# Harvard University Computer Science 121

#### Problem Set 3

Due Friday, October 8, 2010 at 1:20 PM.

Submit a single PDF (lastname+ps3.pdf) of your solutions to cs121+ps3@seas.harvard.edu Late problem sets may be turned in until Monday, October 11, 2010 at 1:20 PM with a 20% penalty. See syllabus for collaboration policy.

#### Name

Problem set by !!! Your Name Here !!!

with collaborator !!! Collaborators' names here !!!!

#### Notes

When drawing your parse tree you may want to draw by hand or use a graphics program and import like we did for ps1.

When not stated elsewhere assume the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ .

Use the provided template for your answers. Custom formatted solutions are difficult to grade.

Read instructions carefully. DRAW means exactly that.

We denote the reverse (defined naturally) of a string w to be  $w^R$ 

PROBLEM 1 
$$(2+2+2+2)$$
 points

Which of the following languages are regular? Support each of your answers with a proof.

- (A)  $\{a^i b^j a^j b^i : i, j \ge 0\}.$
- (B)  $\{a^{i^2}: i \geq 0\}$ .
- (C)  $\{wxw^R : w, x \in \Sigma^*\}$
- (D)  $\{ww^R\}$ .

### PROBLEM 2 (4+1 points)

- (A) Prove the following strong form of the pumping lemma: there is a number p where, if s is any string in L of length at least p, then s may be written as s = xyz such that
  - 1. for each  $i \geq 0$ ,  $xy^i z \in L$ ,
  - 2. |y| > 0, and
  - 3.  $|xy| \le p$ .
- (B) The pumping lemma states every regular language L has these properties. Is the converse true? That is, if a language has these properties is it regular? Describe why or why not briefly.

### PROBLEM 3 (4 points)

Show that a DFA with n states accepts an infinite language if and only if it accepts some string of length at least n and less than 2n.

## PROBLEM 4 (2+2 points)

- (A) Prove the set of finite languages over a fixed alphabet is countable.
- (B) Prove that if A is an uncountable set and B is a countable set, then A B is uncountable.

### PROBLEM 5 (4+2+2 points)

A satisfied boolean expression is a string over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, (,), \neg, \wedge, \vee\}$  representing a boolean expression that evaluates to 1, where  $\neg$  is the *not* operator,  $\wedge$  is the *and* operator, and  $\vee$  is the *or* operator (see Sipser p.14). For instance, 1,  $(1 \wedge (\neg 0))$ , and  $(\neg(\neg 1))$  are satisfied boolean expressions.

The following are examples of strings that are *not* satisfied boolean expressions:

```
)(1) \\ (0\neg) \\ \land (0 \lor 1) \\ (0 \land 1) \\ (\neg(1 \lor 0))
```

The first three examples are strings that aren't valid expressions and simply don't make sense. The last two are well-formed expressions, but they evaluate to 0, rather than to 1.

- (A) Write a context-free grammar that generates the language of satisfied boolean expressions. You may assume that expressions must be completely parenthesized. For instance, your grammar need not generate  $\neg(0 \land \neg 0 \land 1)$  but should generate its equivalent,  $(\neg(0 \land ((\neg 0) \land 1)))$ .
- (B) In several sentences, explain why the language of satisfied boolean expressions is not regular.
- (C) Draw the parse tree in your grammar for the expression  $((\neg(1 \land 0)) \lor (\neg(0)))$ .

A context-free grammar G is **ambiguous** if there exists a string  $w \in L(G)$  with two distinct leftmost derivations in G. (That is, two distinct derivations in which the leftmost nonterminal is replaced at each step).

(A) Show that the context-free grammar  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , where  $V = \{S, T\}, \Sigma = \{a, b\}$ , and

$$R = \{S \to aT, \ T \to S, \ T \to Tab, \ , \ T \to a\}$$

is ambiguous.

- (B) A language L is **inherently ambiguous** if all context-free grammars G such that L = L(G) are ambiguous. Show that if L is a regular language, then L is **not** inherently ambiguous. (*Hint*: think about regular grammars).
- (C) Show that L(G), where G is the context-free grammar in Part (A), is **not** inherently ambiguous.

Show that for any subset L of  $\{a\}^*$ , the language  $L^*$  is regular.