# Phase 1 TFF: Simulation Data and Testing Guide for Sybil Detection

This guide complements the "Detailed Step-by-Step Guide: Phase 1 - Sybil Detection with TFF for ChainFLIP" by providing more details on how to work with simulation data and test the functionality of the implemented Federated Learning (FL) system.

### 1. Understanding the Existing Simulation in data\_preparation.py

The data\_preparation.py file you created in the detailed Phase 1 guide includes a function load\_local\_data\_for\_client . Currently, this function generates **random data** for features and labels:

- Random Features (X\_local): Values are random numbers between 0 and 1.
- Random Labels ( y\_local ): Labels are randomly 0 or 1.
- **Simulated Non-IID Data:** The if client\_id == "client\_1": block slightly modifies the data for client\_1 to make its data distribution different from other clients. This is a basic way to simulate non-Identically and Independently Distributed (non-IID) data, which is common in FL.

**Purpose of this initial simulation:** The primary goal of this random data simulation is to allow you to run the TFF pipeline (run\_simulation.py) end-to-end, ensuring that the TFF components (data loading, model definition, federated averaging process) are wired correctly and the training loop executes without crashing. It is **not designed to train a meaningful Sybil detection model** because the data lacks realistic patterns.

### 2. How to Test the Phase 1 FL System (with Simulated Data)

When you run python tff\_sybil\_detection/run\_simulation.py:

• **Objective:** Verify that the TFF training process runs, model weights are aggregated across simulated clients, and the global model shows some (even if superficial) signs of learning.

#### Interpreting Output:

- Client Data Loading: You should see print statements like
   Client sim\_client\_X: Simulating local data loading and preprocessing...
- Training Rounds: The key output to monitor is: Round 1: loss=X.XXXX, accuracy=Y.YYYY, auc=Z.ZZZZ Round 2: loss=A.AAAA, accuracy=B.BBBB, auc=C.CCCC ... With the current random data, you might see the loss decrease and accuracy/AUC increase slightly, or they might fluctuate. Significant, consistent improvement would only occur if there were learnable patterns in the data.
- Global Model Evaluation: At the end, Global model evaluation on simulated test data - Loss: ..., Accuracy: ..., AUC: ... gives a final performance snapshot on another set of random data.

#### • Basic Checks:

- Modify NUM\_CLIENTS\_SIMULATION and NUM\_ROUNDS in run\_simulation.py to see how it affects runtime and (potentially) the metrics.
- Ensure no errors occur during the iterative\_process.next() calls.

## 3. Generating More Controlled Synthetic Data for Meaningful Testing

To test if your FL system can actually learn to distinguish between "normal" and "Sybil" nodes, you need to create synthetic data with clearer patterns. We will modify data\_preparation.py to do this.

#### Conceptual Features for Sybil Detection (5 features as in the guide):

- 1. registration\_age\_days (e.g., Feature 0)
- 2. initial\_tx\_count\_first\_week (e.g., Feature 1)
- 3. avg\_tx\_value\_early (e.g., Feature 2)
- 4. interaction\_diversity\_score\_early (0-1, e.g., Feature 3)
- 5. reputation\_change\_rate\_early (e.g., Feature 4)

#### Enhanced load\_local\_data\_for\_client in data\_preparation.py:

Replace the existing load\_local\_data\_for\_client function in ChainFLIP\_FL\_Dev/ tff\_sybil\_detection/data\_preparation.py with the following more detailed version:

```
# In data_preparation.py
import tensorflow as tf
import numpy as np
ELEMENT_SPEC = (
  tf.TensorSpec(shape=(5,), dtype=tf.float32),
  tf.TensorSpec(shape=(1,), dtype=tf.int32)
NUM FEATURES = 5
def load_local_data_for_client(client_id: str, num_samples=100,
is_sybil_client=False, sybil_data_ratio=0.0):
  """Generates more structured synthetic data for a single client."""
  print(f"Client {client_id}: Generating structured synthetic data (is_sybil_client:
{is_sybil_client}, sybil_ratio: {sybil_data_ratio})...")
  X_local_list = []
  y_local_list = []
  num_sybil_samples = int(num_samples * sybil_data_ratio)
  if is_sybil_client:
    num_sybil_samples = num_samples # All data for this client will be Sybil-like
  num_normal_samples = num_samples - num_sybil_samples
  # Generate Normal Node Data
  for _ in range(num_normal_samples):
    features = [
      np.random.uniform(100, 365), # registration_age_days (high)
      np.random.uniform(1, 10),
                                     # initial_tx_count_first_week (low)
      np.random.uniform(50, 1000), # avg_tx_value_early (moderate)
      np.random.uniform(0.5, 0.9), # interaction_diversity_score_early (high)
      np.random.uniform(0, 0.1)
                                     # reputation_change_rate_early (low)
    X_local_list.append(features)
    y_local_list.append([0]) # Label 0 for Normal
  # Generate Sybil Node Data
  for _ in range(num_sybil_samples):
    features = [
      np.random.uniform(1, 30),
                                     # registration_age_days (low)
      np.random.uniform(50, 200), # initial_tx_count_first_week (high)
      np.random.uniform(1, 10),
                                     # avg tx value early (low, many small txs)
```

```
np.random.uniform(0.1, 0.4), # interaction_diversity_score_early (low)
      np.random.uniform(0.5, 1.0) # reputation_change_rate_early (high)
    X_local_list.append(features)
    y_local_list.append([1]) # Label 1 for Sybil
  X_{local} = np.array(X_{local_list}, dtype=np.float32)
  y_local = np.array(y_local_list, dtype=np.int32)
  # Shuffle the combined data
  indices = np.arange(num_samples)
  np.random.shuffle(indices)
  X local = X local[indices]
  y_local = y_local[indices]
  return tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices((X_local, y_local))
# Keep make federated data, but modify it to control Sybil client simulation
def make_federated_data(client_ids: list[str], num_samples_per_client=100):
  datasets = []
  for i, client id in enumerate(client ids):
    if i == 0: # Let's make the first client a "Sybil" source for testing
       datasets.append(load local data for client(client id,
num_samples_per_client, is_sybil_client=True))
    elif i == 1: # Second client has a mix
      datasets.append(load local data for client(client id,
num_samples_per_client, sybil_data_ratio=0.5))
    else: # Others are mostly normal
      datasets.append(load local data for client(client id,
num_samples_per_client, sybil_data_ratio=0.1))
  return datasets
# Keep the __main__ block for testing data_preparation.py independently if you wish
if __name__ == '__main__':
  print("Testing structured data preparation...")
  CLIENT_IDS_TEST = ["client_A", "client_B", "client_C"]
  federated train data test = make federated data(CLIENT_IDS_TEST,
num_samples_per_client=50)
  print(f"\nCreated {len(federated_train_data_test)} client datasets with structured
data.")
  for i, client_dataset in enumerate(federated_train_data_test):
    print(f"Client {CLIENT_IDS_TEST[i]} dataset element spec:
{client_dataset.element_spec}")
    normal_count = 0
    sybil_count = 0
    for features, labels in client_dataset: # Iterate through all samples
      if labels.numpy()[0] == 0:
         normal_count += 1
      else:
         sybil_count += 1
    print(f" Client {CLIENT_IDS_TEST[i]}: Normal samples: {normal_count}, Sybil
```

samples: {sybil\_count}")
print("\nStructured data preparation test complete.")

How this helps testing: \* Clear Patterns: The model now has data with distinct characteristics for normal (0) and Sybil (1) nodes. \* Non-IID Simulation: The make\_federated\_data function is modified to assign different types of data to different clients (e.g., client\_A primarily generates Sybil-like data, client\_B a mix, client\_C mostly normal). This tests how FedAvg handles data heterogeneity. \* Meaningful Metrics: With these patterns, you should observe more significant improvements in loss, accuracy, and AUC during training if the model is learning effectively.

**To use this:** Simply replace the content of your existing ChainFLIP\_FL\_Dev/ tff\_sybil\_detection/data\_preparation.py with the code above. Then, re-run python tff\_sybil\_detection/run\_simulation.py.

#### 4. Interpreting Results with Controlled Synthetic Data

After running run\_simulation.py with the structured synthetic data:

- Loss/Accuracy/AUC: Look for a clear trend: loss should decrease significantly, and accuracy/AUC should increase towards a high value (e.g., >0.8 or >0.9, depending on data separability and model capacity) over the NUM\_ROUNDS.
- **Global Model Evaluation:** The final evaluation on simulated test data should also show good performance, indicating the global model has generalized somewhat.
- Experiment:
  - Change NUM\_ROUNDS in run\_simulation.py.
  - Change BATCH\_SIZE in run\_simulation.py .
  - Adjust the model architecture in model\_definition.py (e.g., number of layers, units, dropout rate).
  - Modify the sybil\_data\_ratio in make\_federated\_data within data\_preparation.py to see how different client data distributions affect learning.

## 5. Generating Synthetic Data via CSV Files (Alternative for Persistent Test Sets)

For more complex or persistent test datasets, you can generate data and save it to CSV files, then have load\_local\_data\_for\_client read from these.

### Example: generate\_csv\_datasets.py (Create this file in ChainFLIP\_FL\_Dev/tff\_sybil\_detection/)

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import os
NUM FEATURES = 5
CLIENT_DATA_DIR = "client_data"
def create_synthetic_dataframe(num_samples=100, sybil_ratio=0.2):
 X list = \prod
 y list = []
  num_sybil = int(num_samples * sybil_ratio)
  num_normal = num_samples - num_sybil
  # Normal Node Data
  for _ in range(num_normal):
    features = [
      np.random.uniform(100, 365), np.random.uniform(1, 10),
      np.random.uniform(50, 1000), np.random.uniform(0.5, 0.9),
      np.random.uniform(0, 0.1)
    X list.append(features)
    y_list.append(0)
  # Sybil Node Data
  for _ in range(num_sybil):
    features = [
      np.random.uniform(1, 30), np.random.uniform(50, 200),
      np.random.uniform(1, 10), np.random.uniform(0.1, 0.4),
      np.random.uniform(0.5, 1.0)
    X_list.append(features)
    y_list.append(1)
  df = pd.DataFrame(X_list, columns=[f"feature_{i}" for i in
range(NUM FEATURES)])
  df["label"] = y list
  return df.sample(frac=1).reset index(drop=True) # Shuffle
if name == " main ":
  if not os.path.exists(CLIENT DATA DIR):
    os.makedirs(CLIENT_DATA_DIR)
  client configs = {
    "client_X": {"num_samples": 150, "sybil_ratio": 0.8}, # Mostly Sybil
    "client Y": {"num samples": 200, "sybil ratio": 0.1}, # Mostly Normal
    "client_Z": {"num_samples": 100, "sybil_ratio": 0.5}, # Mixed
  }
```

```
for client_name, config in client_configs.items():
    client_df = create_synthetic_dataframe(config["num_samples"],
    config["sybil_ratio"])
    file_path = os.path.join(CLIENT_DATA_DIR, f"{client_name}_data.csv")
    client_df.to_csv(file_path, index=False)
    print(f"Generated {file_path} with {len(client_df)} samples
    ({int(config['sybil_ratio']*100)}% Sybil)")
```

**To use this:** 1. Run python tff\_sybil\_detection/generate\_csv\_datasets.py . This will create a client\_data folder with CSV files. 2. Modify load\_local\_data\_for\_client in data\_preparation.py to read these CSVs: ```python # In data\_preparation.py, modify load\_local\_data\_for\_client: import pandas as pd import os # ... (keep ELEMENT\_SPEC and NUM\_FEATURES) CLIENT\_DATA\_DIR = "client\_data" # Assuming this script is run from tff\_sybil\_detection

```
def load_local_data_for_client(client_id: str, num_samples=None): # num_samples
not used if reading CSV
  file path = os.path.join(CLIENT DATA DIR, f"{client id} data.csv")
  if not os.path.exists(file_path):
    raise FileNotFoundError(f"Data file not found for client {client id} at
{file_path}")
  print(f"Client {client_id}: Loading data from {file_path}...")
  df = pd.read csv(file path)
  X_local = df[[f"feature_{i}" for i in
range(NUM FEATURES)]].values.astype(np.float32)
  y_local = df[["label"]].values.astype(np.int32) # Ensure shape is (num_samples, 1)
  return tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices((X_local, y_local))
# Modify make_federated_data to use the client names from CSV generation
def make federated data(client ids: list[str], num samples per client=None):
  return [load_local_data_for_client(client_id) for client_id in client_ids]
# In run_simulation.py, you would then use these client_ids:
# CLIENT_IDS_SIMULATION = ["client_X", "client_Y", "client_Z"]
```

#### **6. Advanced Testing Considerations**

• **Holdout Evaluation Set:** For robust evaluation, create a separate set of client data (or a centralized test set if appropriate for your FL privacy model) that is never used during training. Evaluate the final global model on this holdout set.

#### • Testing Admin Dashboard Integration (Conceptual):

- Once your global\_sybil\_detection\_model.h5 (or similar saved model) is generated by run\_simulation.py , your prediction service (e.g., a Flask API) would load this model.
- You can then send feature vectors (representing hypothetical nodes) to this service and check the risk scores it returns. This tests the model's predictive capability independently of the TFF training loop.

By using these structured synthetic data generation and testing approaches, you can gain more confidence in your Phase 1 FL system's ability to learn and detect patterns before moving to real-world data integration.