforts Sue\* 'rific *American Monthly* } ijunek pp. 492-496.

Bishop. William Warner. 1950. *A Checklist of American (^opies of "Short -Title Catalogue ' Books 2 1/nivcnitv of Michigan Press.*

Blau, Joseph Leon. 1944, *The Christian Interpretation of the Cabala in the Renaissance*, New York: sitv Press.

Blish. James. 1971. Doctor Mtrahtlis: A Novel. New York: Dodd, Mead.

Bober, H. 1948 "The Zodiacal Miniature of the Tries Riches Heures of the Duke of Berry/\* Journal of Court and Institutes 11. pp. 1-34.

Bogge. Herbert, 1973. Gnechischt Tac hy graphic und Tironische Noten . Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.

Boll, Franz and Bidez. Carl, 1913 I, Stemglaube und Sterneutung: Die Geschichte und das Wesen der. Leipzig: B G Teubner.

Bolton. Henry C. 1904, The Follies of Science at the Court of Rudolph //, M 7 6-/6/2. Milwaukee: P Review Publishing Co.

Bouygues, M. 1930 Roger Bacon a-t-il lu les livres Arabes.'" Archives d' histoire de médecine et de pharmacie pp- 311-315

Bregola. G, 1932/ 'Il Valore delle Lingue e delle Scienze nell' Apologetica di Ruggero Bacone.'" La pp 372-391.

Bridges. John Henry. 1914, Life and Work of Roger Bacon . Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Brophy. Liam. 1963 The Marvelous Doctor , Friar Roger Bacon. Chicago: Franciscan Press.

Brumbaugh. Robert S. 1974, "Botany and the Voynich Roger Bacon MS Once More. Speculum 49. pp. 546-

Brumbaugh, Robert S. 1975. The Solution of the Voynich Roger Bacon Cipher Yale University Library i April / pp. 347-355.

Brumbaugh, Robert S. 1976. "The Voynich Roger Bacon Cipher Manuscript: Deciphered Maps of Stars/ ' the Warburg and Courtauld Institutes XXXIX 1 1976k pp, 139-150.

Bruno. Giordano. 1582. De Umbris Idearum. Paris.

Brusadelli. M 1954. Ruggero Bacone nella Scoria." Rivista di Filosofia Neoscholastica 6.

Burton. Cottie A 1967, The Arts of the Alchemists, London: Weidenfeld and Nicholson.

Butler, Eliza M. 1948. The Myth of the Magus. Cambridge: University Press,

Butler. Eliza M, 1949, Ritual Magic . i Reprinted by Newcastle Publishing Co.. Inc., 1971 . >

Candler. Howard. 1907. "Roger Bacon and Francis Bacon: A Comparison. In Royal Society of Literature Kingdom , Essays by Others Hands . Being the Transactions. 2nd Series 27. pp. 171-195,

Oppelli, Adriano. 1949, Lexicon Abreviaturarum. Milan: Ulrico Hoepli.

Carter, Albert H. 1946. "Some Impressions of the Voynich Manuscript." Unpublished notes. It) Septe

Carton. Raoul. 1924a. "L' experience physique chez Roger Bacon," Etudes de philosophie medievale e

Carton. Raoul 1924b. L' experience mystique de l' illumination interieure chez Roger Bacon." Etudes medic vales J, Paris: I, Vnn

Canon, Raoul 1924c "La ivnth&e doctnnale de Roger Bacon, Etudes de philosophic medievale 5. Paris:

Carton\* Raoul 1 929. Le chitfre de Roger Bacon. Revue d histoire dc la philosophic 3. pp- 31-66. l  
Casaubon. Meric. 1659. A True and Faithful Relation of What Passed Between Dr. John Dee and Some S  
Pnnted bv D Maxwell.

Cecchetti. Bartolomeo. 1868/69. "Le Scritture Occulte nella Diplomazia Veneziana' in Aits del Real  
Scienze, Letter e ed Am, Senes III 14. p. 1185.

Charles. Emile. 1861. Roger Bacon: sa pie, ses outrages, set doctrines da pres des textes inedsts.  
tion.

Clement, Adolf. 1926, "Sur V indication de la composition de la poudre a feu chez Roger Bacon.'"\* A  
della Scienza 7, pp, 34-35,

Cockayne, T, O. 1860. Leechdoms. Wortcunning and Statcraft of Early England, London. Chronicles an  
Courtnev, William L. 1892. "Roger Bacon. ' In Studies at Leisure. London: Chapman and Hall. Ltd,

Crow lev. Theodore, 1950. Roger Bacon . the Problem of the Soul in His Philosophical Commentaries.  
de I institut superieure de philosophic.

Crow lev, Theodore. 1951-52. "Roger Bacon: The Problem of Universal in His Philosophical Commentar  
of the John Ry lands Library 34,

Currier. Prescott. 1970-1976. "Voriuch MS. Transcription Alphabet; Plans for Computer studies; Tra  
Herbal A and B Material: Notes and Observations.' 1 Unpublished communications to John H. Tilrman  
D Impeno. Dr mariscntta, Maine.

Dailev. William. 1975. The Mirror of Ale him y, Composed by the Famous Friar, Roger Bacon, etc,, u  
Table of Hermes, Trismegtstus, of Alchemy , Los Angeles f facsimile).

Dalgarno. George. 1661 , Art Signorum, Vulgo Character Universalis e t Lingua Philosophia , London  
Dalgarno. George, 1680. Didascaiocophus. Oxford: Printed at the Sheldonian Theater (Menston: Schol  
facsimile) .

Deacon\* Richard. 1968. John Dee. London: Frederic Muller  
Dee, John. 1664. Monas Hi fro glypbica, Antwerp.

Dee. John. 1842. The Private Diary of Dr. John Dee. Edited by James Richard HaJliwell. London: Pri  
Society bv J. B. Nichols and Son. (Reprinted New York: AMS Press, 1968.)

Dee. John. 1963 Diary of John Dee. Franklin, New Hampshire: Hillside Press.

Dee. John. 1964, 'Monas Hi eroglvphica. Translated bv C, H, josten. Ambtx 12. pp. 84-221.

De Givrvv, Grillot. 1971. Witchcraft, Magic and Alchemy. Translated bvj. Courrenav Locke. New York:

Delorme. F. M. 1911. "Un opusculé inedit de Roger Bacon G.F.M.'" Archivum Franciscanum Historiarum 4,

DeRola. Stanislas Klossowski, 1973. The Secret Art of Alchemy, New York: Avon Publishers.

D Impeno. M. E. 1976. "New Research on the Voynich Manuscript: Proceedings of a Seminar. Washington 30 November 1976. Privately circulated.

Dioscorides. 1959. The Greek Herbal of Dioscorides, Illustrated by a Byzantine. A.D. 512. Englished A.D. 1655. New York: Hafner.

Duhem. Pierre M. M. 1913-1959. Le système du monde: histoire des doctrines cosmologiques de Platon à Pascal. Paris: A. Hermann.

Duthie. George Ian. 1970. Elizabethan Shorthand and the First Quarto of King Lear. Folcroft, Pa.

Easton, Stewart C 1952. Roger Bacon and His Search for a Universal Science. Oxford. (Reprinted New York: Russell. 1971.)

Evans. Robert John Weston. 1973. Rudolph II and His World: A Study in Intellectual History, 1550-1612. Clarendon Press,

Feilich. Joseph M. 1943 Roger Bacon's Cipher: The Right Key Found. Rochester, New York; n.p.

Fell-Smith, Charlotte. 1904. John Dee. London: Constable and Co., Ltd.

Feret. P. 1891, Les emprisonnements de Roger Bacon," Revue des questions historiques 50, pp, 119-140.

Festugière, André Marie Jean. 1944-1954. La révélation d' Hermès Trismégiste. Paris: Leclercq.

Festugière, André Marie Jean. 1967. Hermétisme et mystique païenne. Paris: Aubier-Montaigne.

Folkingham. William. 1620. Brachigraphy: or the Art of Short Writing. Amsterdam: Theatrum Orbis Terrarum.

Frankowska, Malgorzata. 1971. Scienza as Interpreted by Roger Bacon. Translated by Ziemslaw Zienkiewicz. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Va, (Original published in Warsaw: 1969.)

126

I

French. Peter J. 1972. John Dee. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

'Friar Bacon The Sutton (New York > 125 in December 22. 1926). p. 65 b,

Friedman. Elizabeth. 1962. "The Most Mysterious MS. - Still an Enigma/ Washington D.C. Post, 5 April 1962.

Friedman. William F. and Elizabeth S. 1959, "Acrostics. Anagrams, and Chaucer/' Philological Quarterly 38, pp 1-20.

Garland. Herbert. 1921. "The Mystery of the Roger Bacon Cipher MS/ Bookman's Journal and Print Collector 1, pp 1-2.

(London) 5. New Series \* October), pp, 11-16.

Geiuler. H. 1959. Comtmus and die Sprache. Heidelberg.

Gemelli. Agostino, 1914. Scrim Vari Puhhlicati in Occe stone del VII Centenarto della Sascita Ji R  
Florence: Librana Ed t trice Florentma.

Gerard. |ohn. 1635, The Herbal!. London: Adam islipjoice Norton and Richard Whitakers i Reprinted  
Gessman. Gustav W. 1922. Die Gehemsymboie der Alchymte. Arznerkunde and Asiologte des Mittelt It  
Verlag von Karl Siegismund.

Gilson. Etienne. 1928. (Review of the Newbold-Kent Book) Rhme critique dbtstotre et de Imerature ( Series ( August I. pp, 328-383

Giulieni. Francesco. 1968. Stone dalle Scrrtture Veloci , Firenze: Giunti. G. Barbera.

Goldsmith. Edmund, ed. 1886, Bibliotheca Cunosa The Famous History of Fryer Bacon. Edinburgh.

Grattav John H, G.. and Singer. Charles J. 1952. Anglo-Saxon Magic and Medicine . Illustrated Spec  
the Semi -Pagan Text 'Lacnunga'. London: Oxford i'nversitv Press.

Graubard. Mark Aaron. 1953- Astrology and Alchemy: Two Fossil Sciences. New York: Philosophical Li  
Greene. Robert. 1594. The Honourable Histone of Fnar Bacon and Friar Bungay, London: Printed tor E  
(Reprinted New York: AMS Press. 1970).

Groves. Edward 1846. Pastlogia: An Essay Towards the Formation of a System of Universal Language t  
and Visual Dublin: James McGlashan,

Gundel. Wilhelm. 1936. Dekane und Dekanstembilder. Studiender Bibliothek Warburg 19.

Hajdu, Helga. 1936, Das mnemotechnische Schrifttum des Mittelalters. Vienna.

Hall. Maulv Palmer. 1964. The Mystical and Medical Philosophy of Paracelsus . Los Angeles: Philoso  
Society.

Hail Manlv Palmer. 1971. Codex Rosae Cruets. Los Angeles: Philosophical Research Society,

Heck. Erich. 1957. Roger Bacon: tin mttttialterlichtr Versuch finer htstortschen und systematise h  
Ph.D. Dissertation, Bonn.

Held. Gustav, 1 88 L Roger Bacons praktisebe Philosophic. Jena.

Hiidegardis.. 191 3, Die Kompositionen der Heil Published by Joseph Gmelch. Dusseldorf: L. Schwann  
H ill Sir George Francis. 1915. The Development of Arabic Numerals in Europe , Oxford: Clarendon P  
Hi me. Henry W. L. 1904, "Fnar Bacon/' In The Origin of Gunpowder. London: Longmans. Green and Co.  
Himc, Henry W. L. 1914. "Roger Bacon and Gunpowder/ In Roger Bacon Essays. Edited by A. G. Little.  
Clarendon Press, pp. 321-335,

Hi me. Henry W. L < 1915. "Friar Bacon, ' in The Origin of Artillery. London: Longmans. Green and C  
Hoffmans. Hadelm, 1906. "Une theorie mcumoniste de la connaissance du XIII siecic.' Revue neoscola  
philosophic 1 3 , pp. 37 1-39 L .



Hoffmans. Hadelin. 1907, "La synthèse doctrinale de Roger Bacon/' Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie 196-224.

Hoffmans. Hadelin. 1908. "La genèse des sensations d'après Roger Bacon. " Revue neoscholastique de philosophie 32-46.

Hoffmans. Hadelin. 1909a. La sensibilité et les modes de la connaissance d'après Roger Bacon/' Revue de philosophie 16, pp, 32-46.

Hoffmans, Hadelin. 1909b. 'L'intuition mystique et la science/' Revue neoscholastique de philosophie  
Hoffmans, Hadelin. 1926, "L'expérience chez Roger Bacon/ Revue neoscholastique de philosophie 21. p

Hugo. Herman. 1617. De Præceptis Scribendi Originem et Universam Rationem Uterariæ Antiquitatem. Auvers.

James, Montague Rhodes. 1903 Ancient Libraries of Canterbury and Dover. Cambridge: The University Press.

James. Montague Rhodes. 1921. MS. 155 Formerly Owned by Dr. John Dee , London: Bibliographical Society (Supplement),

Johnsen. Chr. 1940. Geschichte der Stenographie. Berlin: H. Apitz.

127

Johnson. C. H. An unknown Chapter in the Life of John Dee manuscript / \*d // 'f U v \*#r^t#r^ jia/ I tit\*  
pp, 223-21~

Jourdain. C, L 1888 Discussions de quelques principes de la philosophie de Roger Bacon. In F.xcursifim i\* philosophiques . Paris: Firmin-Didot et Cie.. pp. 129-141 i Reprinted Frankfurt/ M. : Minerva Verlag

Kahn. David. 1967 The Codebreakers: The Story of Secret Writing. New York: Macmillan, pp 86-K^2.  
Kieckhefer. O. 1913 Der Intellekt als Ausgangspunkt der Philosophie In Studien der Geschichte der Philosophie  
Münster: 1. W . pp 297-308.

Kipling. Rudyard. 1926 The Eve of Allah/ In Debits and Credits. London: Macmillan.

Kirchtr, Athanasius 1663 Polygraphia Nova et Communis sive ex Combinatione Arte Detecta. Rome.

Kocher. Paul, 1913 Science and Religion in Elizabethan England. San Marino, California, The Huntington Library.  
Kraus. Hans P n.d. Thirty\* Five Manuscripts. Catalogue (lot).

Knscher, Jeffrey P. 1969. The Voynich Manuscript. Harvard University Press.

Liebeschuetz. H 1930. Das alchemistische Weltbild des heiligen Hildegard von Bingen, Leipzig and Berlin.  
Little. Andrew G . \ 89 2. The Grey Friars in Oxford, Oxford : Clarendon Press.

Little. Andrew G. 1914 Roger Bacon Essays. Oxford: Clarendon Press. (Reprinted New York: Russell & Russell, 1972, 1

Little, Andrew G, 1929. Roger Bacon: Lecture on a \* Master Mind\*. London: H, Milford.

Longpre. E. 19<sup>8</sup>. "La summa dialectic\* de Roger Bacon/ Archhum Franciscanum Historic/\* nt >1. pp 2  
Longwell. H. C. 19<sup>18</sup>. The Theory of Mind of Roger Bacon. Ph.D. Dissertation. Emperor Willumv l nn  
Strasbourg.

Lutz. Edward. 1936. Roger Bacon's Contribution to Knowledge. New York: J. F. Wanner. Inc  
Maccagnolu. Enzu, 1915. ' Ruggero Bacone e U Metafnica Classic\* Studt Francescam 12.

Maffre. Camille. 1863 Roger Bacon . Pans.

Mandonnet. P !910. "Roger Bacon et 1c Speculum AsrronomiaeV\* Revue neosoiastipue de philosophic.

Mandonnet. P 1913, Roger Bacon et la composition dcs trois Opus /' Revue neoscoiasttque de philoso

Manly, John M. 1921a. 'Roger Bacon s Cipher Manuscript/' American Review of Reviews 64 rjulvi, pp.

Manlv. John M 1921b. 'The Most Mysterious Mamucrypt in the World: Did Roger Bacon Write It and Has  
Been Found:/' Harper j Monthly Magazine 143 tJulvLpp. 186-197,

Marilv. John M. 1931. Roger Bacon and the Voynich MS Speculum 6 i julvi. pp. 341-391

Massa. Eugenio 1913. Ruggero Bacone e la Poetic\* di Anstotele. " Giornaie Crtttca della Filosofia I  
Mass\* Eugenio, 1955a Ruggero Bacone – Erica e Poetica nella Stona deli' Opus Maim. Rome Edizioni d  
Litteratura.

Massa. Eugenio. 1 911b. Vita Civile e Cnsi Latina in Ruggero Bacone/ Rassegna di Politic a e di St

Massif. Mittord C. 1934. The Roger Bacon or R. R . Dee Chess Code. n.p. : Press of Fremont Pai ne.

Mathers. \$, L, MacGregor. 191 1. The Kahhalah Unveiled, London. (Reprinted New York: S. Wetser. 19

Mathers. S. L. MacGregor. 1974. The Key of Solomon the King ( Cdavtcula Solomoms ). Sou First Tran  
Edited from Ancient \*ME5. in the British Museum . New York: Samuel Weiser. Inc,

Mathers. S. L. MacGregor, 1971. The Book of the Sacred Magic of Ahramelin the AUge. As Delivered h  
the Jeu unto His Son Lantech , A.D, 1458. New York: Dover.

Matrod. H. 1927. "Sur Roger Bacon ( 1214-1294)." Etudes franascatnes 29.

Mav. J, 1 929, Dte heilige Hildegard. Munchen.

McCracken. George E. 1 948. "Athanasius Kircher s Universal Polvgraphv/' Isis 39 (November i . pp.

McKaig. Beni. n.d. The Vovmch Manuscript – Cipher of the Secret Book Reprinted courtcsv Independen  
papers Inc.. San Dtego, California.

McKeon. Richard, 1928. "Roger Bacon/' The Nation 127 (August 29). pp. 201-206.

Meister. Aloys. 1896. "Zur Kenntms des venetiainschen Chiffrenwesrns/' Histohsches Jahrhuch 17 pp.

Meister. Aloys, 1902. Dte An fange der moderntn diplomatise hen Geheimschrtft, Padcrborn: F. Schon

Meister. Aloys. 1 906 Die Gehetmschrift im Dienste der papsthchen Kune . Paderborn: F. Schoningh.

Miano. Vincenzo, 196(1. "Tradiaionalismo e Umanesimo in Ruggero Bacone/' In L'homme et son desrtn pens furs du moyen age, acres du premier congres Internationale de philosophic medic vale. Lou vai

Moorssel. Gerard von. 1911. The Mysteries of Hermes Trtsmegistus. Utrecht.

Mmes, Montrose J. 1921. \* A Cinderella on Parchment: The Romance of the New 600- Year-Old Bacon Ma Hearst s international, pp . 16-17,71.

128

Neivbuld. William Romaint 1921, The Gphtr ot Roger Bacon, Tn/tijacri/ai.t of the Qdlcg r of \*t Phi  
Jelphia 43 \* 192 1 J. pp. <31 – Tn. Read April 20. 1921.

Newbold. William Romaine. 1928. The Cipher of Roger Bacon, Edited wuh foreword and notes bv Prof R Kent. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Nock. A\*D.\* and Festugiere, A. M. j 1945. Hermes Tnsmegiste. Paris.

O'Neill, Hugh. 1944, 'Botanical Observations on the Vo vnich MS." Speculum 19 IJamiarW. p. 120

Panofskv. Erwin. 1954. "Answers to Questions for Prof E. Panofskv. " Personal communication to Wil man, March 19. 1954,

Pasini. Luigi. IS 7 3. Delle Scrinure in Qfra Usate Jaffa Repithhlica Veneta. Venezia: Re gin Ardm Venezia, p. 29 1 .

Peers. Edgar Allison. 1929. Ramon La IL a Biography. New York: Macmillan.

Pelzer. A. 1919. "Une source mconnue de Roger Bacon, Alfred de Sarasehel. Archivum Franciscan am H p .45.

Petersen. Theodore C. 1953. Notes to Mr. Tiltmans |i951j Observations on the Vvrnich Cipher MS Unp April 23. 1953.

Petersen. Theodore C- 1966. Hand Transcript and Concordance of the Vovnich Manuscript and Other Wo In the Friedman Collection. George Marshall Library. Lexington\* Virginia

Philalethcs. Acvrenaesus. 16 7 8 Ripley Retir'd. London: Printed bv T Ratcliff and N. Thompson, tor

Poisson. Albert. 1890, Cinq status Jafchimie. (Paracelsus. Albertus Magnus. Rogtr Bacon. Ramon Lul Vi llano va. i Paris: Bibliocheque Chacornat.

Pollard. A. W.. and Redgrave, C. R. 1969. A Short -Title Catalogue of Books Printed in England ami English Books Prtmed Abroad /4 7 5-/640. London: The Bibliographical Society.

Porta, Gjovannie Battista. 1563- De Fterti vis Liter arum Notts Vulgo de Zi fens. Naples.

Porta. Giovanni Battista. 1644. Magia Naturalrs. Levden,

Powvs\* John Cow per. 1956. The Brazen Head., London: MacDonald.

Pratt. Fletcher. 1942. Secret and Urgent. Garden City\* N.J.: Blue Ribbon Books I see especial I v

Redgrove, Herbert Stanley. 1920. Roger Bacon . the Father of Experimental Science and Medieval Occ  
W Rider 6c Son, Ltd.

Reitzenstein, Richard 1904 Potmandres. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner.

Reventlow, Carl Otto (Carl Christian Ottoi. 1843, Lehrbuch des Mnemorechmk. Stuttgart: Tübingen

Review of 'The Cipher of Roirer Bacon ( Newbold r," Quarterly Revreu of Biology (Baltimore. Md + 3  
1 928 J . pp 595-596.

Reville. John C.. S j. 1921 "Friar Roger Bacon and Modern Science." America: A Catholic Revteu of  
(Mav 21 1. pp. 1 01-102,

Riplev. George, 1591 The Compound of Ale by my. London: T. Or win.

Ripley\* George. 1756. Georgu RtpUei . . Chymische Schriften. etc. Translated by Benjamin Roth-Scho  
Zu finden bev J. P. Krauss.

Ritter. H. and Plessner. M. 1962. Picatrix. Studies of the Warburg Institute 27,

The Roger Bacon Manuscript: What It Looks Like, and a Discussion of the Possibilities of Decipherm  
tific American. Mav 28. 1921. p. 421/

Rohde. Eleanour 5\* 19 7 I. The Old English Herbals \* ( 1922) Reprinted New York: Dover Publication

Rose. Valentin. 1974. Tironische Nden in Stenographie im 1 2ten Jahrhundert Hermes 8. p. 303

Rossi\* Paolo. 1960 Clavis Universalis. Milan -Naples.

Rossi, Paolo. 1961. The Legacv ot Ramon Lull in Sixtetnth-Centurv Thought. Warburg Institute. Medi  
R enaissa nee Studies 5.pp. 182-213-

Rossi. Paolo. 1974. Francesco Bacone: Dalla Magia alia Sc/enza , Torino: G. Einaudi.

Sacco, Luigi, 1947. T'n Frimato Jtahano; La Crittografia nei Secoh XV e XVI. Bolletino del/' hritu  
Jelt Arma del Gen to 26 f December i .

Saisset. Emile Edmond 1862. P recurse urs et disciples de Descartes. Paris: Didicr et Cie.

Saisset. M. 1861 , "'Roger Bacon. " Revue des Jeux-mondes 3 1 4, p. 369.

Salomon. Richard 1934, Review of Maniv \$ Critique of Newbold s Decipherment. Bihliothek Warburg, K  
senchafilicbe Bibliographic zum Sac hie hen der An tike 1 . p. 96.

Salomon. Richard. 1936. Opiums de Camstris , Weltbtld und Bekenntnisse eines avtgnonischen Klerike  
London Warburg Institute

Sand vs. Sir John E 1914. Roger Bacon. Oxford: University Press

Suxi. Fritz. 1915. 192 7 . 'Verzeichms astro! ogischcr und mythologise her Handschrtften dcs fartm  
in Sitzungsherichte der heidelherger Akademe Jer Wissenschaften ( phi l osophisch - bis to ruche

Scan. W\* 1924-56. Hermetica^ Oxford.

Sehgmann, Kurt. 1948. Magic, Supeenaturalism and Religion. New York: Random House. Pantheon.

Se\*nec.J\* 1953- The Survival of the Pagan Gods. Translated by B. F. Sessions. New York: BoJlIingen.

Sharp. D. f. 1930. Franciscan Philosophy at Oxford tn the 1 3th Century Oxford: Clarendon Press, ( York: Russell & Russell. 1964.1

Shulman. David. 1976. An Annotated Bibliography of Cryptography. New York: Garland Publishing. Inc

Shumaker. Wavne 1972. The Occult Sciences in the Renaissance: A Study in Intellectual Patterns . B  
forma: University of California Press.

Silvester, | akob. 1526. Opus Notuns Prae feeds A return: Imperatonbus Exeratum. etc . Rome,

Singer. Charles Joseph 1927. The Herbal in Antiquity. Bungav\* Suffolk: Printed bv R. Clav Sc Sons.  
Journal of Hellenic Studies 47.)

Singer. Charles Joseph 1928, From Magic to Science. New York: Boni and Livenght f Reprinted bv Dov

Singer\* Charles Joseph. 1959. A History of Biology. 3rd rev. ed. London and New York: Abelard \*5ch

Singer. Charles Joseph. 1975. The Scientific Views and Visions of Saint Hildegard." In Studies in  
Method of Science. < Oxford: 1921 » Reprinted New York: Arno Press ivoi. 1. pp. 1-58).

Singer. Charles Joseph, and Underwood. E. A. 1962. A Short History of Medicine. 2nd ed. Oxford: Cl

Striker, Dorothea Walev. 1928-31. Catalogue of Latin and Vernacular Alchemical Manuscripts tn Grea  
Ireland. Dating from Before the Sixteenth Century , Brussels: M. La martin.

Smger. D W. 1932, "Alchemical Writings Attributed to Roger Bacon." Speculum 7.

Smith, David Eugene, and Karpmski. Louis Charles. 1911. The Hindu-Arahtc Numerals. Boston and Lond  
and Company.

Steele. Robert. 1928a. "Lufu Vopo Vir Can Utriet." Nature 121 (February 1 1 h pp. 208-209,

Steele. Robert, 1928b. "Science in Medieval Cipher." Nature 122 (October 13>. pp 563-565.

Steele, Robert. 1933. "Roger Bacon as Professor A Student's Notes." Isis 20. pp. 53-7 1 .

Steele, Robert. 1975 "Roger Bacon and the State of Science in the Thirteenth Century." In *Studies in the History of Science and Philosophy*. Edited by Charles Joseph Singer. (Oxford: 1921 . .) Reprinted New York: Arno Press, 1975.

Storms, Godfrid. 1975, *Anglo-Saxon Magic*. (The Hague: M. Nijhoff. 1948. ) Reprinted by Folio Press, 1975.

Strong, Leonell C 1945, *Anchoir Askham. the Author of the Voynich MS*, Science in Lancaster, Pa. UJt 151, pp. 608-609,

Strong, Leonell C\* and McCawley. G, L. 1947. A Verification of a Hitherto Unknown Prescription of the Thirteenth Century." *Bulletin of the History of Medicine* (Baltimore. Md.) 21 (November- December ) pp, 898-900.

Taylor. Henry Osborne. 1922. *Greek Biology and Medicine*. Boston: Marshall Jones Company.

Thomson. S. H. 1937. An Unnoticed Treatise of Roger Bacon on Time and Motion," *Isis* 27. pp. 219-222.

Thorndike. Lynn. 1916. "The True Roger Bacon. *American Literary Review*- 2 (L) pp. 237-25\* and 468 - 470.

Thorndike, Lynn- 1921. Letter in Correspondence Column. *Scientific American*, June 25. p. 509.

Thorndike- Lynn. 1923-58. *A History of Magic and Experimental Science*. New York: Macmillan.

Thorndike\* Lynn. 1929. "Roger Bacon, *American Historical Review* , (Lancaster, Pa.) 34 (January), pp 1-12.

Thorndike. Lynn. 1963, *Science and Thought in the Thirteenth Century: Studies in the History of Medicine, Natural and Mathematical Science. Philosophy and Politics*. New York: Hafner.

Tiltman. John H. 1951, "Interim Report on the Voynich MS." Personal communication to William F Friedman May 1951.

Tiltman\* John H. 1961 The Voynich MS Script of an address presented to the Baltimore Bibliophiles May 1951 L

Tiltman\* John H, 1968. "The Voynich Manuscript, the Most Mysterious Manuscript in the World. Paper circulated Baltimore.

Top. Alexander. 1603 *The Clavius Leafe: Or Universal! A BCE. Wherein is Set forth the Creation of the World*.

Trithemius\* Joannes. 1564. *Polygraphiae Libri Sex. Cokmiae*.

Trithemius\* Joannes\* 1606 *Steganographia*. Frankfurt.

Vanderwall. C. B 1929, *Roger Bacon dans l'histoire de la philologie*. Paris.

Volkman. Ludwig. 1929\* *Ars Memoriae*. Jahrbuch der Kunstgeschichte Wien X,F 30. Vienna, pp. 111-203,

130

Vinc. Aretm. j Chr. Freiherr. 1800 *Theorie der Mnemotechnik*. Salzburg.

Von Boehn. Max. 1964, *Die Mode: Menschen und Moden im 16. Jahrhundert*. Munich: F. Bruckmann KG

Voynich\* Wilfrid M.; Vovnich\* Ethel; and Nill\* A. M. 1917-1961 Notes concerning the history of script. Voynich Archives\* Library of the Grolier Club of New York.

Vovnich\* Wilfrid M. 1921 A Preliminary Sketch of the History of the Roger Bacon Cipher Manuscript. *Annals of the College of Physicians of Philadelphia* 43\* pp- 415-430. Read April 20, 1921,

Waite, Arthur Edward, 1929. *The Holy Kabbalah*. London: Williams and Norgate Limited (Reprinted New York, N. Y.: University Books\* 1960),

Waite\* Arthur Edward. 1961, *The Brotherhood of the Rosy Cross*. New York: University Books

Walker, Daniel Pickering 1958, *Spiritual and Demonic Magic from Paganism to Campanula*. London: The Warburg Institute.

Walsh, James J. 1921, "Vindication of Medieval Science." *American Catholic Review* of the Week 25 in JUL pp. 488-490.

Walsh, Rudolf. 1928. *Das Verbotene von Glauben und Wissenschaft bei Roger Bacon*. Freiburg (Schweiz) : Warburg Institute\* University of London. 1967. Warburg Institute Library Catalogue, 2nd ed, Boston (And Supplement London: 1971)

Wedel, Theodore Otto, 1920. *The Medieval Attitude Toward Astrology*. New Haven: Yale University Press

Welborn, M. C 1932. "The Errors of the Doctors According to Fr. Roger Bacon, *Isis* 18. pp 20-92.

Wernick, Alfred. 1963- "The Most Mysterious Manuscript." *Horizon* 5 (January I. pp. 4-9.

Werner, Karl. 1879a. *Die Psychologie, Erkenntnis- und Wissenschaftslehre des Roger Bacon*. Wien in Frankfurt/Main: Minerva-Verlag. 1966.)

Werner\* Karl. 1879b. "Die Kosmologie und allgemeine Naturlehre des Roger Bacon. In *Wiener Akademische Berichte* 94, pp. 484-612\*

Westcott, Evelyn, 1953- *Roger Bacon in Life and Legend*. London: Rockliff. (Reprinted New York. Pantheon Library Editions, 1974.)

Wilkins, John\* 1641 *Mercury, or the Secret and Swift Messenger*. London: Printed by J. Norton\* for and Timothy Wilkins, etc\*

Wilkins, John, 1668a, *An Essay Toward Real Character, or a Philosophical Language*. London; Printed for J. Sturges. and for John Martyn, printer to the Royal Society,

Wilkins, John\* 1668b. *An Alphabetical Dictionary*. etc. London: Printed by J M for Samuel Gellibrand Martin,

Willis, John, 1602. *The Art of Stenographic*. London.

Wilson\* Grove\* 1942, "Roger Bacon." In *Great Men of Science: Their Lives and Discoveries* New York: Home Library, pp. 72-79.

Woodruff. Francis Winthrop\* 1938\* Roger Bacon, a Biography . London: j, Clarke & Co.\* Ltd.

Yates\* Frances. 1954. 1960, "The Arc of Ramon Lull," Journal of the Warburg and Courtauld Institut  
23, p. 1,

Yates. Frances. 1964. Giordano Bruno and the Hermetic Tradition. London: Rout ledge and Keg an Pau

Yates\* Frances. 1966. The An of Memory. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Yates. Frances. 1968 "The Hermetic Tradition in Renaissance Science,' In An. Science and History i  
sance. Edited by C. H\* Singleton. Baltimore\*

Yates\* Frances. 1969. Theatre of the World \* Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Yates, Frances\* 1972. The Rosicrucian Enlightenment \* London: Routledge and Kegan Paul,

Zimanskv. Cun A. 1970 "William F. Friedman and the Vovnich MS." Philological Quarterly 49. pp. 433

131

fSDEX

Xme The references m the index ire in Ehc turm til paragraph  
numben rather than pag r number i The numbers separated by  
periods outside ol parentheses sand tor paragraph numbers primed  
in the text; numbers within parenihesei indicate which subsequent  
unnumbered paragraph or paragraphs contain the reference. For  
example the reference '3,34(2-4. 6j" menu that the indexed  
item wu mentioned in the second, third, tad fourth unnumbered  
subparagrapm immedtacrlv after the printed subheading "3 3 4

Abacus. H. IOf 2i

Abaelard Peter, schoLm: methud of. " 3(2i  
Abracadabra. 9.4.2f 3\*

Abrameim. magical intern of. 8.4f I J. 8-4, 3( 1-^1. 8-61 I p  
A bbreviation of words m Vovmch apher, 4.4. 2(3. t5i  
Abbreviations Greek 3 1.21 l L 9.1(11 istt mUo Greek shorthand L  
Latin. 4.2(9). 3.212. 4-5 L 9.N1-3L fyei 22. 39-40. similar  
to Vovnich symbols. 4. 1.212, 3L 4. 1.3(5). 9,1 (2), 9, 1.3)2),  
fig, n

AccoummT machines. 6.2(2-V>

Attixts t aromaticaJ in mdet anti ivnrhttn Uncut m.

\*>3i3\_>r m the Vcnrnich teat. 4.412-3- 9-IUi. 4 4.2i 3. 9.



i7-im,6.6(i-2.3>. 8. mu. fig, 2 ?

Ages of man, 3 3 JM0L 3 3 4(2-4.61

Agriculture as a topic of the Vovtuch text. 3~3.5(5 1 3 3 5f 1 )

Agnppa. Hear\* CtwneJius. 3 3 4f I ). 8.2(2). 9\*2(3)

AlOereus 2. H 2), 3,4(1 L 6.3(3L 104SI

Akhrmv 8.4 4(1 J, 8.8(1\*91, 8 9(2. 4-5): ciphers associated with,  
7.4(3 6i, 8-8Mj. 9.2(1. 4). drawings similar to Vovwch  
manuscript drawings, 3 3. MB). 3.33(3), 8.8(7-91, 10(11),  
fig 36; possible topic of Vomich manuscript, 3.2.3(11, 3.3. 3\* 7 L

7 4(4\*. 8JJI7>. sear picture symbols in. 3-3-4(41. 5 3,6(3),

8.4. H2L studied hr Roger Bacon. 7.2(3), 7 40 1; ivmboli used  
in. 3 3,H7 L 4-1-3' 3 1. 8.81 1 L 8 9< 3. IIU. 9 4(1L 9.4.21 1-3\*.  
IOi 111. tig 42; treatises on. attributed to Roger Bacon. 3 3-Vui.  
4.4.2(61. 3.1(1-21. 5.1.1(11. 7-3(3)- 7 4(3 19.H2)

Alronso the Wise, 8.4 J( 1 1

Algorism. K 1 Or 1, 41

Aim\* ft si of Ptolemy. 8 3(2»

Alphabet Emxhian 9 4.4(3\*61. fig 43 . Hebrew. 5.1(1 1, 8 4.2(1 1,

8 9 4.HU

Alphabets invented. 22.1(8), 9,2(1). 9.2(5\*6 1 9 4. HU,

9.4, M U21 bp. 43, magical . B 41 1 1, 8-4.4(21\* 9,4.1(11;

transcription 4. 1.3(4). 4 4f91, 6 Ilf1J, 6. 2(2-3), 6 4sjl,

6 6(2). 6 7( 1 j

Akcmtpt. Cardinal. I , H li»

American plants. 2 412)

Arugramtng, 4 4.2(7-6, Hi, 5 1. 1(1). 5. 1.2(1, 61. 6 5(3-4).

8 7(11,9.2(21

Analysis. Markovian. 6 7f 4i

Angel, guardnn Str Guardian a nee I

Angelic conversations of Dee and Kellev, 9 4 4U-6)

Angels. 8-4.211 L 8 4 3(2): names of. 8.3(2), 8.4,2(2k 8.6(1),  
8.7(1 1 9.2(3). fig 33

Anglo-Saxon herbals, 101 I. 7)

Anglo Saxon medical magic 3 3 413 ). 9.4. 2( 2-3)- 1011,71  
Animal figures in medieval manuscripts. 3. 2. 3(4-5); tfl Voynich  
manuscript drawings 3.2. 1 (7-81, 3-2. 3(7). 3 3 7(1). fi r> 8-10  
Apices. 8 10(21

Apukius Platofucus. Herbmmtm of. I Oi 4. 6-7}

Aquarius. Zodiac sign of. 3.3-3\* 1 )

Arabic influence on medieval cosmology alchemy. 8.812): tsiroioqv  
and astronomy, 8 3(2): commentaries on Aristotle. 7.21 1-2).

itij jImj in the sixth The rererue 7 S J l rtter\* in me tif^f  
paragraph under subheading 3 1.2 . it, the paracrjph that Bear\*  
that printed number m the text. hik ad mined h rhn mcthi^ % tt  
indexing places some additional Durden on the reader, it vlji  
adopted as a means ot substantially speeding publication ui thi>  
monograph. It n mv sincere hope that the inti >mcm erne t tea sinned  
bv the paragraph references will be minor and will nut detract trom  
the tucfuJncu or the index

magical texts. H-) III v medua) traJitum \* \* % v H) 2 v.  
u/ja Numerals Hindu ^ Arabic  
Arabic language 2 3 mi  
A rabic origin of star names, 8, 3t 2 J  
Arabic script. 3.3 4(11). 4.2(3-6 j  
A rbierarv methods in anaJvsii at Vimuch (ext. 6 V 1 ■

Archaei lof Paracclsan medical school i. 5 5 5i(>i  
Aeics. Zodiac sign of. 2. 2,2(5i 5 3 3\* he hi  
Aristotle. \*.2( 1\*2i. ' Vrfc. «f i . UH2i  
Afithmeticus nometu 1 la t«K "ttmftetu language l| ' ;

An mtmrjTfttia. 6 h l - II . h o« 1 ». 9 Vi 2, \*

An moron\* , 4 4 2i6j . 8.6i 1 j  
A rtificial memon See mirmorartoj  
An of memorv See An mamnrMins  
Art of Ramon Lull, 8, 1 1 5-6 1 . 9 2( 3 '

Ashmoie. Elias. 8 81 2. 4i.8 9f6l. 9 4 l i  
Aakhun. Anthony. 2,2.214 1 . 2.4(5). 5 3i2)

Astral spnts. 3 3 7(6)

Astrology. 3.3.4I3L 13 7(11. 5.4i5. 6), '.2(5). 8 3U-V». 8 9 m-.  
m medicine, 3 3 4(3), B 5)4l. ivmbols used in. -a. I  
9 4i U. 9 4.2( 1-3 5 ■ treatises on, 3 ,2 . 3t 1.

Astronomy . 8 3\* 1\*3 1

Avicenna medicaJ wr icings ot S 5 \* ! ■

Backhouse. William. H 8) 4 1

Bacon. Pranas. 7 3(3, 9i. 9.2)'), 9 vi 2\*

Bacon. Roger. 2, 2. 2(1). ? 4i t ). 5. fill. 6ii 3 1 '\* A\* i

9 ill-2); alchemv treatises attributed to. ^ 5 5i0i h - t 2 m«  
5.1(1\*21. 5 1. nil. '3(3>, 74(3). 9Jt2). smdkxt  
on. 7 413), attacks on his concern porancs. ~ 5<2) aurhi»r m  
Vovnich manuscript 2 If 1-5 6i. 2.2, lilt 2,2.2) 1 1- 2,5 >1

5.1 111. 5.2(5 1, on concealed writing. 4 4,2(6' 5.1 ih; lontri-

buiions to science. 2-1(2). 5 1 .2(J), 7.2r 3\*4 1, ' )(1-2 -i  
debunking of his repetauon. 51. 2H). ^3i5i; experimental  
science of. 7 -2f31- ' 3<1-2), on Greek and Hebrew grammar  
MU). B. I ( 10) : imprisonmcns and persecution 2L2.

7. 1.2(3). 7.2171. 7 3)4 1. innernt of John Dee in his works.  
2.1 ! 8-9 ^ 12.1(3), 7,3(3); invenuon ot gunpowder. 9.2 2  
invenuor of telescope and microscope. 2.2,213). 5,1.2 v

7.3(4), lost memorv art. 8. 1 1 9-10 > s lost Scnpium PnnapmU.  
7.2(7 L 7\_3f61. medical doctrines, 2-2.1(4li- 3-3 5i5-6' T 3i  
7.4(3). 8.5(5): not author of Voynich manuscript. 2,2.2\* 3\*  
2.4t6i, 5,1-215)\* 7 4N-4}, occult powers attributed to him

2.1 U). 2,2. H3), 7.4141; study of ilchcmv, 7J(3 i, "4(3^:

irudv of aphers 2 1(2). 4 4,2(6). 5, NIL 9.2U-2): scudi o )  
languaes. 5. ill L 7.2151- 8.1U0L tuppo^d references to. in  
Vovruch manuscript 2.2, M3), 3 4 [ 2 1 . 5 4t2J. ~\*tl L works m  
□pher. 2.1(2), 9.2(1): works concerning, '.It 1-3), " 3\*4. 9i,

7 4| 3l See miso Baton. Roger, works of

Bacon, Roger works of. 2.1(16), 3 3-5(61. 52(1-2). ~^5'  
Comma tnm SitMttbum 5,21 1 ). " , .2(4i; Or Ptnpecma. 5.2il-,  
Dr Rrtmrdatwnt Ac cuim it u m Smtasttij. 3 3 .5i5l; E^rjioitf Jr  
Mtrmbdt Pott staff Artttt tt .Njirnr^e. 7 t 3j3), Opus Alaraj. " , 1 ! U.

133

7.2 (51. 7.3(7). 7 4\*41; Op\*J Mima, T.215i. Qpw Tertrnm.  
7.2(5)

ft\* Lai h\* Ian (mystical language of Sufi sect), 9 4.312i  
h\* lo, Peeer, shorthand intern of. \$.1\*2(1 )

Banudegoow. 3,5. UII

Bitt. grammatical, J\*r Sera\*. grammaacxl  
Bath\*. therapeutic. 33-MI). 63(4)

Beck, Give, synthetic language of. 6.6f 3\*6)

Beddl Bishop. synthetic language of. 6.6(7)

Beginning - middle- end structure of Voynich int words," 4.4f101.

4.4.21 9. 1 7 1. 6.6(1 -2). 8, Ml 1), 9.2(7). 9.3I5K fig 27  
Beginnings of Voynich wit 'wards," 4.4(101, 4.42(9. 17 j. 5#r  
mho Beginning -middle -end structure of Voynich rest words"  
Betnecke Rare Book Library fYik Uoiverury), 1-2(81. 33 6(2)

Bible in\*. 3.23(4). 9-4.20)

Birds. figures of. 33. 1 (U 333(10-1 IK 83(6). 8 90)

Bottom\*. 1,1(4), 2.1(12), 3\*3. 5(6), 8.9(3)

Boo\* ofEmornb (John Dee), 93.4(3)

Botxmad lUuMnaons. 6.6(8). 10(1-11 pmim); copying and rt-  
copying of. 3 3 10). 10(3. 11); from nature. 10(2. 3. 8. 10);  
Greek, 10(2-3). medieval. 10(8-9)

Baanv. eerie tustars if. 10(3)

Box. apher. 53(3-3\* 7-8). 9 4 4(3-6)  
firadiygraphse [shorthand system!, 9 1.2( 1>

Brachygnphy. Set Shorthand

Bright, Thom\*\*, shorcha&d system of, 9. 1 . 1 ( 1 ). fig 38  
Brme. Sir Thomas, 2,1(16), 8.9(6)

Brumbaugh, Robert \$. 2.1(13). 2.2. 1(3), 2.2.2(31. 23(4). 2.4(6).  
33-1(2). 333(6). 33 6(2-3). 3 4(2). 4. 1.2(1). 43(1. 5. 8).  
3(1). 5-40-9), fig. 26  
Bnanfeli. Otto, botimca! woodcuts of . 10(101  
Bruno, Giordano. 8. 1 (6-7). 6,2(2). 8. 3(3). 8 4(1)

Building\*. repraennaoui of. in Voynich manmenpt, 33 6(2)

Bull, figure of. 2-2.213 ). 333(3). fig. 10

Cabal\*. 3 3-4(1), 3.1(1), 3 1-1(11, 8.1(3). 83(2), 87(1-2),

influence on other magical system\*. 8 4.2(1). 8-43(1). 8 4.4(1),  
8-9(2. 4). 9 4.4(6)  
acidus. 8. 1(8). 93(2)

Gimdo. Giuho. memory art of. 8-1(6)

Camstru. Opemusde. 3-23(1, 3-4)

"Cam" in Voynich manuscript drawings. 333(2)

Capricorn. Zodiac sign of. 3.3 3(1)

Opucum. 2.4(2). 33-1(2)

Carter, Dr Albert H loyptologie historian), 2.2\*1(11, 2.2.2(31,  
23(4), 3.1(2), 3-2. 1(1. 3). 3.2.2(1-3)

Canon. Raoul. 3. 1.2(3, 5)

Cajaubon, Meric. 9.4. 4(1. 3-6)

Cathartic. 63(31

Cathedral\*, decoration of medieval. 8. 1 (6)

Cathode ray tube (CRT) display. 3-4(3). 6.7(2)

Uthobc church. 4 4.2(1 7), 5. i -2(J). 7 3(4). 7.4(21  
Catholic phikmoohers. 7.1(2)

Catholic University of America. 6,3f 1 )

Cell -like ftros. 3 .2-3(6), 3 3 4( 1, 7, 9-10), 33-6 (2). 3.2(4)

Gdli, luring, teen in Voynich manuscript drawmgi, 5.2(4)

Chificnm, 8. 10(2)

Characterne (shorthand irstem), 9.1. 1(1), fig. 38  
Characters Chinese (j\*r Chinese writing system ): distorted, 9-2(3).  
9.C3MI; Egyptian. 3,2 3(2 i. 84. MIJ. 9 4.1(1), fig. 41; He-  
brew Alphabet. Hebrew; Hebrew thinoml; magical.

3 3 4(4), 8 4. MU. 8-6(1) ( tt\* mho Alphabets, magical. Images,  
magical >

Char lo. Emile. 7.1(2)

Charms- See Inca near ions. Languages, magical. Spells  
Chinese writing system. 4 1 Mil. 4.4. 1 tin ? ^ tjl .

9.2(7)

Chrnt. 3-2-3(3-6)

Christian symbolism. 3.2,3(4-61, 33 4(9), 333(4), 8.8(3. 9)

Cicero. 8-1(1. 3. 9)

Cipher. Baconian, 4 4,2(3). 9,2(4); subratution. 41.2(1), 4 414-3), 44.2(3-6), 9-2f|. 3); transpositKKi, 4 4(6), 4 4.2(5).  
Tnthermao. 4 4.2(3), 9.2(4)

Cipher dud. 8 1(5), 9.2(3)

Ciphers. 4-4.20-2). 5 4(3), 9 2(3-4); inaem, 9.2 1P. medieval.  
3.4(2), 8-10(4). 9,2(1). fig 39. ro Papal correspond emc,  
9.2(5), 9 3 f 7J, Fig 39. studied b\ Roger Bacon. 2 l-i .  
4.4.2(61, 3. II IK 9 .2(1-2)

Circle with three segments j symbolic map\*. 3-3 4(4, 6. 111.  
3-3-6(2). 4.2(6)

Games, philosophical Smm Subfecx categories. Syncategoremaa  
Clement IV (Pope), 7.2(3)

"CJock face \ 2 4(6), 3. 3-6(5). 9 4 U2)

Cloud -like formi in alchemy drawings. 8-8(9) - in drawings of Si  
Hiidcgarde, 3-2.3C5): tn Voynich manuscript. 3 3 M4). 3 3 4» I  
10). 3-3 5(3-4)

Code book\*, 4.4.2f 1 3, 18». 9-2J 31

Codes, 4-4.21 1-3. 13. 15. 17), 9 4 4(6). mcdiral and Renans  
sance. 4. 1.2(1), 44-2(18). 6.6(7), 9-20. 3. 7). 9J0>. figs  
39-40. urmiar to early synthase languages and memory systems  
6 6(3-7), 8- Ml U. 9,3(3)

Color. 3- 5-6(2), 6-114). 5m\* mho Pigments  
Columbus, Christopher, 2,4(2)

Comet. 5, 1,2(2)

Complexion, in Galenic medicine, 8-5(2)

Compound forms, m Voriuch script, 3-2(4), 4. 1 Ml. 4.1 1 - 4 >

4 4(3, 10}. 4-4 1(3), 3 2(3). Fig 18

Computers, me of, 3.4(3). 4-1-3(41, 4.4(7 J. 6 MS) 6 411-3  
6T(1-4),6-8(2). 6.9(1-31

Concordance- 4.4(10, 16), 6.1(8). 6 3(2)

Comfmuro (Rcwcniaan Manifesto j, 8 9(2)

Constellations. 3 3 4(4). 8,3(2). 8 4. 1 (2)

Cont r a c eptive prescription,. 5.3(2)

Copoc name of decans, 8 3(3), fig 3)

Copy. Voynich man men pt as a, Z2,2( 51,3,3.1(5)

Copying of VoTtuch era by students, 3 3 3(2), 6-1(8), 6-3(1 1

Cotpms Hmrmmttcmm, 8.2

Corrections in Vonudi text. 4.2( I )

Corrtspondetsces. tables of. 3 3-3(101, 3-34(1), 8-5(3). 8 7(1)

Set mho Groupings of elements

Cosmology, medieval, 3 2.3(1), 3 3 3(10), 3 -3-4(1 ), 8.5(5\*

Costume. 2,3(4 ), 2.4(3. 6). 3.3, 3(2). 8-1 H 1). figs IP. 3?

Ctwer text, 2.2. 1(8). 44.2(5-6, 16), 5.1. 1(1)

Cracow, vtut of Dee and Kelley to, 9 4.4(3)

Craenuu. herbal of. 10(2-3}

Crenelknoui, 3-3-3f2)

"Cnbbtog/' 3-2(2-3.51

'Cnba. ' 3. 2,3(2), 5-2(2), 34(3), 6. 1(7)

Cnocai days. 3-3-5(21.8.3(3)

Crowes. 3-34(9). 3 3-3(4)

Gowns, 3. 3-3(2), 3.3-5(11.8.11(11

CRT display- 5mm Cathode ray tube (CRT) display

Crypunaiyoc approach to the Voynich manuscript. 4, 1.3(4).

44fn-44.208), 5 3(2,4), 6, 5f 1 - 4X- 6.6f 1-7), 6 8(3)

Cryptographic dertcei, curly, 8J(3), 8.7(1), 9.2(3), 9 4.4(6).  
figs 39-40

Cryptograph? . history of. 2.Z MB), 9.2(1 -7)

Cry\*\*J, for lerying. 64 4( 1 K 9.4.4f 1 -6)

Currier, Preston, 12 H 2). 41 3<41. 4.4<9h 4.4.2( I 3, 1 8 1 .

u.UIk 6JU-2J.6.U 1-21.6,9(2-1  
CrcUmen, drawing resembling. 4. J1 3\*

Grech language 2,30\*

Dalgarao. George, 6.6/41 9.2(7), 9 \*30. 5)

di Pistons, Leonardo. 8.2M I

Data processing by computer!, 6.9( 1-3)

Data reduction by computers. 6.9( 1-3)

Daw of Voynich mioujcnpf: thirteenth eemurr, 2,10-1 6),  
2.2.1 11, V). 2.2.2(21. 2.4(1 k fourteenth cwwunr. 2,40);  
fifteenth century, 23\* 4 1. 2,4(2). 7,30): uxteniih century,  
2.2. 1 (3). 2.2. 2(3). 2.30), 2.4(3-7). 33(2), 6.6(3), 7-30);

luromarv o\ expert optrnnon 2.4( 8 1.8(1)

<U Vinci. Uoninio. 2.401  
Da\*. 3 33001

Decani. 8 1(71.8 3(3). ft(E 31

Dee, Arthur. 2. U81, 8.9(61

Det. David, supooed umt of Roger Bacon, 2.1(9)

D«, John. 4.2(31, 6.2(2), 8.2(21. 64.4(2). 8 90-3), 9.2(3),  
9 .4.4(2, 6). Booi a/ EaocA. 9 4.4(3); commentation with  
ipno. 9 4.40-6 J; dunes. 8 90. 8). 9 4 40-6); Enochiar  
language, 8 4 4(2). 9.4 40-61, fijti 43-43; hieroglyphic  
manuscript m his possession. 2.1(8. 16). 8.4 4(2). 8 9(6-101;  
interfiled in Roger Bacon. 2,1(8-91. 2.2.1(31, 73(3). Librt  
My mr t mmm . 9 4.4(61; Momts HtttQfiypbtc\* 89(3): ponatblv  
connected with Vormch manuscript, 2.1(8. 16). 2,2.20), 2.3(3).  
2.4(3), 333(6). 84,4(1); iptrirual mag\* of. 8 4,4< 1). 8.60).  
8.9(4. 8) . nuts go Europe. 2. 1(9), 89(5)

De Huron\* Sarptwm (Leonard Fuchs), I Of 1 0>

De Maneoun. Peter, 73(21  
De Manaco. Adam. 73(2)  
de' Medici. Coumu 8,201

Demons ami. 8 43 0); names of. 8 3(2). 6,4,2(11. 8 4.30),



6.601,9.2(3). 6; 33: pbmary- 8-4-M I)

Descartes. Rene. 73(91

Devnagai i writing system. 9 4 101

Diagrams. magtcal. See Images, magical

Digestions, concept of, in Galenic medicine, 333(51, 8.5(21

Digits. See Numerals

Dioscoridei Anuarbeus. herbal of. 10(3,7. 10)

Directions (of the compass 1. 33 3( 101. 33 4(41

Disc, apher. 8 1(5), 9.2(3)

Drwu CommrdM of Dana. 8. 1 (6)

Dodoeru, Retnben. herbal of. 10(10)

Dominion order, memory art of, 8 . H 4. 6)

Doodles' ui Voynich manuscript drawings, 4.2(5-71

Doubled ivmboli. 4.4. Kill 4.4,2(81

Double-four tttnseture. 33,4(6)

Dragon as alchemical symbol 8.6(6, 9)

Drawings in Vtwiuch manuscript 2.1(2), 5-2U-2). 3 3 1(61  
3 4(1-3), figs 5-10, 13: architectonic forms. 3.2(3), 33, K 2-6.  
18). 33.5(2), 8.8(8); ajjrofogicil. 3.23(1. 7); comparison to  
other medieval manuscripts- 3-2(1, 5). 3-2.1(31 3-2.3(1-71,  
8 8(7-91. dooTtm of, 33; roamokpcaJ. 3,23(7): nqrclopedic  
quality, 3.2 Mt, 4. 7); herbal, 3-23(1). 3 3-IU-IOk idiomi-  
craoc and eruqoe character. 3,2(5); pharmaceutical. 33-1(2):  
' provincial' character. 3-2. 1(3). 3-23(3); symbolic nature.

3-2(4). 3,2301, 33.2m. 3.4(3), 8,8(9). 6.9(51: itmmcm-  
cal forms, 3-2(4), 33 1(9). 8.8(8), nsaaJ impmuon on the  
modern reader. 3,2(1-51, 3,2,1(11

Dudley. John (Duke of Northumberland), 2.1(8)

Dumbarton Oaks. Garden Labrar? of, 10(3)

Dummy characters. 4 4.2(5), 9 2(51. See aim Nulls

Dummv ttn. 2.2.1 0, 81 , h-i.'iV lt» 1.ln 1 S« •><>“ 0«ver

text

Eagle. figure of. 33. 1(71, 8.91 3 1

Earth Mother, Roman privet to. 9 A 2(3)

Easton. Stuart C. (hiKonani. 7.U21

Eeiipwe, annular, 3 . 1 ,2(2 )

Egyptian characters. Ur Characters. Egyptian

"Egyptian days' (in astrological medicine 1. 3 33(21

Egyptian sidereal gods. 83(31

Ekotenu, philosophical 5.3 31 10), 33,4( 3. 'L 9 '3\*

Elements, scenic, in place memorv ivitero. 8 1 1 2 1

EUuf of life, 2,2.1(41. 33 5(6). 5,4(21

Embellished characters . LU21 4, 1 4t 1-3). tig- 2u

Encyclopedic works. medieval. 2,1(2). 5,2,31 7 L 3-41 1 1

Ending ion. 4 4(9)

Endings. Ur Affixes, grammatical

England, as origin of Vovruch manuscript. 23 1 ( -3\*

English language. 2 3(2-51 53(2- 4\*

Enochi an language. 5## Language. Enochian

Entropy. statistics i measure of. o, 7 ( 5- \*J

Equations' seen by Brumbaugh 4.317 1

Erasures in Voviuch text. 4.2/ 1 1

Exell, A. W., 4.1.2(11

Experimental science of Roger Bacon W Bacon. Roger Experi-  
mental Science of

Extraneous scripts in Voynich text .See Scripts, eitrancuu\*. in  
Voynich text

Fabncaoon, Voynich manuscript as ft deliberate. 2,2. HI -8 1

34(21,5.4(1,7)

Facts in lkheth\* drawings. 8 8(91 fig 36, in Vovmch manuscript  
drawings. }J jf7-8K 53 3(5-7, 9). 3 3 4(2, 4. 121, 3 \$  
fig 9

Fallopian tubes, 3, 1,2(2)

Fsms ( Roucrucian Manifesto 1 8.9(2 1

Farnese iamilv r 2 11 1 4 1

Fedy. Martin. 2.23(5). 23Uk 4.L2i2k 5-2U-5i. 5 3ili.

6.1(21 6.2/ h. 6.7il;. fig 25

Fertility, 5 3 3( I 1

Fiber- like forms. 33.4(101. 33 -6(21  
Fibonacci. Leonardo. 6 10(5 1  
Fiano. Manila. 8. 1(1-2)

Filler text. See Dummv teat

First matter, concept of, in alchemy. 8.8( 5 )

Firff Vovnich man usenp smdv group. 4. 13(4 1 6 2i 1-5i

Fixed nars. 83(21 fig. 29

Fla me, like forms. 3-2.3f5l 3 3 3(71

Flemming, Dr. G. M-J,. 23(7)

Flowers. 33- If 1. 91

Foam-like forms. 33,4(7,91.8.5(51

Folio gatherings. 4.2(91 fig 22

Folio numbering. 4,2f 101

Folios astrological 3-23(7), 3 3-2(2). 3-3-3M-3). 6 3l 2l, 7  
8.3f 1. 31; agronomical. 3.3,3(4-10). 3.3.4(1-13). 8 3(1. 3\*.  
raamofogieil- 333(4), )3 4f 1-13), 3 3-6(2), 83(L 3\*,  
featuring human figures (folios 75-64), 33.2(21, 33.5(1-61.  
5. 1.2(2), 7.4(3). 8-6(9); herbal Urr Folios, plant): meteor-  
ological (if\* Folios, coamotological) : pharmaceutical, 33.1/2-3).  
3 3.2(1 \*2), 33-5(51, 5,4(1, 5L IOEU; plam. 33-in-10i  
33,2(1), 33,5(51, 63(2), 6.6lB), 6.8(2). 8.8(8), 101 !\*,  
star, paragraph (fohos 103-116). 33-7fU, See mho Folios, dis-  
cuiuon of individual

Folios, discuiuon of individual: lr, 1,1(21. 4.2(21, 4.3/21.

5 4(21; 2r, 33,1(91; 3r, 33.1(91; 3v, 333(41. 5r. 3 3 H9i.

135

or. 33 1\* 91. 53 U}k Ur. 3333. 9u iU. 53-13. o» t

I3r 5 3.H3 g lyv. 5,3 Ii9k Nr. J 3 119\*. Nv. 3 J.liQi,  
Hr. 3 3H3K L6t JAllJi; IT\*. 4\_23>- 5 4i2). 22i\*  
33 119 k 22\*. 3\*3\* t (4 9 k 23r. 33.U3I: 25v. 3.3.1(71; 27v,  
33-1(31; 28r, 3 3 HSh 29r. 3 3 119); 33 k 33 H7); 33\*.  
33-1(31; 35v\* 3.3\*1(91; 37v. 53.1(3); 3§r. 33.H8); 4Qr,  
3.34(91; 4ir. 3 34(91; 41\*. 3-2(31; 34 1(31; 44\*. 344(31;  
45r, 3 34(3\* 41; 43\*. 3 3.1(3\* 4. 9); 46\* 3 3.1(7); 49r,  
3\*2(31. 3.34(81; 49\*. 43(3). 54(21; 53r. 3-34141; 54\*.

3-34(41; 33\*. 334(7); 36\*. 3.3419); 57\*. 3.34(31.

44 4(11. 4.3(41\* 3 4121; 65r 3 54(41; 06r 23(6). 3 3 71H.  
4.2(41, 4.3<3 1; 66\* 3 3 4(111. 4.2(5-61, 67r1. 3-34(31\*  
3\*3 3(5 1- 67r2. 3-3- H 3. 6>; 67\*1. 34.3(51: 67\*2. 34 4(31.  
844(2). 9.44(2) : 68r1, 33-3(7); 68r2. 3 3 3(71; 68r3.  
3 3-3(9-101; 68\*1. 3.34(3); 3-3.3(71: 68\*2. 3.3 1(3).

3.3.3(8-91: 68\*3, 3-3-4(61, 5.2(4); 69r. 3-3 4(81\* 4.3(6);  
69v. 3 3\*4(8). 70r 1, 3\*3 4/7), 70r2. 3-3.4(121. 4.211); 70\*1.  
3 3 3(31; T1r. 3-3 3(3): 71\*. 3\*33(31; 72r1. 3 3 3(31; n r .  
3-34(41, 3.3 5(3-41; 73\*. 3 3.5(4); 76r. 4.3(7), 5.4(2); 78r.  
33-5(4). 5.2(5-41; 79v, 333(2. 4): 82\*. 3.3 5(3). 83\*\*  
5 5 5(2); 8V-g6r3 to r4 and v1 to \*2 4 large mu It) pi v- folded  
vfrroi 2.4)6). ; )(2f, 3 3 4(2), 33.64-31. 0 1(5); 85-86v3.  
33-4401. 4 24-61 05-86\*4, 3-3-4191; B7r. 4.2(7); 88r.

3 3 113k 89r1. 3 3.1(7); 89v(, 33.1(7); 90r1. 333(2);  
90r2, 33 M9): 90v(. 33 1(9); 93r. 2.1(21. 3\*33(2); 9%.  
3K2) 33.2(21; 99\*. 3-3.1(71; i00f, 3\*33(2-3); 10 If.  
3 3.1(31. 101\*. 2.1(21, 33-1(21; 101\*2. 3 1(2>\* 33312);  
116\*. 1 1(21.23(6). 3.3.711). 4.2(81. 5.1(11.5,4(2, 5)

Forgery. Vovmch manuscript mi a. 2.2- 1 ( 1-8), 3 4(21. >,4( 1.7)

Faun ittu of four dements]. 3331 10)

France, mi tourer of Voynich manuscript, 23(31  
Franciscan order. 5.12(3). 7.H 11, 7 311, 31\* 74(21, 8.H5I  
Frankowska. Malgorcan ion Roger Baton as scientist J\* 73(7-91  
Frederic V. Elmer Palatine, 8 9(3»

Freemasons, 8.1 1

French Untuaie in the Vovnich manuscript, 23 1 3 )

Frequencies. 4 1 3(41. 4.413-4\* 9), 4A2U0K 6,118). 6.2(3-41,  
6.7(21.6\*811).% 28  
Frequence counts iee Frequencies

Friedman. EUiebeth. 2.2.11 2, 3-6). 230 h 2.4 i3k 3, lilt,  
3 2312). 3 3 E ( I K 3.4(11; on attempts to break the cipher.  
4\*411-8), 4.4.2U. 8, 101. 5.2( U, 53(4). 6\*2(5 J\* 6 4(1).  
6.5(2), 6\*7131

Friedman. William F\*. 12 1(6), 5\*23(2), 4\*4\*111), 53\*2(6),  
6.1(2), 6.2U-5), 6.3(3). 64U). 6,5i 1-4). 6.6(1, 3-4).  
6.8(1); on anagrams, 6.5(3-41; on synthetic languages,  
6.5(2-41. 6-6(3-41. 9\*2(7). 93(5)

Fneaman CoJ lection. 63(3)

Froth. See Foam-like forms  
Fruits. 3 3 1(5.91.3 3 5(2-3)

Fuchs. Leonhard, botanical wood cues of. 10(10)

Galen. See Medicine. Galenic  
Gemaau, concept in Cabala. 8.71 1 )

Gemini . Zodiac ugn of. 2 At 3 i . fig 10  
Gerard. John, herbal of\* 10( 10)

German language, 2.3(3. 6), 4.2(4). 4 4(5). 5-4(51. 9 43( 1)  
German\* aa source of Vonuch manusa-ipc 23(3\* 5J  
Gibon. Etienne, 2. 2-2(2), 5.1.213- 5)

Giordamiu. 8.1(7)

Gknsolaia, 9 4(11.9 43(11  
Gnostic philosophy\* 8.6(3)

Gold, m alchemy. 8-4.41 h. 8,81 3. 5), 8.916). 9.4.4(2)

Golden Dawn. Roucrucian Order of. 8 4,2( 1 1. 9.4.4161

Gospels, animal jwnboijof fht four, 3 23\*-'

Gut Ou Sts le, 2 «IN), ? 2\* I I 3 )\* 5 2 3\* 6 I  
Graphic computer dnpUr\*\* 3-4i 5 K 6\*"i 2l  
Greek characters. 4,21 3- 41- 5 \*h4|

Greek language. 4.4(5 1. 5 U 1 1. 5\*2(4). 8-14 ID). 9,-t\*2t2i  
Greek shorrhand, 5\*1\*21 i, 61\* 9. 1( I )

Grosseteste. Robert (Bishop 1 . 7.3(21

Grouping of dements in utrakgr, 63(2-3), fig\* 29-3\*. in  
cabala\* 8,3(2), 8\*7(11. %. 35; in medieval cosmoloev.

3\*33(101\* 5\*3 4(1). 8.5(5), figs. 14\* 34; m Vumuch manuscript  
drawings, 33\*41 7 K 53-3161. tip. 11- L 5  
Guardian umrei. 8\*43)2)

Gunpowder. Racer Bacon s recipe Kir. 1 Ufi'

Gush of Liquid See Liquid: Spout -like terms

Gvnecologv as (Opic of Voynich manuscript. 5.L2i2\*. 5. 2m,  
53(2-3)

Habiburg. House of. 8.9( 3 1  
Hal\* Abbas, 8 5(1)

Hal\* ben Rod wan, 8.51 1 )

Hand analysis of Vovruch teat. O. U 8 1 \* GG( 1 - 2t\* 6 \*H > i  
Hands in the Vovruch manuscript. -i\*2i 1L 4 All 1 v \*' 8< 1-2-  
6,9(2\*

Harvard Univeraiiy. 2\*41 7 »

Hats, 2\*4(3), 333(21\* 8. 1 1 111. figs. 10\* V  
Heat, therapeutic\* 33-515 I, 8.5i4)

Hebrew characters. 5\*111 ) B.4.2UL 8.43(1). K-Tt i-Zl. 9.-\*. 1th.  
9A243)

Hdianthui\* 2.4(2)

HeJJemioc philosophy. 8\*2( ) 1. 8\*4\* 1( 1 )

Heraldic devices. 333(21

HerbaU, 3\*23(1-2), 3 3 1(1-9). 6,6(8), 101 1-111  
Htrb\*TiMtm Friar Etcowts I herbal of OuO Bruntttht. IQ) U)>

Herbs, medicinal. 83(2-3. 5) 10(1-11 pammi  
Hermes Trumegwus. 8\*2(21\* 8.81 2). 9,4. It 1 f  
Hermetic tradition, 83M).8 4,4i]l, H.9i 2- 4 )\* 9 m. h 1  
Hcrmetica, 8 2l 1- It

Hierogivphic manuscript in poucision of John Dee, 2. 1\* 8 Usi  
8 4.4(21, 8 916-10)

Hieroglyphs. 4 4\*2(91\* fi.4.1 (1). 9\*2(7 1

Hiidcgardr of Bingen. Saint. 2.4( I ), 3\*2 3\*1. 5-"). 6\*33)\*  
943(1-2) % 43  
Hippocrates\* 8.4, 1 (U. 8.5(11

HutoncaJ importance of Votiuch manuscript. 2,2\* 1 1 b-b >

Kama. Vovmch manuscript: as a. 2\*2. 1( 1-8)

Holm (bocanuti, 33\* K H  
Hooke. Robert. 9 4\*4(6)

Horoscopes. 3\*33(2)

Hom culture, am topic of Vopvnjch manuscript, 333(31  
Houghton Rare Book Library. Harvard Umvenits\* 2.41 7 1  
Houses, astor logical, 6\*3(2)

Human Faces: in alchemy drawing. 8\*8(9). % 36\* on geometrical

figures, 3 3-6(23; m plant fobos. 33.1(7-81. fig 9, on sun and moon. 3.33(5-7,9) 33.4(2. 4, 12)

Human figures' in alchemy drawing. 8,8(9). fig. 36, in Anglo-Saxon herbal. 33-4(3); in anrological manuscripts. 3\*23(7); of Opoaai dt Cuunru. 3\*23(31: of Saint Hiidegarde\* 3\*23(5-61. in Votmch mantuCTipt. 3-2.316 J. 33-1(4). 3-3\*5 12-3>. 33.4(2. 5. 9-10). 3\*3.511-6), 33.7(1). 4\*2(4), 8 313). figs 10\* 15, 37

Humanist script\* 2.4(7)

Humors (concept in Gaksuc medicinei, 3331 10)\* 3 3 4i2-3. 6). " 33.5f3-4),8 511)

Hrk (concept in akhetnv)\* 8.8(5)

136

Hvpothesei. crvpunalvtic, 4 4 2- 1-lfe 6 " 4 t>9' !-'■

Hypothesis searching. 6.91 1 \*

Hypothesis testing. 0.yi U2i

Ideographic writing ivskru. 4 12(l>, 4.42(3, 9). 92(5). 92(7). 93(11

Igaou Lmgu ( of Sami Hiktepardc). 9 4,3 d ). fig 43

Illumination. divine. symbols of. 333(4)- 8 8(9i

In ugn: \*tral. 8 4.1(2); magical. 8 4(1), 8.42(1-2}. 8 4.2(13.

8.4. 3( t L f.6(1). 8 9(3), 9.4 U I >fig. 32: planetary. 8.41(2) Incantations. 8.4 HI I. 8.42( I 1. 9.4( l ). 9.4 It h. 9 42(2}

Index of words, 4 4f 9), 6 I (8). 6,3(2). 6.4(2)

' Indian characters. 8,4. 1 (1 ). 9.4, 1 r i ). fig 4 L

Infixed characters. 4 . L 3 ( 2 - 3 1 - 4 . t 4 l 1 p. fig 18

lulu. LI (2), 2-3(11. 2.4(11, 32(21\* 3.2JU-3I. 42.1(1 9. ill Italian Language in Voynich manuso-ipt. 23(3^1), 2.4(3).

4.401

Italian wvie. 2.3(4), 32. Hi I . 4. 1.Ml I

leak u source of Voriuch manuicript, 2.313-4\*. 2.4(3 1. 3 2.1(3).

4,1. 1 ( 11. 4.401

Jin. phartraceutical, 32(2), 3 31(2). 3.3. 2(2 v, 3 3-6< 2)

Jets of vapour like Spout -like forms  
Johnston i synthetic language of). 6 601

Joseph us. 8.42(1 I  
Juliana Amaa Codex. 1013]

KeiW. Edmund. £ 4.4(1). H.9( I -10:. 9,4.41 1-6)

Kent, Roland G.. 3- IU)

'Keys' in Vuvnich manuscript. 2.2,1(31. 32.3(2). 3.3- 3(3).

4 2(8]. 4.3( 1-6), 5.4(2), figs. 23-24  
Khowarazmi, A l - , 8. 1 0( 1 )

Kipling. Rudvard, 7.3(4)

Kircher. Athanasius. 1.1(3. 6). 1.2(4 L 2,1(11\*15), 6.2(2).

9.2(3 t

Kraus. Hans P . LIU). 12(71.3.4(11.6-1111  
Knacher. Jeffrey, 2.4(7 1 , 4.1. M4 1,6.1121\* 6.7( L-4j  
K statistic. 0 7(4)

Labels on Vuvnich manuscript drawings, 3.1(2). 3.2.513-4. Gj.  
3 3-2(2), 3.3 3(7). 4.4,1: 161,4\*4. 21 1 1 i. 52f3\*. 54i3\*

Lacmmfm. 1 0(7]

Language. Enochian. 6.4, 4(2), 9.4.4( 1-6). figs. 43-45  
Language underlying Vovmch text. 2.311-3), 4.4(51, 3. 2(2-3),  
3.3(2-41, 5.4(6), 6.5(4), 6.6(3-71. 6.7(3)

Languages: artificial, 422(2), 4 42(3, 17), 5 4(6), 6.2(3),  
6.5(4), 6.6(3- 7), 8.1(8, 10), 8.44(2), 9( 1 )-9.4.4(6);  
ter national. 6.5(4), 9-2(7). 9 3(1-5), 9,4(U; magical,

9.4(1), 9.4(1 -3 L mnaeal (religious). 9.3(5), 9.4(1),

9.4 3(1-2). 9,4,4 f 1-6K figi- 43-45. natural. 4.42(3. 8, 10L  
6.7( 3-4); ivniheuc Utt Languages. amFiaali: universal,

6,5(4). 6.6(5-71.92(7)- 9.3H-5L9,4H)

Liskv. Count, 9.4 4(3t

Latin language. 3.3.41 3). 5. 2(1-5), 5.4(4V, 6.2(2), 6.7(4).



9.2(6 K 9,3(1. 5L 9,42(1-3). 9.43(1); underlying Voynich  
tew. 2 3(1. 3), 4,4(5), 44.2(3. & 14-17). 3. LIU). 3.4(6.  
81: ai used by Roger Bacon. 3,2U-2). 7.4(31  
Latin text cipher of Nrwbald, 3.1(2). 51.1(1)

Leaves (of piano). 3-3. 1(1, 3, 9), 3.3,2( 1 1  
L\*\*<h Book of B\*U. 10(7)

Lehmann -H au pt, Hellmui, 2, 3(4), 2.4(2)

Leibniz. 8. 1(8). 9.3(2)

Leo. Zodiac sign of. fig, 10

Lf\*rr Ab\*ct i of Leonardo Fibonacci], B 10(3)

Libra. Zodiac sign of. fig. 10

Li\*\*n ,11 \jt\*rior nm i of jLihn Dr\* r 't ^ i ■ (i

Ltcitum in Vm nich script . h.M it ; ■! ^ 2\*

fig 18

Ugn-aJoes. 3.3 5i 5 i

Lion, figure of, 3 3 1(7 j, H 8icn. 8 9i 3 i  
Liquid. 3. 3-5(3)- 3.2(31,8,8(9]

Looped characters, 4. 1 .Jf 2 ), 4, 1.4U . 3 1  
Lull. Ramon, 6,3(3). 8.1(3 L 9,2(3 1

Macrocosm. 3-3-41 3). 8-5(2)

Magic. 3 3 3\*3). 54(6). 8,4i H. SihU2 s .-\*2 1,

8.4.3i 1 - \K HA 4i I-2 j, B.Ol 1 1, h 9i 2, N- 1 1 1 - . l-o-

Magic squares. B,4.3i I f, b ~M 1. fig 32

Magnifying glass. 5, L2l 1 1. 52m

Magyar, as language of Vovnich manuscript. 23 f 5 .

Mandrake. 332(B)

Manifestoes. Rosicrudan. B 9f 2l

Manly. John M . 2.1 ( 15l. 2.2.2 l2-5j. 5 1 2m. u-~\ 0 5- 1 1  
Mansions of the moon. 8, 1 (7 ), 8 3f 2) . fig si)

Manuscripts, medieval alchemical. 3.2 3' It. 1 H,2m\*

54 1 1-21\* 3-4f 3. 61, T3i3t, ~4«3> s uc -1 "-\*>■. ♦) M 1

10J ill, astrological, a 3t 1 ►

Maps, 3-2. 3f 3-4 1, 3.3.4i b. H i. 3 5 o< 2>.

Marci. Joannus Marcus. 1 ! f 4-4. 01. Li!?- 4 . 2.1'L L Ll-

12, I3i, figs 2-3

Markovian analysis. 6,71 4 1

Materia medica. 10U-2)

Mathers, S L MacGregor, 8 4.2( 1 )

Mama, cipher. 5 .4(3-5. 7-8). 9 4. 4(3-6)

Meaning of she Vovnich manuscript. 2.1(2). 2,2- HI -8 f\*

2.2. 2( I. 5), 3-3.6(2), 3.4H-2). 52(4). 5. 3(2-31,

8.8(7)

Meaningless. Vovnich manusaip considered as. 22.1 ( 1-8>

Medical month . 8 5(4)

Medicine. Arabic" tradition of, 8.5 1 1 j

Medicine, Galenic, 3 3 3(3 I0>. 3 3 4H , 3?^r5\*.

8,5( 1-5 j. 8.8i 3. 5 ), fig. 34

Memorv an. 8 Ul-1 1 1. B.bi L ), 9 3t2, T I

Memory images. 8 , 1(2. 4, "6-7 j, B.5i3>

Memorv places" , S L 1 2-3 )

Microcosm. 3, 3. 4(3). 8,5(2)

Microscope- 2.2-2(31. 5 1,2(3). 7 3(4 i

MimucuJe characters. 4.Ll( 11

Mirror vnong of Leonardo da Vinci. 2,4f 5 1

Miuerone. Diocuuits. 2. 1(15 1

Miusowmes, navels of. 42. 3( I J. 8.10( 2). 9 31 1 1

Miuowsky.Dr Raphael. 1.1(7), 2. U 121

M oemoruc inteim. 8. il 1-11), 8.6f H. 93(2. 71

Mohammeduu. See Arabic influence On medieval cosmology

Moisture. 33-4(7)- 33-5(3), 8.5(4)

Momms Hitroglyphtu t (of John Dee), 8.914-5)

Maodrigofte. Villa, 1\*1(10), 1.2(51, 221 151

Monetary valuauon of Vovnich manuscript. LU7h L2(~-8I.  
2.1(9. 131

Monographic frepueticv counts See Frequencies

Months. 3-33(1, 31, 42(11). 8.5(41

Moon. 3-33(4-7. 9- 10L 3-3 4(4. 9}. 8.5(4). 8.8f9)

Musitei). 2.3(6). 42(4)

Mvsocum. Christian. 32.3(1. 3-7). 8,8(33. 8 9(2 1

hUfarrafij Huton\* of Plmv the Elder. 10(4)

Neotogisnu. 9.4(1 1

New World plum. 2.4(2)

13 ?

New bold. William R, 2.2 ,1(U 2.2.21 1, \*1. 230 K 2 4m.  
3 3-4(6). 4.4.202), 50). 3. Ill), 5,1. UlL 3. 1.2(7). 5 2.m.  
6. HlK 6.20 K 6-50 1. 6.7(1), 73(4)

Night, 33. 300)

Nill, A. M.. 2.414), 4.20)

Nomcnciator, 9.2(5K 9313)

Nnw A rmo f c lc (ihvihiadiyitRi)). 9.10). fig. 3B

Notary in. 4A2(6K 0.60)

Nulls. 4 4.2(5, 14-15, IT). 9 2(3-6)

Numbers, magical significance of, 33.40)

Numbers of dements. Je# Group\* ng of elements

Numeral forms, early, 2.4(6). 4.L2UK 4.13(3). 54(4-5.

7-8i. 8, 1014), 9.U1K9.13I2K 9.2(3)

Numerals; m abbreviation interns. 9,10): in early codes- 9.2(6).  
93(3); Hindu -Arabic. 4\_L2(1), 4.130. 3). 8.100^0.

9.2(6); mixtures of Arabic and Roman. 8.10(4), 9.2(6); under \*  
lying Voynidi symbols. 4.1.20 K 4. 13(3). 5.4(4-8)

"Occulta (precious medical substances), 333(5)

Old English a\* language of Voynich manuscript. 23(2), 53(2-4)

Old High German. Srr German Language

Old Imh. 9 4,2(2)

O Neill. Hugh (boo mst). 2312-3). 33.10-2). 5.30). 5.4(1)

Qp\*t Mmjmi of Roger Bacon 5« Bacon. Roger, works of  
Order of the Garter. 8.91 3 )

Order of symbols in Voynich script words", 4,4(10). 4,4. 1( 6),  
4, 4.2(9. 17). 6<6( 1-2), B.H 1 1 ). 9.2(7), 9.3(5), fig. 27  
Ova. 5. 1.2(2). 5.2(3)

Owes: 5.2(3)

Oxford. University of. 7(2)

Pimphilius. herbal of. 1014)

Pinohkr. Erwin, 2,2.1 (2), 2.2.2.(3, 5), 23(6). 2.4(3), 3.20(3),  
3.2.30 i. 33 5(6). 3-40) -

Papal correspondence, use of ciphers in. 9, 2(5 J , 9 3(5 ) , fig 39  
Paracelsus, medical doctrines of. 33.5(6)

Parchment. 23( 1 ). 2.4( 1 1

Paris, University of 7.20 1

Parma. 2.1041

Pastgraphv. 63(4). 6.6f 3-7), 9,2(7). 930-3), 9.40)

Fatter ns I of Letters in wordsJ . 4.4 21 101.5-4(5)

PDP-1 computer, 6.7(2)

Pepper plant, 2.4(2), 33.1(2)

P\*\*\*Dt±x\*a\*( herbal). 10(7)

Petersen. Theodore C., 2.20(4). 2.401, 3.23, 33.10-2),  
333(2). 33.60). 3.4(2), 4.1.212). 4.20-2), 4 4.09).  
6.1(2). 6.2(2). 63. 83(3)

Pharmaceutical iari. See jars, pharmaceutical  
Phlebotomy, 83(31)

Photocopies of Voynich manuscript. 3.2ft). 3.2.2(11. 3.3ft).

3-3.212). 3.3.317). 3.1.6(1-21.6.1(2-8)  
ftwm. 3-3 4(4), 3 3 6(3). 6.3(3), 8.3(3). 8.4(1). 8 4,1(1).

8.4.2(11. 8.6(1). 9.4.1(1-21  
PiCodeIU Mirandoia, Giovanni. 8 , 2 ( 2 )

Pigments. 2.1(2), 3.2.20)

Ft minder, 8.2(4-51

Pipe-like forms. 3 30(4), 33.2(2), 3.3 40. 8). 33.3(2-3, 6).  
3 3.6(2). 5.2(3)

Pisces. Zodiac sign of. 4,20 1). fig to  
Pittman shorthand system. 9.13(1)

Place memory svsmem. 8 I ( 2-3, 6)

Plaintext. 4,4.21 2. 4-5 )

PLirwa, 8.1( 7)

Plant idcati fitauom. 3 3 ■ 1 (1 -2 ) , 5 .4(1 ) . 6 3(2)

Platforms. 33,1(3), 3 3 5(2)

Platonism. 8.2f 1 ). 8.8(3 )

Pleiades. 33300)

Pliny the Elder. 10(4)

Pod -like forms. 33-5(2-3)

Poland- visited by Dee and Kelley. 9 4 4(3)

Polish language in Voynich manuscript. 23(5)

Porta, Giovanru Battuta, 5.3(1). 8.2(2). 9-2(3)

Prague, 1.1(3), 2.1( 15), 2.2, 1(7), 2.3(5). 2.4(5), B.9( 10)

Prayers- See Incincaooni: Languages, mystical; Spells  
Precedence structure in Voynich teat 'words". 6.611 -2 V See itio  
Beginning - middle end nstructure of Vovnieh text words

Prefixed elements. 4.4. 1 03), 4.4.2(91  
 Pmcnpoom. medial, 33\*2(21, 33.7( 1 1  
 Proper Lies, natural, in Galenic medicine, 33 4(3. 7)  
  
 Provenience of mao user tpt; Continental Europe, 23(3), 80):  
 England. 2,3(1); France, 23(3); Germany, 23(3. 5). hah,  
 23(3-4)  
  
 Pseudo\* Aristotle, 33 5(51  
 Ptolemy. 83(2)  
  
 Puffs of vapor. Ut Spout -Like forms  
 Pulpit - Kike forms. 33.5(2, 6j  
 Pythagoras. 33 4f I I  
  
 Qualities, natural, 8,5( 2 ) . 8 8< 5 )  
  
 Quintilian. 8.1(3. 9J  
  
 Radio Corporation of America. 6.40, 3>  
  
 Ram. 33 4001  
 Rainbows. 3 3 3(3)  
  
 Ram, figure of. 2.2-2(51. 333(3), fig 10  
 Raphael, Dr., Mf?K 20(12)  
  
 Rav-like forms. 3.23(51. 333(5, 7-Bk 33 40. 8. I2>.  
  
 3 3 5(41,33,6 (2>  
  
 RCA. Srr Radio Corporation of America  
 Real Character. 9.2f 7 ). 930 . 4 )  
  
 Reformation, destruction of Religious Houses d urine, 2 1  
 Renaissance style. 2.4( 1 . 31, 3 .2. 1 ( 3 I  
 Repeating sequence. 4 l .40 ). 4 3(3-4)  
  
 Repetition of words. 4 4(2-3. 6 - 8 1 , 4.4.|ifnJ, 4 4.2(8. 10-11,  
 161,9 4.2(21  
  
 Reverse alphabetic sort. 4.4(9)  
  
 Rham.fi.5fU  
 Rhtiocomists, 10(2)  
  
 Ripley. George ( alchemist 1. 8.8(9)  
  
 Robes, 8.110). figs 10. 3?  
  
 Roman minuscule characters. 4 . 1 . 1 ( 1 j  
 Roman numerals. 6-6(1 ). 8.10(4)

Root crowns, 33.1(3,9)

Roots, grammatical, 4 4.2(1 7), 6.6(2), 6 8(2). 93(5)

Roots, plant. 33.1(1. 3-4. 7K 33.20 1, 333(2-3), 8.8(fii  
Rose, 8.9(3)

Rosetta stone. 3.23(2)

Routrucum Brotherhood, 8.1(7), 8.4.2(11, 84.4(2), 8.90-5;,  
8.9(3. 5)

Roughneu, 4.4(4), 4.4.2(101  
Royal Society, 9 4 4(6)

Rudolph IL 1. 1(4-5, 7-81, 1,2(1K 2.1(1, 4. 9. UK 2.2.U3. 7),  
22.2(5 J. 24f6|. 34(2), 4.200), 43(2), 5 4(2). 89(5, 10).  
9.4,20). 94.4(31

Sagittarius. Zodit sign of. 2.3(4), 2.4(6 1, fig 10  
Salomon. Richard. 23(6). 3-23(3 L 4.2(4)

Sample, text, 6.4(2). 6.7(2. 4). 6.9(2?

135

Sap. plant, 3 3 5t 5 1  
Saturn. 3.3 4(41

Scalloped forms, 3-3.4(2\*3,9-10)

Schizophrenics. Unguifc of. 9 4f 1 )

SchoUut method. 7.1(2, Si  
Scholastic philosophers. 7\*1(21,7\*5(0

Script alphabetic, 4,1\*2(11; humanist. 2.4(7 f: •deqgrxpfuc\*

41.2(1], 4. 4, 2(3. 9)\* 9,1(31\* 9,2(71; 9 30); wllabic,

4.1.2(21 \$\*t\*ko Script, V oriuch

Script\* Voynich. 3 4(31. 4.1(11\* compound structure of, 3\*2(4)\*  
3 4(3). 4.1( 1-2), 4.1\*3(1-41\* 4 4.1(31\* -5.2(3). fig 18. kgi«f»  
m\* 4\*1(11, 4\*1\*30. 3-4), 4 4001, 4.4.1(31, fig IS: relation\*  
ship to known alphabets. 4\*1.20), style of. 3-2(4). 3 4(3),  
4. U (1\*4.1.4(2-31

Scripts\* extraneous, in Vovnich text, 1.1(2)\* 3.3.30- 31\* 3 3-40 U,

4.20-1 U. 4-3(2). 6, 1 (7)\* figs\* 10. 21-23

Smmg\* 8.4.4(0,94\*4(1-6)

Scab, magical Set Images, magical

Seances 9.4\*4( 1-6)

Seasons, 3-3-300), 3-3 412. 4, 61, 8\*5(21

Second Vorruch Manuscript Stud\* Group. 40-3(4). 6.4( 1-31

Seed podi. -3 5(2-31

Sephiroth. 8.1(61.8.3(21\* 8.7(11

Sequence, repeating, 4.1 4(1). 4 3(3-4)

Sequences\* alpha bene. 4-2(2), 4 3(2)

Shakespeare\* 9. J(4i

Shorthand. 4-4,214. 6), 3.1\*21 L 6i. 8 6i I )\* 9.10-41\* 9.1 3(2)\*

9 4\*2( 1 )\* fig 38

Shorthand Gphrr" of NrwbolD, 3. 1 (2). 3. 1\*2(1 )

Sidereal Gods\* Egyptian\* 8.3(3)

Si lister\* Jakob. 9.2(3, 6-71

Simotudes of Ceos\* mnemonic iriteth of, 8 1 ( 1-2)

Singer. Charles. 2,2\*20, 3). 2.3f 3-6). 2 4(3). 3.2.1(21\* 3.33(6\*

Snow, 3 3 4001

Solomon; kft of. 8\*4\*20); magical in\* cm of 8.40). 8 4\*2(0\*

8.60). seal of, 8 4\*20 1

Spagmc school of meoicine. 3 3 3(6)

Speaking rn longues 9 40)\* 9 4.30 >

Spells\* 33.4(3-4), 8.4 M 0\*9 40). 9 4.2(2-31\* 10(7)

Spermatozoa. 3,1. 2(2)\* 3.3(2)

Spiral nebula . 3 3.4(6), 3. 1.2(2)

Spirits, 8,4.20). 8-4.30-31, 8 4.40-2). 8.6(0, 8.9(4\* 8)\*

9.4(0\* 9.4-40-6); familiar, 8.4. 3(3) \$\*\* she Angels; Demons

Spout- Like forms. 3.3 4(2-4, 7\* 10-10\* 3 3 3(3). 8.8(9)

Sprat See Spout-like forms



Star- figures 3 3 4(4), 3 3.6(3>. 8 4.1(2). 9 AM 1-2). figs 41-42  
'Star-maps 3.4(09)

Scar names. 8\*3(2). fig 29  
Star - paragraphs, 3. 3,7(0

Stir -pactum, 3\*3 4(41. 3 3,6(3)\* 8.4 1(2). 94\*10-2). figs 41-42  
Scar-reopriv 3.3\*70)

Stan. 3\*3,3(4-10). 3-3 4(0 3. 6-8), 3 3 3(6). 3.3\*6(21. 3.3 7(0\*  
3 4(0\*4\*3(6), 8.3(2), fig. 29  
Stations of ibe Cross\* 8. M 3)

Stations of the moon, B\* I f7>. 8\*3(2)\* fig 3D  
Steele\* Robert. 2. M 161. 2.3(4), 2 40). 3\*2\* It 5)\* 7\*3(6)  
Steganographf See Grrptqgriph. hi wort of: Shorthand  
Stems, grammatical\* 4. 4,2(17). 6 6(2). 6.8(2), 9.3(3)

Strms\* plant. 3-3- 1 ( 0 3, 7. 9). 3 3.3(2-3)

Stenographic (shorthand system of John WiUu), 9. 1,3(0\* fig  
38

Stroke, horizontal. 4. 1-3(2)

Strokes m ideographic charterers. 4.1 3(0  
Strom berg -Carlson 4020, 6.7(21

Strong, Leonell C, 2.2,1(51. 2.2\*2 i4-5i. 2 3i2-. 2^5\*. 3.1%  
3 30 -4)\* 6. 1(2). 6.2(0\* 6,71 1 1

Sfirie of Voynich manuvcTips dia wings. 2.1(2), 3,2(1 -2). 5.2.1.  
3.3- H6), figs 5-10, 15; architectonic, 3. 2(3-4), 3 3, 1(2-3,  
6. 8). 3 3 5(2)\* 8.8(8), idiosyncratic. 3,2(5). jvmboUt.

3.2(4), 3\*2.3(51\*3 3\*2(0\* 3 4(3). 8 8(9). 8 9(5)

Stele of Voynich icnpt, 3.2(4). 3 4(31. 4. H 1 1. 4.1,4i2-3)

Stvlisuc attacks on Vorruch teat, 4.4( 1- J0)

SrvkmatuEicaJ techniques. 6 7( 1-3)

Subject categories\* 8 U 10)\* 9,3(3)

Subject. vf method of Newbold. 6.51 1 )

Substitution\* 4, 1\*2(1). 44(4-5)\* 4 4.2(2, 4. 5-0, 8 It) In  
3,11(1). 3.212. 4), 3.4(2)\* 6.6(3\* 6). 9\*2(1 \* 3)

Suffixes\* 6.8t 1 1. Jee \*fto Affixes\* grammatical

Sufism. 8.1(51\* 9 4. 3(2)

Sun. 3\*3. 3(4-5. 7-8. 101, 3 3 4| 1-2, 4. 12). 3 3,6(21\* B.Si9i  
Sunflower. 2.4(2)

Sun-moon pairing. 3 3 3(71\* 8 8(91  
Super fixed characters 4 1 \*3(2-31.4. 1-4(1 1  
Symbob alchemical, 3 3.1P1\* 4 1 3(3». 8.8(1 1 89(3' 9.2 ' \*  
aicroiologicaJ. 4.13(3). 92(31, looped. 4J4M. 0 medit-il.  
9 401. 9 4.2(1-31  
Srncaregoremit\*. 9 3(3)

Synthesis of man\* disciplines in Vovnirh manuscript. 22liHi.  
3.2.3(41\*3.4(1)

Table. cipher. 54{3-3. 7-8), 9 4.4< 3- 6»

Tails\* on Jenen\* 4.1\*3(21

Talismans See Images, magical

Taurus. Zodic ugn of\* 2.2\*2(31\* 3 3 3(3)\* fig 16

Telescope. 5-1-2(31.7.3(4)

Temurah (concept m Cabala\*. 6\*7(11

Tepenea./acobvs Htrcickr de IKS). 1,2(2). 2\*) ( 10-1 1 , 15>

Theophrairui of Eretus , 1 0 1 2 )

Thorndike. Lmn. 2.2. 2t 3 ) . 7 3( 5 1  
Thoth\* 8.2(2)

Tiltman. John H.\* I.U9\* 2.2\*10-2). 3J(2i\* 3 2 3 - J \*

3 5 7(0. 4 1.2(0, 4\*1.301. 4 AtlK 5 2(51\* 3.4(71, 6h2).  
6\*3(1\* 3). 6-60-9), 6.8(1)\* 7.3(4), on beginning -middle end  
Hrucmrr of VuroiCh text \*wdf . 4.4(101. 4. 4\*21 1 7 )\* 6 6i 1\*21,  
8\*1(10, 9.2(7)\* 9,3(51. fig 27\* crrpunalmt stud\* of  
Voynich manuscript. 2.2. 1(6), 4. 1.3(4), 6 6(1-7)\* 6-7(1, 31.  
hu dr of herbal\*. 2-2,2(3-5i\* 2 3(5). 2 4(5)\* 3 3-1(2. 5 8i.  
3\*3 .5(6), 6.6(8). 10(1); stud? of irmfrencl languages. 6.3\* 4i.  
6.6f 3\*7). 9.2(7)

Tiro. Marcus T wUnw 9.1(11  
Tirocuan hand. 4.4.2(61. 91(0  
Ttroiuan nouuon. 4 4-2161. 9 IiIj  
T-M ap” ( conwentiocialued map of the world J. 3 3 4i4. 6. Ill\*  
3 3 6(2), 4.2(6)

Toad, as alchemy symbol. 8. 8(6. 9)

Transcribing of Vormch text. 4\*1\*3(41, 4 4(9) 6\*1(8). 6,2(2-5i,  
6 4(1. 31, 6 6(21. 6 7(1-2)

Transposition. 4.4(6). 4. 4. 2(2. 5.10  
Tnthrnuui\* 5 3f M. 8\*2(2)\* 8.6M 1\* 9.2(31  
Tubm. 3-i.li4)\* 3.3 3(2)

Tubes\* 3 3 3f2)\* 3 3 5(2\* 6)

Tubs. 3\*3.114). 3.3.5(2-31, 8.8i9i  
Tunics, 8.1 1(11, figs. 10\* 37

Turner, herbal of\* 10J 101 \*

139

Units, crrptanalvtic. 4 4\*2(4, 14-15)

"Universal Character '. 6\*6(5)

Vapor, 33 4(1-14. 10s, 5.5 5r5i,8 5r5i. H8101

Vanani forms o( Vovnich ivmboU. -U 3 i 4 >. 4 l. 4 i l-2i. fig 2Q‘

Variants erTfxaaaJrcn:. 44,2(4 14-15, |7~18L 5.1, HU,

3,4(4 7-8), 6.6D-61, 9,2(5-61  
Vetk. 3,3\*5(21, 3 i.5(H.8.um, fig\*. 10, 3 7  
Vellum. 2.1(21\* 2,2\*1(11. 2\*4t L 3)

Ve\*s, 3.3\*30)

V«eL akbetruoU, 8 . 8(91

Vigenere table. 4.4.21 101

VOtara. Arnolduiof 3 . 3 . 1(51

Virgo. Zodiac sign of. 2 - 4 t 31 \* fig 10

V wool, mvxscai. 3\*2,3( I. 3 -'l. 9 43 l 1 - 2 ). 9 . 4 . 413 - 6 )

Vital \*pm», 3 . 4 t 2 )

Von Schonau. Elizabeth, 9 . 4 . 3 c 21  
Von Trend. Chnnaai, 94 . 3 ( 2 )

Vowek, dropping of 442 ( 5 - 6 . 14 )

Vo<sup>m</sup>ct i, Ethd, 1 . 2 ( 61 . 2 . 4 1 4 ). 42m, 6.1121.6.5(11

Vovmdi. Wilfrid ULJ, 4 - 9 . ID. 12 ( 5 - 6 . 8 ) 2 II 1 -I 6 L

2.2,1 (U, 2.2\*2(11. 2.5m. 2.4(1), 3\*2, HU. 3-3-3C1K

5-4111. 4.2(10). 5im.5 1.213). b.lf11

Vwiuch script 5 #\* icnpt Vovruch

Warmth ai a haling principal. 3.3 5(51. 8.5(41

W,rrr. .U.4I6.^, W4 W Liquid; Mourarr

Waves, > 3 4r. 9-|0i

Weather. 3 3 4(3)

Wheel cipher, 8 1(5), 9,2(3)

Wilkins, John, synthetic Language of. 6.2(3), 6 6(3-4)\* 9.2(71,

9. 3(4 1

WiUiaJohn. then hand iritrm of. 9,1 ,3(1 K fig 38

Wind^ i.3\*3( 10). 3.3.414, 10-U)

Word index. 4.4(9). 6. 1 (8). 6.3(2), 6.4(21

Word lengths. 4.4. 1(6)

Word spacing in Voynich text. 4.4. 1 (4)

' Words . in Votmch text brginmnr tfiiddle end itruaurc

44(10 1. 4.42(9. 171\* 6,6(1-21. 8.1111), 9.2r), 9 5(5 1, lie

27; lengths of. 4.4. tl6); order of irmboh in. 4 4(1 Of. 4 4. ltd i

4.42(9 17), 6.6(1-21, 8.1(111, 9.2(7). 9 3(5) fig 2'.

peicrra of kmn in. 4. 1.4(1), 4.4.2(10), 5 4(3); repetition

of 4 4(2-3, 6-8). 44. 1(fn.l, 442(8, 10-11. 161.9 42(2)

Yale University, 1.2(8). 33\*6(2), 5,31 1. 4), 5 4 1 )

Zodiac, ugm of 2.2,2(51. 2\*3(4). 2.4(3). 3-2 3(1\* 4, 3-3 3(1.

3), 42(1 1 1, 8.3(2-51. fig 10

Zohar.8 7(1)

